



Engineering Applications of Computational Fluid Mechanics

ISSN: 1994-2060 (Print) 1997-003X (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tcfm20

Applicability of connectionist methods to predict dynamic viscosity of silver/water nanofluid by using ANN-MLP, MARS and MPR algorithms

Mohammad Hossein Ahmadi, Behnam Mohseni-Gharyehsafa, Mahmood Farzaneh-Gord, Ravindra D. Jilte, Ravinder Kumar & Kwok-wing Chau

To cite this article: Mohammad Hossein Ahmadi, Behnam Mohseni-Gharyehsafa, Mahmood Farzaneh-Gord, Ravindra D. Jilte, Ravinder Kumar & Kwok-wing Chau (2019) Applicability of connectionist methods to predict dynamic viscosity of silver/water nanofluid by using ANN-MLP, MARS and MPR algorithms, Engineering Applications of Computational Fluid Mechanics, 13:1, 220-228, DOI: <u>10.1080/19942060.2019.1571442</u>

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/19942060.2019.1571442

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

4	1	(1
Е			
Г			
Г			

Published online: 05 Mar 2019.

C	
L	01
~	_

Submit your article to this journal 🕝

Article views: 162

1		
U		
Cro	ssMark	

View Crossmark data 🗹

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Applicability of connectionist methods to predict dynamic viscosity of silver/water nanofluid by using ANN-MLP, MARS and MPR algorithms

Mohammad Hossein Ahmadi^a, Behnam Mohseni-Gharyehsafa^a, Mahmood Farzaneh-Gord^b, Ravindra D. Jilte^c, Ravinder Kumar^c and Kwok-wing Chau^d

^a Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Shahrood University of Technology, Shahrood, Iran; ^bFaculty of Engineering, Mechanical Engineering Department, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran; ^cDepartment of Mechanical Engineering, Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar, India; ^dDepartment of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China

ABSTRACT

Dynamic viscosity considerably affects the heat transfer and flow of fluids. Due to improved thermophysical properties of fluids containing nanostructures, these types of fluids are widely employed in thermal mediums. The nanofluid's dynamic viscosity relies on different variables including size of solid phase, concentration and temperature. In the present study, three algorithms including multivariable polynomial regression (MPR), artificial neural network–multilayer perceptron (ANN-MLP) and multivariate adaptive regression splines (MARS) are applied to model the dynamic viscosity of silver (Ag)/water nanofluid. Recently published experimental investigations are employed for data extraction. The input variables considered in the modeling process to be the most important ones are the size of particles, fluid temperature and the concentration of Ag nanoparticles in the base fluid. The *R*² values for the studied models are 0.9998, 0.9997 and 0.9996 for the ANN-MLP, MARS and MPR algorithms, respectively. In addition, based on importance analysis, the temperature is highly effective and the dominant parameter for the dynamic viscosity of the nanofluid in comparison with size and concentration.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 2 December 2018 Accepted 15 January 2019

KEYWORDS

nanofluid; dynamic viscosity; artificial neural network; concentration; multivariate adaptive regression splines (MARS); multivariable polynomial regression (MPR)

Nomenclature

ϕ	Concentration
Т	Temperature (°C)
d	Size (<i>nm</i>)
μ	Dynamic viscosity
a_i	Coefficients in MPR method
b_i	Coefficients in MARS method
BF	Basic function (MARS method)
GCV	Generalized cross-validation
AAPRE	Average absolute percent relative error
RMSE	Root mean square error
R^2	Coefficient of determination
MARS	Multivariate adaptive regression splines
MPR	Multivariable polynomial regression
ANN	Artificial neural network
MLP	Multilayer perceptron
C(M)	Complexity penalty

