This is the Pre-Published Version.

This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is subject to Springer Nature's AM terms of use (https://www.springernature.com/gp/open-research/policies/accepted-manuscript-terms), but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12031-023-02118-x.

The optimal time-lag for testosterone challenge research based on salivary

profiles following different doses of transdermal testosterone administrations

Yan Wu^{a, b, c, d}, Yin Wu^e, Liuxi Chu^{a, b, c}, Haoran Yang^{a, b, c}, Wei Wang^{a, b, c}, Huihua Deng^{a, b, c*}

^a Department of Brain and Learning Science, School of Biological Science & Medical Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China.

^b Key Laboratory of Child Development and Learning Science (Southeast University), Ministry of Education, Nanjing 210096, China.

^c Institute of Child Development and Education, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China.

^d Nanjing Jiangbei New Area Biophamaceutical Public Service Platform Co., Ltd, Nanjing 210000, China.

^e Department of Applied Social Sciences, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong 999077, China.

*Corresponding author

Tel: 86-25-83795664;

Fax: 86-25-83793779;

Email: dengrcls@seu.edu.cn.

1 Abstract

In recent decades, testosterone challenge research examining the effects of testosterone on human 2 neuropsychological behaviors has rapidly grown with the development of a single-dose transdermal 3 testosterone administration paradigm. However, the optimal time-lag between testosterone 4 administration and behavioral measurement is not unified, partly hindering causal understanding of 5 the "testosterone effect". The present study aimed to investigate the optimal time-lag through 6 LC-MS/MS-based salivary profiles of ten biomarkers among healthy males following administration 7 of different doses of transdermal testosterone (i.e., 450- and 150-mg [Androgel®]). Results revealed 8 9 that testosterone administration significantly increased salivary testosterone levels, reaching maximum levels 2 hours after 450-mg testosterone administration and 1 hour after 150-mg 10 testosterone administration, respectively. Salivary androstenedione and DHEA increased 11 12 synchronously with testosterone following administration. Moreover, the ratios of testosterone to androstenedione, DHEA, estradiol, and of androstenedione to estrone significantly elevated 1 hour 13 after testosterone administration. In contrast, salivary cortisol and cortisone were decreased over time 14 15 due to circadian rhythm rather than testosterone administration. Consistent with previous serum studies, the present salivary findings recommended 1-hour post testosterone administration as the 16 optimal time-lag to measure the effects of testosterone on human behaviors in transdermal 17 testosterone challenge research. 18

19

Key words: optimal time-lag; salivary biomarkers; LC-MS/MS; testosterone; transdermal
 administration

22 **1. Introduction**

Testosterone, as a major androgen secreted from the hypothalamus-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis, 23 plays an essential role not only in reproduction and sexual differentiation, but also in modulating 24 human behaviors (Bos, Panksepp, Bluthe, & van Honk, 2012; Carre & Robinson, 2020; Eisenegger, 25 Haushofer, & Fehr, 2011; Geniole & Carre, 2018; McCall & Singer, 2012; Zilioli & Bird, 2017). The 26 research examining its effect on psychological behavior has a long history (Carre & Robinson, 2020). 27 Especially in the past two decades, research on the effects of exogenous testosterone administration 28 on human neuropsychological behaviors has become a hot topic (Bos et al., 2012; Carre & Robinson, 29 30 2020), driven by the motivation of Challenge Hypothesis (Gray, Straftis, Bird, McHale, & Zilioli, 2020; Wingfield, Hegner, Dufty, & Ball, 1990) and the development of various single-dose 31 testosterone challenge paradigms (Tuiten et al., 2000; Zak et al., 2009). Among them, transdermal 32 33 testosterone challenge research has received considerable attention because it can rapidly increase systemic testosterone levels and is easily absorbed into the skin without invasion or irritability. 34

Despite its rapid development, there was no unified transdermal testosterone pharmacological data 35 36 regarding optimal time-lag between testosterone administration and psychological behavior testing. For instance, Zak and colleagues conducted men's behavioral testing 16 hours after transdermal 37 testosterone administration (Zak et al.). In contrast, some studies recommended 3-4 hours 38 post-administration as the optimal time-lag for behavior measurement (Eisenegger, von Eckardstein, 39 Fehr, & von Eckardstein, 2013; Nave, Nadler, Zava, & Camerer, 2017; Wu et al., 2018; Wu et al., 40 2019; Wu, Shen, et al., 2020; Wu, Zhang, Ou, Hu, & Zilioli, 2020). Additionally, other studies 41 suggested that transdermal testosterone administration has more rapid effects (within 1-2 hours 42 post-treatment) on human psychological behavior processes (Carre et al., 2017; Carre et al., 2015; 43

Goetz et al., 2014; Hansen, McAuliffe, Goldfarb, & Carre, 2017; Puiu et al., 2019; Welling, Moreau,
Bird, Hansen, & Carre, 2016) via a non-genomic pathway (Filova et al., 2015; Foradori, Weiser, &
Handa, 2008). The diversity in the time-lag would hinder the causal understanding of testosterone's
time-dependent effects on human psychological behaviors. Thus, a review emphasized that this
critical issue needs to be addressed in future testosterone challenge research (Carre & Robinson,
2020).

