

# Validity Study of Vertebral Rotation Measurement Using Three-dimensional Ultrasound in Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis

Qian Wang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Meng Li<sup>1</sup>, Edmond H. M. Lou<sup>4</sup>, Winnie C.W. Chu<sup>5</sup>, Tsz-ping Lam<sup>6</sup>, Jack C.Y.  
Cheng<sup>6</sup>, Man-sang Wong<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Interdisciplinary Division of Biomedical Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China

<sup>2</sup> Center of Rehabilitation Medicine, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

<sup>3</sup> Institute for Disaster Management and Reconstruction, Sichuan University-The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Chengdu, China

<sup>4</sup> Department of Surgery, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

<sup>5</sup> Department of Imaging & Interventional Radiology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

<sup>6</sup> Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

\* **Corresponding author:** Man-sang Wong, PhD, Interdisciplinary Division of Biomedical Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong; Email: [m.s.wong@polyu.edu.hk](mailto:m.s.wong@polyu.edu.hk); Tel: 852-27667680; Fax: 852-23624365.

1 **Abstract** - This study aimed to assess the validity of 3-D ultrasound measurements on the vertebral  
2 rotation of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) under clinical setting. Thirty curves (mean Cobb  
3 angle:  $21.7^{\circ} \pm 15.9^{\circ}$ ) from sixteen subjects with AIS were recruited. 3-D ultrasound and MRI scans  
4 were performed at the supine position. Each of the two raters measured the apical vertebral rotation  
5 using the center of laminae (COL) method in the 3-D ultrasound images and the Aaro-Dahlborn  
6 method in the MRI images. The intra- and inter-reliability of the COL method was demonstrated  
7 by the intra-class correlation coefficient [both with ICC (2, K)  $> 0.9$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ]. The COL method  
8 showed no significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) compared with the Aaro-Dahlborn method. Furthermore,  
9 the agreement between these two methods was demonstrated by the Bland-Altman method, and  
10 high correlation was found by correlation coefficient ( $r > 0.9$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). These results validated  
11 the proposed 3-D ultrasound method in the measurements of vertebral rotation in the patients with  
12 AIS.

13  
14 **Keywords:** adolescent idiopathic scoliosis; 3-D ultrasound; vertebral rotation; validity; reliability;  
15 and measurement.

16

17

18

19

20

21

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

## INTRODUCTION

Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) presents with a lateral and rotational deformity of the spine (Hresko 2013, Weinstein et al. 2008). The vertebral rotation is an important parameter of the deformity in AIS, which can be used to assess the severity of scoliotic spine, to monitor the risk of curve progression, and to evaluate the treatment outcomes (Lam et al. 2008). It is also associated with lateral curvature and ribcage asymmetry, leading to reduced respiratory capacity and cosmetically disfiguring rib hump (Adam et al. 2008, Cui et al. 2012, Di Silvestre et al. 2013). Thus, an accurate and reliable assessment of vertebral rotation is of paramount importance in the diagnosis and treatment decision of scoliosis.

Several methods have been proposed to assess the vertebral rotation using radiographic images, based on the position of the projected landmarks in relation to the vertebral body (Lam et al. 2008, Vrtovec et al. 2009). However, the measurements taken from radiographic images only represent a projected rotation, which are not directly measured in the transverse plane. Furthermore, the frequent exposure to radiation has been of primary concern for scoliotic patients (Knott et al. 2014). Compared with radiographic assessment, computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) both can enable visualization of the transverse plane of the vertebra for the measurement of the vertebral rotation (Kotwicki 2008, Lam et al. 2008). The CT / MRI scans and measurements can provide the 3-D information of the spinal structure, thus they are clinically applicable for both preoperative and postoperative assessments of vertebral rotation (Hong et al. 2011, Lee et al. 2013). However, CT exposes the patients to more radiation than the standard radiographs and MRI examinations are often time-consuming and expensive. Therefore, it is not

1 feasible to use CT / MRI in mass screening and frequent monitoring for scoliosis, such as the  
2 measurements of lateral curvature and vertebral rotation.