1. Introduction

Nano-sized materials such as nanosheets or nanoparticles can be dispersed in a base fluid to prepare nanofluids (Ahmadi, Mirlohi, Nazari, & Ghasempour, 2018; Nazari, Ghasempour, Ahmadi, Heydarian, & Shafii, 2018). According to the literature, nanofluids, due to adjustable properties and high stable dispersion, may be used in various applications, including cooling in engines, lubrication, solar water heating, etc. Moreover, one of the major points of nanofluids is their application in thermal systems to enhance the heat transfer rate (Ramezanizadeh, Alhuyi Nazari, Ahmadi, & Chau, 2019; Saidur, Leong, & Mohammad, 2011; Vasanthakumari & Pondy, 2018; Wang & Jiao, 2015). The higher and improved heat transfer rate obtained from utilizing these materials owe to thermophyscial properties such as their thermal conductivity. Adding nanostructures into the base fluid influences the dynamic viscosity, specific heat, etc. The dependency of thermophysical properties on various parameters such as temperature, synthesis procedure, pH and concentration is investigated in several pieces of research (Baghban, Jalali, Shafiee, Ahmadi, & Chau, 2019; Hosseini, Kasaeian, Pourfayaz, Sheikhpour, & Wen, 2018; Zeinali Heris, Kazemi-Beydokhti, Noie, & Rezvan, 2012).

CONTACT Mohammad Hossein Ahmadi 🖾 mohammadhosein.ahmadi@gmail.com, mhosein.ahmadi@shahroodut.ac.ir

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The dynamic viscosity of nanofluids significantly affects their fluid flow and heat transfer (Ahmadi et al., 2018; Ebrahimi-Moghadam, Mohseni-Gharyehsafa, & Farzaneh-Gord, 2018; Mohseni-Gharyehsafa et al., 2018; Nazari, Ahmadi, Ghasempour, & Shafii, 2018). Given this fact, it is critical to get better awareness of the parameters affecting this property. According to the literature review, an increase in temperature results in lower dynamic viscosity, which facilitates fluid motion due to the reduction in friction. Another effective factor in dynamic viscosity is the concentration of nanostructures dispersed in the base fluid (Chiam, Azmi, Usri, Mamat, & Adam, 2017; Soltani & Akbari, 2016). On the basis of the results of experimental studies, an increase in concentration leads to an improvement of dynamic viscosity (Asadi & Asadi, 2016). The size of nanostructures plays a key role in thermophysical properties of nanofluids.

Artificial neural networks are widely employed for modeling the system and pattern recognition. Artificial neural networks are applicable in modeling the thermophysical properties of nanofluids (Ahmadi, Tatar, Nazari, Mahian, & Ghasempour, 2018; Chau, 2017; Kazemi et al., 2018; Rezaei, Sadeghzadeh, Alhuyi Nazari, Ahmadi, & Astaraei, 2018). Ahmadi et al. (2018) employed the least square support vector machine (LSSVM) and group method of data handling (GMDH) approaches to model the thermal conductivity value of CuO/EG (Copper-Oxide/Ethylene-Glycol) nanofluid. It was monitored from the outcomes that the R^2 values for GMDH and LSSVM were equal to 0.994 and 0.991, respectively. These values indicated the high accuracy of the models in estimating the nanofluid's thermal conductivity. In another piece of research (Ahmadi et al., 2018), LSSVM was employed to predict the thermal conductivity of alumina/EG. The R^2 value for the proposed model was 0.9902. In another investigation, Baghban et al. (2018) used seven intelligent models to seek the relationship between coefficients of the connective heat transfer of silica nanoparticles as a function of three independent parameters including mass fraction, Prandtl number and Reynolds number. Statistical criteria show that the committee machine intelligent system (CMIS) has the highest accuracy with an R^2 of 0.997.

To propose a estimation tool, it is essential to consider the factors influencing the output data (Ahmadi et al., 2018). Most studies consider temperature and concentration when modeling thermophyscial properties; adding size as another input variable results in more accurate results. In the current study, the Ag/water nanofluid's dynamic viscosity is modeled by applying MPR, ANN-MLP and MARS algorithms. The input variables in the modeling process are temperature, size and volumetric concentration.

2. Intelligent modes

2.1. Multilayer perceptron neural network

Artificial neural networks are conventionally applied for prediction purposes. MLP is a feed-forward neural network algorithm. This network is composed of an input layer, hidden layer and output layer (Gardner & Dorling, 1998; Hornik, Stinchcombe, & White, 1989). The number of input and output layers depends on the data. In the hidden layer, one or more layers can exist that have various neurons (Orhan, Hekim, & Ozer, 2011). In these types of neural networks, the initial neuron of the layer is fed into the neuron of layer in the next stage, which is the same for all layers except the first layer. Each neuron has an activation function and a sum function. The inputs are initially multiplied by the weighting factor and added to each other. Afterwards, a bias factor is added to the calculated number. In the next step, the number obtained from the summing function is used in the activation function as input data. Activation functions are categorized in three forms as represented below, where φ is the activation function (Ruck, Rogers, Kabrisky, Oxley, & Suter, 1990; Vanzella et al., 2004).

$$\phi(r) = \exp(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}) \tag{1}$$

In the above equation, $\sigma > 0$ is the width, which shows the interpolating function smoothness. The distance between *x* and the center is defined by *r*.