The study on determining the optimal time-lag for psychological behavior testing requires 50 considering a series of methodological issues. Firstly, samples for determination should be 51 non-invasively collected and show sensitivity to exogenous testosterone administration. Invasive 52 collection may reduce participants' willingness to join the study and induce a selection bias or 53 psychological stress, thereby interfering with their behavioral responses and steroids' levels. In 54 55 comparison to serum, which requires invasive extraction, saliva showing significant correlation with serum in testosterone measurement (de Wit et al., 2018; Granger, Shirtcliff, Booth, Kivlighan, & 56 Schwartz, 2004) is often collected through non-invasive route. Furthermore, saliva has higher 57 sensitivity in evaluating exogenous testosterone administration. Previous studies reported that 58 elevated testosterone levels in saliva following testosterone administration are many times higher 59 than basal endogenous testosterone levels, such as a 100-fold increase for 1.5 mg/kg transdermal 60 testosterone administration (Schonfelder et al., 2011; Thieme, Rautenberg, Grosse, & Schoenfelder, 61 2013). However, the increase in serum is only about 60 % (Carre & Robinson, 2020), and that in 62 urine is much smaller (Polet, De Wilde, Van Renterghem, Van Gansbeke, & Van Eenoo, 2018). 63 Secondly, the laboratory contamination of testosterone should not be ignored when dealing with 64 transdermal administration and subsequent determination of the optimal time-lag using oral fluid 65

samples. The air contamination of testosterone gel in laboratory workspaces could increase potential 66 interference in determining testosterone levels in samples and even the optimal time-lag (Genzen et 67 al., 2019). In our previous study (Wu, Wu, et al., 2020), we found that laboratory testosterone 68 contamination could increase basal testosterone levels dozens of times above the reference range and 69 further drastically elevate testosterone levels after administration. This could postpone the optimal 70 time-lag for behavior testing. Thirdly, it is necessary to use an analysis technique that can precisely 71 determine steroids' levels for investigating the time-lag. Previously, immunoassays were widely used 72 for testosterone determination because they are fast and economical, but they may have lower 73 reliability and specificity compared to liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry 74 (LC-MS/MS) (Prasad, Lassetter, Welker, & Mehta, 2019; Wudy, Schuler, Sanchez-Guijo, & 75 Hartmann, 2018). Nowadays, neuroendocrinologists have applied LC-MS/MS to determine steroids' 76 77 levels in their testosterone challenge research (Nave et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2019). Finally, a multiple biomarkers system including biomarkers from different classes may 78 comprehensively reflect the elicitation effect of exogenous testosterone administration on high 79 systemic testosterone levels and further facilitate the precise evaluation of optimal time-lag in 80 testosterone challenge research. Previous studies mainly used testosterone and cortisol as biomarkers 81 for determining the optimal time-lag (Eisenegger et al., 2013; Puiu et al., 2019), but they yielded 82 inconsistent results regarding the optimal time-lag. In our previous study (Wu, Wu, et al., 2020), we 83 found that two androgens, androstenedione and DHEA as the upstream precursors of testosterone 84 should be sensitive biomarkers reflecting the elicitation effect of exogenous testosterone 85 administration on systemic testosterone. Moreover, several ratios between gonadal steroids' levels 86 (i.e., the ratios of testosterone to androstenedione, DHEA, estradiol, and of androstenedione to 87

estrone) might also be suitable biomarkers for assessing the modulated effect of exogenous testosterone administration. In addition, it also found that cortisone, as the main metabolite of cortisol, and the ratio of cortisol to cortisone could be suitable biomarkers for estimating exogenous testosterone's influence on systemic cortisol.

Therefore, building on previous evidences and addressing the aforementioned issues, this study aimed to accurately investigate the optimal time-lag for measuring the effect of transdermal testosterone administration on psychological behavior. To do so, we determined salivary profiles of ten biomarkers using LC-MS/MS after administering both normal testosterone (single-dose) and excess testosterone that mimicked testosterone contamination.

97

98 2. Materials and methods

99 2.1 Participants and testosterone administration

Participants in the study were healthy male undergraduates randomly recruited from a university in China. Among them, smokers, alcoholics, obese individuals (body mass index (BMI) \geq 30.0 kg/m²) and those who had taken medicine (e.g., glucocorticoid and antibiotics drugs) or caffeine or had diseases (e.g., canker sores and inflammation) prior to the experiment were excluded.

Finally, 29 participants joined this double-blind, placebo-controlled study and were randomly assigned to three treatment groups: excess testosterone administration group (n=10; mean age=22.1±1.2 years, age range=20.0-24.0 years; mean BMI=24.7±2.5 kg/m², BMI range=21.2-29.8 kg/m²), normal testosterone administration group (n=10; mean age=21.5±1.0 years, age range=20.0-23.0 years; mean BMI=24.4±2.2 kg/m², BMI range=21.5-28.2 kg/m²) and placebo control group (n=9; mean age=22.1±2.1 years, age range=20.0-25.0 years; mean BMI=25.4±2.5

kg/m², BMI range=21.9-29.9 kg/m²). Participants in the excess testosterone administration group 110 received a dose of 450-mg testosterone in gel [Androgel®], while those in the normal testosterone 111 administration group received a single dose of 150-mg testosterone. In contrast, the placebo control 112 group received a colorless hydro-alcoholic gel. The gels were all applied to participants' shoulders 113 and upper arms following our previously established experimental protocol (Wu et al., 2019; Wu, Wu, 114 et al., 2020). Additionally, the testosterone and placebo administration were conducted in two 115 separated clean laboratory rooms to eliminate potential interference from testosterone contamination 116 in the air (Genzen et al., 2019). 117

All participants provided written informed consent prior to inclusion. This study was conducted following the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Health Science Research Ethics Board of Southeast University.

