

1 **Title: Spatiotemporal Influence of Temperature, Air Quality, and Urban**

2 **Environment on Cause-Specific Mortality during Hazy Days**

3

4 **Authors:** Hung Chak Ho^{1,2}, Man Sing Wong^{1,2}, Lin Yang³, Wenzhong Shi^{1,2}, Jinxin

5 Yang^{1,2}, Muhammad Bilal^{1,2}, Ta-Chien Chan⁴

6 **Affiliations:**

7 1. Department of Land Surveying and Geo-Informatics, Hong Kong Polytechnic

8 University, Hong Kong

9 2. Research Institute for Sustainable Urban Development, Hong Kong

10 Polytechnic University, Hong Kong

11 3. School of Nursing, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong

12 4. Research Center for Humanities and Social Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taiwan

13

14 Corresponding author: Man Sing Wong, Department of Land Surveying and Geo-

15 informatics, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong

16 Email: lswong@polyu.edu.hk

17

18

19

1 **Abstract**

2 Haze is an extreme weather event that can severely increase air pollution
3 exposure, resulting in higher burdens on human health. A few studies have explored
4 the health effects of haze, and none have investigated the spatiotemporal
5 interaction between temperature, air quality and urban environment that may
6 exacerbate the adverse health effects of haze.

7 We investigated the spatiotemporal pattern of haze effects and explored the
8 additional effects of temperature, air pollution and urban environment on the short-
9 term mortality risk during hazy days. We applied a Poisson regression model to daily
10 mortality data of 2007 through 2014, to analyze the short-term mortality risk during
11 haze events in Hong Kong. We evaluated the adverse effect on five types of cause-
12 specific mortality after four types of haze events. We also analyzed the additional
13 effect contributed by the spatial variability of urban environment on each type of
14 cause-specific mortality during a specific haze event. A regular hazy day (lag 0) has
15 higher all-cause mortality risk than a day without haze (odds ratio: 1.029 [1.009,
16 1.049]). We have also observed high mortality risks associated with mental disorders
17 and nervous system during hazy days. In addition, extreme weather and air quality
18 contributed to haze-related mortality, while cold weather and higher ground-level
19 ozone had stronger influences on mortality risk. Areas with a high-density

1 environment, lower vegetation, higher anthropogenic heat, and higher PM_{2.5}
2 featured stronger effects of haze on mortality than the others. A combined influence
3 of haze, extreme weather/air quality, and urban environment can result in extremely
4 high mortality due to mental/behavioral disorders or diseases of the nervous system.

5 In conclusion, we developed a data-driven technique to analyze the effects of
6 haze on mortality. Our results target the specific dates and areas with higher
7 mortality during haze events, which can be used for development of health warning
8 protocols/systems.

9

10 **Keywords:** haze; short-term mortality risk; cause-specific mortality; spatial analytics;
11 community vulnerability

1 **Introduction**

2 Air pollution has been associated with a heavy burden of mortality and
3 morbidity (Qiu et al., 2014; Shang et al., 2013). During an extreme pollution event,
4 negative changes in air quality can severely increase human health risks (Brook et al.,
5 2016). Previous studies have focused on studying the short-term mortality effects of
6 isolated extreme events, for example, dust storms and wildfire smoke (Crooks et al.,
7 2016; Johnston et al., 2011; Othman et al., 2014; Tobías et al., 2011; Wong et al.,
8 2017). These studies have observed significantly more deaths during extreme events
9 compared to days with baseline air pollution (e.g. traffic-related pollution). However,
10 there have been no studies attempting to investigate the influence of haze on daily
11 mortality, and only a few studies have explored the relationship between haze and
12 morbidity risk (Kunii et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015b), despite the
13 fact that haze is a common meteorological phenomenon that can cause heavy air
14 pollution (Tao et al., 2014). Alternatively, some environmental health studies have
15 used high daily particulate matter concentrations (PM) as a measure of hazy dust
16 (Liu et al., 2014), but such studies cannot separate the health impacts of haze from
17 the other types of air pollution such as wildfire dust events and dust storms.
18 Therefore, those results cannot directly represent the morbidity and mortality risks
19 during a hazy day. In summary, it is necessary to conduct a comprehensive study to

1 estimate the relationship between haze and mortality, in order to target vulnerable
2 populations and high-risk areas for health interventions.

3 Haze is commonly observed in Asia due to rapid industrialization and
4 urbanization (Huang et al., 2014), and is usually associated with low visibility (Zhang
5 et al., 2015). While the major component of haze can be particulate matters (PM)
6 (Tao et al., 2014), temperature and humidity can also influence a haze event,
7 resulting in lower visibility and higher air pollution on a hazy day (Deng et al. 2016).
8 This complex system of haze formation has been studied from a climatological
9 perspective (Tan et al., 2009; Tao et al., 2014). However, no studies have included
10 this atmospheric interaction in an environmental health study. Previous studies on
11 haze and health have applied simple bio-statistical analyses to describe the general
12 health risk of haze (Kunii et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015b). These
13 studies were unable to provide evidence of how interactions between the different
14 components of the geophysical environment, such as temperature, ground-level
15 ozone, and the built environment, can enhance the effect of hazy days on health risk.
16 In addition, these studies have explored the relationship between haze events and
17 only cardiovascular and respiratory diseases attributable to air pollution. None of
18 them have investigated the relationship between haze and mental disorders,

1 although adverse mental health conditions have recently found to be associated
2 with extreme weather (Ding et al., 2015; Rataj et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2014).

3 Therefore, it is essential to develop an innovative study investigating the
4 spatiotemporal influence of temperature, air pollution, and urban environment on
5 haze mortality. The aim of this study is to apply a data-driven technique to
6 comprehensively analyze the short-term mortality risk after a haze event, for the
7 purpose of better developing public health protocols. The specific objectives of this
8 study include: 1) to estimate the short-term cause-specific mortality risk during days
9 with haze; 2) to compare the short-term mortality risk during hazy days with
10 different temperature and air quality, and; 3) to evaluate haze mortality in areas
11 with different urban environments based on delineations of spatial data.

12 Hong Kong was selected as the setting for this study, due to multiple hazy days
13 having been observed between 2007 and 2014. In addition, the high-density built
14 environment of this city can reduce air ventilation, thereby increasing air pollution
15 across urban areas (Wong et al., 2011).

16

17 **Data and Methods**

18 *Temporal Data*

1 We obtained mortality data for 2007 to 2014 (inclusive) from the Hong Kong
2 Census and Statistics Department. This mortality dataset includes decedents with
3 the following information: 1) date of death; 2) cause of death classified by the 10th
4 revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health
5 Problems (ICD-10), and; 3) the location of residence registered by the 2006 Tertiary
6 Planning Unit (TPU) of Hong Kong. An hourly report of haze was obtained from the
7 Hong Kong Observatory, used for classifying days with and without haze in Hong
8 Kong. Based on the definition provided by the Hong Kong Observatory, a “hazy day”
9 is a calendar day with at least one hour of “visibility < 5 km, relative humidity < 95 %,
10 no fog, no mist, and no precipitation”. Daily averages of hourly temperature and
11 hourly relative humidity data were retrieved from the weather station located at the
12 Hong Kong Observatory, and were used as control variables for statistical modelling.
13 We also used air quality data (NO₂, O₃, and SO₂) as potential confounders or control
14 variables. These air quality data were the daily averages of seven monitoring stations
15 at both urban and rural areas of Hong Kong (Central Western, Sham Shui Po, Sha Tin,
16 Tai Po, Tsuen Wan, Kwai Chung, and Tap Mun), under the operation of the Hong
17 Kong Environmental Protection Department.

18

19 *Spatial data*

1 We have used four spatial maps that can represent the following environmental
2 factors: 1) sky view factor (SVF); 2) vegetation coverage; 3) anthropogenic heat; and
3 4) air pollution exposure. These maps were used to estimate the spatiotemporal
4 influence of the urban environment on mortality risk during a hazy day.

5 SVF is a ratio with a range of dimensionless values from 0 to 1 for
6 demonstrating the portion of unobscured sky in an area. It is commonly used to
7 represent building morphology, especially to determine air ventilation for urban
8 climatic research and air pollution studies (Hodul et al., 2016). In this study, we
9 followed the high-accuracy method of Zakšek et al. (2011) to map the SVF across
10 Hong Kong. This SVF map was derived from both airborne LiDAR data and a building
11 map of Hong Kong to precisely represent the built environment of both urban and
12 rural areas (Yang et al., 2015). High density, high-rise environments have lower SVF
13 and poor ventilation that may induce poor air quality, while higher SVF can indicate
14 an area with more open spaces and better air ventilation.

15 Vegetation coverage is known to be a factor that can improve air quality
16 through its influence on pollutant deposition and dispersion (Janhäll, 2015). To
17 accurately locate vegetation for mortality estimation, we have used a vegetation
18 map derived from the land use and land cover information from the Planning
19 Department of Hong Kong.

1 Anthropogenic heat is a common factor that can increase the occurrence and
2 intensity of haze (Srivastava et al., 2012). In this study, we used a high-spatial-quality
3 map from Wong et al. (2015b) to describe the intra-urban variability of annually
4 averaged daytime anthropogenic heat flux across Hong Kong.

5 Fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) is the air pollutant that has the strongest
6 association with haze (Tao et al., 2014). Therefore, we mapped the PM_{2.5} with 142
7 cloud-free Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Aerosol Optical
8 Depth (AOD) datasets across Hong Kong based on the method of Bilal et al. (2017),
9 to describe the spatial variability of daytime air pollution exposure. This method of
10 Bilal et al. (2017) is accurate for local application in Hong Kong (R = 0.78).