Trial and error steps or intelligent approaches may be employed in order to calculate the number of layers. The optimum condition is obtained based on the Mean Square Error (MSE) parameter; therefore, these steps are performed to achieve the ideal status of bias and weight. In order to prevent either undertraining or overtraining results, an accurate number of steps must be considered (Goda, Shokir, Eissa, Fattah, & Sayyouh, 2003).

2.2. Multivariate adaptive regression splines

MARS is applied in regression and data classification (Friedman & Roosen, 1995). This approach is mainly utilized to predict the dependent data, Y ($n \times 1$), which are continuous, based on the group of input data ($n \times p$). This model is represented as follows:

$$y = f(x) + e \tag{2}$$

In the above equation, f indicates the weighted sum of basic functions. These functions are dependent on X. In addition, e stands for the error, which is an $(n \times 1)$ matrix. In this method, no priori assumption is required for estimating the relationship between dependent and independent data. The relationship between these data is found on the basis of a group of coefficients and piecewise polynomials. The model is generated using this algorithm based on fitting basic functions to independent variables' distinct intervals. Typically, the polynomials that are called "splines" consist of pieces connecting to each other. The connecting points of the splines are known as "nodes," "knots" or "breakdown points." The points are shown by *t*. In a *q*-degree spline, each section is a polynomial function. The function utilized by the MARS algorithm is described as:

$$\left[-(x-t)\right]_{+}^{q} = \begin{cases} (t-x)^{q} & \text{if } x < t\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(3)

$$[+(x-t)]_{+}^{q} = \begin{cases} (t-x)^{q} & \text{if } x \ge t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(4)

In the above equation, $q (\ge 0)$ is the power at which the splines are boosted up. The smoothness of the obtained function depends on the value of q. In the case of q = 1, as in the present study, just simple linear splines are applied. In Figure 1, a pair of splines for the node t = 3.5 is shown.

By considering y which has M bias functions, the model proposed by MARS can be written (Chou, Lee, Shao, & Chen, 2004; De Cos Juez, Lasheras, García Nieto, & Suárez, 2009; Friedman & Roosen, 1995; Nieto & Antón, 2014; Nieto, Fernández, Lasheras, de Cos Juez, & Muñiz, 2012; Nieto, Lasheras, de Cos Juez, & Fernández, 2011; Orhan et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2004) as:

$$\hat{y} = \hat{f}_M(x) = c_0 + \sum_{m=1}^M c_m B_m(x)$$
 (5)



Figure 1. Spline at t = 3.5 (Nieto, García-Gonzalo, Bernardo Sanchez, & Menendez Fernandez, 2016).

 \hat{y} indicates the parameter predicted by MARS, c_0 is a fixed value, the *m*th bias function is referred to as $B_m(x)$ and c_m refers to the *m*th basic function's coefficient. It is crucial to optimize the variables (c_0 and c_m) announced into the knot positions and the model. For a dataset of X which has n objects and p input variables, $N = n \times p$ pairs of spline basic functions exist which can be calculated using Equations (3) and (4). In order to generate the final model, a two-step process must be followed. In the first stage, a two-at-a-time forward stepwise process is performed to choose the basic functions (Chou et al., 2004; De Cos Juez et al., 2009; Friedman & Roosen, 1995; Nieto & Antón, 2014; Nieto et al., 2012; Nieto et al., 2011; Orhan et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2004). Using this procedure for selection leads to a model with inappropriate ability to predict new data due to the complexity and overfitting of the model. In order to enhance this ability, the redundant basic functions may be removed by applying a backward stepwise procedure. In order to select the usable functions, GCV is utilized (Chou et al., 2004; De Cos Juez et al., 2009; Friedman & Roosen, 1995; Nieto & Antón, 2014; Nieto et al., 2012, 2011; Orhan et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2004). In this approach, the GCV is defined as the average squared residual error divided by a penalty based on the complexity of the model. The GCV is calculated as follows:

$$GCV(M) = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{f}_M(x_i))^2}{(1 - C(M)/n)^2}$$
(6)

C(M) refers to complexity penalty, which depends on the number of basic functions and can be obtained (Chou et al., 2004; De Cos Juez et al., 2009; Friedman & Roosen, 1995; Nieto & Antón, 2014; Nieto et al., 2012, 2011; Orhan et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2004) as:

$$C(M) = (M+1) + dM$$
 (7)

M refers to the number of functions used as basic in Equation (5); d indicates a penalty for the basic functions utilized in the proposed model. Increase in the value of d causes fewer required basic functions and smoother estimation.

