

# Spatial failure mechanism of coastal bridges under extreme waves using high-efficient pseudo-fluid-structure interaction solution scheme

Peng Yuan, Deming Zhu, and You Dong\*

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Home, Kowloon.

**Abstract:** Coastal bridges serve as lifelines in evacuation and rescue after coastal natural hazards. It is thus vital to reveal the spatial failure mechanism for coastal bridges under extreme waves. In this study, a high-efficient pseudo-fluid-structure interaction (PFSI) solution scheme is proposed to investigate the spatial failure mechanism of coastal bridges under extreme waves. A series of laboratory experiments and numerical simulations are conducted to verify the proposed solution scheme. The results solved by the proposed solution scheme are acceptable and reliable under the small rotation of the deck, which could be used to efficiently assess the deck failure, and the calculation process is high-efficient. The spatial failure mechanism of the typical coastal bridge is investigated by using the proposed solution scheme in this study. The properties of wave forces on the deck are discussed based on numerous experimental measurements considering various wave parameter combinations and inundation conditions firstly. Subsequently, the failure thresholds of bearing vertical and horizontal reaction forces are obtained by parametric analysis considering various wave parameter combinations using the proposed solution scheme. Additionally, two typical failure modes (i.e., fall-beam failure and overturning failure) are analyzed by considering time-varying restraining stiffnesses in vertical and horizontal directions. The obtained results can be served as a robust reference for the design and management of coastal bridges under extreme waves.

**Keywords:** Coastal bridge; Spatial failure modes; Fluid-structure interaction; Extreme waves; Combined effects; Restraining stiffness.

## 26 1 Introduction

27 Coastal bridges connect different coastal areas and communities and serve as important  
28 lifelines in evacuation and rescue after natural hazards (e.g., storms and tsunamis) [1]–[3].  
29 However, they are susceptible to damage from extreme waves, e.g., 81 coastal bridges  
30 connected Banda Aceh and Malabon were entirely or partly removed from their initial positions  
31 in the 2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami [4]. 103 bridges, 437 bridges, and 300 bridges were damaged  
32 in 2004 Hurricane Ivan [5], 2005 Hurricane Katrina [6], and 2011 Tohoku Tsunami [1],  
33 respectively. Due to the severe damage to coastal bridges, the first responder cannot reach  
34 certain communities after natural disasters [7], and would further cause huge life and financial  
35 cost [8][9]. Therefore, it is of vital importance to improve our understanding of the spatial  
36 failure mechanism of coastal bridges under extreme waves.

37 Post-disaster surveys on damaged coastal bridges [5][10][11] shown that the main type of  
38 bridges damaged in Hurricanes Ivan and Katrina was the simply supported bridge with I-shaped  
39 girders, which was extensively used in the coastal zones of North America due to the superior  
40 anti-overtopping property compared with a box-shaped girder [12]–[15]. The experimental  
41 investigation conducted by Buddenbrooks et al. [16] indicated that when the deck-pier  
42 connection was rigid (i.e., bearings can withstand tensile forces), this type of bridge was less  
43 likely to fail in a steady river flow, and its deck was washed away prior to the pier collapse.  
44 However, this type of bridge either failed or sustained significant damage when the deck-pier  
45 connection was weak [17]. The study from Stearns and Padgett [18] shown that the deck-pier  
46 connection was the weakest joint of a bridge under extreme waves. Therefore, the wave forces  
47 on the deck [19][20] and deck-pier connection stiffness (i.e., bearing stiffness) are the two key  
48 factors for the spatial failure of coastal bridges under extreme waves.

49 The Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) method is widely used to calculate wave  
50 forces on bridge models by considering rigid constraints or fixing a deck in the fluid domain  
51 [21]–[25], while the deck failure is determined based on the fixed constraints and obtained  
52 wave forces. This procedure is quite effective when parametric analysis, which requires  
53 numerous repetitive simulations, is involved [26]–[30]. However, practical bearings are

54 sensitive to the displacement of the deck, only limited displacement is allowed before bearings  
55 fail [31]–[33], and the large deck displacement, including vertical and horizontal movements  
56 and rotations, would be observed once the wave force on the deck surpasses the structural  
57 capacity [26]. Therefore, the deck rigid constraint is inappropriate to study deck failure under  
58 waves, and it is crucial to develop a high-efficient solution scheme to calculate the deck  
59 dynamic responses considering varying restraining stiffnesses and could be used to quantify  
60 the deck failure criterion.

