1 2	Can changes in autumn phenology facilitate earlier green-up date of northern vegetation?
3 4 5	Running title: Autumn phenology and northern green-up
6	Miaogen SHEN ¹ * [#] , Nan JIANG ¹ , Dailiang PENG ^{2#} , Yuhan RAO ³ , Yan HUANG ¹ , Yongshuo
7	H. FU ⁴ , Wei YANG ⁵ , Xiaolin ZHU ⁶ , Ruyin CAO ⁷ , Xuehong CHEN ⁸ , Jin CHEN ⁸ , Chiyuan
8	$MIAO^8$, Chaoyang WU^9 , Tao $WANG^1$, Eryuan $LIANG^1$, and Yanhong $TANG^{10}*$
9	¹ Key Laboratory of Alpine Ecology, CAS Center for Excellence in Tibetan Plateau Earth
10	Sciences, Institute of Tibetan Plateau Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing
11	100101, China
12	² Key Laboratory of Digital Earth Science, Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth,
13	Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100094, China
14	³ North Carolina Institute for Climate Studies, North Carolina State University, 151 Patton
15	Avenue, Asheville, NC 28801. USA
16	⁴ College of Water Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China
17	⁵ Center for Environmental Remote Sensing, Chiba University, 1-33, Yayoicho Inageku
18	Chibashi, Chibaken 263-8522, Japan
19	⁶ Department of Land Surveying and Geo-Informatics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic
20	University, 181 Chatham Road South, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong
21	⁷ School of Resources and Environment, University of Electronic Science and Technology of
22	China, 2006 Xiyuan Avenue, West Hi-Tech Zone, Chengdu, Sichuan 611731, China
23	⁸ Faculty of Geographical Science, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China
24	⁹ Key Laboratory of Land Surface Pattern and Simulation, Institute of Geographical Sciences
25	and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
26	¹⁰ Department of Ecology, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, and Key
27	Laboratory for Earth Surface Processes of the Ministry of Education, Peking University,
28	Beijing 100871, China
29	[#] M. Shen and D. Peng contributed equally to this paper.
30	* Corresponding authors: Miaogen SHEN, shen.miaogen@gmail.com; Yanhong TANG,
31	tangyh@pku.edu.cn
32	
33	

34 Abstract

35 Climate warming has induced substantial advances in the onset of vegetation green-up in the 36 northern hemisphere during recent decades. To date, however, the temporal changes in 37 green-up date have not been adequately explained by the statistical relationships between 38 green-up date and climatic factors, posing challenges in the attribution and prediction of 39 phenological change. In this study, we thus turned to focus on autumn phenology, a critical 40 biotic factor that is likely to affect the subsequent spring phenology of vegetation. Using 41 satellite-retrieved start and end of growing season (SOS and EOS) over the period from 1982 42 to 2015, we examined the association between the EOS and the SOS in the following year in 43 northern middle and high latitudes (north of 25°N). Interannual changes in SOS were 44 significantly (P < 0.05) related to changes in EOS in the previous year in 26.4% of the total 45 pixels, mostly in the boreal region, with a 1-day advance of EOS generally resulting in about 46 a 0.5- to 1.0-day advance of the following SOS, suggesting that the advanced SOS may be 47 associated with the advanced EOS. In temperate ecosystems, however, SOS showed a weak 48 negative partial correlation with previous year's EOS (significant for 10.3% of the total 49 pixels), suggesting that the delayed EOS may have limited contribution to the advanced SOS. 50 Our analysis further revealed that changes in the EOS contributed little to the changes in the 51 number of subsequent chilling days in temperate ecosystems and that the sum of forcing 52 temperatures was weakly related with the number of the chilling days in the boreal region, 53 suggesting that EOS may affect SOS through other mechanisms such as changes in the timing 54 when the chilling requirement is met as well as in carbohydrate and nutrient economy. This 55 study suggested that the timing of EOS may explain some of the temporal changes in SOS in 56 the following year in 36.7% of the study region, but further studies are needed to identify the 57 exact mechanisms. 58 Keywords: autumn phenology, legacy effect, climate change, northern hemisphere,

59 phenological sequence, spring phenology

60

61 1 Introduction

62 Substantial advances in the onset of green-up in temperate and boreal vegetation in the 63 northern hemisphere during recent decades has been widely reported as an easily observable 64 and sensitive indicator of ecosystem responses to climate warming (Badeck et al., 2004; 65 Penuelas and Filella, 2001). The changes in vegetation phenology have had extensive 66 influences on ecosystem structure and functioning, such as species composition, plant 67 distribution, biodiversity, matter cycles, and energy flows, which exert feedbacks to the 68 climate system (Agosta and Klemens, 2008; Chuine, 2010; Keenan et al., 2014; Penuelas et 69 al., 2009; Richardson et al., 2013).

70 An increase in spring temperature is the most influential environmental cue for the 71 advance in vegetation spring phenology (Körner, 2007). Both in situ and satellite remote 72 sensing observations have documented significant effects of forcing temperature in late 73 winter and spring on leaf unfolding and the start of the growing season (SOS, in day of year) 74 in temperate, boreal, and alpine vegetation (Garonna et al., 2016; Prevéy et al., 2017). 75 However, the spatial and temporal variations in timing of the vegetation growing season 76 (SOS) could not be fully explained by forcing temperature (Park et al., 2018). For example, 77 Shen et al. (2014a) reported a negative correlation (P < 0.10) between SOS and preseason 78 temperature in about 78% of the pixels in the vegetated land between 30°N and 80°N during 79 the period 1982–2008, yet the correlation coefficient varied widely, from -0.94 to -0.32. The 80 sensitivity of satellite-derived SOS to preseason temperature (changes in SOS per unit 81 temperature) showed substantial spatial variations (Shen et al., 2014a; Wang et al., 2015a) 82 and explained more spatial variation in the temporal trend in SOS than the trend in preseason 83 temperature did (Shen et al., 2015a). Likewise, spatial variations in the sensitivity of leaf 84 unfolding phenology to preseason temperature were also observed in large datasets compiled 85 from *in situ* observations in China and Europe (Menzel et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2015b; 86 Zhang et al., 2015). On the other hand, the sensitivity of SOS to preseason temperature also 87 exhibited large temporal variation. The sensitivity of leaf unfolding date in several temperate 88 European tree species to preseason forcing temperature declined considerably during

1982–2013 (Fu et al., 2015b). In China, a recent study based on *in situ* observation also
showed large temporal variations in the temperature sensitivity of spring leaf phenology of 40
plant species (Dai et al., 2017).

92 Several recent studies have proposed explanations for the variations in temperature 93 sensitivity of individual plant species or vegetation spring leafing phenology. A decrease in 94 the number of chilling days (NCD) induced by winter warming could increase the thermal 95 requirement for spring leafing and green-up onset and thus result in decreasing sensitivity of 96 spring phenology to forcing temperature (Asse et al., 2018; Fu et al., 2015a; Fu et al., 2015b; 97 Shen et al., 2014a; Zhang et al., 2007). Fu et al. (2015b) reported, however, that the decrease 98 in the NCD could not fully explain the variation in the temperature sensitivity. For late 99 successional species, the spring budburst and leaf flushing and their responses to forcing 100 temperature are found to be directly impacted by photoperiod under experimental condition 101 (Basler and Körner, 2012). Long photoperiod might reduce the thermal time requirement for 102 spring budburst and leaf unfolding (Falusi and Calamassi, 1996; Myking and Heide, 1995). 103 Moreover, the leaf flushing could also be impacted by the interaction between chilling and 104 photoperiod (Caffarra and Donnelly, 2011; Caffarra et al., 2011; Gauzere et al., 2017; Zohner 105 and Renner, 2015). However, it remains unclear to what extent these findings for a limited 106 number of species based on experiments in which photoperiod differs greatly from natural 107 condition can explain the variability in temperature sensitivity of spring phenology in the 108 nature. The intraseasonal and interannual variability of preseason temperature could also 109 result in variation in the temperature sensitivity (Wang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2014). 110 Changes in water availability may regulate the phenological response to temperature or affect 111 the thermal demand and thus result in variation in the temperature sensitivity (Shen et al., 112 2015b; Shen et al., 2011; Yun et al., 2018). However, the variations in temperature sensitivity 113 of spring phenology and interannual variations of spring phenology remain to be clarified. 114 In addition to the advance in vegetation spring phenology, climate warming has also

resulted in a trend of progressive delay in autumn leaf phenology (or end of the growing season, EOS) during the past few decades in northern temperate and boreal ecosystems