3

4 Currently, ultrasound has gained considerable attention in the assessment of scoliosis  
5 (Cheung et al. 2015, Li et al. 2012, Wang et al. 2015, Zheng et al. 2015). Ultrasound imaging is a  
6 non-radiation and cost-effective method, which is accessible in the majority of medical institutes.  
7 The posterior structure of vertebrae could be displayed by ultrasound imaging in the transverse  
8 plane. Similar to CT / MRI images, ultrasound images can visualize and measure the vertebral  
9 rotation in the transverse plane of scoliotic spine (Burwell et al. 2002, Suzuki et al. 1989). The  
10 possibility of using ultrasound to assess vertebral rotation has been firstly studied by Suzuki et al.  
11 who identified the spinous processes and laminae in the transverse plane of ultrasound images of  
12 each vertebra, and assessed the vertebral rotation directly based on the inclination of the transducer  
13 (Suzuki et al. 1989).

14

15 The development of the 3-D ultrasound system can enable the 3-D reconstruction of vertebral  
16 images and facilitate the measurement of scoliotic spine in various anatomical planes that could  
17 not be accomplished previously (Cheung et al. 2015, Cheung, Law 2013, Nguyen, Vo 2014,  
18 Purnama, Wilkinson 2010, Wang, Li 2015). Spinous processes, laminae and transverse processes  
19 can be visualized and used as landmarks to measure the lateral curvature and vertebral rotation in  
20 the coronal and transverse planes of the ultrasound images (Cheung et al. 2015, Li et al. 2012,  
21 Ungi et al. 2014, Wang et al. 2015). Recently, the center of laminae (COL) method has been  
22 proposed to measure the vertebral rotation in the transverse plane of 3-D ultrasound images (Chen  
23 et al. 2015, Vo et al. 2015). The reliability and validity of this proposed method have been

1 demonstrated. However, the evidence is limited to phantom studies. Thus, the objective of this  
2 study was to explore the possibility of using the proposed 3-D ultrasound method (COL) to  
3 measure the vertebral rotation in the subjects with AIS in the clinical setting, and to evaluate its  
4 reliability and validity with the concurrent MRI method.

5

6

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Clinical subjects*

7  
8 The subject inclusion criteria of this validity study are : 1) female adolescents; 2) diagnosed  
9 with AIS; 3) Cobb angle: 10°-80°; 4) no prior surgical treatment; and 5) out-of-brace MRI  
10 examination of the whole spine. Ethics approval was obtained from the local health research ethics  
11 board. All examination procedures were explained to the subjects and written informed consent  
12 was obtained.

13

14 Sixteen female subjects with AIS (aged  $15.4 \pm 2.6$  years) were recruited from a scoliosis clinic.  
15 Of the subjects, 3 had a single thoracic curve, 1 a single lumbar curve, 10 a double curve and 2 a  
16 triple curve, producing a total of 30 curves for analysis in this study. The distribution of apical  
17 vertebra of these curves was 19 thoracic, 3 thoracolumbar and 8 lumbar levels. The Cobb angles  
18 of these curves measured from MRI coronal images ranged from 10.2° to 68.2° and the average  
19 value was  $21.7^\circ \pm 15.9^\circ$ .

20

### *Equipment*

21

1 To evaluate the validity of the 3-D ultrasound method, 3-D ultrasound and MRI scans of the  
2 full spine were arranged on the same day (within 3 hours) and performed in the same supine  
3 position so as to match the observations.

4  
5 The 3-D ultrasound scans were performed with a 3-D SonixTABLET ultrasound unit  
6 (Analogic, Massachusetts, USA), coupled with a C5-2/60 convex transducer, SonixGPS and a 3-  
7 D Guidance device (driveBAY, Ascension Ltd., USA) (Fig. 1a). A purpose-design couch with a  
8 central rectangular slot (size: 12 cm [width] x 60 cm [length]) was used to facilitate ultrasound  
9 scanning at the supine position (Fig. 1a). The MRI scans were conducted using a 3.0T MR scanner  
10 and a spine array coil (Achieva, Philips Medical Systems, Netherland).