11 We aggregated these four maps to the TPU-level by averaging all values within a
12 TPU, and we added the aggregated information of these four maps into models as
13 covariates (Wong et al., 2017). There were 287 TPUs in Hong Kong based on the
14 2006 version, which can maintain high spatial variability of all spatial maps after
15 aggregation.

16

17 *Estimation of Cause-Specific Mortality Risk*

18 There are two common epidemiological designs to analyze effects of air
19 pollution or extreme weather on health risk: 1) “time-series analysis” and 2) “case-

1 only analysis". Time-series analysis compiles all data of a study period for modelling
2 (Atkinson et al., 2014; Breitner et al., 2014), resulting in a more comprehensive
3 estimation that is also more suitable for separating effect modification from the
4 "main effect" of air pollution (Qiu et al., 2015). However, time-series analysis cannot
5 directly differentiate excess mortality of cases from control groups, while case-only
6 analysis can estimate it, with the additional benefits of simplifying data analysis and
7 reducing model complexity (Kosatsky et al., 2012). Therefore, case-only analysis is
8 commonly used for analyzing impacts of extreme weather on health risk (Qiu et al.,
9 2016). While case-only analysis can be useful, one limitation of this method is that
10 the selection of a control period is not as comprehensive as time-series analysis, and
11 may induce statistical bias with poor selection.

12 In this study, we integrated a time-series analysis with a case-only analysis to
13 estimate the mortality risk contributed by haze. We applied a Poisson regression of a
14 generalized linear model to conduct this time-series case-only analysis:

$$15 \quad \text{Log}E(D_t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Haze}_{(t)} + \beta_2 \text{RH}_{(t)} + \beta_3 \text{Tmean}_{(t)} + \beta_4 \text{O}_3(t) + \beta_5 \text{NO}_{(t)} + \beta_6 \text{SO}_{(t)} +$$
$$16 \quad \beta_7 \text{DOW}_{(t)} + \beta_8 \text{Month}_{(t)}$$

17 where D_t is the daily count of cause-specific deaths on day t ; $Haze$ is a binary
18 indicator of a day with or without a specific haze event on day t , RH is the relative
19 humidity; $Tmean$ is the daily average temperature; O_3 is the daily average O_3 ; NO_2 is

1 the daily average NO_2 ; SO_2 is the daily average SO_2 ; *DOW* is a category variable of the
2 day of the week; and *Month* is a continuous variable of the month of a year for
3 controlling the seasonality. In brief, we examined the independent effect of haze
4 events on daily mortality based on the odds ratio (OR) and its 95% confidence
5 intervals (CI) estimated by the β_1 (Szumilas, 2010). *RH*, *Tmean*, O_3 , NO_2 , and SO_2 were
6 the variables controlling for baseline influences of weather and air quality, *DOW* was
7 a variable controlling for the weekday-weekend effect, and *Month* was used for
8 controlling the effect of seasonality. All analyses were conducted in R software with
9 the “glm2” package (Marschner et al., 2009). In addition, we did not use PM_{10} and
10 $PM_{2.5}$ as confounders to reduce potential issue of multicollinearity with haze.

11 In order to evaluate the impact of haze events on cause-specific mortality, we
12 examined four types of haze event based on their influences on daily mortality. These
13 were 1) “regular haze day”, defined by all haze events which occurred during the
14 study period; 2) “hot hazy days”, defined by haze events with daily average
15 temperature $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$ percentile of the study period; 3) “cold hazy days”, for haze
16 events with daily average temperature $\leq 10^{\text{th}}$ percentile; and 4) “hazy days with
17 higher ozone”, for haze events with ground-level $O_3 \geq 90^{\text{th}}$ percentile. We selected
18 ground-level O_3 in this study because it is directly related to climate change and
19 extreme weather with potential influence on health issues (Adam-Poupart et al.,

1 2014; Bell et al., 2007; Ebi & McGregor, 2008; Kan et al., 2012), while other types of
2 air pollutants (e.g. NO, NO₂, SO₂) are associated with traffic-related pollution. All
3 types of haze events were compared with five groups of cause-specific mortality,
4 including 1) all-cause mortality; 2) cardiorespiratory mortality (ICD-10 codes I00-I99
5 and J00-J99); 3) mental and behavioral disorders (F00-F99); 4) mortality associated
6 with diseases of the nervous system (G00-G99); and 5) mortality caused by disease of
7 the skin and subcutaneous tissue (L00-L99). These five groups of cause-specific
8 mortality were defined based on previous studies. In brief, 1) and 2) were commonly
9 found to be associated with extreme weather and air pollution (Ho et al., 2017;
10 Tsangari et al., 2016; Wong et al., 2015), and 3) – 5) have recently found to have
11 associations with air quality and extreme weather (Calderón-Garcidueñas et al.,
12 2015; Dales & Cakmak, 2016; Ding et al., 2015; Krutmann et al., 2014; Yackerson et
13 al., 2014). Taken together, these combinations can determine the difference in
14 impacts of temperature and air quality on cause-specific mortality during a haze
15 event.

16

17 *Spatiotemporal Effects of Temperature, Air Quality and Built Environment*

18 We investigated the spatiotemporal influences of urban environment on daily
19 mortality, under different conditions of temperature and air pollution:

1
$$\text{LogE}(D_{\text{identified_regions}(t)}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1\text{Haze}(t) + \beta_2\text{RH}(t) + \beta_3\text{Tmean}(t) + \beta_4\text{O}_3(t) +$$

2
$$\beta_5\text{NO}(t) + \beta_6\text{SO}(t) + \beta_7\text{DOW}(t) + \beta_8\text{Month}(t)$$

3 where $D_{\text{identified_regions}(t)}$ represents the daily count of cause-specific deaths
4 of areas identified based on the delineation of maps.

5 In this study, we adopted the method of Wong et al. (2008) to spatially delineate
6 the maps, for estimating the additional effect of the urban environment on short-
7 term mortality risk during a specific haze event. We identified four types of areas
8 that may have potential influence on mortality risk based on where 50 percent of all
9 decedents located, including: 1) TPUs with SVF <50th percentile representing districts
10 with a high-density environment and poorer air ventilation; 2) TPUs with percentage
11 of vegetation < 50th percentile indicating areas with less influence on air pollutant
12 deposition and dispersion; 3) TPUs with higher anthropogenic heat ($\geq 50^{\text{th}}$
13 percentile) that may be associated with haze formation; and 4) TPUs with $\text{PM}_{2.5} \geq$
14 50th percentile showing areas with higher air pollution exposure directly related to
15 haze. We evaluated the influence of these four types of areas on the haze-mortality
16 link by comparing their ORs and CIs to the corresponding ORs and CIs determined by
17 all areas across Hong Kong, the latter being the baseline ORs. For an identified area
18 with OR and CIs higher than the baseline, we reported that this result should be
19 contributed by a significant added effect of the urban environmental factor.

1

2 **Results**

3 *Data Summary*

4 After exclusion of all data with a missing death date or missing location of
5 residence, a total of 284,477 decedents were recorded in 2007 through 2014. Based
6 on 50th percentiles of all decedents above, we identified TPUs with average SVF
7 lower than 0.675 as areas with high-density environment (Figure 1). In addition,
8 TPUs with percentage of vegetation < 49.57%, average anthropogenic heat flux >=
9 52.25 W/M², and average PM_{2.5} >= 52.05ppm were the districts with low vegetation
10 cover (Figure 2), high anthropogenic heat (Figure 3) and high PM_{2.5} (Figure 4).

11 To minimize the bias from the delay in reporting deaths, decedents of the last
12 two days of 2014 (Dec 30 and Dec 31) were excluded from this time-series case-only
13 analysis. After further exclusion of decedents of Dec 30 and 31 of 2014, 284,446
14 death records were included in this study. There were 111 hazy days observed by the
15 weather station at the headquarter of Hong Kong Observatory between Jan 1, 2007
16 and Dec 29, 2014, in which 11,365 decedents died on these hazy days (Table 5). Ten
17 of these 111 haze days were days with average temperature higher than the 90th
18 percentile (29.51°C) and 8 of these days had an average temperature lower than the

1 10th percentile (15.75°C); 19 of these hazy days had an average daily ground-level
2 ozone higher than the 90th percentile (70.73ppm).

3 Heavy air pollution was observed on these hazy days. PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, and O₃
4 were on average 47.6ppm, 10.5ppm, 21.5ppm and 10.7ppm higher than days
5 without haze. Among all types of air pollutants, PM₁₀ was the most significant, with
6 an average concentration on hazy days 105% higher than days without a haze event.
7 Therefore, mortality risk during a hazy day can be contributed by high air pollution,
8 especially an exponential increase in particulate matters. In addition, we observed
9 disparities of all-cause mortality during haze events across Hong Kong (Figure 5). This
10 implies that the urban environment may have additional influence of mortality risk
11 during hazy days in Hong Kong.

12

13 *Mortality Risk of Haze Events*

14 In general, a hazy day (lag 0) has higher all-cause mortality than a day without
15 haze (OR: 1.029 [CI: 1.009, 1.049]), controlling for seasonal effects (Table 2). During a
16 hazy day with lower temperature, the OR of all-cause mortality is 1.131 [CI: 1.061,
17 1.204]; and on the lag 1, 2, and 3 days of this colder hazy day, the ORs of all-cause
18 mortality are respectively 1.095 [1.027, 1.167], 1.074 [1.007, 1.145], and 1.098
19 [1.034, 1.166]. This indicates a generally higher risk of all-cause mortality during a

1 haze event on cold days than the other days of a year. In contrast, higher
2 temperature only significantly contributes to a higher mortality risk on a lag 2 day
3 after a haze event (OR: 1.074 [1.006, 1.147]), indicating a much weaker combined
4 effect of heat and haze on mortality compared with the cold effect. In addition,
5 ground-level ozone pollution also shows a significant interaction with short-term
6 mortality risk. On lag 1, lag 2, and lag 3 days of a haze event with higher ozone
7 pollution, the ORs of all-cause mortality are 1.060 [1.012, 1.110], 1.058 [1.010,
8 1.111], and 1.096 [1.047, 1.147], respectively.