121 **2.2 Sample collection**

Every participant self-reported their demographic information (e.g., height, age and weight) before their experiment session. In order to provide clean and unstimulated saliva samples, they needed to avoid eating and drinking for at least 30 minutes and rinse their mouths gently with clean water several times before collecting samples. The unstimulated sample collection adhered to our previous protocol (Wu et al., 2019; Wu, Wu, et al., 2020).

To minimize the impact of steroids' circadian rhythm, the experiment session was scheduled from 13:00 to 17:30 pm. Baseline saliva samples were collected before testosterone administration (T0), and subsequent samples were collected at 1-hour intervals up to 4 hours post-treatment (T1=1 h, T2=2 h, T3=3 h, and T4=4 h). The collected samples were sealed in clean Eppendorf tubes with numbered tags and stored at -80 $^{\circ}$ C until LC-MS/MS analysis.

132 **2.3 LC-MS/MS assay**

Salivary levels of the seven aforementioned steroids were determined with the LC-MS/MS method 133 developed in our previous study (Wu, Wu, et al., 2020). The LC-MS/MS system consisted of an 134 Agilent 1200 high-performance liquid chromatography (Agilent, Germany) and a 3200 OTRAP mass 135 spectrometer (Sciex, USA) that was equipped with an atmospheric pressure chemical ionization 136 source (APCI) and operated in multiple-reaction monitoring and positive mode. Limits of 137 quantification were between 0.010 and 0.030 ng/mL for the seven steroids. Intra-day and inter-day 138 coefficients of variation (n=5) were less than 16.2 % and the recovery (n=5) ranged from 90.0 to 139 140 115.7 % for all analytes at three concentrations. The other validation parameters, including selectivity and stability, also met the criteria of FDA guidelines (Wu, Wu, et al., 2020). 141

142 **2.4 Statistical analysis**

IBM SPSS 24.0 for windows was used for data analysis in this study. All data were 143 log-transformed to match the normal distribution before statistical analysis. Repeated measures 144 analysis of variance (RMANOVA) with Greenhouse-Geisser correction was used to examine the 145 impacts of treatment (i.e., excess or normal testosterone administrations and placebo control), time 146 (across five time points, T0-T4) as well as their interaction on salivary levels of the ten biomarkers. 147 One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to examine the differences in salivary 148 biomarkers' levels among the three groups at T0-T4. In addition, covariance analysis (COANOVA) 149 with body mass index (BMI) as covariate was performed to confirm the intergroup differences in 150 salivary levels. Post-hoc multiple comparisons basing on least significant difference were used to 151 compare the differences between any two of the five time points or three groups. 152

153

154 **3. Results**

155 **3.1 Salivary levels of testosterone**

Dynamic level changes of testosterone in the excess and normal testosterone administration and 156 placebo control groups were demonstrated in Fig. 1(a). RMANOVA revealed significant main effects 157 of treatment ($F_{2,26} = 24.525$, $\eta^2 = 0.654$, p < 0.001) and time ($F_{2.865, 74.496} = 30.932$, $\eta^2 = 0.543$, p < 0.001) 158 0.001), as well as their interaction effect ($F_{5.73, 148.992} = 9.023$, $\eta^2 = 0.410$, p < 0.001) on salivary 159 testosterone levels. This indicates that testosterone levels in the two testosterone administration 160 groups changed differentially over time relative to the levels in the placebo group. Subsequent 161 one-way ANOVA exhibited that testosterone levels in the two administration groups were 162 significantly higher than those in placebo group after treatment ($F_{2,26} > 18.535$, ps < 0.001, Table 1). 163 As the intergroup differences might be driven by BMI difference, COANOVA with BMI as a 164 covariate ($F_{2,25} > 23.240$, ps < 0.001, Table S1) was further examined to corroborate the one-way 165 ANOVA results. However, post-hoc comparisons indicated that the two administration groups 166 showed no significant difference in testosterone levels at T1-T4 (ps > 0.094, Table S2). Besides, the 167 intergroup difference among the three groups for baseline testosterone level was not significant ($F_{2,26}$ 168 = 1.805, p = 0.184, Table 1 and $F_{2,25} = 2.378$, p = 0.113, Table S1). Further studies suggested that, 169 compared to baseline levels, salivary testosterone levels in the two administration groups increased 170 significantly 1 hour post-treatment (ps < 0.001). The maximum testosterone level in the excess 171 administration group was observed 2 hours after treatment, which remained stable for the following 172 two hours with no significant difference (ps > 0.484). Besides, testosterone levels in the normal 173 administration group showed significant increase 1 hour post-treatment (ps < 0.001) and kept stable 174 during the following three hours (ps > 0.072). In contrast, the placebo group exhibited no significant 175

176 dynamic changes of testosterone levels across T0-T4.