When the MARS model is generated, the importance of the utilized variables for modeling can be assessed. Taking into account the literatures several criteria can be applied which in this study, the GCV parameter is used for this purpose to achieve reliable results (Chou et al., 2004; De Cos Juez et al., 2009; Friedman & Roosen, 1995; Nieto & Antón, 2014; Nieto et al., 2012, 2011; Orhan et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2004).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Multivariable polynomial regression

In this study, experimental results from previous publications are used to model the range of the input variables and represented in Table 1. The data used for the modeling step are taken from experiments that were published recently (Alade, Oyehan, Popoola, Olatunji, & Bagudu, 2018; Esfe, Saedodin, Biglari, & Rostamian, 2016; Nikkam & Toprak, 2018). The temperature of the fluid and the concentration ratio of the Ag/water nanofluid are those major elements which considerably affect the value of dynamic viscosity as illustrated in Figure 2.

By using 2D multivariate polynomial regression and applying the least square method (Royston & Sauerbrei, 2008; Sinha, 2013), a simple equation is obtained for the experimental data that is represented in Alade et al. (2018), Esfe et al. (2016) and Nikkam and Toprak (2018). The proposed model has three inputs including temperature, size of nanoparticles and volumetric concentration. This model is very simple, lacking any exponential or logarithmic term. The complex models obtained by neural networks have some disadvantages such as high computational cost. The coefficients of the model are shown in

Table 1. Ranges of input variables (Alade et al., 2018; Esfe et al.,2016; Nikkam & Toprak, 2018)

Variable	Minimum	Maximum
Concentration (V/V %)	0	0.012
Temperature (°C)	20	90
Size (nm)	40	63

Equation (8):

$$\mu = a_1 + a_2 \times T + a_3 \times \phi + a_4 \times d + a_5 \times T \times \phi$$
$$+ a_6 \times d \times T + a_7 \times d \times \phi + a_8 \times \phi^2 + a_9 \times T^2$$
(8)

3.2. Multivariate adaptive regression splines

As mentioned earlier, in the MARS algorithm, the cores of procedure are basic functions; therefore, it is necessary to choose appropriate ones. Unlimited increase in the basic functions causes overfitting. In this study, the sensitivity of the MARS model based on the input basic functions is investigated. According to Jerome H. Friedman (1991), the GCV method is used to obtain the optimal number of functions in which using 10 basic functions leads to the best results (Figure 3). The coefficients of the proposed model in Equation (8) are reported in Table 2.

The model obtained using 10 basic functions is represented in Equation (9). The coefficients of the proposed model in Equation (9) are reported in Table 2.

$$\mu = b_7 + b_8 \times BF_1 + b_9 \times BF_2 + b_{10} \times BF_3$$

+ $b_{11} \times BF_4 + b_{12} \times BF_5 + b_{13} \times BF_6 + b_{14}$
 $\times BF_7 + b_{15} \times BF_8 + b_{16} \times BF_9 + b_{17} \times BF_{10}$
(9)

$$BF_1 = Max(0, T - b_1); BF_2 = Max(0, b_1 - T)$$

$$BF_3 = Max(0, \phi - b_2); BF_4 = Max(0, b_2 - \phi)$$

$$BF_5 = Max(0, b_3 - d); BF_6 = Max(0, b_4 - T)$$



Figure 2. Dynamic viscosity of Ag/water versus concentration and temperature.



Figure 3. GCV versus number of basic functions.