### 11 12 *Data acquisition*

13 Before scanning, a level meter was used to ensure the anterior superior iliac spines (ASISs)  
14 of subjects at horizontal level which was used as a reference for measuring vertebral rotation for  
15 both the 3-D ultrasound and MRI measurements. In addition, to prevent the shift of the trunk, the  
16 position of the subjects' ASISs would also be adjusted to be parallel with the edge of either the  
17 ultrasound or the MRI scanning couches. In the coronal plane of MRI images, the connected line  
18 of both sides of ASISs was found in horizontal level. Likewise, the upper endplate of the S1 in the  
19 coronal plane of 3-D ultrasound images was also observed in a horizontal line (Wang et al. 2015).  
20 These precautions could ensure the ASISs of the subjects at level position at both the ultrasound  
21 and MRI scanning and measurements.

1 In the ultrasound method, the spinous processes from C7 to S1 were palpated as the start and  
2 end points. Then, the general trend of the coronal curvature was marked on the subjects' back by  
3 a water soluble marker (Fig. 1b). Ultrasound gel was applied to ensure a good skin contact between  
4 the transducer and the subject's back. Ultrasound scanning was performed continuously along the  
5 coronal curvature from C7 to S1, with the subjects lying on the scanning couch (Fig. 1c). Under  
6 the scanning couch, a mirror was used to reflect the marked trend of the coronal curvature, which  
7 assisted to place the probe correctly while moving it along the spine. Each subject underwent 6  
8 scans (2 raters and each with 3 scans) and it took less than a minute per scan.

9

10 The ultrasound data were then exported into a purpose-design software which would  
11 reconstruct the 3-D ultrasound images of the vertebrae and perform semi-automatic measurements.  
12 The reconstructed 3-D ultrasound images of vertebrae were shown in the 3 orthogonal planes  
13 (coronal, sagittal and transverse) (see Fig. 2).

14

### 15 *Apical vertebral rotation measurement*

16 The measurement of vertebral rotation was chosen at the apical level of the curve, which is  
17 normally used to predict the progression and evaluate the treatment outcomes (Cui et al. 2012, Di  
18 Silvestre et al. 2013, Kotwicki 2008). Prior to measurements, the level of apical vertebra was  
19 selected with reference to the MRI images. The center of laminae (COL) method was applied to  
20 measure the apical vertebral rotation (AVR) in the 3-D ultrasound images (Chen et al. 2015, Vo et  
21 al. 2015). The two raters identified the centers of laminae manually in the transverse plane of apical  
22 vertebral level. The AVR was automatically measured by the angle between the line joining the

1 centers of laminae and the reference horizontal line (scanning couch) by the purpose-design  
2 software (Fig. 3a).

3

4 The MRI images would be processed using the DICOM Viewer Version R3.0 SP3 (Philips,  
5 Netherland). The Aaro-Dahlborn method was used to measure the AVR in the transverse plane  
6 (Aaro and Dahlborn 1981, Vrtovec et al. 2010, Vrtovec et al. 2009). The AVR was calculated by  
7 the angle between the line connecting the point at the posterior junction of the two laminae of  
8 vertebral arch with the mid-point of vertebral body and the reference line (Fig. 3b).

9

10 The Raters 1 and 2 had approximately 5 years' and 2 years' experience of using ultrasound to  
11 measure the scoliotic spine respectively. Prior to the study, each rater was required to practice  
12 ultrasound scanning at the supine position and measurements for more than 10 subjects. During  
13 this study, the 3-D ultrasound and MRI images were randomly assigned without specific order for  
14 measurements. The two raters were blinded to subjects' clinical information and they measured  
15 AVR independently in 3 trials each with one week interval. The time required was about 3 minutes  
16 for either 3-D ultrasound or MRI measurements.

17

### 18 *Statistical analysis*

19 Statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics Version 21 (IBM, USA).  
20 A  $p$ -value $<0.05$  was considered to be statistically significant. Statistical graphs were made with  
21 GraphPad Prism Version 6.01 software (GraphPad, La Jolla, California, USA). To assess the  
22 reliability of 3-D ultrasound assessment, the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC, [2, k]) with  
23 95% confidence intervals (CI) was used according to the Currier criteria (Currier 1984). Paired



1 Student's *t*-test was applied to compare the mean values between the 3-D ultrasound and MRI  
2 measurements; the Bland–Altman method was used to examine the agreement between these two  
3 methods; and the relevant correlation was evaluated by the Pearson's correlation method.