177

178 -----Please insert Figure 1 and Table 1 about here-----

179

180 **3.2 Androstenedione and DHEA**

Similarly, the significant main effects of treatment ($F_{2,26} = 10.971$, $\eta^2 = 0.458$, p < 0.001 and $F_{2,26}$ 181 = 8.683, η^2 = 0.400, p = 0.001) and time (F_{4, 104} = 6.660, η^2 = 0.204, p < 0.001 and F_{2.408, 62.610} = 182 10.218, $\eta^2 = 0.282$, p < 0.001) and treatment-by-time interaction effects ($F_{8, 208} = 4.722$, $\eta^2 = 0.266$, p 183 < 0.001 and $F_{4.816, 125.220} = 3.596$, $\eta^2 = 0.217$, p = 0.007) on salivary and rost endione and DHEA 184 levels were also observed via RMANOVA. It indicated that the androstenedione and DHEA levels in 185 the two testosterone administration groups changed differentially across time relative to placebo as 186 shown in Fig. 1(b) and (c). One-way ANOVA and COANOVA analysis indicated that, relative to 187 placebo, both androstenedione and DHEA levels in the two administration groups increased 188 significantly following testosterone administration (androstenedione: $F_{2, 26} > 7.462$, ps < 0.003 for 189 T1-T4, Table 1 and $F_{2,25} > 8.398$, ps < 0.002 for T1-T4, Table S1; DHEA: $F_{2,26} > 9.064$, ps < 0.001190 for T2-T4, Table 1 and $F_{2,25} > 4.129$, ps < 0.028 for T1-T4, Table S1). Neither differences in the two 191 steroids' levels between the two administration groups across T1-T4 (ps > 0.069, Table S2) nor 192 intergroup difference among the three groups at baseline (both $F_{2,26} < 0.773$, ps > 0.472, Table 1 and 193 $F_{2, 25} < 0.762$, ps > 0.477, Table S1) reached significance. Compared to baseline levels, 194 androstenedione and DHEA levels in the excess administration group were significantly increased 2 195 h post-treatment (ps < 0.006) and kept stable later (ps > 0.058). Moreover, the levels increased 196 significantly 1 h after normal administration (ps < 0.009) and thereafter showed no difference across 197

198 T1-T4 (ps > 0.062). It indicated that salivary androstenedione and DHEA showed synchronous time 199 courses with testosterone following testosterone administration.

200 3.3 Ratio biomarkers among gonadal steroids

As shown in Fig. 2(a-d), salivary levels of the ratios of testosterone to androstenedione, DHEA, 201 and estradiol, and of androstenedione to estrone all increased over time after testosterone 202 administration, which were in line with aforementioned salivary testosterone, androstenedione, and 203 DHEA. The main effects of treatment ($F_{2,26} > 12.739$, $\eta^2 > 0.495$, ps < 0.001) and time (F > 4.672, 204 $\eta^2 > 0.152$, ps < 0.002) and their interaction effect (F > 2.377, $\eta^2 > 0.155$, ps < 0.022) were all 205 significant on the levels of these ratio biomarkers. Subsequent analysis verified that their levels in the 206 two administration groups were significant higher across T1-T4 relative to these in the placebo group 207 $(F_{2, 26} > 5.853, ps < 0.008, Table 1 and F_{2, 25} > 6.811, ps < 0.004, Table S1)$ and did not differ 208 significantly at T0 ($F_{2, 26} < 1.896$, ps > 0.170, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.762$, ps > 0.192, Table S1). 209 Specifically, the four ratios showed significant increases in levels 1 hour post-administration (ps <210 0.05) and thereafter remained stable (ps > 0.075). Interestingly, compared to the normal 211 administration group, the excess administration group showed significantly higher levels in the ratio 212 of testosterone to androstenedione at T1 and T2 (ps < 0.020, Table S2), and of testosterone to DHEA 213 at T1 (p = 0.037, Table S2). 214

215

216 ------Please insert Figure 2 about here-----

217

218 **3.4 Cortisol, cortisone and their ratio**

As shown in Fig. 3(a), salivary cortisol levels decreased over time in the three groups due to their

220	well-known secretion rhythm. RMANOVA suggested that the main effect of time was significant on
221	cortisol levels ($F_{2.696, 70.092} = 7.603$, $\eta^2 = 0.226$, $p < 0.001$), but the main effect of treatment or their
222	interaction effect were not ($F_{2, 26} = 1.769$, $\eta^2 = 0.120$, $p = 0.190$ and $F_{5.392, 140.184} = 1.769$, $\eta^2 = 0.061$, p
223	= 0.533). Subsequent analysis revealed that there were no significant differences among the three
224	groups in cortisol levels across T0-T3 ($F_{2, 26} < 1.302$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $Ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $Ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $Ps > 0.289$, Table 1 and $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$, $F_{2, 25} < 1.228$
225	0.310, Table S1), but there were significant intergroup differences at T4 ($F_{2,26}$ = 3.892, p = 0.033,
226	Table 1 and $F_{2,25} = 3.803$, $p = 0.036$, Table S1) due to significant difference between the excess and
227	normal administration groups ($p=0.010$, Table S2). Furthermore, compared to baseline, salivary
228	cortisol levels in all three groups showed significant decreases 1 hour post-treatment ($ps < 0.043$).
229	Likewise, salivary cortisone levels in the three groups also decreased across time as shown in Fig.
230	3(b). The main effect of time was also significant on cortisone levels ($F_{2.757, 71.682} = 5.721$, $\eta^2 = 0.180$,
231	$p = 0.002$). But no significant main effect of treatment ($F_{2, 26} = 2.417$, $\eta^2 = 0.157$, $p = 0.109$) and no
232	interaction effect ($F_{5.514, 143.364} = 0.491$, $\eta^2 = 0.036$, $p = 0.799$) were found. Moreover, the three groups
233	showed significantly lower cortisone levels across T1-T4 relative to baseline ($ps < 0.017$). These
234	results suggested that cortisone levels might also be affected by circadian fluctuation as same as
235	cortisol.