9 We also found a shift in the relative contribution of haze events to cause-
10 specific mortality. For cardiorespiratory mortality, our results indicated a significant
11 increase in risk during the lag 2 day (OR: 1.043 [1.012, 1.074]) and lag 3 day (OR:
12 1.037 [1.006, 1.068]) of a hazy day. We also observed a higher mortality risk
13 between lag 0 - 3 days of a haze event with lower temperature, with the highest OR
14 of 1.167 [1.066, 1.277] on the hazy day (lag 0). These results indicate that a
15 combination of cold weather and a haze event has a significant adverse effect on
16 cardiorespiratory mortality. There were also influences of hotter temperature and
17 higher ozone on cardiorespiratory mortality, showing that cardiorespiratory issues
18 should be one of the major causes of death during a haze event.

1 Another significant impact on cause-specific mortality that we should note is
2 the severe influence of haze events on mental and behavioral disorders. Although a
3 hazy day generally has 2.9% higher risk than a day without haze, a very intense
4 adverse effect is found on the mortality associated with mental and behavior
5 disorders, for which the ORs of lag 0 and 1 days are 1.164 [1.008, 1.344] and 1.265
6 [1.101, 1.454]. Influenced by the high ozone of a hazy day, the ORs of lag 0, 1 and 3
7 days can be up to 1.789 [1.206, 2.652], 1.545 [1.130, 2.112], and 1.480 [1.082,
8 2.023], respectively. The second day of a haze event with higher temperature (lag 1)
9 is also associated with a peak in short-term mortality (OR: 1.789 [1.206, 2.652]). This
10 evidence pinpoints the necessity of targeting members of the population with
11 mental and behavioral disorders for public health prevention during a haze event,
12 especially on days also affected by other types of extreme meteorological
13 phenomena.

14 We have also observed significant mortality risk associated with the nervous
15 system on the lag 1 day of a haze event (OR: 1.297 [1.051, 1.600]) and on the lag 3
16 day of a hazy day with higher ozone (OR: 1.887 [1.250, 2.850]). There is no
17 association between haze and mortality caused by diseases of the skin and
18 subcutaneous tissue.

19

1 *Spatiotemporal Effects of Urban Environment on Cause-Specific Mortality*

2 We observed a distinctive spatiotemporal pattern of cause-specific mortality
3 associated with haze. In brief, a cold hazy day was the only event with
4 spatiotemporal influence on all-cause mortality contributed by a high-density
5 environment, low vegetation cover, high anthropogenic heat and high PM_{2.5} across a
6 district (Table 3). Areas with lower vegetation and higher PM_{2.5} had significantly
7 higher all-cause mortality during the lag 2 day of a hot hazy day, with ORs of 1.118
8 [1.021, 1.224] and 1.135 [1.039, 1.241] respectively. There were also influences of
9 low vegetation cover and high anthropogenic heat on the lag 2 day of a haze event
10 with higher ozone (ORs: 1.097 [1.028, 1.171] and 1.083 [1.015, 1.154]), while a
11 regular hazy day showed no significant additional effect contributed by the urban
12 environment. These results imply that urban environmental factors have different
13 contributions to cause-specific mortality during a specific type of haze event.

14 The difference in additional effects was clearer when we compared
15 cardiorespiratory mortality to mortality associated with mental and behavioral
16 disorders. During a regular hazy day, only areas with higher PM_{2.5} had significantly
17 higher cardiorespiratory mortality risk (Table 4), with an OR on a lag 3 day of 1.051
18 [1.008, 1.095]; and during a hazy day with higher ozone, only low vegetation cover
19 was a significant factor for cardiorespiratory mortality (OR: 1.139 [1.035, 1.254]).

1 However, for a hot hazy day, areas with higher PM_{2.5}, as well as areas with lower
2 vegetation, contributed to higher cardiorespiratory mortality risk; and for a cold hazy
3 day, all environmental factors significantly influenced cardiorespiratory mortality.
4 These were very different from the results of mortality associated with mental and
5 behavioral disorders, as a high-density environment had no contribution to mental
6 issues during all haze events (Table 5). For a regular hazy day, the mortality
7 associated with mental and behavioral disorders was highly influenced by the spatial
8 variability of PM_{2.5} and vegetation; the ORs of areas with higher PM_{2.5} on lag 0 and 1
9 days are 1.274 [1.052, 1.542] and 1.471 [1.229, 1.760], and the OR of areas with
10 lower vegetation on a lag 1 day is 1.387 [1.155, 1.666]. The additional effects
11 contributed by the urban environment on the mortality associated with mental and
12 behavioral disorders can be more extreme during specific hazy events. On a lag 3 day
13 of a hot hazy day, OR of areas with higher PM_{2.5} is 1.783 [1.042, 3.049]; on a lag 1
14 day of a hazy day with higher ozone, ORs of areas with lower vegetation and higher
15 anthropogenic heat are 1.922 [1.298, 2.846] and 1.781 [1.195, 2.655]; and on a lag 1
16 day of a cold hazy day, the ORs of areas with lower vegetation, higher anthropogenic
17 heat and higher PM_{2.5} can reach up to 1.873 [1.122, 3.124], 1.729 [1.019, 2.937], and
18 2.189 [1.370, 3.496], respectively. These results are particularly important because
19 we have not observed significant results for mortality associated with mental and

1 behavioral disorders during a cold hazy day across all areas of Hong Kong. This
2 analysis indicates that spatial variability of the urban environment can enhance the
3 adverse health effect, resulting in an excessive increase in mental-related mortality
4 in specific neighborhoods.

5 We also observed additional effects of the effect of haze on mortality
6 associated with disease of the nervous system due to spatial variability of the urban
7 environment (Table 6). For areas with a high-density environment, lower vegetation
8 and higher anthropogenic heat, ORs on the lag 1 day of a regular hazy day are 1.558
9 [1.172, 2.070], 1.580 [1.195, 2.090], and 1.585 [1.204, 2.088], respectively. Although
10 we did not find a significant association between mortality of nervous system
11 disease and hot hazy days across all areas of Hong Kong, there was an extremely
12 high mortality risk during a hotter day with haze across the areas with higher PM_{2.5}
13 (OR: 2.551 [1.242, 5.237]). In contrast, we did not observe a significant additional
14 effect influenced by the urban environment on the mortality associated with
15 diseases of the skin and subcutaneous tissue (Table 7).

16

17 **Discussion**

18 In this study, we examined the effect of haze on cause-specific mortality in
19 Hong Kong. We found that a regular hazy day (lag 0) has significant association with

1 higher all-cause mortality (OR: 1.029 [1.009, 1.049]). We also found that the effects
2 of haze on short-term mortality varied for days with different temperatures and air
3 quality, and these specific hazy events can particularly affect cause-specific
4 mortality. While a haze event, especially a cold hazy day, significantly increased the
5 cardiovascular mortality in Hong Kong, the increase of mortality associated with
6 mental and behavioral disorders during a hazy day was more severe. A hazy day with
7 higher ozone (lag 0) created fatal events (OR: 1.789 [1.341, 2.388]); in such cases,
8 public health surveillance should be developed in advance to reduce the natural
9 hazard risk to urban populations. We also found spatiotemporal variability in
10 mortality risk due to the impact of the urban environment, particularly, a
11 neighborhood with specific urban form during a day with extremes in temperature
12 or air quality severely increased the cause-specific mortality. These additional effects
13 of the urban environment were more significant for mortality associated with mental
14 and behavioral disorders, and for mortality associated with diseases of the nervous
15 system.

16 Our findings are consistent with previous studies. For example, dust-haze
17 increased the mortality from 3.4% -10.4% on lag 0 – 6 days across Guangzhou, China
18 (Liu et al., 2014); and a 3.46 increase in excessive risk of total hospital admission has
19 also observed in Guangzhou during a day with a haze event (Zhang et al., 2014). A

1 pooled relative risk of 1.05 [1.01, 1.09] across Chinese cities was also observed
2 during a day with dust-haze (Yang et al., 2016). In some Chinese cities, a day with a
3 smog episode had a 19.26% increase in overall mortality (Zhou et al., 2015). Since
4 the air pollution risk is location-specific and there may exist intra-urban variability
5 (Kheirbek et al., 2014), the baseline result of our study (OR: 1.021 [1.002, 1.039]) is
6 comparable with these other studies.

7 By targeting a specific event of haze across different urban areas in order to
8 evaluate mortality risk, our study has added more evidence to existing literature. For
9 example, previous studies have widely found an interaction between high urban
10 temperature and haze (Cao et al., 2016), while there is no study pinpointing how this
11 interaction can affect urban health risk. In the present study, our finding of a severe
12 increase in mortality associated with the nervous system during a hot hazy day
13 across a higher PM_{2.5} area (OR: 2.551 [1.242, 5.237]) can address the impact of heat-
14 haze interaction on health, especially since diseases of the nervous system have
15 been found to have a positive association with both extreme hot weather and air
16 pollution (Calderón-Garcidueñas et al., 2015; Khalaj et al., 2010). It is important that
17 our study evaluates five different cause-specific mortality types associated with air
18 pollution for the relative risk in a haze event. While generally a higher mortality risk
19 during a haze event has been found in this study, not all types of cause-specific

1 mortality associated with air pollution can induce significant mortality risk during a
2 hazy day. There is no association between haze events and mortality related to skin
3 disease. This result is particularly valuable, because common environmental health
4 studies have generally classified haze studies as a sub-type of air pollution/health
5 research (Liu et al., 2014), while in reality, the influences of haze and general air
6 pollution are different. For example, the impact of haze in Hong Kong is a mixing
7 effect of visibility and air pollution, while previous studies have found different
8 contributions of visibility and air pollution to mortality in Hong Kong (Thach et al.,
9 2010; Wong et al., 2008b). Therefore, future studies should make more efforts to
10 analyze the morbidity/mortality effect of haze in cities around the world, in order to
11 achieve a holistic view to understand how adverse weather can influence health risk
12 across different regions.