117 (Garonna et al., 2014; Garonna et al., 2016). Changes in EOS may increase or decrease the 118 NCD, depending on the speed of leaf senescence and the meteorological conditions during 119 the endo-dormancy period, which may further induce changes in the thermal requirement of 120 green-up onset and thus spring phenology (Fu et al., 2014b). Moreover, changes in the 121 phenology of leaf senescence involve a variety of programmed age-dependent deterioration 122 processes such as changes in gene expression and metabolism, degradation of 123 macromolecules (e.g., chlorophyll), and recycling and reallocation of resources (Estiarte and 124 Penuelas, 2015; Gan and Amasino, 1997). Therefore, changes in vegetation autumn 125 phenology may change the amount of carbohydrates and nutrients reserves that are to be used 126 for spring budburst and leaf unfolding in the following year. For some species, it has been 127 found that high (low) nutrient level advances (delays) leaf flushing or budburst (Falk et al., 128 2020; Huang and Li, 2015; Jochner et al., 2013), suggesting a possibility that content of 129 nutrient reserve in dormant season, which could be altered by leaf senescence, may affect the 130 following leaf flushing. For Quercus robur L. and Fagus sylvatica L., Fu et al. (2014b) 131 observed that the early leaf flushing may be related with higher content of sugar and starch 132 reverse after leaf senescence based on a warming experiment study. Based on those studies, 133 we hypothesize that the shifts in EOS may indirectly cause SOS changes in the following 134 year though modifying the processes during the dormant season.

135 In this study, we first examined the temporal trends in satellite-derived SOS and EOS 136 in northern middle and high latitudes (north of 25°N) over the period 1982–2015. To test this 137 hypothesis, we investigated whether the temporal changes in SOS were related to the changes 138 in the EOS of the previous year by using partial correlation analysis. Complementary to 139 satellite-derived data of SOS and EOS, leaf unfolding and coloring dates (LUD and LCD) in 140 a large dataset based on *in situ* phenological observations were analyzed. A causality 141 detection method based on convergent cross mapping (CCM; Sugihara et al., 2012) was 142 further used to examine whether the changes in autumn senescence (EOS and LCD) caused 143 changes in the following year's spring onset (SOS and LUD). Finally, we explored whether 144 EOS affects SOS by altering the NCD and thermal requirement of SOS.

145 **2 Materials and methods**

146 **2.1 Datasets**

147 The SOS and EOS were detected from the long-term time series of normalized difference 148 vegetation index (NDVI) dataset produced by the Global Inventory Modelling and Mapping 149 Studies (GIMMS) group (Pinzon and Tucker, 2014). The GIMMS NDVI dataset has been 150 widely used as a surrogate of vegetation activity at regional and larger scales as well as for 151 retrieving vegetation phenological metrics in global ecological studies (e.g. Buitenwerf et al., 152 2015; Garonna et al., 2016; Pan et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2013). The distortion caused by water 153 vapor, aerosols, sensor attenuation, and orbital drift has been eliminated by the GIMMS 154 group (Pinzon and Tucker, 2014). This study used Version 1 of the third generation of the 155 GIMMS NDVI dataset, covering the period 1982–2015, with a temporal resolution of 15 156 days and a spatial resolution of 0.083°. The Version 1 dataset has been improved through 157 cross-validation with SeaWIFS data (Burrell et al., 2018). 158 Monthly mean air temperature and cumulative precipitation data (TS4.0) were 159 provided by the Climate Research Unit (CRU) (Harris et al., 2014). This dataset covers the 160 period 1901–2015. The CRU temperature and precipitation data were used for assessing the 161 preseason meteorological impact on SOS. The NCD and growing degree days (GDD) were 162 calculated from the daily temperature retrieved from the CRUNCEP Version 7 climate dataset 163 (Wei et al., 2014) 164 (ftp://nacp.ornl.gov/synthesis/2009/frescati/model driver/cru ncep/analysis/readme.htm, 165 available at https://vesg.ipsl.upmc.fr). The CRUNCEP dataset also includes the shortwave 166 downward solar radiation data that were used in the analysis, with details given in section 2.3. 167 Both the CRU and CRUNCEP datasets have a spatial resolution of $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ and were 168 resampled at $1/12^{\circ} \times 1/12^{\circ}$ by replication to match the SOS and EOS data. 169 LUD (phase id = 11, http://www.pep725.eu/pep725 phase.php) and LCD (phase id = 170 205) data were obtained from the Pan European Phenology network (PEP725; Templ et al.,

171 2018). The PEP725 dataset has been widely used in plant phenological and global climate

172 change studies (Chen et al., 2016; Cook et al., 2012; Piao et al., 2015). To be consistent with

the satellite-based analyses, we focused on the records between 1982 and 2015. We excluded

- 174 the plants that are cultivars (Malus domestica, Prunus amygdalus, Prunus avium, Prunus
- 175 *domestica*, and *Vitis vinifera*). We also removed *Taraxacum officinale* because it only has
- 176 records at one site. As a result, a total of 5700 site-species combinations were included in the
- 177 analysis (Aesculus hippocastanum,1491 sites; Betula pendula, 1498 sites; Betula pubescens,
- 178 12 sites; Fagus sylvatica, 1322 sites; Quercus robur, 1355 sites; and Tilia cordata, 22 sites).
- 179 Daily mean air temperature and cumulative precipitation data for *in situ* phenology
- 180 observations were extracted from the E-OBS dataset (v19.0e, https://www.ecad.eu/, assessed
- 181 on 23 June 2019) provided by the European Climate Assessment & Dataset (ECA&D)
- 182 (Cornes et al., 2018). The E-OBS dataset covers the area $25^{\circ}N-71.5^{\circ}N \times 25^{\circ}W-45^{\circ}E$ and the
- 183 period 1950–2018 with a spatial resolution of $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$. The temperature and precipitation
- 184 data of the grid in which the phenological stations are located were used for analysis.

185 **2.2 Determination of SOS and EOS**

186 The non-vegetation pixels (e.g., bare soils and rocks) were first excluded if annual average 187 NDVI < 0.10 (Jeong et al., 2011). Before retrieving SOS and EOS, the NDVI values 188 contaminated by snow cover were substituted by the mean of 75–95 percentiles of snow-free 189 NDVI values in winter (December–February) of all years. Here, the snow-free winter NDVI 190 values were determined as the winter NDVI value > 0.10. If there was no winter NDVI value >191 0.10, then the winter NDVI was assigned as 0.10 (Shen et al., 2014b; Zhang et al., 2007). 192 Next, since clouds and poor atmospheric conditions depress NDVI values, a sudden drop of 193 NDVI in the ascending period from winter minimum to summer maximum NDVI was 194 substituted by an NDVI value reconstructed by the Savitzky–Golay filter (Chen et al., 2004; 195 Shen et al., 2014b), and the same procedure was applied symmetrically for the descending 196 period. To focus on the areas where vegetation has an observable phenological pattern (i.e., 197 with seasonal variations in greenness), we only included pixels for which mean NDVI for 198 July and August was higher than 1.2 times the mean NDVI for winter (Shen et al., 2014b) and 199 the annual maximum NDVI occurred between June and October. Finally, the annual NDVI 200 from March to September was fitted by a 6-order polynomial time-series model to interpolate

201 daily NDVI, and then SOS was defined as the date when NDVI increased by 20% of its

annual amplitude (Shen et al., 2014b). Similarly, NDVI from July to December was fitted and

203 EOS was defined as the date when NDVI decreased by 50% of its annual amplitude (White et

204 al., 1997).