236

237	Please insert Figure 3 about here
-----	-----------------------------------

238

The ratios of cortisol to cortisone showed a decreasing trend in the three treatment groups as shown in Fig. 3(c). However, no significant main effects of treatment ($F_{2,26} = 0.993$, $\eta^2 = 0.071$, p =0.384), time ($F_{2.778,72.227} = 2.491$, $\eta^2 = 0.087$, p = 0.071), or the interaction effect ($F_{5.556,144.454} = 0.832$, 242 $\eta^2 = 0.060, p = 0.542$) were found on the ratio of cortisol to cortisone. Subsequent analysis revealed 243 that there were no significant intergroup differences in the ratio of cortisol to cortisone ($F_{2,26} < 3.248$, 244 $p_8 > 0.055$, Table 1 and $F_{2,25} < 3.120, p_8 > 0.062$, Table S1).

245

246 **4. Discussion**

This study investigated the optimal time-lag for measuring the effects of testosterone on human 247 psychological behaviors using 4-hours salivary profiles of seven sensitive biomarkers out of ten 248 biomarkers following excess and normal transdermal testosterone administrations (i.e., 450-mg and 249 250 150-mg [Androgel®] testosterone). Among the seven salivary biomarkers, transdermal testosterone administration significantly and synchronously increased salivary levels of testosterone, 251 androstenedione and DHEA, reaching their maximum levels at 2 hours after 450-mg testosterone 252 253 administration or 1 hour after 150-mg testosterone administration and thereafter remaining stable up to 4 hours post-treatment. Moreover, the ratios of testosterone to androstenedione, DHEA, estradiol, 254 and of androstenedione to estrone were all significantly increased 1 hour after treatment. To our best 255 256 knowledge, it was the first time to determine the optimal time-lag based on salivary profiles for ten biomarkers after different dosages of transdermal testosterone administrations. Compared to previous 257 serum studies (Eisenegger et al., 2013; Puiu et al., 2019), it extended six biomarkers to ten 258 biomarkers and complemented the related salivary profiles for further time-lag optimization. In 259 contrast, salivary cortisol and cortisone were significantly decreased over time due to their circadian 260 rhythm, which was consistent with previous serum studies and provided an additional proof for the 261 reliability of the present experimental design. 262

Herein, two different dosages of transdermal testosterone administrations both significantly

elevated salivary testosterone levels but showed different time patterns. The time point at which 264 maximum average level of salivary testosterone reached (t_{max}) was 2 hours after 450-mg [Androgel®] 265 testosterone administration and 1 hour after 150-mg administration (Fig. 1a), respectively. After 266 reaching the maximum level, salivary testosterone in the two administration groups remained 267 significant increase up to 4 hours post-treatment, showing a plateau of 2-3 hours for behavioral 268 measurements. The time pattern observed in the 150-mg administration group was congruent with 269 that in previous studies observing rapid testosterone increases post transdermal administration, such 270 as, salivary $t_{max} = 1$ hour for 50-mg [Testogel[®]] testosterone (Thieme et al., 2013), serum $t_{max} = 1$ 271 272 hour for 150-mg [Androgel®] testosterone (Carre et al., 2017; Carre et al., 2015; Hansen et al., 2017; Welling et al., 2016), and 1.5-2 hours for 100-mg [Testotop®] testosterone (Puiu et al., 2019). These 273 rapid increases may be attributed to the mechanism by which transdermal testosterone administration 274 275 disrupts the intrinsic equilibriums between 98% of serum testosterone and its binding proteins (i.e., sexual hormone-binding globulin and albumin), resulting in rapid elevation of serum free 276 testosterone levels (Polet et al., 2018; Thieme et al., 2013). Afterward, the extra free testosterone 277 transfers from serum into saliva via intracellular diffusion (Thieme et al., 2013; Wood, 2009), 278 resulting in rapid increases of salivary testosterone levels. In contrast, the t_{max} was delayed to 2 hours 279 for salivary testosterone in the 450-mg testosterone administration group, which mimicked 280 testosterone contamination (Fig. 1a). The maximum testosterone level in this group was obviously 281 elevated relative to the 150-mg administration group but did not reach statistical significance (Mean 282 \pm SEM, 27226 \pm 9904 vs 12420 \pm 6338 pg/mL; p = 0.202, Table S2). This may be due to the fact that 283 the increase of salivary testosterone is positively related to the administration dosages. As a result, 284 the $t_{\rm max}$ for excess testosterone administration was correspondingly delayed for having enough time 285

to transfer more extra free testosterone from serum into saliva.