13

14 *Environmental Policy Implications*

15 Based on our study, better guidelines for disaster risk management and health
16 warning system development should be implemented. It has been recognized that
17 urban policy can sufficiently reduce air pollution risk (Slovic et al., 2016), while there
18 is a lack of environmental policy targeting haze events, even though they are a
19 common natural hazard in Asian countries. Thus, disaster risk reduction should be

1 conducted in the following two phases: establishment of emergency plans and
2 health warning systems for disaster management, and development of sustainability
3 plans and policies for mitigation. Based on our results, the first step could be
4 developing a health warning system for haze events, while emergency planning
5 should be conducted for urban areas which generally have higher air pollution.
6 Specific sub-types of health warnings should also be implemented for days with
7 extreme weather and air quality, and as shown by our study (Hong Kong), cold hazy
8 days should be targeted, with more care on cardiorespiratory issues for the
9 population in all areas, and more support for mental health patients in areas with
10 lower environmental quality (lower vegetation, higher anthropogenic heat and
11 higher PM_{2.5}). In a second phase of disaster risk reduction, environmental policy
12 should be introduced to increase urban greenery in urban areas, along with
13 guidelines to improve the high-density environment to allow more air ventilation,
14 with promotion of clean energy to reduce anthropogenic heat, in order to minimize
15 local air pollution through long-term mitigation. Social policy should also be
16 developed to promote health education for vulnerable segments of the population,
17 and to increase the number of emergency facilities and health centers to minimize
18 excessive increases in health risk during a hazy day.

1 The protocol for disaster risk reduction can also extended by targeting more
2 extreme scenarios. For example, the present study has followed common
3 environment health research by applying time-series analysis with the 10th percentile
4 or the 90th percentile as thresholds to define extreme temperatures that can
5 influence mortality (Gasparrini et al., 2015). However, recent studies have also
6 applied very extreme scenarios (e.g. the 99.9th percentile) to understand potential
7 catastrophic scenarios (Ho et al., 2017; Krstic et al., 2017). Integrated with the
8 epidemiological design for very extreme cases, such as case-crossover analysis, the
9 protocol for disaster risk reduction can progressively build up to a “multi-level”
10 system that can target days with different weather for planning and emergency
11 management, as well as establishing policies with greater flexibility.

12

13 *Limitations and Future Directions*

14 In this study, we examined the adverse effect of haze on five types of cause-
15 specific mortality, while other types of health risks such as influenza and traffic-
16 related deaths have not been evaluated. It is believed that effect of haze on both
17 influenza and traffic-related health risks should be studied in the future because of
18 their interaction with air quality and extreme weather (im Kampe et al., 2016; Wang
19 et al., 2017). However, the quality of spatial data so far has been a limitation to apply

1 a spatial approach to analyze influenza and traffic-related health risks. For example,
2 the current dataset for influenza in Hong Kong does not incorporate location-related
3 information. In addition, mortality records of Hong Kong were reported based on
4 location of residence, but the daily mobility of its urban population should highly
5 influence traffic-related deaths; as a result, using only home addresses for such a
6 study is not appropriate. An alternative solution to improve data quality is to
7 conduct a cohort study with a large sample size in the future, in order to analyze the
8 impact of haze on influenza and traffic-related health risks.

9 In order to examine the spatiotemporal influence of the urban environment on
10 haze mortality, we applied a spatial delineation technique to study the additional
11 effects on mortality risk. This method and similar techniques (e.g. spatial clustering)
12 are commonly used in environmental health studies (Ho et al., 2017b; Li et al., 2012;
13 Wong et al., 2008), while the limitation of this delineation method is lack of
14 consideration of spatial autocorrelation between areas. An alternative technique to
15 analyze spatial variability of health risk is the spatial regression approach (Marotta,
16 2017; Yeh et al., 2017), but this approach is controlled by the district boundary,
17 resulting in a modifiable areal unit problem (MAUP) or ecological fallacy (Chan et al.,
18 2012; Ho et al., 2015; Schuurman et al., 2007). To minimize the issues of MAUP and
19 ecological fallacy while at the same time maintaining large sample sizes to reduce

1 statistical bias, our current approach of spatial delineation of the mortality data by
2 characteristics of the urban environment is appropriate.

3 In addition, we have followed other studies by applying multiple annually
4 averaged maps for analyzing mortality risk across urban environment (Ho et al.,
5 2017; Krstic et al., 2017; Wong et al., 2017). While these maps can demonstrate the
6 spatial variability of environmental deprivation, they cannot be used to indicate
7 spatiotemporal change in the urban environment, although such change may also
8 enhance the effect of haze on health risk. An alternative solution is to combine
9 multiple scenes of satellite images for more information to describe spatiotemporal
10 changes, but this alternative strategy is generally limited by the local weather. For
11 example, cloud coverage across a sub-tropical city can be an obstacle of satellite
12 observation, resulting in only a few images which are useful from a satellite within a
13 year (Wong et al., 2015). Thus, annually averaged maps are the only dataset that can
14 be used with relatively high accuracy in the present study. This fact implies that
15 some potentially relevant meteorological factors which are highly affected by urban
16 morphology and spatiotemporal change (e.g. wind speed, wind direction and
17 pressure) cannot be applied in this study. Future study should target developing a
18 holistic model to reconstruct daily or hourly datasets for mapping urban

1 environments, in order to improve the spatiotemporal model for estimating
2 environmental health risk.

3 Finally, we have examined the impact of haze events on cause-specific mortality.
4 However, we did not evaluate the effect of haze intensity (e.g. hours of haze) on
5 mortality, which may also contribute to health risk during a hazy day. We believe
6 such a study will be worth conducting once haze intensity data with better
7 spatiotemporal quality can be retrieved. The current data on haze are based on one
8 weather station in Hong Kong, which may not be able to demonstrate the spatial
9 variability of haze on an hourly basis across the city. It is expected that the hours of
10 haze should differ distinctly between urban and rural areas because of the
11 characteristics of geophysical landscapes. Therefore, it is better to develop a
12 monitoring network with multiple triggers across urban and rural areas, in order to
13 subsequently utilize the environmental data for examining the spatiotemporal
14 variability of haze hours on health risks.

15

16 **Conclusions**

17 We developed a time series case-only analysis with a spatial delineation
18 approach to examine the effects of different types of haze events on short-term
19 mortality, and the additional effect on mortality during a haze event associated with

1 urban environmental factors. We found that haze has significant influences on
2 mortality risk, especially for populations with mental and behavioral disorders or
3 diseases of the nervous system. We also found that extreme weather can interact
4 with haze mortality, while hazy days with colder temperature and higher ground-
5 level ozone pollution have higher increases in mortality. We observed that a high-
6 density environment, lower vegetation cover, higher anthropogenic heat and higher
7 PM_{2.5} of an area can somewhat influence mortality risk during a hazy day, while for
8 areas with higher PM_{2.5}, higher association with cardiorespiratory mortality and
9 mental disorders was found during a regular hazy day. To target vulnerable
10 populations, emergency plans and sustainable development (e.g. greenery and
11 community centers) should be developed along with health warning systems and
12 environmental policy to reduce the adverse effects of haze on urban health.

13

14 **Acknowledgements**

15 We thank Dr. P.W. Chan of Hong Kong Observatory for providing data support to this
16 study. This research was supported in part by a grant from the General Research
17 Fund (Project ID: 15205515); a grant from the Hong Kong Polytechnic University
18 (Grant PolyU 1-ZE24); and a grant PolyU 1-ZVFD from the Research Institute for
19 Sustainable Urban Development, the Hong Kong Polytechnic University. We also

- 1 thank the support from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department, the Hong
- 2 Kong Environmental Protection Department, and the Planning Department of Hong
- 3 Kong for the mortality, air pollution, and land use and land cover data.
- 4

1 **References**

- 2 Adam-Poupart, A., Brand, A., Fournier, M., Jerrett, M., & Smargiassi, A. (2014).
3 Spatiotemporal modeling of ozone levels in Quebec (Canada): a comparison of
4 kriging, land-use regression (LUR), and combined Bayesian maximum entropy–LUR
5 approaches. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 122(9), 970.
- 6 Atkinson, R. W., Kang, S., Anderson, H. R., Mills, I. C., & Walton, H. A. (2014).
7 Epidemiological time series studies of PM_{2.5} and daily mortality and hospital
8 admissions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thorax*, 69, 660–665
- 9 Bell, M. L., Goldberg, R., Hogrefe, C., Kinney, P. L., Knowlton, K., Lynn, B., ... & Patz, J.
10 A. (2007). Climate change, ambient ozone, and health in 50 US cities. *Climatic
11 Change*, 82(1-2), 61-76.
- 12 Bilal, M., Nichol, J. E., & Spak, S. N. (2017). A new approach for estimation of fine
13 particulate concentrations using satellite aerosol optical depth and binning of
14 meteorological variables. *Aerosol and Air Quality Research*, 17(2), 356-367.
- 15 Breitner, S., Wolf, K., Devlin, R. B., Diaz-Sanchez, D., Peters, A., & Schneider, A. (2014).
16 Short-term effects of air temperature on mortality and effect modification by air
17 pollution in three cities of Bavaria, Germany: a time-series analysis. *Science of the
18 Total Environment*, 485, 49-61.
- 19 Brook, R. D., Sun, Z., Brook, J. R., Zhao, X., Ruan, Y., Yan, J., ... & Liang, R. (2016).

