

1 **Use of Whole Body Vibration in Individuals with Chronic Stroke:**
2 **Transmissibility and Signal Purity.**

3 Meizhen Huang^a, Chak-yin Tang^b, Marco Y.C. Pang^a

4 *^aDepartment of Rehabilitation Sciences, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University,*
5 *Hong Kong*

6 *^b Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic*
7 *University, Hong Kong*

8 **Corresponding author:**

9 Marco Y. C. Pang, Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, The Hong Kong
10 Polytechnic University, Hong Kong. Tel: (852) 2766-7156; Fax: (852) 2330-8656;
11 Email: Marco.Pang@polyu.edu.hk

12 **Abstract:** This study examined (1) the influence of whole body vibration (WBV)
13 frequency (20Hz, 30Hz, 40Hz), amplitude (low: 0.8mm and high: 1.5 mm) and body
14 postures (high-squat, deep-squat, tip-toe standing) on WBV transmissibility and signal
15 purity, and (2) the relationship between stroke motor impairment and WBV
16 transmissibility/signal purity. Thirty-four participants with chronic stroke were tested
17 under 18 different conditions with unique combinations of WBV frequency, amplitude,
18 and body posture. Lower limb motor function and muscle spasticity were assessed
19 using the Fugl-Meyer Assessment and Modified Ashworth Scale respectively. Nine tri-
20 axial accelerometers were used to measure acceleration at the WBV platform, and the
21 head, third lumbar vertebra, and bilateral ankles, knees, and hips. The results indicated
22 that WBV amplitude, frequency, body postures and their interactions significantly
23 influenced the vibration transmissibility and signal purity among people with chronic
24 stroke. In all anatomical landmarks except the ankle, the transmissibility decreased with
25 increased frequency, increased amplitude or increased knee flexion angle. The
26 transmissibility was similar between the paretic and non-paretic side, except at the
27 ankle during tip-toe standing. Less severe lower limb motor impairment was associated
28 with greater transmissibility at the paretic ankle, knee and hip in certain WBV
29 conditions. Leg muscle spasticity was not significantly related to WBV
30 transmissibility. In clinical practice, WBV amplitude, frequency, body postures need
31 to be considered regarding the therapeutic purpose. Good contact between the feet and
32 vibration platform and symmetrical body-weight distribution pattern should be
33 ensured.

34 **Keywords:** whole body vibration; stroke; rehabilitation; transmissibility.

35

36 **1. Introduction**

37 Whole-body vibration training (WBV) has become increasingly popular as an
38 exercise modality (Rittweger, 2010). It is believed that vibration stimulus can activate
39 muscle spindles causing alpha-motoneurons excitation, thus augmenting muscle
40 contraction (Luo et al., 2005; Ribot-Ciscar et al., 1998) and motor unit synchronization
41 (Jackson and Turner, 2003). As bone tissue is very sensitive to mechanical loading, the
42 dynamic mechanical loading involved in WBV training would also enhance bone
43 anabolic response (Torcasio et al., 2008). However, significant reduction of touch-
44 pressure sensation on the sole of the foot and balance ability was found immediately
45 after exposure to vibration (Sonza et al., 2015). Long-term occupational exposure to
46 high-magnitude vibrations may lead to deleterious effect in the spinal and reproductive
47 systems (Bovenzi, 2006). Excessive transmission of vibration to the head could also
48 cause damage to the retina (Ishitake et al., 1998) and inner ear (Bochnia et al., 2005).

49 During WBV treatment, the vibration is transmitted from the vibration source
50 (e.g., vibration platform) to the target body part(s) (Luo et al., 2005). However, the
51 biodynamic responses to vibration depend on many factors, including the vibration
52 amplitude and frequency of platform (Matsumoto and Griffin, 1998), body posture
53 (Lafortune et al., 1996), muscular activation (Tarabini et al., 2014) and musculoskeletal
54 compliance (Wakeling et al., 2002). Thus, the vibration characteristics (e.g.,
55 magnitude) would vary in different body parts (Matsumoto and Griffin, 1998) and the
56 response of the human body to the platform vibration is non-linear (Lafortune et al.,
57 1996; Matsumoto and Griffin, 1998). In addition, there may be a distortion of
58 sinusoidal waveforms as the signals are transmitted upward. The degree of signal
59 attenuation (i.e., transmissibility) and how well the original signal waveforms are

60 retained during transmission (i.e., signal purity) may have major impact on therapeutic
61 efficacy (Kiiski et al., 2008). Moreover, transmissibility to the head and resonance
62 effect should be minimized for safety concerns (Caryn et al., 2014; Kiiski et al., 2008).
63 To this end, the ratio of acceleration measured at the level of the vibration platform and
64 that at the target body part, have been used as a measure of transmissibility (Matsumoto
65 and Griffin, 1998). The site-specific vibration frequency profile (i.e., signal purity) can
66 be evaluated using vibration spectral analysis (Griffin, 1996; Kiiski et al., 2008).