Salivary androstenedione showed a similar time-dependent change pattern to testosterone, with a 287 significant increase following both normal and excess administrations in this study (Fig. 1b). These 288 results were consistent with a previous finding that salivary androstenedione levels clearly increased 289 after testosterone administration (Polet et al., 2018). This may result from the reversible conversion 290 between testosterone and androstenedione. The increased free testosterone from exogenous 291 testosterone administration leads to androstenedione elevation through this conversion (Polet et al., 292 2018). However, serum androstenedione levels showed no significant change after testosterone 293 294 application (Ponzetto et al., 2016). Therefore, the conversion in reverse from testosterone to androstenedione may only occur in the salivary gland under the catalysis of 17β -HSD enzyme (Blom, 295 Ojanotkoharri, Laine, & Huhtaniemi, 1993; Wood, 2009; Wu, Wu, et al., 2020). This suggests that 296 297 saliva may be more specific than serum in evaluating optimal time-lag with multiple biomarkers. Additionally, salivary DHEA synchronously increased with testosterone and androstenedione after 298 testosterone administration (Fig. 1c), which is consistent with our previous report (Wu, Wu, et al., 299 2020). As a precursor androgen of androstenedione, it might be inferred that increased salivary 300 DHEA originates from the metabolism control of elevated salivary androstenedione levels and the 301 reverse conversion of salivary androstenedione just like testosterone to androstenedione in the 302 salivary gland. Certainly, the detailed reverse conversion mechanism of androstenedione to DHEA 303 remains to be explored in a future work showing comprehensive experimental evidence. Notably, the 304 ratio biomarkers that reflect the activity of the HPG axis (i.e., the ratios of testosterone to 305 androstenedione, DHEA, estradiol, and of androstenedione to estrone) were also significantly 306 increased with time, mostly showing the maximum levels at 1 hour post excess or normal 307

administrations, and thereafter kept stable (Fig. 2). Their time courses were similar to those of
 salivary testosterone, androstenedione and DHEA, corroborating the time-dependent change pattern
 of testosterone following transdermal testosterone administration.

Additionally, it was found that transdermal testosterone administration did not elicit decreases of 311 salivary cortisol. Salivary cortisol decreased significantly over time, whether in the two testosterone 312 administration groups or the placebo control group (Fig. 3a). Furthermore, there were mostly no 313 significant differences among the three groups across the five time points (Table 1). Moreover, 314 salivary cortisone showed a similar decrease pattern to cortisol in the three groups (Fig. 3b). The 315 316 ratios of cortisol to cortisone also showed no significant change after testosterone administration (Fig. 3c). These findings indicate that the time-dependent decrease of salivary cortisol may be due to its 317 well-known circadian rhythm rather than testosterone administration, as previous studies have 318 319 reported (Eisenegger et al., 2013; Puiu et al., 2019). This may be due to the fact that the present transdermal testosterone dose is insufficient to stimulate adequate levels of systemic testosterone and 320 other androgens to inhibit the activity of the HPA axis (Eisenegger et al., 2013). Furthermore, the 321 322 suppressive effect of systemic testosterone on cortisol may also be modulated by some psychological variables (Puiu et al., 2019). The present result, in line with previous findings (Eisenegger et al., 323 2013; Puiu et al., 2019), provide a proof for the reliability of this experimental design. 324

While the present salivary findings matched a few previous results in serum, this study had several limitations. First, as the study only recruited small-sized healthy male undergraduates from a university, the generalizability of the present results might be impaired in part. Future work should be based on a large-scale cohort where participants show different gender, ages and other variables. Second, saliva samples in this study were collected at 1-hour intervals until 4 hours post transdermal testosterone administration. Compared to the short interval and long process used in previous serum studies (Eisenegger et al., 2013; Puiu et al., 2019), this method may provide less precise time-lag for measuring the effects of testosterone administration. Third, the present salivary biomarkers did not include metabolites of testosterone, such as dihydrotestosterone and androsterone, as well as sex-hormone binding globulin or albumin. These biomarkers' profiles would further corroborate the time-dependent change pattern of systematic testosterone after exogenous testosterone administration.

337

5. Conclusion

In summary, the present study successfully estimated the optimal time-lag for measuring 339 testosterone modulation effect in transdermal testosterone challenge research by determining the 340 341 salivary profiles of ten biomarkers in healthy males following 450- and 150-mg [Androgel®] testosterone administrations. It found that transdermal testosterone administration significantly 342 increased salivary testosterone as well as androstenedione and DHEA post-treatment, reaching their 343 maximum levels 2 hours post 450-mg testosterone administration and 1 hour after 150-mg 344 testosterone administration, respectively. The ratios of testosterone to androstenedione, DHEA, 345 estradiol, and of androstenedione to estrone were all significantly increased 1 hour post-treatment in 346 the two administration groups. In contrast, salivary cortisol was gradually decreased due to circadian 347 rhythm rather than testosterone administration, which was supported by cortisone and their ratio. 348 Combining with our results and previous similar findings, the present study recommended the 349 optimal time-lag as 1 h post-administration in a single-dose transdermal testosterone challenge 350 research with contamination controlled. This would provide some inspirations in time optimization 351

regarding exploring the effect of testosterone on human psychology and behaviors for future 352 single-dose transdermal testosterone challenge research. 353

354

Author Contributions 355

The study was designed by Yan Wu, Yin Wu and Huihua Deng. Saliva samples were collected by 356 Yin Wu and then were determined by Yan Wu, Liuxi Chu, Haoran Yang and Wei Wang using a 357 developed LC-MS/MS method. The samples data were analyzed by Yan Wu, Yin Wu and Huihua 358 Deng. The first version of the manuscript was written by Yan Wu and the draft was reviewed and 359 edited by Huihua Deng and Yin Wu. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript for 360 submission.