1 Extreme Air Pollution Conditions Adversely Affect Blood Pressure and Insulin
2 Resistance Novelty and Significance. *Hypertension*, 67(1), 77-85.

3 Calderón-Garcidueñas, L., Kulesza, R. J., Doty, R. L., D'angiulli, A., & Torres-Jardón, R.
4 (2015). Megacities air pollution problems: Mexico City Metropolitan Area critical
5 issues on the central nervous system pediatric impact. *Environmental Research*, 137,
6 157-169.

7 Cao, C., Lee, X., Liu, S., Schultz, N., Xiao, W., Zhang, M., & Zhao, L. (2016). Urban heat
8 islands in China enhanced by haze pollution. *Nature Communications*, 7, 12509.

9 Chan, E. Y. Y., Goggins, W. B., Kim, J. J., & Griffiths, S. M. (2012). A study of intracity
10 variation of temperature-related mortality and socioeconomic status among the
11 Chinese population in Hong Kong. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*, 66,
12 322-327.

13 Crooks, J. L., Cascio, W. E., Percy, M. S., Reyes, J., Neas, L. M., & Hilborn, E. D. (2016).
14 The association between dust storms and daily non-accidental mortality in the
15 United States, 1993–2005. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 124(11), 1735.

16 Dales, R. E., & Cakmak, S. (2016). Does Mental Health Status Influence Susceptibility
17 to the Physiologic Effects of Air Pollution? A Population Based Study of Canadian
18 Children. *PLOS Pone*, 11(12), e0168931.

19 Deng, H., Tan, H., Li, F., Cai, M., Chan, P. W., Xu, H., ... & Wu, D. (2016). Impact of

1 relative humidity on visibility degradation during a haze event: A case study. *Science*
2 of The Total Environment, 569, 1149-1158.

3 Ding, N., Berry, H., & O'Brien, L. (2015). The Effect of Extreme Heat on Mental
4 Health—Evidence from Australia. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 44(suppl_1),
5 i64-i64.

6 Ebi, K. L., & McGregor, G. (2008). Climate change, tropospheric ozone and particulate
7 matter, and health impacts. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 116(11), 1449.

8 Gasparrini, A., Guo, Y., Hashizume, M., Lavigne, E., Zanobetti, A., Schwartz, J., ... &
9 Leone, M. (2015). Mortality risk attributable to high and low ambient temperature: a
10 multicountry observational study. *The Lancet*, 386(9991), 369-375.

11 Ho, H. C., Knudby, A., & Huang, W. (2015). A spatial framework to map heat health
12 risks at multiple scales. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public*
13 *Health*, 12(12), 16110-16123.

14 Ho, H. C., Lau, K. K-L., Ren., C., & Ng, E. (2017). Characterizing prolonged heat effects
15 on mortality in a sub-tropical high-density city, Hong Kong. *International Journal of*
16 *Biometeorology*. DOI: 10.1007/s00484-017-1383-4.

17 Ho, H. C., Knudby, A., Walker, B. B., & Henderson, S. B. (2017b). Delineation of spatial
18 variability in the temperature–mortality relationship on extremely hot days in greater
19 Vancouver, Canada. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 125(1), 66.

1 Hodul, M., Knudby, A., & Ho, H. C. (2016). Estimation of Continuous Urban Sky View
2 Factor from Landsat Data Using Shadow Detection. *Remote Sensing*, 8(7), 568.

3 Huang, R. J., Zhang, Y., Bozzetti, C., Ho, K. F., Cao, J. J., Han, Y., ... & Zotter, P. (2014).
4 High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in
5 China. *Nature*, 514(7521), 218-222.

6 im Kampe, E. O., Kovats, S., & Hajat, S. (2016). Impact of high ambient temperature
7 on unintentional injuries in high-income countries: a narrative systematic literature
8 review. *BMJ Open*, 6(2), e010399.

9 Janhäll, S. (2015). Review on urban vegetation and particle air pollution–Deposition
10 and dispersion. *Atmospheric Environment*, 105, 130-137.

11 Johnston, F., Hanigan, I., Henderson, S., Morgan, G., & Bowman, D. (2011). Extreme
12 air pollution events from bushfires and dust storms and their association with
13 mortality in Sydney, Australia 1994–2007. *Environmental Research*, 111(6), 811-816.

14 Kan, H., Chen, R., & Tong, S. (2012). Ambient air pollution, climate change, and
15 population health in China. *Environment International*, 42, 10-19.

16 Khalaj, B., Lloyd, G., Sheppard, V., & Dear, K. (2010). The health impacts of heat
17 waves in five regions of New South Wales, Australia: a case-only analysis.
18 *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 83(7), 833-842.

19 Kheirbek, I., Ito, K., Neitzel, R., Kim, J., Johnson, S., Ross, Z., ... & Matte, T. (2014).

1 Spatial variation in environmental noise and air pollution in New York City. *Journal of*
2 *Urban Health*, 91(3), 415.

3 Kosatsky, T., Henderson, S. B., & Pollock, S. L. (2012). Shifts in mortality during a hot
4 weather event in Vancouver, British Columbia: rapid assessment with case-only
5 analysis. *American Journal of Public Health*, 102(12), 2367-2371.

6 Krutmann, J., Liu, W., Li, L., Pan, X., Crawford, M., Sore, G., & Seite, S. (2014).
7 Pollution and skin: from epidemiological and mechanistic studies to clinical
8 implications. *Journal of Dermatological Science*, 76(3), 163-168.

9 Kunii, O., Kanagawa, S., Yajima, I., Hisamatsu, Y., Yamamura, S., Amagai, T., & Ismail, I.
10 T. S. (2002). The 1997 haze disaster in Indonesia: its air quality and health effects.
11 *Archives of Environmental Health: An International Journal*, 57(1), 16-22.

12 Li, X., Wang, C., Zhang, G., Xiao, L., & Dixon, J. (2012). Urbanisation and human health
13 in China: spatial features and a systemic perspective. *Environmental Science and*
14 *Pollution Research*, 19(5), 1375-1384.

15 Liu, T., Zhang, Y. H., Xu, Y. J., Lin, H. L., Xu, X. J., Luo, Y., ... & Keogh, K. (2014). The
16 effects of dust-haze on mortality are modified by seasons and individual
17 characteristics in Guangzhou, China. *Environmental Pollution*, 187, 116-123.

18 Marschner, I. (2009). *glm2: fitting generalized linear models*. R Package Version 1.1.1

19 Othman, J., Sahani, M., Mahmud, M., & Ahmad, M. K. S. (2014). *Transboundary*

1 smoke haze pollution in Malaysia: inpatient health impacts and economic valuation.
2 Environmental Pollution, 189, 194-201.

3 Marotta, P. (2017). Assessing Spatial Relationships Between Rates of Crime and Rates
4 of Gonorrhoea and Chlamydia in Chicago, 2012. Journal of Urban Health, 94(2), 276-
5 288.

6 Qiu, H., Tian, L. W., Pun, V. C., Ho, K. F., Wong, T. W., & Ignatius, T. S. (2014). Coarse
7 particulate matter associated with increased risk of emergency hospital admissions
8 for pneumonia in Hong Kong. Thorax, 69(11), 1027-1033.

9 Qiu, H., Tian, L., Ho, K. F., Pun, V. C., Wang, X., & Ignatius, T. S. (2015). Air pollution
10 and mortality: Effect modification by personal characteristics and specific cause of
11 death in a case-only study. Environmental Pollution, 199, 192-197.

12 Qiu, H., Tian, L., Ho, K. F., Ignatius, T. S., Thach, T. Q., & Wong, C. M. (2016). Who is
13 more vulnerable to death from extremely cold temperatures? A case-only approach
14 in Hong Kong with a temperate climate. International Journal of Biometeorology,
15 60(5), 711-717.

16 Rataj, E., Kunzweiler, K., & Garthus-Niegel, S. (2016). Extreme weather events in
17 developing countries and related injuries and mental health disorders--a systematic
18 review. BMC Public Health, 16(1), 1020.

19 Schuurman, N., Bell, N., Dunn, J. R., & Oliver, L. (2007). Deprivation indices,

1 population health and geography: an evaluation of the spatial effectiveness of indices
2 at multiple scales. *Journal of Urban Health*, 84(4), 591-603.

3 Shang, Y., Sun, Z., Cao, J., Wang, X., Zhong, L., Bi, X., ... & Huang, W. (2013).
4 Systematic review of Chinese studies of short-term exposure to air pollution and
5 daily mortality. *Environment International*, 54, 100-111.

6 Slovic, A. D., de Oliveira, M. A., Biehl, J., & Ribeiro, H. (2016). How can urban policies
7 improve air quality and help mitigate global climate change: A systematic mapping
8 review. *Journal of Urban Health*, 93(1), 73.

9 Srivastava, A. K., Singh, S., Tiwari, S., & Bisht, D. S. (2012). Contribution of
10 anthropogenic aerosols in direct radiative forcing and atmospheric heating rate over
11 Delhi in the Indo-Gangetic Basin. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*,
12 19(4), 1144-1158.

13 Szumilas, M. (2010). Explaining odds ratios. *Journal of the Canadian Academy of*
14 *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 19(3), 227.