67 Previous research on vibration transmissibility was only conducted in healthy
68 young adults (Abercromby et al., 2007; Avelar et al., 2013; Caryn et al., 2014; Cook et
69 al., 2011; Crewther et al., 2004; Kiiski et al., 2008; Lafortune et al., 1996; Matsumoto
70 and Griffin, 1998; Rubin et al., 2003; Tankisheva et al., 2013). Generally, the signals
71 are attenuated as they are transmitted from the feet upward to other body sites (Cook
72 et al., 2011; Harazin and Grzesik, 1998; Tankisheva et al., 2013). Increased frequency
73 was found to be associated with lower vibration transmissibility (Caryn et al., 2014;
74 Cook et al., 2011; Kiiski et al., 2008). However, amplification of signals can be found
75 in certain anatomical landmarks (Kiiski et al., 2008; Matsumoto and Griffin, 1998),
76 particularly when the frequency was less than 20Hz (Crewther et al., 2004; Kiiski et
77 al., 2008; Matsumoto and Griffin, 1998; Tankisheva et al., 2013). Changes in posture
78 could also modify the vibration transmission. However, only the effect of knee flexion
79 angle was studied in previous research (Abercromby et al., 2007; Avelar et al., 2013;
80 Cook et al., 2011; Rubin et al., 2003; Tankisheva et al., 2013). The interaction effects
81 of vibration frequency, amplitude, and postures on transmissibility was reported in one
82 study, but transmissibility was measured at the head only (Caryn et al., 2014).
83 Moreover, WBV signal distortion during transmission is very understudied. Only
84 Kiiski et al. (2008) have examined WBV signal purity during erect standing in healthy

85 young adults. Signal purity in other postures that are often used in WBV exercise
86 training has not been studied.

87 Although WBV has been widely used and examined among people with stroke
88 (Brogardh et al., 2012; Chan et al., 2012; Liao et al., 2016; Marin et al., 2013; Miyara
89 et al., 2014; Pang et al., 2013; Tankisheva et al., 2014; Tihanyi et al., 2007; van Nes et
90 al., 2006), mixed results on various clinical outcomes are found. The discrepancies in
91 results may be due to the difference in WBV protocols used. Thus, it is important to
92 conduct more fundamental research on transmissibility and signal purity in the stroke
93 population. The information gained would inform the design of WBV protocols, which
94 can be formally tested in efficacy studies. Stroke survivors may have altered
95 musculoskeletal characteristics (Cruz et al., 2009). Moreover, the muscle activation
96 patterns with WBV stimulation among individuals with stroke were also different from
97 healthy young adults (Liao et al., 2014b). Because the musculoskeletal system is a
98 major pathway through which the WBV is transmitted, it is highly likely that WBV
99 transmissibility in stroke patients could be very different from that in able-bodied
100 individuals. However, to date, the research on vibration transmission and signal purity
101 for the stroke population is lacking.

102 The objectives of this study were (1) to investigate the influence of WBV
103 frequency, amplitude, and body posture on WBV transmissibility and signal purity; and
104 (2) to examine the impact of stroke motor impairment and spasticity on WBV
105 transmissibility. It was hypothesized that (1) WBV transmissibility and signal purity
106 would be influenced by vibration frequency, amplitude, body postures, and their
107 interactions; (2) WBV transmissibility and signal purity would demonstrate a
108 significant difference between the paretic and non-paretic side; and (3) WBV

109 transmissibility would be associated with the motor impairment level or muscle
110 spasticity of the affected lower extremity.

111 **2.Method**

112 2.1 Subjects

113 Individuals with chronic stroke were recruited from the local community using
114 convenience sampling. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are shown in
115 supplementary table 1. The study was approved by the Human Subjects Ethics Sub-
116 committee of the University and conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki.
117 Written informed consent was obtained from each participant before data collection.

118 *2.2 Experimental setting*

119 This was an experimental study with repeated measures. A WBV device (Fitvibe
120 Excel, GymnaUniphy, Belgium) generating sinusoidal vertical vibrations was used.
121 Eighteen WBV conditions generated by different combinations of two vibration
122 amplitudes (low: 0.8mm; high: 1.5mm), three vibration frequencies (20Hz, 30Hz,
123 40Hz), and three postures (high-squat with knee flexion at 30°, deep-squat with knee
124 flexion at 60°, tip-toe standing) were tested. The specific requirements for each posture
125 are described in Figure 1. The rationale of the above protocols is explained in
126 supplementary table 2. Due to safety, all participants were asked to hold the handrail
127 lightly for balance only. Each trial was about 20 seconds. The 18 trials were conducted
128 in randomized order with a 1-minute rest period between each trial. Two researchers
129 provided standby supervision to ensure safety and correct postures (e.g., correct knee
130 angle and no trunk/head movement). The trial would be terminated immediately if any
131 adverse symptoms (e.g., fatigue, dizziness) were reported. All the experimental

132 procedures were completed in the same laboratory of the university. The session was
133 about 1.5 hours, including the set-up time and rest periods.