Table 2. Coefficients of the proposed models

Method		a	
MPR	$a_1 = 2.854458$ $a_2 = -0.07067888$ $a_3 = 34.8872$ $a_4 = -0.03010695$	$a_5 = -0.01767302$ $a_6 = 0.0009623423$ $a_7 = -0.2068149$ $a_8 = -458.0973$	$a_9 = 3.348794e - 5$
MARS	$b_1 = 50 b_2 = 0.0075 b_3 = 63 b_4 = 70 b_5 = 0.003 b_6 = 65$	$\begin{array}{l} b_7 = 0.6479446497 \\ b_8 = -0.00448272716 \\ b_9 = 0.02850777346 \\ b_{10} = 12.92515965 \\ b_{11} = -19.02334604 \\ b_{12} = -0.01560314429 \end{array}$	

$$BF_7 = BF_5 \times Max(0, b_2 - \phi);$$

$$BF_8 = BF_1 \times Max(0, \phi - b_5)$$

$$BF_9 = BF_1 \times Max(0, b_5 - \phi);$$

$$BF_{10} = BF_4 \times Max(0, b_6 - T)$$

In most of the studies in which the MARS method is used for regression modeling, the importance of data is calculated for gaining better insight into the influential parameters. Data whose importance is equal to 0 will be removed.

In order for gaining the relative importance of one parameter, the root square of the GCV of the all basis function without involving the propsed parameter should be obtain and then the value must be scaled to 100. Based on importance data analysis, temperature has the most significant effect compared with temperature and concentration (Figure 4). The concentration of nanofluid has the second rank in the calculation of dynamic viscosity.

3.3. MLP-LMA: feed-forward back propagation with Levenberg–Marquardt training Algorithm

In MLP neural networks, hidden layers and their neurons play the key role in regression. Inappropriate model



Figure 4. Importance of input variables.

selection and an inadequate number of layers and neurons lead to unfavorable outputs. Therefore, it is necessary analyze the sensitivity of the network to the number of neurons and hidden layers. Since there are three input variables, a hidden layer is appropriate to obtain acceptable training; however, the sensitivity of the network must be considered based on the number of neurons. In the present study, MSE is used as criterion to select



Figure 5. MSE value for various number of neurons.



Figure 6. Dynamic viscosity of Ag/water nanofluid in different temperatures and concentrations.

the optimum number of neurons. As shown in Figure 5, using neurons leads to the best model.

Neural Network identifier: 75% of data were used for training the network, 15% for validation and 10% for testing the trained network. The activation function for the hidden layer was tanh and an identity function was utilized for the output layer. The best model of the ANN was obtained in epoch 197 on the basis of MSE. In Figures 6 and 7 are represented the calculated dynamic viscosities of the nanofluid based on the concentration and temperature. Figure 6 represents the dynamic viscosity of Ag/water nanofluid in seven concentrations including 0, 0.003, 0.004, 0.006, 0.008, 0.009 and 0.012 in the temperature range of 50-90°C. The average size of nanoparticles in these cases equals 63 nm. As has been shown, an increase in temperature leads to higher dynamic viscosity for each temperature. In addition, it can be concluded that higher temperature causes lower dynamic viscosity for a constant concentration. For instance, increasing the temperature from 50 to 70°C in 0.003 concentration leads to approximately 19.5% reduction in dynamic viscosity.

In Figure 7 is represented the dynamic viscosity of Ag/water nanofluid in 20 and 25°C for particle size equal to 40 nm. The concentrations of the solid phase in these conditions are in the range of 0.00096 and 0.01. As illustrated, an increase in concentration, with constant temperature and particle size, results in improvement in



Figure 7. Dynamic viscosity of Ag/water nanofluid versus temperature and concentration.

dynamic viscosity; while a temperature increase reduces the dynamic viscosity.

3.4. Statistical comparison of the proposed models

AAPRE%

$$=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\frac{t_{i}-o_{i}}{t_{i}}\right|\right)}{n}$$

× 100 (Average Absolute Percent Relative Error)

Table 3. Statistical comparison of various models

Statistical	Machine learning and regression models		
variable	ANN-MLP	MARS	MPR
R ²	0.99988194	0.999782	0.999628
RMSE	0.001932218	0.002624	0.003432
AAPRE(%)	0.235240638	0.387537	0.459142

RMSE =
$$\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(t_i-o_i)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (Root Mean Square Error)

$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_{i} - o_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_{i} - t_{m})},$$

$$t_{m} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}}{n} \text{ (Coefficient of determination)}$$

Based on the statistical criteria for regression evaluation (Baghban, Kahani, Nazari, Ahmadi, & Yan, 2019; Hajikhodaverdikhan, Nazari, Mohsenizadeh, Shamshirband, & Chau, 2018; Taormina, Chau, & Sivakumar, 2015; Wu & Chau, 2011), the MLP network is the best among the approaches represented in the present study for modeling the dynamic viscosity of Ag/water nanofluid; however, using this algorithm requires more computational cost than does polynomial regression. A summary of the results obtained for each algorithm is presented in Table 3.