4

5

## RESULTS

### 6 *Reliability of vertebral rotation measurement using 3-D ultrasound*

7 Tables 1 and 2 showed the intra- and inter-rater reliabilities of AVR measurements using the  
8 3-D ultrasound and MRI methods. The intra-rater ICC (2, k) values of the COL method in 3-D  
9 ultrasound were 0.989 (0.979-0.994) and 0.981 (0.966-0.990) for Rater 1 and Rater 2 respectively.  
10 The inter-rater ICC (2, k) value was 0.978 (0.954-0.989). Similar to the Aaro-Dahlborn method in  
11 MRI, the intra- and inter-rater ICC (2, k) values of the COL method in 3-D ultrasound were above  
12 0.9, which demonstrated high intra- and inter-rater reliabilities.

13

### 14 *Validity of vertebral rotation measurement using 3-D ultrasound*

15 To determine the validity of 3-D ultrasound measurement, the means comparison, the Bland-  
16 Altman method and the Pearson correlation analysis were applied between the 3-D ultrasound and  
17 MRI measurements in the patients with AIS. Table 3 shows the relevant statistical parameters of  
18 these 3 statistical methods.

19

### 20 *Comparison between 3-D ultrasound and MRI measurements*

21 For the entire curve cohort (n=30), the mean value of AVR measured by the COL method in  
22 3-D ultrasound was  $7.7^{\circ} \pm 5.7^{\circ}$  while the average value by the Aaro-Dahlborn method in MRI was

1 7.5°±5.2°. Figure 4 shows three scatter plots of AVR measurements using 3-D ultrasound versus  
2 MRI methods in the categorized samples. The mean absolute difference between these two  
3 methods were 0.3°±0.3°, 0.5°±0.3° and 1.0°±1.1° for the samples with AVR of 0.0°~5.0°,  
4 5.0°~10.0°, and >10.0° respectively. The paired Student's *t*-test results showed that there was no  
5 significant difference between these two methods (Table 3).

6

### 7 *Bland-Altman method*

8 The agreement between the 3-D ultrasound and MRI measurements was investigated using  
9 the Bland–Altman method. Of this method, the Bland–Altman plot showed the average of the two  
10 measurements against the difference between the two measurements. Additional horizontal line  
11 represented the mean difference (bias) and the limits of agreement, i.e. the 95% confidence  
12 intervals of the measurements (mean ± 1.96 ×SD) (Bland and Altman 1995 and 1986). As shown  
13 in Figure 5a, the Bland-Altman plots exhibited good agreement between the 3-D ultrasound and  
14 MRI measurements for the overall curve cohort (n=30). The absolute bias between these two  
15 methods was 0.2°, and the 95% limits of agreement was -1.4°~1.8° (Table 3).

16

17 On analyzing the impact of different Cobb angles on the agreement between the 3-D  
18 ultrasound and MRI measurements, the samples with Cobb angle 10.0° ~ 20.0° showed lower  
19 discrepancy with respect to mean difference than the samples with Cobb angle > 20.0° (Fig. 5b,  
20 c). The absolute bias between these two measurements was 0.1° for the samples with Cobb angle  
21 of 10.0° ~ 20.0°, compared to 0.6° for Cobb angle > 20.0°. Similarly, the 95% limits of agreement  
22 were -0.9° ~ 0.9° and -1.7° ~ 2.9° for the samples with Cobb angle 10.0° ~ 20.0° and > 20.0°  
23 respectively (Table 3).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

On analyzing the impact of different AVR degrees, the samples with AVR  $0.0^{\circ} \sim 5.0^{\circ}$  showed lower discrepancy than the others with AVR  $5.0^{\circ} \sim 10.0^{\circ}$  and  $>10.0^{\circ}$  (Fig. 5d-f). The corresponding values of the absolute bias ( $0.1^{\circ}$ ) and the 95% limits of agreement ( $-0.9^{\circ} \sim 0.7^{\circ}$ ) were the least for the samples with AVR of  $0.0^{\circ} \sim 5.0^{\circ}$  (Table 3).

Notably, on analyzing the impact of variation in selected apical vertebra, the samples with no variation showed lower discrepancy than the samples with variation (equal to 1), but larger than the samples with variation (equal to 2) (Fig. 5g-i). Correspondingly, the least absolute bias and 95% limits of agreement were  $0.1^{\circ}$  and  $-0.6^{\circ} \sim 0.8^{\circ}$  for the samples with variation in selected apical vertebra (equal to 2) (Table 3).