134 *2.3 Measurements*

135 The demographic information was obtained first by interviewing the participants.
136 Motor function of the paretic leg was assessed by a physiotherapist using Fugl-Meyer
137 Assessment (FMA). Higher scores denoted less impaired motor function (Sullivan et
138 al., 2011). The spasticity of ankle plantar flexors, knee flexors, and extensors was also
139 rated using the Modified Ashworth Scale (MAS), and a higher score indicated more
140 severe spasticity (Bohannon and Smith, 1987).

141 Seven tri-axial accelerometers (Dytran Model 7523A5, Chatsworth, Canada)
142 were mounted on tailor-made polyester board (weight 10g; Otto Bock, Duderstadt,
143 Germany) using screws, which were attached to the skin overlying the specific body
144 sites using tapes (Omnifix[®] elastic, Hartmann-Conco, Heideman, Germany) and self-
145 adherent wraps (Coban[®], 3M, Saint Paul, US): bilateral medial malleolus (ankle),
146 bilateral medial condyle of the femur (knee), bilateral greater trochanter (hip), and third
147 lumbar vertebra (L3) (Matsumoto and Griffin, 1998). To measure the acceleration at
148 the head, one tri-axial accelerometer was fixed on the disposable dental impression that
149 was held firmly between the upper and lower teeth (Harazin and Grzesik, 1998).
150 Another tri-axial accelerometer was attached to the center of WBV platform to measure
151 platform acceleration. Before data collection, the orientation of accelerometers was
152 calibrated and checked to secure properly alignment of axis coordinates.

153 *2.4 Data processing*

154 Acceleration signals were recorded and digitized via a 32-channel analog-to-
155 digital converter (Model DT9844, Data Translation, Norton, US) using a custom

156 program written in LabView (version 8.6, National Instruments, Austin, US) with a
 157 resolution of 20-bit and sampling frequency of 1000Hz.

158 Offline data analysis was performed using a custom-written script in MATLAB
 159 (version R2014b, MathWorks, Natick, US). For each 20-second trial, the data from one
 160 3-second period in the middle section were selected for analysis (Kiiski et al., 2008).
 161 This single 3-second period should contain 40-120 vibration cycles, depending on the
 162 vibration frequency. The DC offset induced by the gravitational acceleration was
 163 removed. In the time domain, resultant accelerations were calculated from the vector
 164 sum of x,y, z-axis, which represented the absolute acceleration of each vibration trial.
 165 The root-mean-square (RMS) value of the resultant acceleration was calculated in a 3-
 166 s window for each vibration trial (Caryn et al., 2014). The transmissibility of vibration
 167 to each body site was calculated as the ratio of the acceleration RMS of the site-specific
 168 signal to the acceleration RMS of the WBV platform (Kiiski et al., 2008). A
 169 transmissibility ratio larger than 1.0 indicated amplification of vibration signals
 170 transmitted from the platform to the measured body site, while the ratio less than 1.0
 171 indicated dampening of signals during its transmission.

172 For each trial, the frequency domain of the acceleration signals at the platform,
 173 and each body site was also analyzed by performing fast Fourier transform. The
 174 proportion of signal power with ± 1 Hz of the vibration frequency at the level of the
 175 platform (i.e., nominal frequency) was computed to assess the signal purity of the
 176 sinusoidal waveform using the following formula (Kiiski et al., 2008):

$$177 \text{ Signal Purity} = \frac{P_x(\text{nominal frequency} \pm 1) + P_y(\text{nominal frequency} \pm 1) + P_z(\text{nominal frequency} \pm 1)}{P_x + P_y + P_z} \times 100\%$$

178 in which the P_x (nominal frequency ± 1 Hz), P_y (nominal frequency ± 1 Hz), P_z (nominal
 179 frequency ± 1 Hz) indicated the power of nominal frequency ± 1 Hz in x, y, and z-axis
 180 respectively; and P_x , P_y , and P_z indicated signal power at the level of the platform in

181 x, y, and z-axis respectively (figure 2). The greater the signal purity value, the better
182 the sinusoidal waveforms were maintained. A value of greater than 80% was
183 considered to be adequate (Kiiski et al., 2008).

184 *2.5 Statistical analysis*

185 Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS (version 22, IBM, Armonk, NY).
186 The significant level was set at $p < 0.05$. Normality was checked by Shapiro-Wilk test.
187 Two separate three-way repeated-measures ANOVA (within-subject factors: three
188 frequencies, two amplitudes, three postures) was performed to investigate the effect of
189 vibration frequency, amplitude and body postures and their interactions on signal
190 transmissibility and purity, respectively. The Greenhouse-Geisser epsilon adjustment
191 was used when sphericity assumption was violated. Post-hoc analysis using paired-t
192 Bonferroni adjustment was performed if any overall significant results were identified.
193 The effect size was expressed as partial eta squared (η_p^2) (Fritz et al., 2012). Next,
194 paired t-tests with Bonferroni adjustment were used to compare the difference in
195 vibration transmissibility and signal purity between the paretic and non-paretic side for
196 each WBV condition. Spearman's rho was used to examine the relationship between
197 (a) FMA score, (b) ankle plantarflexors MAS score, (c) knee flexor MAS score, (d)
198 knee extensor MAS score and transmissibility on the paretic side.