4. Conclusion

In the present study, MLP and MARS algorithms were employed to estimate the dynamic viscosity of Ag/water nanofluid by considering nanoparticles' size and concentration and the temperature of the fluid as input variables. The value of R^2 was used as a statistical benchmark to evaluate the obtained outcomes. According to the calculations, the R^2 values for ANN-MLP, MARS and MPR algorithms were equal to 0.9998, 0.9997 and 0.9996, respectively in which conforming to the all statistical criterias describe in Table 3, the proposed ANN-MLP is better than the two other algorithms. It could be concluded that all the applied algorithms are appropriate for modeling and able to precisely predict the dynamic viscosity of the nanofluid. In addition, the relative importance of the input data was determined to get better insight into the influential factors. Based on the obtained values, the importance of temperature, concentration and size was approximately 100%, 80% and 12%, respectively. These values indicate the high importance of temperature in modeling the dynamic viscosity.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

- Ahmadi, M.-A., Ahmadi, M. H., Alavi, M. F., Nazemzadegan, M. R., Ghasempour, R., & Shamshirband, S. (2018). Determination of thermal conductivity ratio of CuO/ethylene glycol nanofluid by connectionist approach. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*, 91, 383–395.
- Ahmadi, M. H., Ahmadi, M. A., Nazari, M. A., Mahian, O., & Ghasempour, R. (2018). A proposed model to predict thermal conductivity ratio of Al 2 O 3/EG nanofluid by applying least squares support vector machine (LSSVM) and genetic algorithm as a connectionist approach. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, 1–11.
- Ahmadi, M. H., Mirlohi, A., Nazari, M. A., & Ghasempour, R. (2018). A review of thermal conductivity of various nanofluids. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 265, 181–188.
- Ahmadi, M. H., Tatar, A., Nazari, M. A., Ghasempour, R., Chamkha, A. J., & Yan, W.-M. (2018). Applicability of connectionist methods to predict thermal resistance of pulsating heat pipes with ethanol by using neural networks. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 126, 1079–1086.
- Ahmadi, M. H., Tatar, A., Seifaddini, P., Ghazvini, M., Ghasempour, R., & Sheremet, M. A. (2018). Thermal conductivity and dynamic viscosity modeling of Fe2O3/water nanofluid by applying various connectionist approaches. *Numerical Heat Transfer, Part A: Applications, 74*, 1–22.
- Alade, I. O., Oyehan, T. A., Popoola, I. K., Olatunji, S. O., & Bagudu, A. (2018). Modeling thermal conductivity enhancement of metal and metallic oxide nanofluids using support vector regression. *Advanced Powder Technology*, 29(1), 157–167.
- Asadi, M., & Asadi, A. (2016). Dynamic viscosity of MWCNT/ ZnO-engine oil hybrid nanofluid: An experimental investigation and new correlation in different temperatures and solid concentrations. *International Communications in Heat* and Mass Transfer, 76, 41–45.
- Baghban, A., Jalali, A., Shafiee, M., Ahmadi, M. H., & Chau, K.-W. (2019). Developing an ANFIS-based swarm concept model for estimating the relative viscosity of nanofluids. *Engineering Applications of Computational Fluid Mechanics*, 13(1), 26–39.
- Baghban, A., Kahani, M., Nazari, M. A., Ahmadi, M. H., & Yan, W.-M. (2019). Sensitivity analysis and application of machine learning methods to predict the heat transfer performance of CNT/water nanofluid flows through coils. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 128, 825–835.
- Baghban, A., Pourfayaz, F., Ahmadi, M. H., Kasaeian, A., Pourkiaei, S. M., & Lorenzini, G. (2018). Connectionist intelligent model estimates of convective heat transfer coefficient of nanofluids in circular cross-sectional channels. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, 132(2), 1213–1239.
- Chau, K.-W. (2017). Use of meta-heuristic techniques in rainfall-runoff modelling. *Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute*, 186.
- Chiam, H., Azmi, W., Usri, N., Mamat, R., & Adam, N. (2017). Thermal conductivity and viscosity of Al2O3 nanofluids for different based ratio of water and ethylene glycol mixture. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 81, 420–429.