*Pearson correlation analysis*

The Pearson correlation analysis showed the similar trend observed using the Bland-Altman method (Fig. 6). The correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) in all the sample categories was greater than 0.8 ( $P<0.05$ ), indicating high correlation between the 3-D ultrasound and MRI assessments of vertebral rotation (Table 3).

Taken together, the validity of the 3-D ultrasound measurements of vertebral rotation in the transverse plane was confirmed with the MRI measurements of vertebral rotation at the supine position.

## DISCUSSION

The major findings of this study were: (1) the COL method in 3-D ultrasound showed high intra- and inter-rater reliabilities in the measurements of vertebral rotation; (2) compared with the Aaro-Dahlborn method in MRI, the COL method in 3-D ultrasound showed no significant difference when measuring the AVR in the transverse plane; (3) the agreement between 3-D ultrasound and MRI measurements was demonstrated by the Bland-Altman method; (4) high correlation was found between 3-D ultrasound and MRI measurements of vertebral rotation.

Compared to the studies of 3-D ultrasound in the coronal plane, few studies have used 3-D ultrasound to measure vertebral rotation in the transverse plane (Chen et al. 2013, Li et al. 2012, Ungi et al. 2014, Young et al. 2015, Zheng et al. 2015). Recently, the reliability and validity of 3-D ultrasound measurements of vertebral rotation has been validated in the phantom studies. The COL method was proposed to measure the rotation of three vertebrae T7, L1 and L3 (dry bones). The intra- and inter-rater ICC values of this method ranged from 0.987 to 0.997 (Chen et al. 2015, Vo et al. 2015). In this clinical study, the results were consistent with the previous phantom study. Moreover, the 3-D ultrasound measurement showed similar reliability to the MRI assessments. These results indicated that the 3-D ultrasound could provide reliable measurements of vertebral rotation for the patients with AIS.

An important parameter in determining the validity of the new method of measurement is the agreement with a standard method. A recent systematic review concluded that the Bland-Altman method, correlation coefficient and means comparison were the most common statistical methods used to measure the agreement in relevant studies (Zaki et al. 2012). In the current study, the

1 validity of 3-D ultrasound assessment of vertebral rotation has been demonstrated by these  
2 statistical methods. Besides, the results of means comparison indicated that the difference between  
3 the 3-D ultrasound and MRI measurements seemed to be enlarged ( $0.3^{\circ}\pm 0.3^{\circ}$ ,  $0.5^{\circ}\pm 0.3^{\circ}$  and  
4  $1.0^{\circ}\pm 1.1^{\circ}$ ) with the AVR degrees increased ( $0.0^{\circ} \sim 5.0^{\circ}$ ,  $5.0^{\circ} \sim 10.0^{\circ}$  and  $>10.0^{\circ}$ ). This observation  
5 was also supported from the Bland–Altman method, which clearly showed that the 95% limits of  
6 agreement ( $-0.9^{\circ} \sim 0.7^{\circ}$ ,  $-1.1^{\circ} \sim 1.3^{\circ}$  and  $-1.7^{\circ} \sim 3.2^{\circ}$ ) were extended with the increase of AVR  
7 degrees ( $0.0^{\circ} \sim 5.0^{\circ}$ ,  $5.0^{\circ} \sim 10.0^{\circ}$  and  $>10.0^{\circ}$ ). These observations suggested that the measurement  
8 error of vertebral rotation using ultrasound may be related with the extent of the rotation of the  
9 vertebra. In addition, the agreement between the 3-D ultrasound and MRI assessments was higher  
10 in the samples with Cobb angle of  $10.0^{\circ} \sim 20.0^{\circ}$  than the samples with Cobb angle  $>20.0^{\circ}$ . The  
11 results indicated that the magnitude of the curve in the coronal plane may affect the accuracy of  
12 vertebral rotation measurements. It is noticeable that the variation in selected apical vertebra (equal  
13 to 2) did not decrease the agreement between these two methods compared with the samples with  
14 no variation and variation (equal to 1). This may be due to the lower sample size ( $n=6$ ) in the  
15 samples with variation in selected apical vertebra (equal to 2) relatively to the other two samples  
16 (both  $n=12$ ). Contrary to the results obtained from the Bland-Altman method, the correlation  
17 coefficient ( $r$ ) between these two methods for the samples with AVR of  $0.0^{\circ} \sim 5.0^{\circ}$  was lower than  
18 the samples with AVR of  $5.0^{\circ} \sim 10.0^{\circ}$  and  $>10.0^{\circ}$ . This might be due to the fact that the correlation  
19 coefficient does not perfectly represent the agreement between two variables (Bland and Altman  
20 1986). Above all, this study provided the preliminary evidence to support the validity of vertebral  
21 rotation measurements using the 3-D ultrasound in comparison with MRI measurements in the  
22 transverse plane. Continuous studies with large sample size to further validate the 3-D ultrasound  
23 measurements of vertebral rotation in the patients with AIS will be necessary.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