205 **2.3 Analyses**

206 Spring temperature is recognized as the main environmental cue of vegetation spring 207 phenology (Körner, 2007), but the duration of the period that precedes the SOS (the 208 "preseason"), during which temperature has the strongest impact on SOS, varies among areas 209 (Fu et al., 2015b; Jeong et al., 2011; Shen et al., 2014a). In addition to temperature, the 210 amount of precipitation and solar radiation before SOS could also potentially affect SOS. 211 Similar to previous studies (Fu et al., 2015b; Jeong et al., 2011; Shen et al., 2014a), the 212 preseason for spring temperature was determined as the period preceding the multiyear 213 average of SOS (with a step of 1 month) in which mean temperature has the largest 214 interannual partial correlation coefficient (absolute value) with SOS, while setting EOS of 215 previous years, NCD, cumulative precipitation, and shortwave downward solar radiation as 216 the control variables. Here, NCD was defined as the number of days with daily mean air 217 temperature lower than 0°C (Fu et al., 2014a) during the period from the previous year's EOS 218 to the current SOS. Similarly, the preseason lengths for cumulative precipitation and solar 219 radiation were determined using the respective largest interannual partial correlation 220 coefficients (absolute values) with SOS because the preseason lengths for temperature, 221 cumulative precipitation, and solar radiation may be different (Shen et al., 2016). 222 After the preseasons for temperature, precipitation, and solar radiation were 223 determined, the impact of the previous year's EOS on SOS was assessed as the interannual 224 partial correlation coefficient between SOS and the previous year's EOS, while setting NCD, 225 preseason temperature, preseason precipitation, and preseason solar radiation as control 226 variables. Similarly, the impact of preseason temperature on SOS was assessed using the 227 interannual partial correlation between them while selecting the other variables as the control 228 variables. The sensitivity of SOS to the previous year's EOS and preseason temperature was

229 quantified by the coefficients in the multiple linear regression model between the dependent 230 variable SOS and five explanatory variables (previous year's EOS, NCD, mean preseason 231 temperature, cumulative preseason precipitation, and cumulative preseason solar radiation). 232 In addition, the impact of EOS on NCD was assessed using the interannual partial correlation 233 between EOS and NCD while controlling SOS. The impact of NCD on GDD was assessed as 234 the interannual partial correlation between NCD and GDD, while controlling precipitation 235 and solar radiation between EOS and SOS because those two factors may affect GDD (Fu et 236 al., 2014a). GDD is the sum of daily mean air temperatures $> 0^{\circ}$ C between the EOS and SOS. 237 For boreal ecosystems where there are no daily mean air temperatures $> 0^{\circ}$ C, we used a base 238 temperature of -5° C to calculate GDD (Botta et al., 2000). The interannual partial correlation 239 between LUD and the previous year's LCD was analyzed in the same way. 240 Complementary to the partial correlation analysis, the causal influence of EOS (LCD) on 241 SOS (LUD) was examined using CCM based on dynamical systems theory (Sugihara et al., 242 2012). CCM evaluates the causal relationship between two time series by quantifying the 243 extent to which the past record of one time series can reliably estimate the other. Taking SOS 244 and EOS, for example, EOS could be reliably reconstructed by SOS based on CCM only if 245 EOS causally influences SOS. Unlike correlation and other causal analysis methods, CCM 246 requires the convergence between the time series length (L) and the reconstruction skill, 247 which is usually quantified using the correlation coefficient (ρ) between reconstructed and 248 observed time series. In other words, CCM assumes that reconstruction skill increasing with 249 data length is necessary for the causal linkage. In our case, the correlation coefficient (ρ) 250 between the EOS reconstructed by SOS in CCM and the observed EOS increases with the 251 length of the time series (L) used for EOS and SOS and converges to a large positive value. 252 Spearman's rank correlation coefficient between ρ and L was used to quantify the 253 convergence of ρ with regard to L. 254 **3 Results**

3.1 Temporal changes in SOS and EOS

256 We first re-examined the temporal trends in the SOS over 1983–2015 and EOS over

257 1982–2014. In general, the northern hemisphere showed advancing SOS, but with differences 258 between Eurasia and North America (Fig. 1a). Eurasia experienced a substantial advance in 259 SOS in most areas, particularly in central and eastern Europe and eastern China, where the 260 temporal trend of SOS was larger than 0.75 day/year. A slight delay in SOS was observed in 261 northeastern Asia, the southwestern Tibetan Plateau, and southeastern Europe. In contrast, a 262 smaller advance in SOS was observed in North America. In southwestern Canada, the 263 north-central U.S., and eastern Canada, there were substantial delays in SOS by more than 264 0.25 day/year. SOS showed a significant (P < 0.05) advancing trend in 28.1% of the pixels, 265 mostly in Eurasia, and a significant delaying trend in 8.6% of the pixels, mostly in North 266 America (Fig. A1a).

267 Unlike SOS, the temporal trends in EOS showed a strong latitudinal pattern. In most 268 of the regions north of 55°N in Asia and North America, EOS advanced by more than 0.50 269 day/year. In contrast, in most of the regions south of 55°N in Asia and North America and 270 most areas of Europe, EOS tended to be delayed by more than 0.25 day/year; in central North 271 America, central Europe, and eastern China, the EOS was mostly delayed by more than 0.50 272 day/year. A similar latitudinal pattern of EOS trends was also reflected in those pixels with 273 significant advancing and delaying trends, which accounted for 23.8% and 26.0% of the 274 pixels, respectively (Fig. A1b).



Figure 1. Temporal trends in the start of the growing season (SOS) over the period 1983–2015 (a) and in the end of the growing season (EOS)

277 over the period 1982–2014 (b). The areas are blank if there was low vegetation coverage or lack of seasonal variations in greenness, or annual

278 maximum greenness occurred between November and May (see section 2.2 for details).

275

279 **3.2 Relationship between SOS and the previous year's EOS**

280 We first examined the interannual partial correlation between EOS over 1982–2014 and SOS 281 over 1983–2015. In most of the areas in boreal ecosystems in North America and Asia, SOS 282 was strongly related to the previous year's EOS after accounting for confounding factors with 283 a partial coefficient higher than 0.50 (Fig. 2a). In northern Europe and alpine regions such as 284 the Tibetan Plateau and Rocky Mountains, there was a weaker positive partial correlation 285 between EOS and SOS. The positive partial correlation was significant (P < 0.05) in 26.4% 286 of the pixels (Fig. A2). In contrast, the SOS-EOS partial correlation was mainly negative in 287 temperate ecosystems and was significantly negative in 10.3% of the pixels. In accordance 288 with this result, analysis based on the PEP725 dataset showed a weak interannual partial 289 correlation between LUD and the previous year's LCD for the temperate tree species (Fig. 3). 290 We further examined the sensitivity of SOS to the previous year's EOS. According to 291 multiple regression, when a 1-day change in EOS occurred in most areas in boreal 292 ecosystems in Asia and northern Canada, SOS changed by 0.50–1.00 day in the same direction (Fig. 2b). The sensitivity was mostly between 0 and 0.50 day day^{-1} in Alaska, the 293 294 Rocky Mountains, eastern Canada, northern Europe, western Russia, and the Tibetan Plateau. In most areas in temperate ecosystems, the sensitivity ranged from 0 to 1.00 day day⁻¹ 295 296 Finally, we compared the contribution of EOS to SOS with the contribution of preseason 297 temperature to SOS by using the ratio of standardized regression coefficient for EOS to that 298 of preseason temperature in the multiple regression between SOS and previous year's EOS, 299 NCD, and preseason temperature, precipitation, and solar radiation. As shown in Figure 4, in 300 most temperate ecosystems, EOS had less of an effect on interannual changes in SOS than 301 preseason temperature did (ratio < 1), which is associated with strong impact of preseason 302 temperature on SOS (Fig. A3a). However, EOS had a much greater effect on SOS than 303 preseason temperature did in northern and northeastern Canada, Alaska, and north of 55°N in

Asia, with absolute ratios higher than 2 in most of those areas, mainly due to the strong

305 impact of EOS on SOS (Fig. A3b).