- Chou, S.-M., Lee, T.-S., Shao, Y. E., & Chen, I.-F. (2004). Mining the breast cancer pattern using artificial neural networks and multivariate adaptive regression splines. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 27(1), 133–142.
- De Cos Juez, F. J., Lasheras, F. S., García Nieto, P. J., & Suárez, M. S. (2009). A new data mining methodology applied to the modelling of the influence of diet and lifestyle on the value of bone mineral density in post-menopausal women. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 86(10-11), 1878–1887.
- Ebrahimi-Moghadam, A., Mohseni-Gharyehsafa, B., & Farzaneh-Gord, M. (2018). Using artificial neural network and quadratic algorithm for minimizing entropy generation of Al 2 O 3-EG/W nanofluid flow inside parabolic trough solar collector. *Renewable Energy*, *129*, 473–485.
- Esfe, M. H., Saedodin, S., Biglari, M., & Rostamian, H. (2016). An experimental study on thermophysical properties and heat transfer characteristics of low volume concentrations of Ag-water nanofluid. *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, 74, 91–97.
- Friedman, J. H. (1991). Multivariate adaptive regression splines. *The Annals of Statistics*, *19*, 1–67.
- Friedman, J. H., & Roosen, C. B. (1995). An introduction to multivariate adaptive regression splines. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, 4, 197–217.
- Gardner, M. W., & Dorling, S. (1998). Artificial neural networks (the multilayer perceptron)—a review of applications in the atmospheric sciences. *Atmospheric Environment*, *32*(14-15), 2627–2636.
- Goda, H. M., Shokir, E.-M., Eissa, M., Fattah, K. A., & Sayyouh, M. H. (2003). *Prediction of the PVT data using neural network computing theory*. Nigeria annual international conference and exhibition.
- Hajikhodaverdikhan, P., Nazari, M., Mohsenizadeh, M., Shamshirband, S., & Chau, K.-W. (2018). Earthquake prediction with meteorological data by particle filter-based support vector regression. *Engineering Applications of Computational Fluid Mechanics*, 12(1), 679–688.
- Hornik, K., Stinchcombe, M., & White, H. (1989). Multilayer feedforward networks are universal approximators. *Neural Networks*, 2(5), 359–366.
- Hosseini, F., Kasaeian, A., Pourfayaz, F., Sheikhpour, M., & Wen, D. (2018). Novel ZnO-Ag/MWCNT nanocomposite for the photocatalytic degradation of phenol. *Materials Science in Semiconductor Processing*, 83, 175–185.
- Kazemi, S., Minaei Bidgoli, B., Shamshirband, S., Karimi, S. M., Ghorbani, M. A., Chau, K.-W., & Kazem Pour, R. (2018). Novel genetic-based negative correlation learning for estimating soil temperature. *Engineering Applications of Computational Fluid Mechanics*, 12(1), 506–516.
- Mohseni-Gharyehsafa, B., Ebrahimi-Moghadam, A., Okati, V., Farzaneh-Gord, M., Ahmadi, M. H., & Lorenzini, G. (2018). Optimizing flow properties of the different nanofluids inside a circular tube by using entropy generation minimization approach. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, 1–11.
- Nazari, M. A., Ahmadi, M. H., Ghasempour, R., & Shafii, M. B. (2018). How to improve the thermal performance of pulsating heat pipes: A review on working fluid. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 91, 630–638.
- Nazari, M. A., Ghasempour, R., Ahmadi, M. H., Heydarian, G., & Shafii, M. B. (2018). Experimental investigation of

graphene oxide nanofluid on heat transfer enhancement of pulsating heat pipe. *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, 91, 90–94.