On the other hand, there were several issues to be noticed. The eligible curves in this study involved a whole range of curve severity (from 10.2° to 68.2°) of the patients with AIS, but the proportion of the severe curves was relatively small. Thus, further research is required to evaluate the validity of 3-D ultrasound measurements on the vertebral rotation of the severe curves. In addition, it is necessary to maintain a good contact between the transducer and the subjects' back during the 3-D ultrasound scanning. Thus, it is necessary to design the appropriate the devices to facilitate the ultrasound scanning and reduce the manual labor cost. As well, the semi-automatic program used in reconstruction and measurement of 3-D ultrasound images should be upgraded to a fully automatic program so as to reduce the human errors.

**CONCLUSION**

In this study, the validity of 3-D ultrasound on vertebral rotation measurements has been demonstrated in the patients with AIS under clinical setting. The COL method in 3-D ultrasound has been verified with the Aaro-Dahlborn method in MRI. A large sample size is required to further validate the proposed 3-D ultrasound method in the future studies.

*Acknowledgments-* This study was supported by General Research Fund from Research Grants Council of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, PRC (Project No. PolyU 5634/13M).

## REFERENCES

- 1
- 2 Aaro S, Dahlborn M (1981) Estimation of vertebral rotation and the spinal and rib cage deformity  
3 in scoliosis by computer tomography. *Spine* 6:460-67.
- 4 Adam CJ, Askin GN, Percy MJ (2008) Gravity-induced torque and intravertebral rotation in  
5 idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine* 33:E30-E37.
- 6 Bland JM, Altman DG (1986) Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods  
7 of clinical measurement. *The lancet* 327:307-10.
- 8 Bland JM, Altman DG (1995) Comparing methods of measurement: why plotting difference  
9 against standard method is misleading. *The Lancet* 346:1085-87.
- 10 Burwell RG, Aujla RK, Cole AA, Kirby AS, Pratt RK, Webb JK, Moulton A (2002) Spine-rib  
11 rotation differences at the apex in preoperative patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis:  
12 evaluation of a three-level ultrasound method. *Stud Health Technol Inform* 91:246-50.
- 13 Chen W, Lou E, Le LH (2015) A Reliable Semi-automatic Program to Measure the Vertebral  
14 Rotation Using the Center of Lamina for Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis. *5th International  
15 Conference on Biomedical Engineering in Vietnam*: Springer, 159-62.
- 16 Chen W, Lou EH, Zhang PQ, Le LH, Hill D (2013) Reliability of assessing the coronal curvature  
17 of children with scoliosis by using ultrasound images. *Journal of children's orthopaedics*  
18 7:521-29.
- 19 Cheung CWJ, Zhou GQ, Law SY, Lai KL, Jiang WW, Zheng YP (2015) Freehand three-  
20 dimensional ultrasound system for assessment of scoliosis. *Journal of Orthopaedic  
21 Translation* 3:123-33.
- 22 Cheung C, Zhou G, Law S, Mak T, Lai K, Zheng Y (2015) Ultrasound Volume Projection Imaging  
23 for Assessment of Scoliosis.????

1 Cheung CW, Law SY, Zheng YP (2013) Development of 3-D ultrasound system for assessment  
2 of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS): And system validation. Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med  
3 Biol Soc 2013:6474-77.