307 Figure 2. (a) Partial interannual correlation coefficient between end of the growing season (EOS) of 1982–2014 and start of the growing season

308 (SOS) of 1983–2015, setting number of chilling days (NCD) and preseason temperature, precipitation, and solar radiation as control variables.

309 (b) Sensitivity of SOS to EOS of the preceding autumn.

306



Figure 3. Partial interannual correlation coefficient between leaf coloring date of 1982–2014 and leaf unfolding date of 1983–2015, with number of chilling days, preseason temperature, precipitation, and solar radiation set as control variables. The *y*-axis shows the percentage of site–species combinations with a certain interval of partial correlation coefficient to total number of site–species combinations.

316 4 Discussion

317 4.1 Legacy effect of EOS on SOS

318 Our results showed a significant (P < 0.05) interannual partial correlation between SOS and 319 the previous year's EOS in 36.7% of the pixels, indicating that the interannual variations in 320 SOS were likely driven in part by the previous year's EOS in those areas, particularly in 321 boreal ecosystems. Because correlation is not necessarily causation, we also used the CCM 322 method to detect causation from EOS (LCD) to SOS (LUD) in the following year. The results 323 show causation from EOS to SOS in 44.3% of the pixels across the northern hemisphere and 324 causation from LCD to LUD in 39.4% of the site-species combinations analyzed (Figs. A4, 325 A5). These statistical and CCM results provide evidence that supports the hypothesis in those 326 regions and site-species combinations. Note that the spatial distribution of pixels in which 327 causality was detected by the CCM method is not identical to that from partial correlation 328 analysis. The spatial mismatch is likely due to some environmental factors being unaccounted 329 for in the partial correlation analysis. The uncertainty of meteorological data from high 330 latitudes and alpine landscapes may also have contributed to the mismatch (Rao et al., 2018).



332 Figure 4. Ratio of standardized coefficient for the end of the growing season (EOS) to that of preseason temperature in the multiple regression

- between the start of the growing season (SOS) and previous year's EOS, number of chilling days (NCD), and preseason temperature,
- 334 precipitation, and solar radiation.

331

337 Previous studies have revealed various environmental cues that trigger spring leafing 338 phenology, such as preseason temperature, winter chilling, precipitation, solar radiation, and 339 photoperiod (Basler and Körner, 2012; Chapman, 2013; Clark et al., 2014; Laube et al., 2014; 340 Leblans et al., 2017; Shen et al., 2015b; Yun et al., 2018). Among those factors, forcing 341 temperature and winter chilling were recognized as the two most influential drivers of 342 interannual changes in SOS under natural condition, particularly in the context of climate 343 warming (Asse et al., 2018; Körner and Basler, 2010; Richardson et al., 2018; Vitasse et al., 344 2017). Insufficient chilling will increase the thermal requirement for spring budburst and leaf 345 unfolding, resulting in a delay in spring onset (Fu et al., 2015a; Kramer et al., 2017; Yu et al., 346 2010). Therefore, we examined whether changes in EOS will alter the subsequent NCD, 347 which is a factor potentially affecting GDD and thus SOS. The results reveal strong negative 348 partial correlations between EOS and NCD and a weak partial correlation between GDD and 349 NCD in most boreal ecosystems (Figs. 5 and A6). In temperate ecosystems, GDD mainly 350 showed a moderate to strong negative partial correlation with NCD, but NCD was weakly 351 related with EOS in the partial correlation. Therefore, the effect of EOS on the following SOS 352 was not likely propagated by the impact of EOS on the NCD or by the impact of NCD on the thermal requirement of SOS. 353

336

354 However, it should be noted that the total NCD during the dormant season does not 355 necessarily equal the NCD required by plants. If the latter is less than the former, the advance 356 of EOS may allow earlier entrance into the endodormant period in which chilling units 357 accumulate, which provides a condition for earlier fulfillment of the chilling requirement and 358 thus earlier break of endodormancy. This may result in an earlier start of ecodormancy, 359 providing the conditions needed for earlier leaf flushing if warm temperatures in spring allow 360 (Fu et al., 2014b; Körner and Basler, 2010). Extended exposure to chilling could advances the 361 time to budburst for some species (Nanninga et al., 2017). For example, a warming 362 experiment indicates that earlier leaf flushing for *Quercus robur* and *Fagus sylvatica* is 363 related to an advancement in fulfillment of chilling requirement as a result of earlier autumnal 364 senescence in the preceding year (Fu et al., 2014b). This could help explain the positive 365 partial correlation between SOS and previous year's EOS in the boreal region where both 366 SOS and EOS advanced. Alternatively, later EOS may result in later entrance into the 367 endodormancy period, which may shorten the period for accumulation of chilling units and 368 thus postpone fulfillment of the chilling requirement for breaking endodormancy or even 369 deficiency in chilling and thus increase the thermal demand for green-up onset, resulting in a 370 potentially later SOS (Asse et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019; Fu et al., 2015a; Fu et al., 2015b). 371 This suggests that EOS may affect SOS of the next year by modifying the timing of when the 372 chilling requirement is met. Thus, there could be a positive partial correlation between SOS 373 and previous year's EOS in some areas where both EOS and SOS are delayed. Nevertheless, 374 at this stage we were unable to quantify the contribution of the timing and amount of chilling 375 accumulation altered by shifts in EOS to the impact of EOS on SOS, because we could not 376 exactly quantify the chilling requirement by vegetation green-up at the pixel level, which 377 includes multiple species for most of which the chilling requirements have not been 378 quantified (Chuine et al., 2016).

379 Quantification of such contribution is further complicated by the impacts of 380 interactions between chilling and photoperiod on leaf flushing. For some photosensitive 381 species (e.g. Fagus sylvatica), long photoperiod could partially compensate for the unmet 382 chilling requirements (Caffarra and Donnelly, 2011; Gauzere et al., 2017; Zohner and Renner, 383 2015) and short photoperiod performs as an environmental cue to prevent early release from 384 the endodormancy when the chilling requirements had been met (Caffarra and Donnelly, 385 2011). In contrast, for some opportunistic species (e.g. *Betula pubescens*), chilling could also 386 regulate the photoperiod threshold required for budburst, with a decreasing photoperiod 387 threshold upon increasing chilling durations (Caffarra et al., 2011). However, these studies 388 only included a few species under extreme photoperiod conditions and only parts of the 389 species in nature use photoperiod as a budburst signal (Basler and Körner, 2012; Tang et al., 390 2016; Zohner et al., 2016). Photoperiod (daylength) only depends on the location and day of 391 year. With the limited range of inter-annual variations in SOS, the fluctuation of photoperiod

392 was very small, thus could not be as large as the difference in photoperiod in the indoor 393 experiments (e.g. 8 h for short-day and 16 h for long-day). Actually, the controlled warming 394 experiments by Richardson et al. (2018) and Fu et al. (2019) both showed that, with a 4 °C 395 -5 °C increase in temperature, the effect of photoperiod constraint on the response of 396 green-up dates to forcing temperature was not observed. A recent meta-analysis also found 397 that the leaf-out times of species from northern high latitude are independent on photoperiod 398 (Zohner et al., 2016). Those studies indicate that, photoperiod, either direct or through 399 regulating chilling or heat requirements, may influence spring leaf flushing or SOS, but the 400 effect should be limited in nature.



Figure 5. (a) Partial interannual correlation coefficient between end of the growing season (EOS) and number of chilling days (NCD), while
 setting start of the growing season (SOS) as a control variable. (b) Partial interannual correlation coefficient between NCD and growing degree
 days, while setting precipitation and solar radiation during dormant period as control variables.