199 **3. Results**

200 Thirty-four participants with chronic stroke [12 women, 22 men; mean age (SD):
201 62.3 (2.7) years] completed all testing. No adverse effect (e.g., dizziness, nausea) was
202 reported during our study. The demographics are summarized in Table 1. The
203 acceleration-RMS generated by the platform ranged from 0.79g to 4.94g
204 ($1g \approx 9.81m/s^2$). The signal purity measured at the level of the platform ranged from

205 93.6% to 96.8%, indicating that the WBV platform was adequate in generating the
206 intended sinusoidal signals as the signal purity value was greater than 80% (Kiiski et
207 al., 2008).

208 *3.1 Transmissibility*

209 The transmissibility in each measured body site under various vibration settings
210 is illustrated in Table 2. Amplification of vibrations was observed at the ankle on both
211 sides (transmissibility: 1.05-1.98).

212 The transmissibility decreased with increasing frequency in all sites except the
213 ankles ($p < 0.001$) (Figure 3). The significant frequency \times posture interaction effect was
214 found in all measured body sites ($F = 4.01-56.54$, $p \leq 0.009$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.11-0.63$). The
215 frequency \times posture interaction effect was more prominent with high-amplitude WBV
216 ($\eta_p^2 = 0.744$) comparing to the low-amplitude WBV ($\eta_p^2 = 0.538$) at the knee bilaterally,
217 thus accounting for the significant frequency \times posture \times amplitude interaction effects
218 at these two sites ($F = 3.35-3.97$, $p = 0.012-0.031$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.09-0.11$). The transmissibility
219 decreased with increasing amplitude in all sites except the ankles ($p \leq 0.02$) (Figure 4).

220 *3.2 Signal purity*

221 The mean signal purity values are shown in Table 3. In general, the signal purity
222 was satisfactory ($\geq 80\%$), with a few exceptions. Frequency spectrum analysis revealed
223 high-frequency components at the ankles when 20Hz and high amplitude was used
224 (Figure 5), which accounted for a relatively low signal purity value (73%). Signal purity
225 was well below 80% at the paretic hip when 40Hz was used during high squat and tip-
226 toe standing, and at the head during high squat. WBV signal purity showed no
227 significant frequency \times posture \times amplitude interaction effects in all measured sites.
228 Frequency \times posture interactions were significant at bilateral ankles, knees and hips

229 (F=2.84-4.00, $p \leq 0.011$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.12-0.18$) (Figure 6). Amplitude \times posture interactions
230 were significant in all measured sites (F=9.40-40.00, $p \leq 0.001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.22-0.55$) (Figure
231 7).

232 *3.3 Comparison between the paretic and non-paretic side*

233 On the non-paretic side, there was significantly greater transmissibility in the
234 ankle during most tip-toe standing conditions when compared with the corresponding
235 values on the paretic side. There was no significant difference between the paretic side
236 and non-paretic side regarding signal purity, regardless of the WBV conditions.

237 *3.4 Relationship to motor impairment and spasticity*

238 There was no significant association of the MAS score of the knee
239 flexors/extensors and ankle plantarflexors with transmissibility. The FMA score was
240 positively associated with transmissibility values measured at the paretic ankle during
241 most tip-toe standing conditions ($\rho = 0.36-0.60$, $p \leq 0.039$). The FMA score was also
242 positively associated with the transmissibility ($\rho = 0.34-0.38$, $p \leq 0.028$) and signal
243 purity ($\rho = 0.38-0.47$, $p \leq 0.010$) at the paretic knee and hip when performing a deep
244 squat and tip-toe standing (40Hz/high amplitude).

245 **4. Discussion**

246 Our hypothesis was confirmed that WBV transmissibility and signal purity were
247 influenced by vibration frequency, amplitude, body postures and their interactions.
248 Generally, above the ankle, increased vibration frequency or amplitude led to decreased
249 WBV transmissibility, although the magnitude of the trend was influenced by body
250 posture. Postures and body segments were the main factor that influenced vibration
251 transmissibility (Harazin and Grzesik, 1998). Bending motion of the knees with the

252 rotational motion of the pelvis could contribute the attenuation of vibration in the upper
253 body (Matsumoto and Griffin, 1998). Therefore, lower transmissibility above the knee
254 joint was observed in the deep squat posture compared to the high-squatting posture.
255 This finding is largely in line with previous literature on healthy adults (Abercromby
256 et al., 2007; Avelar et al., 2013; Rubin et al., 2003; Tankisheva et al., 2013).