4 Cui G, Watanabe K, Nishiwaki Y, Hosogane N, Tsuji T, Ishii K, Nakamura M, Toyama Y, Chiba  
5 K, Matsumoto M (2012) Loss of apical vertebral derotation in adolescent idiopathic  
6 scoliosis: 2-year follow-up using multi-planar reconstruction computed tomography.  
7 European Spine Journal 21:1111-20.

8 Currier DP (1984) Elements of research in physical therapy: Williams & Wilkins.

9 Di Silvestre M, Lolli F, Bakaloudis G, Maredi E, Vommaro F, Pastorelli F (2013) Apical vertebral  
10 derotation in the posterior treatment of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: myth or reality?  
11 European Spine Journal 22:313-23.

12 Hong JY, Suh SW, Easwar T, Modi HN, Yang JH, Park JH (2011) Evaluation of the three-  
13 dimensional deformities in scoliosis surgery with computed tomography: efficacy and  
14 relationship with clinical outcomes. Spine 36:E1259-E65.

15 Hresko MT (2013) Idiopathic Scoliosis in Adolescents. New England Journal of Medicine  
16 368:834-41.

17 Knott P, Pappo E, Cameron M, Demauroy J, Rivard C, Kotwicki T, Zaina F, Wynne J, Stikeleather  
18 L, Bettany-Saltikov J, Grivas TB, Durmala J, Maruyama T, Negrini S, O'Brien JP, Rigo M  
19 (2014) SOSORT 2012 consensus paper: reducing x-ray exposure in pediatric patients with  
20 scoliosis. Scoliosis 9:1-9.

21 Kotwicki T (2008) Evaluation of scoliosis today: examination, X-rays and beyond. Disabil Rehabil  
22 30:742-51.



- 1 Lam GC, Hill DL, Le LH, Raso JV, Lou EH (2008) Vertebral rotation measurement: a summary  
2 and comparison of common radiographic and CT methods. *Scoliosis* 3:1-16.
- 3 Lee MC, Solomito M, Patel A (2013) Supine magnetic resonance imaging Cobb measurements  
4 for idiopathic scoliosis are linearly related to measurements from standing plain  
5 radiographs. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 38:E656-61.
- 6 Li M, Cheng J, Ying M, Ng B, Zheng YP, Lam TP, Wong MS (2012) Could clinical ultrasound  
7 improve the fitting of spinal orthosis for the patients with AIS? *European Spine Journal*  
8 21:1926-35.
- 9 Nguyen DV, Vo QN, Le LH, Lou EH (2014) Validation of 3D surface reconstruction of vertebrae  
10 and spinal column using 3D ultrasound data—A pilot study. *Medical engineering & physics*  
11 doi: 10.1016/j.medengphy.2014.11.007.
- 12 Purnama KE, Wilkinson MH, Veldhuizen AG, van Ooijen PM, Lubbers J, Burgerhof JG, Sardjono  
13 TA, Verkerke GJ (2010) A framework for human spine imaging using a freehand 3D  
14 ultrasound system. *Technology and Health Care* 18:1-17.
- 15 Suzuki S, Yamamuro T, Shikata J, Shimizu K, Iida H (1989) Ultrasound measurement of vertebral  
16 rotation in idiopathic scoliosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 71:252-5.
- 17 Ungi T, King F, Kempston M, Keri Z, Lasso A, Mousavi P, Rudan J, Borschneck DP, Fichtinger  
18 G (2014) Spinal curvature measurement by tracked ultrasound snapshots. *Ultrasound in*  
19 *medicine & biology* 40:447-54.
- 20 Vo QN, Lou EH, Le LH (2015) Measurement of axial vertebral rotation using three-dimensional  
21 ultrasound images. *Scoliosis* 10:S7.
- 22 Vrtovec T, Likar B, Pernuš F (2010) Analysis of four manual and a computerized method for  
23 measuring axial vertebral rotation in computed tomography images. *Spine* 35:E535-E41.

1 Vrtovec T, Pernuš F, Likar B (2009) A review of methods for quantitative evaluation of axial  
2 vertebral rotation. *European Spine Journal* 18:1079-90.