406	The physiological processes for spring bud and leaf development require
407	carbohydrates and nutrients stored in reserve organs (Bazot et al., 2016; Cooke and Weih,
408	2005; El Zein et al., 2011; Han and Kabeya, 2017; Millard and Grelet, 2010). Those
409	carbohydrate and nutrient contents were associated with by the advance or delay in leaf
410	senescence in the previous autumn, since carbon assimilation, metabolism, and reallocation
411	and nutrient resorption and reallocation vary with the progression of leaf senescence (Aerts,
412	1996; Charrier and Améglio, 2011; Estiarte and Penuelas, 2015; Fu et al., 2014b; Lawrence
413	and Melgar, 2018; Shi et al., 2015). This implies that the timing of leaf senescence could
414	impact the SOS by changing the carbohydrate and nutrient contents. Delayed leaf senescence
415	associated with autumn warming could result in more efficient nutrient resorption and higher
416	contents of nitrogen or other nutrients in reserve organs (Estiarte and Penuelas, 2015;
417	Lawrence and Melgar, 2018). Leaf senescence affects nutrient resorption and nutrient content
418	in reserve organs that can be used for budburst and new leaf growth in the next spring. Indeed,
419	there are evidences, although few, that high (low) nutrient level advances (delays) leaf
420	flushing or budburst (Andresen et al., 2018; Falk et al., 2020; Huang and Li, 2015; Jochner et
421	al., 2013). Therefore, we speculate that a delay in leaf senescence may have affected budburst
422	and leaf unfolding in the following spring through nutrient economics, although little direct
423	evidence is available at either the species or community-ecosystem level. This speculation
424	may help explain the negative partial correlation between SOS and previous year's EOS in
425	the temperate region, where EOS was delayed and SOS advanced. In addition to nutrient
426	economics, higher content of sugar and starch are related with earlier leaf flushing and
427	budburst (Fu et al., 2014b; Perez-de-Lis et al., 2016). Changes in sugar and starch content and
428	metabolism also could have played a role in the relationship between SOS and previous
429	year's EOS, although the exact role of nonstructural carbohydrates in leaf spring phenology
430	and the impact of leaf senescence on carbohydrates reserve are poorly understood (Fu et al.,
431	2014b; Perez-de-Lis et al., 2016). Of course, these explanations are not mutually exclusive.
432	The timing and amount of chilling accumulation and carbon and nutrient economics may all

have contributed to the EOS–SOS relationship, although their exact contributions are difficult
to clarify, particularly in empirical analysis at such a large scale based on remote sensing
retrieval of phenology.

436 In addition to the above mentioned environmental factors that may influence SOS, it 437 was suggested that variations in SOS may be associated with snow cover (Chen et al., 2015). 438 We thus examined whether the inter-annual partial correlation between EOS and SOS of the 439 following year was caused by the co-founding effect of snow cover. To do this, we added the 440 snow cover fraction temporally closest to the SOS as an extra controlling variable when 441 calculating the partial correlation coefficient between EOS and SOS of the following year. 442 The snow cover fraction was derived from MODIS/Terra snow cover products (MOD10C2 443 Collection 6, available at https://nsidc.org/data/MOD10C2, accessed on 20 February, 2020), 444 which provides the maximum percentage of snow-covered land during an eight-day period at 445 a spatial resolution of $0.05^{\circ} \times 0.05^{\circ}$. The data were resampled to $1/12^{\circ} \times 1/12^{\circ}$ by a 446 nearest-neighbor method to match the SOS data. To determine snow cover fraction closest to 447 SOS, we need the observation day for each eight-day composite period, which, however, is 448 not provided in MOD10C2. Therefore, the observation day of each period was assigned as 449 the mean of the middle two days of the eight-day period. The result shows that, the partial 450 correlation coefficient between EOS and SOS of the following year with snow cover fraction 451 as an extra controlling variable (Fig 6a) exhibits a very similar pattern to that without snow 452 cover fraction (Fig. 6b). This similarity suggests that the correlation between EOS and SOS 453 of the following year was not caused by the snow cover.



455 Figure 6. Partial inter-annual correlation coefficient between end of the growing season (EOS) of 1999-2014 and start of the growing season

456 (SOS) of 2000–2015. In (a), the snow cover fraction temporally closest to the SOS, number of chilling days (NCD), and preseason temperature,

precipitation, and solar radiation were set as control variables. In (b), NCD and preseason temperature, precipitation, and solar radiation were set
as control variables. Gray pixels indicate that snow cover fraction closest to the SOS was zero for more half of the years.

460 **4.2 Caveats and limitation of the study**

461 In this study, SOS and EOS were retrieved from the NDVI data calculated from observations 462 by the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR), which is the longest NDVI 463 time series available. The data quality issue in the previous generation of AVHRR GIMMS 464 NDVI data is serious in some regions (Zhang et al., 2013). The third generation of AVHRR 465 GIMMS NDVI was improved by the GIMMS group (Pinzon and Tucker, 2014). In more 466 recent studies (Piao et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2019), the relationships between climatic factors 467 and phenological metrics determined from the third generation of AVHRR GIMMS NDVI 468 appeared to be similar to those for MODIS NDVI during the overlapping period. Although 469 considerable efforts have been made to improve data quality of the GIMMS NDVI time 470 series from AVHRR, residual noise and uncertainties remain. Such noise and uncertainties 471 may have prevented us from accurately retrieving SOS, even at the pixel scale. In addition, 472 NDVI is calculated from reflectance at the red band, an indicator of chlorophyll absorption of 473 radiation, and from reflectance at the near infrared band, an indicator of mesophyll scattering 474 of radiation, which is an indirect measure of canopy greenness. Therefore, the noise and 475 uncertainties and indirectness of the satellite retrieval of SOS and EOS may explain the low 476 correlation between climatic factors and SOS and between EOS and SOS. On the other hand, 477 uncertainty of meteorological data and the scale mismatch between different data used in the 478 analyses may also have contributed to the low correlation.

479 Many previous studies analyzed the relationship between satellite retrieval or ground 480 observation of vegetation/plant phenology or greenness and climatic factors by using 481 empirical approaches (Badeck et al., 2004; Fu et al., 2014a; Menzel et al., 2006; Park et al., 482 2018; Shen et al., 2015b; Vitasse et al., 2017). Similar to those studies, in our study the SOS 483 and EOS were mostly retrieved from NDVI measured by a satellite-onboard sensor, and the 484 relationship between EOS and SOS was analyzed by using partial correlation analysis, 485 although the leaf unfolding and coloring dates were included in the CCM analysis. In most 486 cases, satellite-derived data of vegetation phenology cannot be directly compared to field

487 phenology observations due to differences in spatial coverage and definitions of phenology 488 events, except when there are few species exhibiting synchronous phenology in a pixel (e.g., 489 cropland). For a pixel that covers dozens of kilometers and includes multiple species 490 exhibiting various phenological stages driven by various environmental factors, it is difficult 491 to directly and comprehensively explain the empirical results based on satellite retrieval of 492 vegetation phenology by up-scaling the findings for a single species and to realistically 493 simulate phenology at the pixel level by using a model developed for a single species (Tang 494 et al., 2016). The coexistence of multiple species even prevented us from identifying the 495 temperature metric that is fully representative of the forcing that the plants are actually 496 sensitive to (e.g., time window, base temperature) at the pixel scale. Therefore, in most of the 497 studies based on satellite-derived data of vegetation phenology, the ecological processes 498 could only be discussed based on the physiological and ecological mechanisms at the species 499 level (or the community-ecosystem level in a few cases) and could not be elucidated as in 500 studies based on manipulative experiments with clear physiological and ecological 501 mechanisms.