15 Tan, J. H., Duan, J. C., Chen, D. H., Wang, X. H., Guo, S. J., Bi, X. H., ... & Fu, J. M.
16 (2009). Chemical characteristics of haze during summer and winter in Guangzhou.
17 *Atmospheric Research*, 94(2), 238-245.

18 Tao, M., Chen, L., Xiong, X., Zhang, M., Ma, P., Tao, J., & Wang, Z. (2014). Formation
19 process of the widespread extreme haze pollution over northern China in January

1 2013: Implications for regional air quality and climate. *Atmospheric Environment*, 98,
2 417-425.

3 Thach, T. Q., Wong, C. M., Chan, K. P., Chau, Y. K., Chung, Y. N., Ou, C. Q., ... & Hedley,
4 A. J. (2010). Daily visibility and mortality: assessment of health benefits from
5 improved visibility in Hong Kong. *Environmental Research*, 110(6), 617-623.

6 Tobías, A., Pérez, L., Díaz, J., Linares, C., Pey, J., Alastruey, A., & Querol, X. (2011).
7 Short-term effects of particulate matter on total mortality during Saharan dust
8 outbreaks: a case-crossover analysis in Madrid (Spain). *Science of the Total
9 Environment*, 412, 386-389.

10 Tsangari, H., Paschalidou, A. K., Kassomenos, A. P., Vardoulakis, S., Heaviside, C.,
11 Georgiou, K. E., & Yamasaki, E. N. (2016). Extreme weather and air pollution effects
12 on cardiovascular and respiratory hospital admissions in Cyprus. *Science of the Total
13 Environment*, 542, 247-253.

14 Wang, X., Lavigne, E., Ouellette-Kuntz, H., & Chen, B. E. (2014). Acute impacts of
15 extreme temperature exposure on emergency room admissions related to mental
16 and behavior disorders in Toronto, Canada. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 155, 154-
17 161.

18 Wang, X. L., Yang, L., He, D. H., Chiu, A. P., Chan, K. H., Chan, K. P., ... & Hu, W. (2017).
19 Different responses of influenza epidemic to weather factors among Shanghai, Hong

1 Kong, and British Columbia. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 61(6), 1043-
2 1053.

3 Wong, C. M., Ou, C. Q., Chan, K. P., Chau, Y. K., Thach, T. Q., Yang, L., ... & Hedley, A. J.
4 (2008). The effects of air pollution on mortality in socially deprived urban areas in
5 Hong Kong, China. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 116(9), 1189.

6 Wong, C. M., Vichit-Vadakan, N., Kan, H., & Qian, Z. (2008b). Public Health and Air
7 Pollution in Asia (PAPA): a multicity study of short-term effects of air pollution on
8 mortality. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 116(9), 1195.

9 Wong, C. M., Lai, H. K., Tsang, H., Thach, T. Q., Thomas, G. N., Lam, K. B. H., ... & Lee,
10 S. Y. (2015). Satellite-based estimates of long-term exposure to fine particles and
11 association with mortality in elderly Hong Kong residents. *Environmental Health
12 Perspectives*, 123(11), 1167.

13 Wong, M. S., Nichol, J., & Ng, E. (2011). A study of the "wall effect" caused by
14 proliferation of high-rise buildings using GIS techniques. *Landscape and Urban
15 Planning*, 102(4), 245-253.

16 Wong, M. S., Yang, J., Nichol, J., Weng, Q., Menenti, M., & Chan, P. W. (2015).
17 Modeling of anthropogenic heat flux using HJ-1B Chinese small satellite image: A
18 study of heterogeneous urbanized areas in Hong Kong. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote
19 Sensing Letters*, 12(7), 1466-1470.

1 Wong, M.S., Ho, H.C., Yang, L., Shi W., Yang, J., Chan, T-C. (2017). Spatial variability of
2 excess mortality during prolonged dust events in a high-density city: A time-stratified
3 spatial regression approach. *International Journal of Health Geographics*, in press,
4 DOI: 10.1186/s12942-017-0099-3.

5 Yackerson, N. S., Zilberman, A., Todder, D., & Kaplan, Z. (2014). The influence of air-
6 suspended particulate concentration on the incidence of suicide attempts and
7 exacerbation of schizophrenia. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 58(1), 61.

8 Yang, J., Wong, M. S., Menenti, M., & Nichol, J. (2015). Modeling the effective
9 emissivity of the urban canopy using sky view factor. *ISPRS Journal of*
10 *Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 105, 211-219.

11 Yang, J., Zhou, M., Yin, P., Li, M., Ou, C. Q., Gu, S., & Liu, Q. (2016). Mortality as a
12 function of dust-haze in China: a multi-city time-series study. *The Lancet*, 388, S19.

13 Yeh, H. L., Hsu, S. W., Chang, Y. C., Chan, T. C., Tsou, H. C., Chang, Y. C., & Chiang, P. H.
14 (2017). Spatial Analysis of Ambient PM_{2.5} Exposure and Bladder Cancer Mortality in
15 Taiwan. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 14(5),
16 508.

17 Zakšek, K., Oštir, K., & Kokalj, Ž. (2011). Sky-view factor as a relief visualization
18 technique. *Remote Sensing*, 3(2), 398-415.

19 Zhang, Z., Wang, J., Chen, L., Chen, X., Sun, G., Zhong, N., ... & Lu, W. (2014). Impact

1 of haze and air pollution-related hazards on hospital admissions in Guangzhou,
2 China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 21(6), 4236-4244.

3 Zhang, Q., Quan, J., Tie, X., Li, X., Liu, Q., Gao, Y., & Zhao, D. (2015). Effects of
4 meteorology and secondary particle formation on visibility during heavy haze events
5 in Beijing, China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 502, 578-584.

6 Zhang, J. J., Cui, M. M., Fan, D., Zhang, D. S., Lian, H. X., Yin, Z. Y., & Li, J. (2015b).
7 Relationship between haze and acute cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, and
8 respiratory diseases in Beijing. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22(5),
9 3920-3925.

10 Zhou, M., He, G., Fan, M., Wang, Z., Liu, Y., Ma, J., ... & Liu, Y. (2015). Smog episodes,
11 fine particulate pollution and mortality in China. *Environmental Research*, 136, 396-
12 404.

13

14

- 1 **Table 1. Summary statistics for the mortality records of the analytic dataset.**
- 2 **Summaries are presented for cases who a) died on a hazy day, and controls b) who**
- 3 **died on a day without haze event. Values in bold indicate a statistically significant**
- 4 **difference between means (t-test with alpha = 0.05)**

<i>Summary</i>	<i>Cases who died on a hazy day</i>	<i>Controls who died on a day without haze event</i>
Total of all-cause deaths	11,365	284,446
Total of cardiorespiratory deaths	5,049	118,863
Total of mental-related deaths	214	5,327
Total of nervous-related deaths	91	2,173
Total of skin-related deaths	61	1,509
Mean (SD) all-cause deaths per day	102.4 (16.9)	97.2 (14.7)
Mean (SD) PM ₁₀ (ppm)	92.86 (48.11)	45.30 (21.24)
Mean (SD) SO ₂ (ppm)	25.26 (13.29)	14.77 (7.85)
Mean (SD) NO ₂ (ppm)	71.19 (17.00)	49.66 (14.21)
Mean (SD) O ₃ (ppm)	51.80 (18.29)	41.08 (21.19)

5

6

1 **Table 2. Short-term risk of cause-specific mortality during a specific haze event.**

2 * indicates significant result with P-value < 0.05.

Cause of death	Classification of hazy events	Lag 0 (95 th CIs)	Lag 1 (95 th CIs)	Lag 2 (95 th CIs)	Lag 3 (95 th CIs)
All-cause	regular hazy days	1.029 [1.009, 1.049]*	1.024 [1.004, 1.045]*	1.022 [1.002, 1.042]*	1.009 [0.989, 1.029]
	hot hazy days	1.032 [0.967, 1.102]	1.014 [0.949, 1.084]	1.074 [1.006, 1.147]*	1.017 [0.951, 1.088]
	cold hazy days	1.131 [1.061, 1.204]*	1.095 [1.027, 1.167]*	1.074 [1.007, 1.145]*	1.098 [1.034, 1.166]*
	hazy days with higher ozone	1.044 [0.997, 1.094]	1.060 [1.012, 1.110]*	1.058 [1.010, 1.111]*	1.096 [1.047, 1.147]*
Cardiorespiratory diseases	regular hazy days	1.024 [0.994, 1.055]	1.024 [0.994, 1.055]	1.043 [1.012, 1.074]*	1.037 [1.006, 1.068]*
	hot hazy days	0.995 [0.897, 1.104]	0.979 [0.882, 1.088]	1.109 [1.003, 1.226]*	0.968 [0.869, 1.078]
	cold hazy days	1.167 [1.066, 1.277]*	1.118 [1.019, 1.225]*	1.100 [1.003, 1.207]*	1.158 [1.064, 1.261]*
	hazy days with higher ozone	1.035 [0.964, 1.111]	1.032 [0.961, 1.107]	1.017 [0.947, 1.093]	1.103 [1.029, 1.182]*
Mental and behavioral disorders	regular hazy days	1.164 [1.008, 1.344]*	1.265 [1.101, 1.454]*	1.017 [0.874, 1.182]	1.037 [0.894, 1.202]
	hot hazy days	1.229 [0.768, 1.966]	1.789 [1.206, 2.652]*	0.997 [0.597, 1.667]	1.473 [0.962, 2.257]
	cold hazy days	1.088 [0.664, 1.781]	1.448 [0.950, 2.208]	1.191 [0.748, 1.898]	1.134 [0.720, 1.783]
	hazy days with higher ozone	1.789 [1.341, 2.388]*	1.545 [1.130, 2.112]*	1.031 [0.715, 1.488]	1.480 [1.082, 2.023]*
Diseases of the nervous system	regular hazy days	1.131 [0.906, 1.411]	1.297 [1.051, 1.600]*	1.064 [0.850, 1.331]	1.139 [0.916, 1.416]
	hot hazy days	1.276 [0.630, 2.586]	0.923 [0.410, 2.078]	1.115 [0.950, 1.307]	1.299 [0.667, 2.533]
	cold hazy days	0.607 [0.227, 1.624]	1.702 [0.936, 3.093]	1.716 [0.944, 3.119]	0.974 [0.462, 2.054]
	hazy days with higher ozone	1.357 [0.829, 2.220]	0.885 [0.483, 1.621]	1.225 [0.749, 2.004]	1.887 [1.250, 2.850]*
Diseases of the skin and subcutaneous tissue	regular hazy days	0.971 [0.740, 1.273]	0.796 [0.591, 1.073]	0.949 [0.716, 1.258]	0.852 [0.636, 1.142]
	hot hazy days	1.369 [0.671, 2.793]	0.382 [0.095, 1.543]	0.563 [0.179, 1.768]	0.585 [0.186, 1.835]
	cold hazy days	0.668 [0.214, 2.084]	1.360 [0.606, 3.050]	0.920 [0.343, 2.468]	1.213 [0.541, 2.722]
	hazy days with higher ozone	1.012 [0.563, 1.822]	0.460 [0.189, 1.121]	0.729 [0.358, 1.486]	0.905 [0.462, 1.772]