257 In tip-toe standing, the relationship between vibration transmissibility and
258 frequency showed a distinct pattern. Except at the head, the vibration transmissibility
259 was significantly lower when assuming tip-toe standing compared with the two squat
260 positions, which confirmed the speculation that more weight bearing on the forefoot
261 when standing could lead to lower transmissibility (Rittweger, 2010; Tankisheva et al.,
262 2013). Muscle activation induced by the vibration could attenuate the vibration energy
263 (Tankisheva et al., 2013; Wakeling et al., 2002) and may alter the vibration
264 transmissibility in body parts above the muscles activated. During tip-toe standing, the
265 base of support was relatively small, which challenged postural stability. Muscle
266 activity of the lower limbs may be increased to maintain the posture (Branthwaite et
267 al., 2012), which in turn led to more substantial attenuation of the vibration energy
268 (Branthwaite et al., 2012; Liao et al., 2014a). In addition, the foot arch created during
269 tip-toe standing could further absorb the signals (Simkin et al., 1989). However, the
270 transmissibility to the head was greater during tip-toe standing compared with deep
271 squat, probably because the increased trunk rigidity related to augmented activation of
272 trunk muscles (Branthwaite et al., 2012) and pressure to torso joints during tip-toe
273 standing (Marouane et al., 2015).

274 Vibration amplification (i.e., transmissibility >1.0) was observed at the ankles.
275 The power spectrum analysis also showed that higher frequency component was
276 generated (figure 5). A similar phenomenon was previously found in healthy young

277 adults (Harazin and Grzesik, 1998). It was believed that during vibration cycles, the
278 feet might lose contact with vibration platform that led to an air-borne phase for the
279 feet (Rittweger, 2010). As a result, the collision occurred between platform and feet,
280 which may generate impact forces (Rittweger, 2010) and thus led to excessive loading
281 in the ankle (Kiiski et al., 2008).

282 In contrast to our hypothesis, the non-paretic side showed greater transmissibility
283 than the paretic side only at the ankle during tip-toe standing. Tip-toe standing, with a
284 narrow base of support, was quite challenging for stroke patients. The participants may
285 put more weight on the non-paretic side to improve stability, which resulted in lower
286 transmissibility on the paretic side. This may also partly explain why better motor
287 recovery of the paretic leg (i.e., higher FMA score) was correlated with greater
288 transmissibility on the same side, as those with better motor recovery may have better
289 ability to weight bear on the paretic side. Spasticity, on the other hand, was measured
290 while the participants were in a resting state, and thus may not have a noticeable
291 influence on the transmissibility of vibrations.

292 When setting WBV training protocol, safety should be the key consideration.
293 Excessive vibration loading to the head should be avoided (Caryn et al., 2014)
294 especially for people with stroke. In our study, although the average vibration intensity
295 measured at the platform was up to 4.94g, the vibration signals were substantially
296 attenuated at the level of the head (transmissibility ratio: 0.04-0.30; vibration intensity:
297 0.11-0.60g).

298 To achieve the desired therapeutic effect on bone and muscle, adequate vibration
299 transmissibility and signal purity at the target treatment site is necessary (Pang et al.,
300 2013). On the other hand, low vibration transmission to the head is important to ensure

301 safety. Based on our study findings (Table 2; Table 3), a combination of WBV
302 frequency at 20Hz, low amplitude and deep squatting position
303 may be a better choice if the treatment goal was to enhance/maintain bone mass in the
304 hip and lumbar spine. This concurred with the finding of a meta-analysis which showed
305 low-frequency (20Hz) and high-magnitude (>1g) vibrations could lead to significant
306 increase of hip and lumbar bone density in healthy older adults (Oliveira et al., 2016).
307 As our accelerometers were put in bony body area rather than the muscles, vibration
308 loading in muscle could not be directly detected. However, as muscle activation would
309 have a major damping effect on vibration signals (Wakeling et al., 2002), lower
310 transmissibility may indicate more muscle activation below the measured bony sites.
311 Thus, to activate leg muscles, deep squatting with WBV at 30-40Hz would be more
312 appropriate. However, the above hypotheses will need to be tested in future research,
313 with measurement of muscle activity/strength and bone quality.

314 As the aim was to examine the transmissibility and signal purity, the duration of
315 exposure to WBV per testing condition was very brief (20 seconds). The period should
316 generate an adequate number of vibration cycles for our data analysis. To study the
317 therapeutic or harmful effect of such exposure, probably a longer period of exposure is
318 required and will need further investigation. Although some of the testing conditions
319 involved high-intensity WBV and hence may induce a high level of muscle work, there
320 should not be major concerns with muscle fatigue or damage. First, we did monitor
321 closely the patients' condition throughout the experiment. No limb numbness,
322 discomfort or muscle fatigue was reported by our subjects. Second, the actual level of
323 muscle activation may not be very high. Previous studies examining the effect of WBV
324 on muscle activation in stroke patients (Liao et al., 2014a; Liao et al., 2015) showed
325 that addition of vibration (20-30Hz, 0.44-0.60mm, 0.96g-1.61g) led to an increase in

326 muscle activity by 10-25% of the maximal voluntary contraction. The levels of muscle
327 activation attained did not exceed 40% maximal voluntary contraction while assuming
328 various postures that were similar to those used in our study, even with their high-
329 intensity protocol (1.61g) (Liao et al., 2014a; Liao et al., 2015). There was no further
330 increase in muscle activation levels for the majority of muscle groups as the intensity
331 was changed from 0.96g to 1.61g, indicating a possible saturation in muscle response
332 (Liao et al., 2014a; Liao et al., 2015). There was also no significant difference in WBV-
333 induced EMG response between the affected and unaffected side (Liao et al., 2014a;
334 Liao et al., 2015). Moreover, we found that more severe motor deficit was associated
335 with lower transmissibility. Taken together, it is unlikely that the protocol used here
336 would induce a very high level of muscle activation and cause muscle damage. In fact,
337 resistance training in stroke patients often involves a high level of muscle work (60-
338 80% of 1 repetition maximum) in order to induce strength training effect (Patten et al.,
339 2004). Tankisheva et al. (2014) also found that high-intensity vibrations (frequency:
340 35Hz-40Hz, amplitude: 1.7-2.5mm, intensity: up to 16.1g) could significantly increase
341 knee extensor strength after 6 weeks of training without causing any fatigue or pain.