502 4.3 Implications

503 Full attribution of the temporal phenological change and accurate quantification of the impact 504 of climate change (particularly climate warming) on vegetation spring phenology is essential 505 for the assessment and prediction of ecosystem responses and feedback to climate change 506 (Penuelas et al., 2009; Richardson et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2016). However, interannual 507 changes in preseason meteorological conditions could not fully explain the temporal trends in 508 SOS during the past few decades (Cook et al., 2012; Fu et al., 2015b; Shen et al., 2015a; 509 Wolkovich et al., 2012). Our results imply that the advance in SOS in the northern 510 hemisphere may have been partly driven by changes in previous year's EOS. In boreal 511 ecosystems, the positive partial regression coefficient between EOS and SOS suggests that 512 the advance in SOS might have been partly caused by the advancing trend in EOS of previous 513 years. In temperate ecosystems, the advance in SOS could be partly associated with the 514 delaying of EOS, as indicated by the negative partial regression between SOS and EOS.

Moreover, previous studies reported that sensitivity of vegetation SOS to preseason 516 temperature was lower in boreal ecosystems than in temperate ones (Shen et al., 2015a; Wang 517 et al., 2015a). The contribution of EOS to the advance in SOS and stronger impact of EOS on 518 SOS in boreal ecosystems indicate that the difference in temperature sensitivity of SOS 519 between boreal and temperate ecosystems could be larger than previously reported. Although 520 similar temporal trends in SOS and EOS over a period (1982–2012) similar to our study was 521 reported previously (Garonna et al., 2016), the impact of EOS on the following SOS has not 522 been revealed before. Our study provided a new potential explanation for vegetation spring 523 phenological changes in about 36.7% of the pixels of the northern hemisphere. 524 Recent studies have revealed a nonlinear response of plant leafing phenology in 525 spring to preseason temperature (Fu et al., 2015b; Güsewell et al., 2017; Jochner et al., 2016; 526 Rutishauser et al., 2008), which predicts a decline in temperature sensitivity of leafing 527 phenology if temperature continuously increases over the long term. For example, Fu et al. 528 (2015b) found that temperature sensitivity of the spring leaf unfolding date of several 529 temperate trees declined with continuous warming over the past few decades. The 530 contribution of delayed EOS to the advance in SOS presented in our study suggests that the 531 decline in temperature sensitivity of spring leaf phenology could be underestimated if the 532 impact of EOS on SOS was not excluded. Moreover, Fu et al. (2015b) also found that current 533 phenology theory could not fully explain such a decline, with the modeled decline being less 534 than the observed one. This should perhaps be expected, because our current phenology 535 model could not effectively capture interannual variation in spring phenology (Chuine and 536 Régnière, 2017; Tang et al., 2016). Our findings suggest that current phenology models could 537 be improved by including the impact of leaf senescence in the previous autumn on spring 538 leafing phenology, such that the decline of temperature sensitivity could be better simulated.

539 **5** Conclusion

515

540 This study provides an exploratory assessment of how the end of the vegetation growing 541 season in autumn affects the start of the growing season in the following year for the middle 542 and high latitudes in the northern hemisphere. The significant interannual partial correlation

543 between SOS and previous year's EOS in 36.7% of the pixels indicates an impact of 544 vegetation autumn phenology on the spring phenology of the subsequent year in those areas, 545 particularly in boreal ecosystems. The statistical results based on satellite-derived data 546 suggest that the advance in SOS over 1983–2015 was associated with changes in EOS of the 547 previous year in those areas. The relationships between EOS and SOS may be associated with 548 the mutually non-exclusive mechanisms, carbohydrate and nutrient economy and winter 549 chilling. Advanced EOS may result in earlier entrance into endodormancy period, providing 550 condition for earlier fulfillment of the chilling requirement and earlier entrance into 551 ecodormancy period and SOS, which helps explain the EOS-SOS relationship in boreal 552 ecosystems. Delayed EOS may increase the carbohydrate contents and nutrition in reserve 553 organs that could be used for budburst and leaf growth in the following spring; this helps 554 explain the EOS-SOS relationship in temperate region. These speculative explanations, 555 however, need further evidence from experiment based studies.

556 Acknowledgments

- 557 This work was funded by the Strategic Priority Research Program (A) of the Chinese
- 558 Academy of Sciences (XDA20050101), the 2nd Scientific Expedition to the Qinghai-Tibet
- 559 Plateau (No. 2019QZKK0307)), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No.
- 560 41861134038), Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences (QYZDB-SSW-DQC025) and
- the Youth Innovation Promotion Association (to Shen) of the Chinese Academy of Sciences,

and the Top-Notch Young Talents Program of China (to Shen).

563 Data Accessibility Statement

- All the data used in this research are publicly available through internet.
- 565

566 **References**

- 567Aerts, R., 1996. Nutrient resorption from senescing leaves of perennials: Are there general patterns? Journal of568Ecology, 84(4): 597-608.
- 569Agosta, S.J. and Klemens, J.A., 2008. Ecological fitting by phenotypically flexible genotypes: implications for570species associations, community assembly and evolution. Ecology letters, 11(11): 1123-1134.
- 571Andresen, C.G., Tweedie, C.E. and Lougheed, V.L., 2018. Climate and nutrient effects on Arctic wetland plant572phenology observed from phenocams. Remote Sensing of Environment, 205: 46-55.

- 573 Asse, D. et al., 2018. Warmer winters reduce the advance of tree spring phenology induced by warmer springs 574 in the Alps. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 252: 220-230.
- 575 Badeck, F.-W. et al., 2004. Responses of spring phenology to climate change. New Phytologist, 162(2): 295-309.
- 576Basler, D. and Körner, C., 2012. Photoperiod sensitivity of bud burst in 14 temperate forest tree species.577Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 165: 73-81.
- 578Bazot, S., Fresneau, C., Damesin, C. and Barthes, L., 2016. Contribution of previous year's leaf N and soil N579uptake to current year's leaf growth in sessile oak. Biogeosciences, 13(11): 3475-3484.
- 580 Botta, A., Viovy, N., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P. and Monfray, P., 2000. A global prognostic scheme of leaf onset 581 using satellite data. Global Change Biology, 6(7): 709-725.
- 582 Buitenwerf, R., Rose, L. and Higgins, S.I., 2015. Three decades of multi-dimensional change in global leaf 583 phenology. Nature Climate Change, 5(4): 364-368.
- 584 Burrell, A.L., Evans, J.P. and Liu, Y., 2018. The impact of dataset selection on land degradation assessment. ISPRS 585 Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 146: 22-37.
- 586 Caffarra, A. and Donnelly, A., 2011. The ecological significance of phenology in four different tree species: 587 effects of light and temperature on bud burst. Int J Biometeorol, 55(5): 711-21.
- Caffarra, A., Donnelly, A., Chuine, I. and Jones, M.B., 2011. Modelling the timing of Betula pubescens budburst.
 I. Temperature and photoperiod: a conceptual model. Clim. Res., 46(2): 147-157.
- 590 Chapman, D.S., 2013. Greater phenological sensitivity to temperature on higher Scottish mountains: new 591 insights from remote sensing. Glob Chang Biol, 19(11): 3463-71.
- Charrier, G. and Améglio, T., 2011. The timing of leaf fall affects cold acclimation by interactions with air
 temperature through water and carbohydrate contents. Environmental and Experimental Botany,
 72(3): 351-357.
- 595 Chen, J. et al., 2004. A simple method for reconstructing a high-quality NDVI time-series data set based on the 596 Savitzky–Golay filter. Remote Sensing of Environment, 91(3-4): 332-344.
- 597 Chen, L. et al., 2019. Long-term changes in the impacts of global warming on leaf phenology of four temperate 598 tree species. Global Change Biology, 25(3): 997-1004.
- Chen, M., Melaas, E.K., Gray, J.M., Friedl, M.A. and Richardson, A.D., 2016. A new seasonal-deciduous spring
 phenology submodel in the Community Land Model 4.5: impacts on carbon and water cycling under
 future climate scenarios. Global Change Biology, 22(11): 3675-3688.
- 602 Chen, X., An, S., Inouye, D.W. and Schwartz, M.D., 2015. Temperature and snowfall trigger alpine vegetation 603 green-up on the world's roof. Global Change Biology, 21(10): 3635-46.
- 604 Chuine, I., 2010. Why does phenology drive species distribution? Philosophical Transactions of the Royal 605 Society B-Biological Sciences, 365(1555): 3149-3160.
- 606 Chuine, I. et al., 2016. Can phenological models predict tree phenology accurately in the future? The 607 unrevealed hurdle of endodormancy break. Global Change Biology, 22(10): 3444-3460.
- 608Chuine, I. and Régnière, J., 2017. Process-Based Models of Phenology for Plants and Animals. Annual Review of609Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 48(1): 159-182.
- 610 Clark, J.S., Salk, C., Melillo, J. and Mohan, J., 2014. Tree phenology responses to winter chilling, spring warming,
 611 at north and south range limits. Functional Ecology, 28(6): 1344-1355.
- 612 Cook, B.I. et al., 2012. Sensitivity of Spring Phenology to Warming Across Temporal and Spatial Climate 613 Gradients in Two Independent Databases. Ecosystems, 15(8): 1283-1294.
- 614 Cooke, J.E.K. and Weih, M., 2005. Nitrogen storage and seasonal nitrogen cycling in Populus: bridging