3

4

1 **Table 3. Short-term risk of all-cause mortality during a specific haze event in**
2 **different identified areas. * indicates significant result with P-value < 0.05. Results**
3 **with bold text indicate result significantly higher than the baselines (all areas).**

Cause of death	Classification of hazy events	Identified Areas	Lag 0 (95 th CIs)	Lag 1 (95 th CIs)	Lag 2 (95 th CIs)	Lag 3 (95 th CIs)
All-cause	regular hazy days	All areas	1.029 [1.009, 1.049]*	1.024 [1.004, 1.045]*	1.022 [1.002, 1.042]*	1.009 [0.989, 1.029]
		SVF < 50 th percentile	1.025 [0.997, 1.055]	1.014 [0.986, 1.043]	1.022 [0.993, 1.051]	1.003 [0.975, 1.032]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	1.021 [0.993, 1.051]	1.018 [0.990, 1.048]	1.019 [0.990, 1.048]	1.003 [0.975, 1.032]
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	1.023 [0.995, 1.052]	1.024 [0.996, 1.053]	1.014 [0.986, 1.043]	0.999 [0.972, 1.027]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	1.023 [0.995, 1.052]	1.024 [0.995, 1.053]	1.013 [0.985, 1.042]	1.013 [0.984, 1.041]
	hot hazy days	All areas	1.032 [0.967, 1.102]	1.014 [0.949, 1.084]	1.074 [1.006, 1.147]*	1.017 [0.951, 1.088]
		SVF < 50 th percentile	0.974 [0.885, 1.072]	0.960 [0.871, 1.058]	1.079 [0.984, 1.183]	0.992 [0.901, 1.093]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	0.966 [0.878, 1.063]	0.984 [0.895, 1.083]	1.134 [1.037, 1.241]*	1.030 [0.937, 1.132]
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	0.954 [0.868, 1.049]	0.980 [0.892, 1.076]	1.081 [0.988, 1.183]	1.044 [0.952, 1.145]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	0.981 [0.893, 1.079]	1.039 [0.947, 1.140]	1.135 [1.039, 1.241]*	1.030 [0.937, 1.131]
	cold hazy days	All areas	1.131 [1.061, 1.204]*	1.095 [1.027, 1.167]*	1.074 [1.007, 1.145]*	1.098 [1.034, 1.166]*
		SVF < 50 th percentile	1.197 [1.096, 1.306]*	1.123 [1.027, 1.229]*	1.054 [0.961, 1.156]	1.117 [1.026, 1.216]*
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	1.202 [1.102, 1.311]*	1.148 [1.058, 1.255]*	1.072 [0.978, 1.174]	1.167 [1.075, 1.268]*
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	1.198 [1.100, 1.305]*	1.143 [1.048, 1.247]*	1.041 [0.951, 1.140]	1.111 [1.022, 1.207]*
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	1.136 [1.039, 1.241]*	1.143 [1.047, 1.248]*	1.063 [0.971, 1.164]	1.162 [1.071, 1.262]*
	hazy days with higher ozone	All areas	1.044 [0.997, 1.094]	1.060 [1.012, 1.110]*	1.058 [1.010, 1.111]*	1.096 [1.047, 1.147]*
		SVF < 50 th percentile	1.009 [0.943, 1.079]	1.017 [0.951, 1.087]	1.065 [0.997, 1.138]	1.056 [0.988, 1.128]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	0.973 [0.909, 1.042]	1.041 [0.974, 1.112]	1.098 [1.029, 1.171]*	1.070 [1.002, 1.142]*
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	0.980 [0.917, 1.048]	1.066 [1.000, 1.137]	1.083 [1.015, 1.154]*	1.062 [0.995, 1.133]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	0.970 [0.907, 1.038]	1.065 [0.998, 1.136]	1.055 [0.988, 1.126]	1.090 [1.022, 1.163]*

4

5

1 **Table 4. Short-term risk of cardiorespiratory mortality during a specific haze event**
2 **in different identified area. * indicates significant result with P-value < 0.05. Results**
3 **with bold text indicate result significantly higher than the baselines (all areas).**

Cause of death	Classification of hazy events	Identified Areas	Lag 0 (95 th CIs)	Lag 1 (95 th CIs)	Lag 2 (95 th CIs)	Lag 3 (95 th CIs)
Cardiorespir. diseases	regular hazy days	All areas	1.024 [0.994, 1.055]	1.024 [0.994, 1.055]	1.043 [1.012, 1.074]*	1.037 [1.006, 1.068]*
		SVF < 50 th percentile	1.025 [0.983, 1.069]	1.014 [0.972, 1.057]	1.031 [0.988, 1.075]	1.035 [0.992, 1.079]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	1.029 [0.987, 1.073]	1.020 [0.978, 1.063]	1.046 [1.003, 1.090]*	1.044 [1.002, 1.088]*
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	1.037 [0.996, 1.080]	1.022 [0.981, 1.065]	1.035 [0.994, 1.078]	1.029 [0.988, 1.072]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	1.017 [0.975, 1.060]	0.999 [0.958, 1.042]	1.040 [0.997, 1.084]	1.051 [1.008, 1.095]*
	hot hazy days	All areas	0.995 [0.897, 1.104]	0.979 [0.882, 1.088]	1.109 [1.003, 1.226]*	0.968 [0.869, 1.078]
		SVF < 50 th percentile	0.976 [0.842, 1.131]	0.947 [0.816, 1.099]	1.120 [0.974, 1.287]	0.905 [0.775, 1.058]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	0.972 [0.840, 1.126]	0.947 [0.816, 1.099]	1.194 [1.043, 1.367]*	0.976 [0.840, 1.134]
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	1.011 [0.878, 1.163]	0.927 [0.800, 1.073]	1.090 [0.950, 1.250]	0.962 [0.830, 1.115]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	0.946 [0.814, 1.099]	0.934 [0.804, 1.085]	1.178 [1.028, 1.349]*	0.958 [0.823, 1.114]
	cold hazy days	All areas	1.167 [1.066, 1.277]*	1.118 [1.019, 1.225]*	1.100 [1.003, 1.207]*	1.158 [1.064, 1.261]*
		SVF < 50 th percentile	1.240 [1.096, 1.404]*	1.169 [1.029, 1.327]*	1.060 [0.928, 1.210]	1.169 [1.037, 1.317]*
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	1.289 [1.142, 1.455]*	1.151 [1.013, 1.307]*	1.108 [0.974, 1.261]	1.213 [1.080, 1.364]*
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	1.267 [1.125, 1.428]*	1.150 [1.015, 1.302]*	1.074 [0.946, 1.221]	1.149 [1.022, 1.292]*
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	1.133 [0.996, 1.289]	1.128 [0.992, 1.283]	1.069 [0.937, 1.219]	1.219 [1.085, 1.370]*
	hazy days with higher ozone	All areas	1.035 [0.964, 1.111]	1.032 [0.961, 1.107]	1.017 [0.947, 1.093]	1.103 [1.029, 1.182]*
		SVF < 50 th percentile	1.057 [0.958, 1.167]	1.000 [0.904, 1.105]	0.997 [0.901, 1.103]	1.124 [1.020, 1.238]*
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	0.977 [0.882, 1.082]	1.035 [0.938, 1.143]	1.013 [0.916, 1.120]	1.134 [1.030, 1.248]*
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	1.005 [0.911, 1.109]	1.028 [0.933, 1.132]	0.988 [0.895, 1.090]	1.094 [0.995, 1.203]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	0.972 [0.877, 1.077]	1.035 [0.937, 1.143]	1.040 [0.942, 1.148]	1.125 [1.022, 1.239]*

1 **Table 5. Short-term risk of mortality associated with mental and behavioral**
2 **disorders during a specific haze event in different identified areas. * indicates**
3 **significant result with P-value < 0.05. Results with bold text indicate result**
4 **significantly higher than the baselines (all areas).**