342 Vibration amplification was found in the ankle joint at 20-40Hz and knee joint at
343 20Hz. Therefore, caution should be exercised when applying WBV to stroke patients
344 who also have ankle or/and knee pathology, especially when the above frequencies are
345 used. For people with severe stroke motor impairments, uneven weight bearing would
346 occur during WBV. Hence, good contact between the feet and vibration platform and
347 more symmetrical body-weight distribution pattern should be ensured (Emerenziani et
348 al., 2014), thus to reduce the air-borne effect at the ankles and promote better vibration
349 transmission to the paretic side (Rittweger, 2010).

350 Several limitations of our study should be considered. First, skin-mounted and
351 bite-bar accelerometers were used. Although it may not be as accurate as the bone-
352 mounted method, the non-invasive skin-mounted or bite-bar accelerometers provide a
353 much safer and feasible option, and have been used in previous studies (Abercromby
354 et al., 2007; Avelar et al., 2013; Caryn et al., 2014; Cook et al., 2011; Crewther et al.,
355 2004; Harazin and Grzesik, 1998; Kiiski et al., 2008; Lafortune et al., 1996; Matsumoto
356 and Griffin, 1998; Tankisheva et al., 2013). We also put light-weight plastic between
357 the skin and accelerometer to minimize the influence of skin stretch, uneven bony
358 surface, temperature and humidity (Matsumoto and Griffin, 1998). Our power
359 spectrum analysis also showed that the signal purity could reach 96.5%, 94.4%, 87.0%,
360 92.2% and 87.3% in ankles, knees, hips, lumbar and head, respectively, which
361 suggested that our accelerometers were well mounted. Second, we did not report how
362 muscle activation varied with the different WBV parameters tested in the current study.
363 Future studies may explore the muscle activation and its relationship with the WBV
364 transmission. We also did not assess the beneficial or harmful effects of long-term
365 exposure of WBV. This issue awaits further research.

366 In summary, WBV amplitude, frequency, body postures and their interaction
367 could significantly influence the vibration transmissibility and signal purity among
368 people with chronic stroke. Leg muscle spasticity was not significantly related to
369 WBV transmissibility. Less severe lower limb motor impairment was associated with
370 greater transmissibility at the paretic ankle, knee, and hip in certain WBV conditions.
371 In clinical practice, WBV amplitude, frequency, body postures need to be considered
372 regarding the therapeutic purpose. Good contact between the feet and vibration
373 platform and symmetrical body-weight distribution pattern should be ensured.

374 **Complete with Interest**

375 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

376 **Acknowledgements**

377 This work was supported by General Research Fund (No. 524511) from Research
378 Grants Council of Hong Kong. Meizhen Huang is supported by The Hong Kong
379 Polytechnic University full-time PhD studentship.

380

381 (Word count: 3999)

382

383 **References**

384 1. Abercromby, A.F., Amonette, W.E., Layne, C.S., McFarlin, B.K., Hinman,
385 M.R., Paloski, W.H., 2007. Vibration exposure and biodynamic responses during
386 whole-body vibration training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 39, 1794-1800.

387 2. Avelar, N.C., Ribeiro, V.G., Mezencio, B., Fonseca, S.F., Tossige-Gomes, R.,
388 da Costa, S.J., Szmuchrowski, L., Gripp, F., Coimbra, C.C., Lacerda, A.C., 2013.
389 Influence of the knee flexion on muscle activation and transmissibility during whole
390 body vibration. *Journal of electromyography and kinesiology : official journal of the*
391 *International Society of Electrophysiological Kinesiology* 23, 844-850.

392 3. Bochnia, M., Morgenroth, K., Dziewiszek, W., Kassner, J., 2005. Experimental
393 vibratory damage of the inner ear. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 262, 307-313.

394 4. Bohannon, R.W., Smith, M.B., 1987. Interrater reliability of a modified
395 Ashworth scale of muscle spasticity. *Phys Ther* 67, 206-207.