3 Vrtovec T, Pernuš F, Likar B (2010) Determination of axial vertebral rotation in MR images:  
4 comparison of four manual and a computerized method. *European Spine Journal* 19:774-  
5 81.

6 Wang Q, Li M, Lou EH, Wong MS (2015) Reliability and Validity Study of Clinical Ultrasound  
7 Imaging on Lateral Curvature of Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis. *PLoS One* 10:e0135264.

8 Weinstein SL, Dolan LA, Cheng JC, Danielsson A, Morcuende JA (2008) Adolescent idiopathic  
9 scoliosis. *The Lancet* 371:1527-37.

10 Young M, Hill DL, Zheng R, Lou E (2015) Reliability and accuracy of ultrasound measurements  
11 with and without the aid of previous radiographs in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS).  
12 *European Spine Journal*:1-7.

13 Zaki R, Bulgiba A, Ismail R, Ismail NA (2012) Statistical methods used to test for agreement of  
14 medical instruments measuring continuous variables in method comparison studies: a  
15 systematic review. *PloS one* 7:e37908.

16 Zheng R, Chan AC, Chen W, Hill DL, Le LH, Hedden D, Moreau M, Mahood J, Southon S, Lou  
17 E (2015) Intra-and Inter-rater Reliability of Coronal Curvature Measurement for  
18 Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis Using Ultrasonic Imaging Method - A Pilot Study. *Spine*  
19 *Deformity* 3:151-58.

20

21 **Fig. 1. 3-D ultrasound system and ultrasound scan:** (a) 3-D SonixTABLET ultrasound unit with  
22 a SonixGPS System; (b) A subject with AIS; (c) Ultrasound scanning was performed at the supine  
23 position.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

**Fig. 2. The reconstructed 3-D ultrasound images of a scoliotic spine in the 3 orthogonal planes:**

(a) Coronal plane; (b) Sagittal plane; (c) Transverse plane.

**Fig. 3. Apical vertebral rotation (AVR) measurements:** (a) Center of laminae (COL) method in 3-D ultrasound image; (b) Aaro-Dahlborn method in MRI image.

**Fig. 4. Comparison of measurements of apical vertebral rotation using 3-D ultrasound versus MRI methods in the sample categories:** (a)~(c) AVR:  $0.0^{\circ}$ ~ $5.0^{\circ}$ ; (d)~(f) AVR:  $5.0^{\circ}$ ~ $10.0^{\circ}$ ; (g)~(i) AVR:  $>10.0^{\circ}$ . AVR: apical vertebral rotation; COL: center of laminae; 3-D US: 3-D ultrasound.

**Fig. 5. Bland–Altman plot assessing the agreement of apical vertebral rotation measurements using 3-D ultrasound versus MRI methods in the sample categories:** (a) the entire curve cohort; (b) Cobb angle:  $10.0^{\circ}$  ~  $20.0^{\circ}$ ; (c) Cobb angle:  $> 20.0^{\circ}$ AVR: (d) AVR:  $0.0^{\circ}$  ~  $5.0^{\circ}$ ; (e) AVR:  $5.0^{\circ}$  ~  $10.0^{\circ}$ ; (f) AVR:  $> 10.0^{\circ}$ ; (g) Variation in SAV =0; (h) Variation in SAV=1; (i) Variation in SAV=2. The central line represents mean differences (bias); upper line shows mean+1.96SD and lower line mean-1.96SD. AVR: apical vertebral rotation; SAV: selected apical vertebra.

**Fig. 6. Correlation of apical vertebral rotation measurements using 3-D ultrasound versus MRI methods in the sample categories:** (a) the entire curve cohort; (b) Cobb angle:  $10.0^{\circ}$  ~  $20.0^{\circ}$ ; (c) Cobb angle:  $> 20.0^{\circ}$ AVR: (d) AVR:  $0.0^{\circ}$  ~  $5.0^{\circ}$ ; (e) AVR:  $5.0^{\circ}$  ~  $10.0^{\circ}$ ; (f) AVR:  $> 10.0^{\circ}$ ; (g)

1 Variation in SAV = 0; (h) Variation in SAV = 1; (i) Variation in SAV = 2. AVR: apical vertebral  
2 rotation; SAV: selected apical vertebra; COL: center of laminae; 3-D US: 3-D ultrasound.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12