- 615 molecular physiology and ecophysiology. New Phytologist, 167(1): 19-30.
- 616 Cornes, R.C., van der Schrier, G., van den Besselaar, E.J.M. and Jones, P.D., 2018. An Ensemble Version of the
 617 E-OBS Temperature and Precipitation Data Sets. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,
 618 123(17): 9391-9409.
- 619Dai, J. et al., 2017. Variations in the temperature sensitivity of spring leaf phenology from 1978 to 2014 in620Mudanjiang, China. International journal of biometeorology.
- 621 El Zein, R., Bréda, N., Gérant, D., Zeller, B. and Maillard, P., 2011. Nitrogen sources for current-year shoot
 622 growth in 50-year-old sessile oak trees: an in situ 15N labeling approach. Tree physiology, 31(12):
 623 1390-1400.
- Estiarte, M. and Penuelas, J., 2015. Alteration of the phenology of leaf senescence and fall in winter deciduous
 species by climate change: effects on nutrient proficiency. Global Change Biology, 21(3): 1005-1017.
- Falk, M.A., Donaldson, J.R., Stevens, M.T., Raffa, K.F. and Lindroth, R.L., 2020. Phenological responses to
 prior-season defoliation and soil-nutrient availability vary among early- and late-flushing aspen
 (Populus tremuloides Michx.) genotypes. Forest Ecology and Management, 458: doi:
 10.1016/j.foreco.2019.117771.
- Falusi, M. and Calamassi, R., 1996. Geographic variation and bud dormancy in beech seedlings (Fagus sylvatica
 L). Ann Sci Forest, 53(5): 967-979.
- Fu, Y.H. et al., 2015a. Increased heat requirement for leaf flushing in temperate woody species over 1980-2012:
 effects of chilling, precipitation and insolation. Global Change Biology, 21(7): 2687-2697.
- 634Fu, Y.H. et al., 2014a. Unexpected role of winter precipitation in determining heat requirement for spring635vegetation green-up at northern middle and high latitudes. Global Change Biology, 20(12): 3743-3755.
- Fu, Y.H. et al., 2019. Short photoperiod reduces the temperature sensitivity of leaf-out in saplings of Fagus
 sylvatica but not in Horse chestnut. Global Change Biology, 0(ja).
- Fu, Y.H. et al., 2015b. Declining global warming effects on the phenology of spring leaf unfolding. Nature,
 526(7571): 104-107.
- Fu, Y.S.H. et al., 2014b. Variation in leaf flushing date influences autumnal senescence and next year's flushing
 date in two temperate tree species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
 States of America, 111(20): 7355-7360.
- 643 Gan, S. and Amasino, R.M., 1997. Making sense of senescence (molecular genetic regulation and manipulation
 644 of leaf senescence). Plant physiology, 113(2): 313.
- 645 Garonna, I. et al., 2014. Strong contribution of autumn phenology to changes in satellite-derived growing 646 season length estimates across Europe (1982-2011). Glob Chang Biol, 20(11): 3457-70.
- 647 Garonna, I., de Jong, R. and Schaepman, M.E., 2016. Variability and evolution of global land surface phenology
 648 over the past three decades (1982-2012). Global Change Biology, 22(4): 1456-68.
- Gauzere, J. et al., 2017. Integrating interactive effects of chilling and photoperiod in phenological process-based
 models. A case study with two European tree species: Fagus sylvatica and Quercus petraea.
 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 244-245: 9-20.
- Güsewell, S., Furrer, R., Gehrig, R. and Pietragalla, B., 2017. Changes in temperature sensitivity of spring
 phenology with recent climate warming in Switzerland are related to shifts of the preseason. Global
 Change Biology, 23(12): 5189-5202.
- Han, Q. and Kabeya, D., 2017. Recent developments in understanding mast seeding in relation to dynamics of
 carbon and nitrogen resources in temperate trees. Ecological Research, 32(6): 771-778.

- Harris, I., Jones, P.D., Osborn, T.J. and Lister, D.H., 2014. Updated high-resolution grids of monthly climatic
 observations the CRU TS3.10 Dataset. International Journal of Climatology, 34(3): 623-642.
- Huang, S.H. and Li, K.T., 2015. Dormant season fertigation promotes photosynthesis, growth, and flowering of
 'Blueshower' rabbiteye blueberry in warm climates. Horticulture Environment and Biotechnology,
 56(6): 756-761.
- Jeong, S.J., Ho, C.-H., Gim, H.-J. and Brown, M.E., 2011. Phenology shifts at start vs. end of growing season in
 temperate vegetation over the Northern Hemisphere for the period 1982-2008. Global Change Biology,
 17(7): 2385-2399.
- 665Jochner, S. et al., 2013. Nutrient status: a missing factor in phenological and pollen research? Journal of666experimental botany, 64(7): 2081-2092.
- Jochner, S., Sparks, T.H., Laube, J. and Menzel, A., 2016. Can we detect a nonlinear response to temperature in
 European plant phenology? International journal of biometeorology: 1-11.
- Keenan, T.F. et al., 2014. Net carbon uptake has increased through warming-induced changes in temperate
 forest phenology. Nature Climate Change, 4(7): 598-604.
- Körner, C., 2007. Significance of Temperature in Plant Life. In: M. J.I.L. (Editor), Plant Growth and Climate
 Change. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, pp. 48-69.
- Körner, C. and Basler, D., 2010. Phenology Under Global Warming. Science, 327(5972): 1461-1462.
- Kramer, K. et al., 2017. Chilling and forcing requirements for foliage bud burst of European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) differ between provenances and are phenotypically plastic. Agricultural and Forest
 Meteorology, 234–235: 172-181.
- Laube, J. et al., 2014. Chilling outweighs photoperiod in preventing precocious spring development. Global
 Change Biology, 20(1): 170-182.
- Lawrence, B.T. and Melgar, J.C., 2018. Variable Fall Climate Influences Nutrient Resorption and Reserve Storage
 in Young Peach Trees. Frontiers in plant science, 9(1819): doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.01819.
- Leblans, N.I.W. et al., 2017. Phenological responses of Icelandic subarctic grasslands to short-term and
 long-term natural soil warming. Glob Chang Biol.
- Menzel, A. et al., 2006. European phenological response to climate change matches the warming pattern.
 Global Change Biology, 12(10): 1969-1976.
- 685 Millard, P. and Grelet, G.A., 2010. Nitrogen storage and remobilization by trees: ecophysiological relevance in a 686 changing world. Tree physiology, 30(9): 1083-1095.
- Myking, T. and Heide, O.M., 1995. Dormancy release and chilling requirement of buds of latitudinal ecotypes of
 Betula pendula and B. pubescens. Tree Physiol, 15(11): 697-704.
- Nanninga, C., Buyarski, C.R., Pretorius, A.M. and Montgomery, R.A., 2017. Increased exposure to chilling
 advances the time to budburst in North American tree species. Tree physiology, 37(12): 1727-1738.
- Pan, N. et al., 2018. Increasing global vegetation browning hidden in overall vegetation greening: Insights from
 time-varying trends. Remote Sensing of Environment, 214: 59-72.
- Park, H., Jeong, S.-J., Ho, C.-H., Park, C.-E. and Kim, J., 2018. Slowdown of spring green-up advancements in
 boreal forests. Remote Sensing of Environment, 217: 191-202.
- 695 Penuelas, J. and Filella, I., 2001. Phenology Responses to a warming world. Science, 294(5543): 793-+.
- 696 Penuelas, J., Rutishauser, T. and Filella, I., 2009. Phenology feedbacks on climate change. Science, 324(5929):
 697 887-8.
- 698 Perez-de-Lis, G., Garcia-Gonzalez, I., Rozas, V. and Olano, J.M., 2016. Feedbacks between earlywood anatomy