- 396 5. Bovenzi, M., 2006. Health risks from occupational exposures to mechanical
397 vibration. *Med Lav* 97, 535-541.
- 398 6. Branthwaite, H., Chockalingam, N., Pandyan, A., Khatri, G., 2012. Evaluation
399 of lower limb electromyographic activity when using unstable shoes for the first time:
400 a pilot quasi control trial. *Prosthetics and orthotics international*, 0309364612464812.
- 401 7. Brogårdh, C., Flansbjer, U.-B., Lexell, J., 2012. No specific effect of whole-
402 body vibration training in chronic stroke: a double-blind randomized controlled study.
403 *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation* 93, 253-258.
- 404 8. Caryn, R.C., Hazell, T.J., Dickey, J.P., 2014. Transmission of acceleration from
405 a synchronous vibration exercise platform to the head. *International journal of sports*
406 *medicine* 35, 330-338.
- 407 9. Chan, K.S., Liu, C.W., Chen, T.W., Weng, M.C., Huang, M.H., Chen, C.H.,
408 2012. Effects of a single session of whole body vibration on ankle plantarflexion
409 spasticity and gait performance in patients with chronic stroke: a randomized controlled
410 trial. *Clin Rehabil* 26, 1087-1095.
- 411 10. Cook, D.P., Mileva, K.N., James, D.C., Zaidell, L.N., Goss, V.G., Bowtell, J.L.,
412 2011. Triaxial modulation of the acceleration induced in the lower extremity during
413 whole-body vibration training: a pilot study. *J Strength Cond Res* 25, 298-308.
- 414 11. Crewther, B., Cronin, J., Keogh, J., 2004. Gravitational forces and whole body
415 vibration: implications for prescription of vibratory stimulation. *Physical Therapy in*
416 *Sport* 5, 37-43.
- 417 12. Cruz, T.H., Lewek, M.D., Dhaher, Y.Y., 2009. Biomechanical impairments and
418 gait adaptations post-stroke: multi-factorial associations. *J Biomech* 42, 1673-1677.

- 419 13. Emerenziani, G.P., Meucci, M., Gallotta, M.C., Buzzachera, C.F., Guidetti, L.,
420 Baldari, C., 2014. Whole body vibration: unsupervised training or combined with a
421 supervised multi-purpose exercise for fitness? *J Sports Sci* 32, 1033-1041.
- 422 14. Fritz, C.O., Morris, P.E., Richler, J.J., 2012. Effect size estimates: current use,
423 calculations, and interpretation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* 141, 2.
- 424 15. Griffin, M.J., 1996. *Handbook of Human Vibration*. Elsevier.
- 425 16. Harazin, B., Grzesik, J., 1998. The transmission of vertical whole-body
426 vibration to the body segments of standing subjects. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*
427 215, 775-787.
- 428 17. Ishitake, T., Ando, H., Miyazaki, Y., Matoba, F., 1998. Changes of visual
429 performance induced by exposure to whole-body vibration. *Kurume Med J* 45, 59-62.
- 430 18. Jackson, S.W., Turner, D.L., 2003. Prolonged muscle vibration reduces
431 maximal voluntary knee extension performance in both the ipsilateral and the
432 contralateral limb in man. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 88, 380-386.
- 433 19. Kiiski, J., Heinonen, A., Jarvinen, T.L., Kannus, P., Sievanen, H., 2008.
434 Transmission of vertical whole body vibration to the human body. *Journal of bone and*
435 *mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral*
436 *Research* 23, 1318-1325.
- 437 20. Lafortune, M.A., Lake, M.J., Hennig, E.M., 1996. Differential shock
438 transmission response of the human body to impact severity and lower limb posture. *J*
439 *Biomech* 29, 1531-1537.

- 440 21. Liao, L.-R., Lam, F., Pang, M., Jones, A., Ng, G., 2014a. Leg muscle activity
441 during whole-body vibration in individuals with chronic stroke. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*
442 46, 537-545.
- 443 22. Liao, L.R., Lam, F.M., Pang, M.Y., Jones, A.Y., Ng, G.Y., 2014b. Leg muscle
444 activity during whole-body vibration in individuals with chronic stroke. *Med Sci Sports*
445 *Exerc* 46, 537-545.
- 446 23. Liao, L.R., Ng, G.Y., Jones, A.Y., Chung, R.C., Pang, M.Y., 2015. Effects of
447 Vibration Intensity, Exercise, and Motor Impairment on Leg Muscle Activity Induced
448 by Whole-Body Vibration in People With Stroke. *Phys Ther* 95, 1617-1627.
- 449 24. Liao, L.R., Ng, G.Y., Jones, A.Y., Huang, M.Z., Pang, M.Y., 2016. Whole-
450 Body Vibration Intensities in Chronic Stroke: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Med Sci*
451 *Sports Exerc* 48, 1227-1238.
- 452 25. Luo, J., McNamara, B., Moran, K., 2005. The use of vibration training to
453 enhance muscle strength and power. *Sports Med* 35, 23-41.
- 454 26. Marin, P.J., Ferrero, C.M., Menendez, H., Martin, J., Herrero, A.J., 2013.
455 Effects of whole-body vibration on muscle architecture, muscle strength, and balance
456 in stroke patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 92, 881-888.
- 457 27. Marouane, H., Shirazi-Adl, A., Adouni, M., 2015. Knee joint passive stiffness
458 and moment in sagittal and frontal planes markedly increase with compression.
459 *Computer methods in biomechanics and biomedical engineering* 18, 339-350.
- 460 28. Matsumoto, Y., Griffin, M., 1998. Dynamic response of the standing human
461 body exposed to vertical vibration: influence of posture and vibration magnitude.
462 *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 212, 85-107.