361

Declaration of Interest Conflict 362

None interest conflict need to be declared. 363

Acknowledgements 364

This work was sponsored by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31800952 and 365 31600923), Jiangsu Provincial Social Science Foundation (19JYA001) and the Fundamental 366 Research Funds for the Southeast University (2242019s10024, 3218006405). The funding sources 367 had no further role in the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation, and decision to 368 submit this manuscript for publication. 369

References

- Blom, T., Ojanotkoharri, A., Laine, M., & Huhtaniemi, I. (1993). Metabolism of Progesterone and Testosterone in Human Parotid and Submandibular Salivary-Glands Invitro. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 44(1), 69-76. doi:Doi 10.1016/0960-0760(93)90153-N
- Bos, P. A., Panksepp, J., Bluthe, R. M., & van Honk, J. (2012). Acute effects of steroid hormones and neuropeptides on human social-emotional behavior: a review of single administration studies. *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology*, 33(1), 17-35. doi:10.1016/j.yfrne.2011.01.002
- Carre, J. M., Geniole, S. N., Ortiz, T. L., Bird, B. M., Videto, A., & Bonin, P. L. (2017). Exogenous Testosterone Rapidly Increases Aggressive Behavior in Dominant and Impulsive Men. *Biological Psychiatry, 82*(4), 249-256. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.06.009
- Carre, J. M., Ortiz, T. L., Labine, B., Moreau, B. J., Viding, E., Neumann, C. S., & Goldfarb, B. (2015). Digit ratio (2D:4D) and psychopathic traits moderate the effect of exogenous testosterone on socio-cognitive processes in men. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *62*, 319-326. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.08.023
- Carre, J. M., & Robinson, B. A. (2020). Testosterone administration in human social neuroendocrinology: Past, present, and future. *Hormones and Behavior, 122*, 104754. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2020.104754
- de Wit, A. E., Bosker, F. J., Giltay, E. J., de Kloet, C. S., Roelofs, K., van Pelt, J., . . . Schoevers, R. A. (2018). Testosterone in human studies: Modest associations between plasma and salivary measurements. *Andrologia*, *50*(1), e12779. doi:10.1111/and.12779
- Eisenegger, C., Haushofer, J., & Fehr, E. (2011). The role of testosterone in social interaction. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 15(6), 263-271. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2011.04.008
- Eisenegger, C., von Eckardstein, A., Fehr, E., & von Eckardstein, S. (2013). Pharmacokinetics of testosterone and estradiol gel preparations in healthy young men. *Psychoneuroendocrinology, 38*(2), 171-178. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2012.05.018
- Filova, B., Malinova, M., Babickova, J., Tothova, L., Ostatnikova, D., Celec, P., & Hodosy, J. (2015). Effects of testosterone and estradiol on anxiety and depressive-like behavior via a non-genomic pathway. *Neuroscience Bulletin*, 31(3), 288-296. doi:10.1007/s12264-014-1510-8
- Foradori, C. D., Weiser, M. J., & Handa, R. J. (2008). Non-genomic actions of androgens. *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology,* 29(2), 169-181. doi:10.1016/j.yfrne.2007.10.005
- Geniole, S. N., & Carre, J. M. (2018). Human social neuroendocrinology: Review of the rapid effects of testosterone. *Hormones and Behavior, 104,* 192-205. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2018.06.001
- Genzen, J. R., La'ulu, S. L., Wyness, S. P., Scholes, K. L., Signorelli, H. N., & Greer, R. W. (2019). Identifying and Eliminating Laboratory Contamination by Topical Testosterone Therapeutics. *Clin Chem*, 65(1), 67-73. doi:10.1373/clinchem.2018.294538
- Goetz, S. M., Tang, L., Thomason, M. E., Diamond, M. P., Hariri, A. R., & Carre, J. M. (2014). Testosterone rapidly increases neural reactivity to threat in healthy men: a novel two-step pharmacological challenge paradigm. *Biological Psychiatry*, *76*(4), 324-331. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.01.016
- Granger, D. A., Shirtcliff, E. A., Booth, A., Kivlighan, K. T., & Schwartz, E. B. (2004). The "trouble" with salivary testosterone. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *29*(10), 1229-1240. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2004.02.005
- Gray, P. B., Straftis, A. A., Bird, B. M., McHale, T. S., & Zilioli, S. (2020). Human reproductive behavior, life history, and the Challenge Hypothesis: A 30-year review, retrospective and future directions. *Hormones and Behavior, 123*, 104530. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2019.04.017
- Hansen, S., McAuliffe, J., Goldfarb, B., & Carre, J. M. (2017). Testosterone influences volitional, but not reflexive orienting of attention in human males. *Physiol Behav*, *175*, 82-87. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2017.03.032