- and non-structural carbohydrates affect spring phenology and wood production in ring-porous oaks.
 Biogeosciences, 13(19): 5499-5510.
- 701Piao, S. et al., 2015. Leaf onset in the northern hemisphere triggered by daytime temperature. Nature702Communications, 6: 6911.
- Pinzon, J. and Tucker, C., 2014. A Non-Stationary 1981–2012 AVHRR NDVI3g Time Series. Remote Sensing, 6(8):
 6929-6960.
- Prevéy, J. et al., 2017. Greater temperature sensitivity of plant phenology at colder sites: implications for
 convergence across northern latitudes. Global Change Biology, 23(7): 2660-2671.
- Rao, Y., Liang, S. and Yu, Y., 2018. Land Surface Air Temperature Data Are Considerably Different Among
 BEST-LAND, CRU-TEM4v, NASA-GISS, and NOAA-NCEI. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,
 123(11): 5881-5900.
- Richardson, A.D. et al., 2018. Ecosystem warming extends vegetation activity but heightens vulnerability to cold
 temperatures. Nature, 560(7718): 368-371.
- Richardson, A.D. et al., 2013. Climate change, phenology, and phenological control of vegetation feedbacks to
 the climate system. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 169: 156-173.
- Rutishauser, T., Luterbacher, J., Defila, C., Frank, D. and Wanner, H., 2008. Swiss spring plant phenology 2007:
 Extremes, a multi-century perspective, and changes in temperature sensitivity. Geophysical Research
 Letters, 35(5).
- Shen, M., Cong, N. and Cao, R., 2015a. Temperature sensitivity as an explanation of the latitudinal pattern of
 green-up date trend in Northern Hemisphere vegetation during 1982-2008. International Journal of
 Climatology, 35(12): 3707-3712.
- 720Shen, M. et al., 2016. Strong impacts of daily minimum temperature on the green-up date and summer721greenness of the Tibetan Plateau. Global Change Biology, 22(9): 3057-3066.
- Shen, M., Piao, S., Cong, N., Zhang, G. and Jassens, I.A., 2015b. Precipitation impacts on vegetation spring
 phenology on the Tibetan Plateau. Global Change Biology, 21(10): 3647-3656.
- Shen, M. et al., 2014a. Earlier-season vegetation has greater temperature sensitivity of spring phenology in
 northern hemisphere. PloS one, 9(2): e88178.
- Shen, M., Tang, Y., Chen, J., Zhu, X. and Zheng, Y., 2011. Influences of temperature and precipitation before the
 growing season on spring phenology in grasslands of the central and eastern Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau.
 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 151(12): 1711-1722.
- Shen, M. et al., 2014b. Increasing altitudinal gradient of spring vegetation phenology during the last decade on
 the Qinghai–Tibetan Plateau. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 189-190: 71-80.
- Shi, C.G. et al., 2015. Climate warming alters nitrogen dynamics and total non-structural carbohydrate
 accumulations of perennial herbs of distinctive functional groups during the plant senescence in
 autumn in an alpine meadow of the Tibetan Plateau, China. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 200:
 21-29.
- 735 Sugihara, G. et al., 2012. Detecting causality in complex ecosystems. Science, 338(6106): 496-500.
- Tang, J.W. et al., 2016. Emerging opportunities and challenges in phenology: a review. Ecosphere, 7(8): e01436.
- Templ, B. et al., 2018. Pan European Phenological database (PEP725): a single point of access for European data.
 International journal of biometeorology, 62(6): 1109-1113.
- Vitasse, Y., Signarbieux, C. and Fu, Y.H., 2017. Global warming leads to more uniform spring phenology across
 elevations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

- Wang, C., Cao, R., Chen, J., Rao, Y. and Tang, Y., 2015a. Temperature sensitivity of spring vegetation phenology
 correlates to within-spring warming speed over the Northern Hemisphere. Ecological Indicators, 50:
 62-68.
- Wang, C., Tang, Y. and Chen, J., 2016. Plant phenological synchrony increases under rapid within-spring
 warming. Scientific reports, 6: 25460.
- Wang, H., Ge, Q., Rutishauser, T., Dai, Y. and Dai, J., 2015b. Parameterization of temperature sensitivity of
 spring phenology and its application in explaining diverse phenological responses to temperature
 change. Scientific reports, 5: 8833.
- Wang, S.P. et al., 2014. Asymmetric sensitivity of first flowering date to warming and cooling in alpine plants.
 Ecology, 95(12): 3387-3398.
- 751Wei, Y. et al., 2014. The North American Carbon Program Multi-scale Synthesis and Terrestrial Model752Intercomparison Project Part 2: Environmental driver data. Geosci. Model Dev., 7(6): 2875-2893.
- White, M.A., Thornton, P.E. and Running, S.W., 1997. A continental phenology model for monitoring vegetation
 responses to interannual climatic variability. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 11(2): 217-234.
- Wolkovich, E.M. et al., 2012. Warming experiments underpredict plant phenological responses to climate
 change. Nature, 485(7399): 494-7.
- Xu, L. et al., 2013. Temperature and vegetation seasonality diminishment over northern lands. Nat Clim Chang,
 3(6): 581-586.
- Xu, X., Riley, W.J., Koven, C.D. and Jia, G., 2019. Heterogeneous spring phenology shifts affected by climate:
 supportive evidence from two remotely sensed vegetation indices. Environmental Research
 Communications, 1(9): 091004.
- Yu, H., Luedeling, E. and Xu, J., 2010. Winter and spring warming result in delayed spring phenology on the
 Tibetan Plateau. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA, 107(51): 22151-22156.
- Yun, J. et al., 2018. Influence of winter precipitation on spring phenology in boreal forests. Global Change
 Biology, 24(11): 5176-5187.
- Zhang, G., Zhang, Y., Dong, J. and Xiao, X., 2013. Green-up dates in the Tibetan Plateau have continuously
 advanced from 1982 to 2011. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA, 110(11):
 4309-4314.
- Zhang, H., Yuan, W., Liu, S. and Dong, W., 2015. Divergent responses of leaf phenology to changing temperature
 among plant species and geographical regions. Ecosphere, 6(12).
- Zhang, X., Tarpley, D. and Sullivan, J.T., 2007. Diverse responses of vegetation phenology to a warming climate.
 Geophysical Research Letters, 34(19): doi: 10.1029/2007gl031447.
- Zohner, C.M., Benito, B.M., Svenning, J.-C. and Renner, S.S., 2016. Day length unlikely to constrain
 climate-driven shifts in leaf-out times of northern woody plants. Nature Climate Change, 6:
 1120-1123.

Zohner, C.M. and Renner, S.S., 2015. Perception of photoperiod in individual buds of mature trees regulates leaf-out. New Phytol., 208(4): 1023-30.

778