61 Little previous research has been conducted to study the spatial failure of the deck by  
62 considering varying restraining stiffnesses in vertical and horizontal directions. Literature  
63 [24][25][34] investigated the effect of total lateral restraining stiffness on the bridge deck-wave  
64 interaction by ignoring vertical movements of the deck, but this assumption is invalid when the  
65 wave force surpasses the structural capacity. Ataei and Padgett [35] simulated the bearing using  
66 the Coulomb friction, but only the horizontal constraints were considered in the study and  
67 practical constraints were more complicated than Coulomb friction. In addition, the restraining  
68 stiffness of bearings is time varying. Specifically, to prevent unseating failure of the coastal  
69 bridge and reduce damage to the substructure and superstructure [36], different types of  
70 constraints, such as bearing types, shear keys, restraining cables, and shape memory alloys  
71 [31]–[33], are used to flexibly restrain the deck displacement. Also, the restraining stiffness  
72 gradually decreases or even fails with the corrosion of bearings under the ocean chloride  
73 environment and the aging of rubber bearings. However, little research focuses on the influence  
74 of time-varying bearing stiffnesses on deck failure. Therefore, a sophisticated 3D model  
75 considering time-varying restraining stiffnesses in the vertical and horizontal directions should  
76 be established and be used to investigate various failure modes of coastal bridges.

77 To address all the issues mentioned above, a high-efficient pseudo-fluid-structure  
78 interaction (PFSI) solution scheme is proposed in this study to explore the spatial failure  
79 mechanism of the coastal bridge under the extreme wave forces considering time-varying  
80 vertical and horizontal restraining stiffnesses. A series of laboratory experiments and numerical  
81 simulations are conducted to verify the proposed solution scheme, and its reliability and

82 applicability are confirmed by comparative analysis. The remainder of this paper is organized  
83 as follows: Section 2, a typical coastal bridge (i.e., the extensively used simply supported  
84 bridge with I-shaped girders) is introduced, and the corresponding hydrodynamics experiment  
85 is designed and conducted. Section 3, the pseudo-fluid-structure-interaction solution scheme is  
86 formulated and verified based on the experimental measurements and numerical results, and  
87 its reliability and applicability are examined. To explore the spatial failure mechanism of the  
88 typical coastal bridge, the characteristics of wave forces on the deck are first investigated  
89 considering various wave parameter combinations and inundation situations in Section 4.  
90 Subsequently, failure thresholds of bearing reaction forces are studied by considering the  
91 combined effect of the self-weight and wave forces in Section 5. Additionally, two main failure  
92 modes of the deck are discussed taking into account time-varying vertical and horizontal  
93 restraining stiffnesses in Section 6. Finally, the conclusions are summarized in Section 7.

## 94 **2 Hydrodynamics Experiment of a typical coastal bridge**

### 95 2.1 *Typical coastal bridge*

96 Due to the superior anti-overturning property, construction and maintenance convenience,  
97 and low cost [12][13], the simply supported bridge with I-shaped girders is widely used in the  
98 coastal region. However, coastal bridges often suffer from wave-induced vertical upward forces,  
99 which are quite different from the traditional downward traffic loads. Furthermore, the deck  
100 surface suffered from wave force is large, so the wave forces are inconsistent at different bridge  
101 surfaces and directions. Also, it is not easy to design the bearing, which can reduce the damage  
102 of superstructure and substructure and resist vertical upward forces simultaneously. Therefore,  
103 due to the wave forces and constraint deficiency against the non-traditional loads, i.e., wave  
104 forces [37]–[40], two movement modes (see Fig. 1) of the deck are recognized when the pier-  
105 deck connection fails [41]. Note that the entire collapse is not discussed in this study when the  
106 pier fails [42][43].