- 463 29. Miyara, K., Matsumoto, S., Uema, T., Hirokawa, T., Noma, T., Shimodozono,
464 M., Kawahira, K., 2014. Feasibility of using whole body vibration as a means for
465 controlling spasticity in post-stroke patients: a pilot study. *Complement Ther Clin Pract*
466 20, 70-73.
- 467 30. Oliveira, L.C., Oliveira, R.G., Pires-Oliveira, D.A., 2016. Effects of whole body
468 vibration on bone mineral density in postmenopausal women: a systematic review and
469 meta-analysis. *Osteoporos Int*.
- 470 31. Pang, M.Y., Lau, R.W., Yip, S.P., 2013. The effects of whole-body vibration
471 therapy on bone turnover, muscle strength, motor function, and spasticity in chronic
472 stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med* 49, 439-450.
- 473 32. Patten, C., Lexell, J., Brown, H.E., 2004. Weakness and strength training in
474 persons with poststroke hemiplegia: rationale, method, and efficacy. *J Rehabil Res Dev*
475 41, 293-312.
- 476 33. Ribot-Ciscar, E., Rossi-Durand, C., Roll, J.P., 1998. Muscle spindle activity
477 following muscle tendon vibration in man. *Neurosci Lett* 258, 147-150.
- 478 34. Rittweger, J., 2010. Vibration as an exercise modality: how it may work, and
479 what its potential might be. *European journal of applied physiology* 108, 877-904.
- 480 35. Rubin, C., Pope, M., Fritton, J.C., Magnusson, M., Hansson, T., McLeod, K.,
481 2003. Transmissibility of 15-hertz to 35-hertz vibrations to the human hip and lumbar
482 spine: determining the physiologic feasibility of delivering low-level anabolic
483 mechanical stimuli to skeletal regions at greatest risk of fracture because of
484 osteoporosis. *Spine* 28, 2621-2627.

- 485 36. Simkin, A., Leichter, I., Giladi, M., Stein, M., Milgrom, C., 1989. Combined
486 effect of foot arch structure and an orthotic device on stress fractures. *Foot Ankle* 10,
487 25-29.
- 488 37. Sonza, A., Robinson, C.C., Achaval, M., Zaro, M.A., 2015. Whole body
489 vibration at different exposure frequencies: infrared thermography and physiological
490 effects. *ScientificWorldJournal* 2015, 452657.
- 491 38. Sullivan, K.J., Tilson, J.K., Cen, S.Y., Rose, D.K., Hershberg, J., Correa, A.,
492 Gallichio, J., McLeod, M., Moore, C., Wu, S.S., Duncan, P.W., 2011. Fugl-Meyer
493 assessment of sensorimotor function after stroke: standardized training procedure for
494 clinical practice and clinical trials. *Stroke* 42, 427-432.
- 495 39. Tankisheva, E., Bogaerts, A., Boonen, S., Feys, H., Verschueren, S., 2014.
496 Effects of intensive whole-body vibration training on muscle strength and balance in
497 adults with chronic stroke: a randomized controlled pilot study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*
498 95, 439-446.
- 499 40. Tankisheva, E., Jonkers, I., Boonen, S., Delecluse, C., van Lenthe, G.H., Druyts,
500 H.L., Spaepen, P., Verschueren, S.M., 2013. Transmission of whole-body vibration and
501 its effect on muscle activation. *J Strength Cond Res* 27, 2533-2541.
- 502 41. Tarabini, M., Solbiati, S., Moschioni, G., Saggin, B., Scaccabarozzi, D., 2014.
503 Analysis of non-linear response of the human body to vertical whole-body vibration.
504 *Ergonomics* 57, 1711-1723.
- 505 42. Tihanyi, T.K., Horvath, M., Fazekas, G., Hortobagyi, T., Tihanyi, J., 2007. One
506 session of whole body vibration increases voluntary muscle strength transiently in
507 patients with stroke. *Clin Rehabil* 21, 782-793.

- 508 43. Torcasio, A., van Lenthe, G.H., Van Oosterwyck, H., 2008. The importance of
509 loading frequency, rate and vibration for enhancing bone adaptation and implant
510 osseointegration. *Eur Cell Mater* 16, 56-68.
- 511 44. van Nes, I.J., Latour, H., Schils, F., Meijer, R., van Kuijk, A., Geurts, A.C.,
512 2006. Long-term effects of 6-week whole-body vibration on balance recovery and
513 activities of daily living in the postacute phase of stroke: a randomized, controlled trial.
514 *Stroke* 37, 2331-2335.
- 515 45. Wakeling, J.M., Nigg, B.M., Rozitis, A.I., 2002. Muscle activity damps the soft
516 tissue resonance that occurs in response to pulsed and continuous vibrations. *Journal of*
517 *Applied Physiology* 93, 1093-1103.