- McCall, C., & Singer, T. (2012). The animal and human neuroendocrinology of social cognition, motivation and behavior. *Nature Neuroscience*, *15*(5), 681-688. doi:10.1038/nn.3084
- Nave, G., Nadler, A., Zava, D., & Camerer, C. (2017). Single-Dose Testosterone Administration Impairs Cognitive Reflection in Men. *Psychological Science*, *28*(10), 1398-1407. doi:10.1177/0956797617709592
- Polet, M., De Wilde, L., Van Renterghem, P., Van Gansbeke, W., & Van Eenoo, P. (2018). Potential of saliva steroid profiling for the detection of endogenous steroid abuse: Reference thresholds for oral fluid steroid concentrations and ratios. *Anal Chim Acta*, *999*, 1-12. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2017.11.015
- Ponzetto, F., Mehl, F., Boccard, J., Baume, N., Rudaz, S., Saugy, M., & Nicoli, R. (2016). Longitudinal monitoring of endogenous steroids in human serum by UHPLC-MS/MS as a tool to detect testosterone abuse in sports. *Anal Bioanal Chem*, 408(3), 705-719. doi:10.1007/s00216-015-9185-1
- Prasad, S., Lassetter, B., Welker, K. M., & Mehta, P. H. (2019). Unstable correspondence between salivary testosterone measured with enzyme immunoassays and tandem mass spectrometry. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 109, 104373. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2019.104373
- Puiu, A. A., Radke, S., Votinov, M., Habel, U., Herpertz-Dahlmann, B., Turetsky, B., & Konrad, K. (2019). Serum Testosterone and Cortisol Concentrations After Single-Dose Administration of 100-Mg Transdermal Testosterone in Healthy Men. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, *10*, 1397. doi:10.3389/fphar.2019.01397
- Schonfelder, M., Hofmann, H., Anielski, P., Thieme, D., Oberhoffer, R., & Michna, H. (2011). Gene expression profiling in human whole blood samples after controlled testosterone application and exercise. *Drug Test Anal, 3*(10), 652-660. doi:10.1002/dta.360
- Thieme, D., Rautenberg, C., Grosse, J., & Schoenfelder, M. (2013). Significant increase of salivary testosterone levels after single therapeutic transdermal administration of testosterone: suitability as a potential screening parameter in doping control. *Drug Test Anal, 5*(11-12), 819-825. doi:10.1002/dta.1536
- Tuiten, A., Van Honk, J., Koppeschaar, H., Bernaards, C., Thijssen, J., & Verbaten, R. (2000). Time course of effects of testosterone administration on sexual arousal in women. ARCH GEN PSYCHIATRY, 57(2), 149-153; discussion 155-146. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.57.2.149
- Welling, L. L., Moreau, B. J., Bird, B. M., Hansen, S., & Carre, J. M. (2016). Exogenous testosterone increases men's perceptions of their own physical dominance. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 64, 136-142. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.11.016
- Wingfield, J. C., Hegner, R. E., Dufty, A. M., & Ball, G. F. (1990). The Challenge Hypothesis Theoretical Implications for Patterns of Testosterone Secretion, Mating Systems, and Breeding Strategies. *American Naturalist*, 136(6), 829-846. doi:Doi 10.1086/285134
- Wood, P. (2009). Salivary steroid assays research or routine? *Annals of Clinical Biochemistry*, 46(Pt 3), 183-196. doi:10.1258/acb.2008.008208
- Wu, Y., Clark, L., Zilioli, S., Eisenegger, C., Gillan, C. M., Deng, H., & Li, H. (2018). Single dose testosterone administration modulates emotional reactivity and counterfactual choice in healthy males. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 90, 127-133. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018.02.018
- Wu, Y., Liao, J., Zilioli, S., Wu, Y., Deng, H., Li, H., & Tobler, P. N. (2019). Testosterone administration increases social discounting in healthy males. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *108*, 127-134. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2019.06.013
- Wu, Y., Shen, B., Liao, J., Li, Y., Zilioli, S., & Li, H. (2020). Single dose testosterone administration increases impulsivity in the intertemporal choice task among healthy males. *Hormones and Behavior*, *118*, 104634. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2019.104634
- Wu, Y., Wu, Y., Deng, J., Chu, L., Yang, H., Wang, W., . . . Deng, H. (2020). Screening and identification of salivary biomarkers for assessing the effects of exogenous testosterone administration on HPG and HPA axes and ECS. *Steroids*, 158, 108604. doi:10.1016/j.steroids.2020.108604

- Wu, Y., Zhang, Y., Ou, J., Hu, Y., & Zilioli, S. (2020). Exogenous testosterone increases the audience effect in healthy males: evidence for the social status hypothesis. *Proceedings: Biological Sciences, 287*(1931), 20200976. doi:10.1098/rspb.2020.0976
- Wudy, S. A., Schuler, G., Sanchez-Guijo, A., & Hartmann, M. F. (2018). The art of measuring steroids: Principles and practice of current hormonal steroid analysis. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol, 179, 88-103. doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2017.09.003
- Zak, P. J., Kurzban, R., Ahmadi, S., Swerdloff, R. S., Park, J., Efremidze, L., . . . Matzner, W. (2009). Testosterone administration decreases generosity in the ultimatum game. *Plos One*, 4(12), e8330. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008330
- Zilioli, S., & Bird, B. M. (2017). Functional significance of men's testosterone reactivity to social stimuli. *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology*, 47, 1-18. doi:10.1016/j.yfrne.2017.06.002

Captions of Tables and Figures

Table 1 Comparisons among the three groups for ten salivary biomarkers' levels acrossT0-T4.

Figure 1 Salivary level changes of (a) testosterone, (b) androstenedione and (c) dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) in the three groups across T0-T4. The salivary level is shown with mean level of each compound at each time point and the error bar is standard error of mean (SEM). Across the timespan that marked with gray, biomarker's levels showed no significant change with time.

Figure 2 Salivary level changes of the ratios of (a) testosterone to androstenedione (T/A4), (b) testosterone to DHEA (T/DHEA), (c) testosterone to estradiol (T/E2) and (d) androstenedione to estrone (A4/E1) in the three groups across T0-T4. The salivary level is shown with mean level of each ratio biomarker at each time point and the error bar is standard error of mean (SEM). Across the timespan that marked with gray, biomarker's levels showed no significant change with time.

Figure 3 Salivary level changes of (a) cortisol, (b) cortisone and (c) the ratio of cortisol to cortisone in the three groups across T0-T4. The salivary level is shown with mean level of each compound at each time point and the error bar is standard error of mean (SEM). Across the timespan that marked with gray, biomarker's levels showed no significant change with time.