- Nieto, P. G., & Antón, JÁ. (2014). Nonlinear air quality modeling using multivariate adaptive regression splines in Gijón urban area (Northern Spain) at local scale. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 235, 50–65.
- Nieto, P. G., Fernández, J. A., Lasheras, F. S., de Cos Juez, F., & Muñiz, C. D. (2012). A new improved study of cyanotoxins presence from experimental cyanobacteria concentrations in the Trasona reservoir (Northern Spain) using the MARS technique. *Science of the Total Environment*, 430, 88–92.
- Nieto, P. G., García-Gonzalo, E., Bernardo Sanchez, A., & Menendez Fernandez, M. (2016). A new predictive model based on the ABC optimized multivariate adaptive regression splines approach for predicting the remaining useful life in aircraft engines. *Energies*, 9(6), 88–92.
- Nieto, P. G., Lasheras, F. S., de Cos Juez, F., & Fernández, J. A. (2011). Study of cyanotoxins presence from experimental cyanobacteria concentrations using a new data mining methodology based on multivariate adaptive regression splines in Trasona reservoir (Northern Spain). *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 195, 414–421.
- Nikkam, N., & Toprak, M. S. (2018). Fabrication and thermophysical characterization of silver nanofluids: An experimental investigation on the effect of base liquid. *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, 91, 196–200.
- Orhan, U., Hekim, M., & Ozer, M. (2011). EEG signals classification using the K-means clustering and a multilayer perceptron neural network model. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38(10), 13475–13481.
- Ramezanizadeh, M., Alhuyi Nazari, M., Ahmadi, M. H., & Chau, K.-W. (2019). Experimental and numerical analysis of a nanofluidic thermosyphon heat exchanger. *Engineering Applications of Computational Fluid Mechanics*, 13(1), 40–47.
- Rezaei, M. H., Sadeghzadeh, M., Alhuyi Nazari, M., Ahmadi, M. H., & Astaraei, F. R. (2018). Applying GMDH artificial neural network in modeling CO2 emissions in four nordic countries. *International Journal of Low-Carbon Technologies*, 13(3), 266–271.
- Royston, P., & Sauerbrei, W. (2008). Multivariable modelbuilding: A pragmatic approach to regression anaylsis based on fractional polynomials for modelling continuous variables (Vol. 777). London: John Wiley & Sons.
- Ruck, D. W., Rogers, S. K., Kabrisky, M., Oxley, M. E., & Suter, B. W. (1990). The multilayer perceptron as an approximation to a Bayes optimal discriminant function. *IEEE Transactions* on Neural Networks, 1(4), 296–298.
- Saidur, R., Leong, K., & Mohammad, H. (2011). A review on applications and challenges of nanofluids. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15(3), 1646–1668.
- Sinha, P. (2013). Multivariate polynomial regression in data mining: Methodology, problems and solutions. *International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research*, 4(12), 962–965.
- Soltani, O., & Akbari, M. (2016). Effects of temperature and particles concentration on the dynamic viscosity of MgO-MWCNT/ethylene glycol hybrid nanofluid: Experimental study. *Physica E: Low-Dimensional Systems and Nanostructures*, 84, 564–570.

- Taormina, R., Chau, K.-W., & Sivakumar, B. (2015). Neural network river forecasting through baseflow separation and binary-coded swarm optimization. *Journal of Hydrology*, *529*, 1788–1797.
- Vanzella, E., Cristiani, S., Fontana, A., Nonino, M., Arnouts, S., Giallongo, E., ... Zaggia, S. (2004). Photometric redshifts with the multilayer perceptron neural network: Application to the HDF-S and SDSS. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 423(2), 761–776.
- Vasanthakumari, R., & Pondy, P. (2018). Mixed convection of silver and titanium dioxide nanofluids along inclined stretching sheet in presence of MHD with heat generation and suction effect. *Mathematical Modelling of Engineering Problems*, 5(2), 123–129.
- Wang, X.-H., & Jiao, Y.-L. (2015). Study on the heat transfer characteristic of heat pipe containing magnetic nano-fluids

strengthened by magnetic field. *International Journal of Heat and Technology*, 33(1), 137–144.

- Wu, C., & Chau, K. (2011). Rainfall-runoff modeling using artificial neural network coupled with singular spectrum analysis. *Journal of Hydrology*, *399*(3-4), 394–409.
- Xu, Q.-S., Daszykowski, M., Walczak, B., Daeyaert, F., De Jonge, M., Heeres, J., . . . Massart, D. L. (2004). Multivariate adaptive regression splines—studies of HIV reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, 72(1), 27–34.
- Zeinali Heris, S., Kazemi-Beydokhti, A., Noie, S., & Rezvan, S. (2012). Numerical study on convective heat transfer of Al2O3/water, CuO/water and Cu/water nanofluids through square cross-section duct in laminar flow. *Engineering Applications of Computational Fluid Mechanics*, 6(1), 1–14.