Cause of death	Classification of hazy events	Identified Areas	Lag 0 (95 th CIs)	Lag 1 (95 th CIs)	Lag 2 (95 th CIs)	Lag 3 (95 th CIs)
Mental and behavioral disorders	regular hazy days	All areas	1.164 [1.008, 1.344]*	1.265 [1.101, 1.454]*	1.017 [0.874, 1.182]	1.037 [0.894, 1.202]
		SVF < 50 th percentile	1.137 [0.922, 1.403]	1.253 [1.020, 1.538]*	1.012 [0.813, 1.259]	1.024 [0.824, 1.274]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	1.138 [0.934, 1.387]	1.353 [1.121, 1.634]*	0.993 [0.804, 1.226]	0.951 [0.770, 1.175]
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	1.100 [0.904, 1.339]	1.245 [1.031, 1.505]*	0.987 [0.803, 1.213]	1.003 [0.820, 1.226]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	1.274 [1.052, 1.542]*	1.471 [1.229, 1.760]*	1.061 [0.866, 1.301]	0.995 [0.810, 1.222]
	hot hazy days	All areas	1.229 [0.768, 1.966]	1.789 [1.206, 2.652]*	0.997 [0.597, 1.667]	1.473 [0.962, 2.257]
		SVF < 50 th percentile	1.253 [0.644, 2.437]	1.762 [0.987, 3.146]	1.328 [0.706, 2.500]	1.438 [0.764, 2.707]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	1.129 [0.581, 2.193]	1.762 [1.010, 3.074]*	1.263 [0.672, 2.373]	1.411 [0.772, 2.578]
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	1.179 [0.628, 2.214]	1.599 [0.917, 2.787]	1.161 [0.618, 2.181]	1.538 [0.882, 2.680]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	1.070 [0.530, 2.162]	1.961 [1.166, 3.297]*	1.129 [0.581, 2.192]	1.783 [1.042, 3.049]*
	cold hazy days	All areas	1.088 [0.664, 1.781]	1.448 [0.950, 2.208]	1.191 [0.748, 1.898]	1.134 [0.720, 1.783]
		SVF < 50 th percentile	0.872 [0.390, 1.951]	1.733 [0.978, 3.070]	1.532 [0.843, 2.782]	1.445 [0.796, 2.625]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	0.770 [0.345, 1.721]	2.061 [1.255, 3.386]*	1.388 [0.765, 2.519]	1.037 [0.537, 2.002]
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	0.751 [0.336, 1.678]	1.729 [1.019, 2.937]*	1.324 [0.730, 2.404]	1.102 [0.590, 2.058]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	0.876 [0.416, 1.846]	2.189 [1.370, 3.496]*	1.373 [0.757, 2.493]	1.367 [0.772, 2.420]
	hazy days with higher ozone	All areas	1.789 [1.341, 2.388]*	1.545 [1.130, 2.112]*	1.031 [0.715, 1.488]	1.480 [1.082, 2.023]*
		SVF < 50 th percentile	1.676 [1.086, 2.587]*	1.632 [1.037, 2.569]*	0.956 [0.548, 1.666]	1.305 [0.800, 2.130]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	1.775 [1.190, 2.648]*	1.709 [1.119, 2.609]*	1.234 [0.768, 1.984]	1.221 [0.759, 1.963]
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	1.731 [1.169, 2.562]*	1.781 [1.195, 2.655]*	1.006 [0.610, 1.661]	1.457 [0.955, 2.223]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	1.691 [1.126, 2.540]*	1.651 [1.090, 2.499]*	1.514 [0.992, 2.311]	1.477 [0.959, 2.275]

1 **Table 6. Short-term risk of mortality associated with diseases of the nervous system**
2 **during a specific haze event in different identified areas. * indicates significant**
3 **result with P-value < 0.05. Results with bold text indicate result significantly higher**
4 **than the baselines (all areas).**

Cause of death	Classification of hazy events	Identified Areas	Lag 0 (95 th CIs)	Lag 1 (95 th CIs)	Lag 2 (95 th CIs)	Lag 3 (95 th CIs)
Diseases of the nervous system	regular hazy days	All areas	1.131 [0.906, 1.411]	1.297 [1.051, 1.600]*	1.064 [0.850, 1.331]	1.139 [0.916, 1.416]
		SVF < 50 th percentile	1.235 [0.912, 1.671]	1.558 [1.172, 2.070]*	0.927 [0.659, 1.303]	1.037 [0.746, 1.442]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	1.120 [0.817, 1.537]	1.583 [1.195, 2.097]*	0.962 [0.687, 1.347]	0.961 [0.686, 1.344]
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	1.103 [0.807, 1.508]	1.585 [1.204, 2.088]*	0.988 [0.711, 1.372]	0.917 [0.653, 1.287]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	1.264 [0.940, 1.699]	1.391 [1.045, 1.853]*	0.989 [0.717, 1.363]	0.923 [0.663, 1.286]
	hot hazy days	All areas	1.276 [0.630, 2.586]	0.923 [0.410, 2.078]	1.115 [0.950, 1.307]	1.299 [0.667, 2.533]
		SVF < 50 th percentile	1.609 [0.655, 3.952]	1.717 [0.699, 4.218]	1.262 [0.464, 3.432]	0.951 [0.301, 3.001]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	1.734 [0.706, 4.260]	1.717 [0.699, 4.214]	1.653 [0.673, 4.063]	0.939 [0.298, 2.963]
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	1.233 [0.454, 3.348]	1.579 [0.644, 3.874]	1.556 [0.633, 3.822]	0.909 [0.288, 2.867]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	2.551 [1.242, 5.237]*	1.577 [0.643, 3.868]	1.121 [0.413, 3.043]	0.561 [0.138, 2.272]
	cold hazy days	All areas	0.607 [0.227, 1.624]	1.702 [0.936, 3.093]	1.716 [0.944, 3.119]	0.974 [0.462, 2.054]
		SVF < 50 th percentile	0.589 [0.146, 2.368]	0.936 [0.299, 2.922]	0.974 [0.312, 3.045]	0.287 [0.040, 2.045]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	0.287 [0.040, 2.043]	1.477 [0.609, 3.582]	1.544 [0.636, 3.747]	0.837 [0.268, 2.615]
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	0.287 [0.043, 2.049]	1.479 [0.610, 3.586]	1.546 [0.638, 3.751]	0.831 [0.266, 2.594]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	0.865 [0.277, 2.700]	1.748 [0.778, 3.928]	1.779 [0.791, 3.999]	1.120 [0.417, 3.007]
	hazy days with higher ozone	All areas	1.357 [0.829, 2.220]	0.885 [0.483, 1.621]	1.225 [0.749, 2.004]	1.887 [1.250, 2.850]*
		SVF < 50 th percentile	1.549 [0.810, 2.964]	1.131 [0.527, 2.431]	1.032 [0.480, 2.217]	1.225 [0.598, 2.511]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	1.097 [0.510, 2.357]	1.513 [0.767, 2.983]	1.013 [0.472, 2.175]	0.899 [0.396, 2.043]
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	1.015 [0.473, 2.179]	1.241 [0.606, 2.541]	1.316 [0.667, 2.594]	1.039 [0.485, 2.227]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	1.617 [0.871, 3.000]	1.436 [0.729, 2.831]	1.139 [0.556, 2.332]	1.462 [0.766, 2.788]

1 **Table 7. Short-term risk of mortality associated with diseases of the skin and**
2 **subcutaneous tissue during a specific haze event in different identified areas. ***
3 **indicates significant result with P-value < 0.05. Results with bold text indicate result**
4 **significantly higher than the baselines (all areas).**

Cause of death	Classification of hazy events	Identified Areas	Lag 0 (95 th CIs)	Lag 1 (95 th CIs)	Lag 2 (95 th CIs)	Lag 3 (95 th CIs)
Diseases of the skin and subcutaneous tissue	regular hazy days	All areas	0.971 [0.740, 1.273]	0.796 [0.591, 1.073]	0.949 [0.716, 1.258]	0.852 [0.636, 1.142]
		SVF < 50 th percentile	1.167 [0.823, 1.654]	0.959 [0.649, 1.417]	1.304 [0.920, 1.848]	0.989 [0.677, 1.445]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	0.866 [0.586, 1.281]	0.925 [0.626, 1.368]	1.277 [0.904, 1.803]	1.009 [0.691, 1.473]
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	1.060 [0.746, 1.506]	0.972 [0.669, 1.411]	1.225 [0.872, 1.722]	1.081 [0.759, 1.539]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	0.916 [0.628, 1.336]	0.685 [0.445, 1.055]	0.991 [0.682, 1.440]	0.744 [0.487, 1.136]
	hot hazy days	All areas	1.369 [0.671, 2.793]	0.382 [0.095, 1.543]	0.563 [0.179, 1.768]	0.585 [0.186, 1.835]
		SVF < 50 th percentile	0.967 [0.305, 3.068]	0.402 [0.056, 2.894]	0.735 [0.180, 2.997]	0.715 [0.175, 2.910]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	0.605 [0.148, 2.463]	0.731 [0.179, 2.977]	0.995 [0.313, 3.156]	1.078 [0.340, 3.417]
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	0.579 [0.142, 2.357]	0.714 [0.175, 2.905]	0.917 [0.289, 2.908]	0.951 [0.300, 3.008]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	1.277 [0.468, 3.487]	0.690 [0.170, 2.808]	0.964 [0.304, 3.054]	1.108 [0.350, 3.509]
	cold hazy days	All areas	0.668 [0.214, 2.084]	1.360 [0.606, 3.050]	0.920 [0.343, 2.468]	1.213 [0.541, 2.722]
		SVF < 50 th percentile	0.842 [0.209, 3.397]	0.851 [0.211, 3.432]	0.000 [0.000, ∞]	1.090 [0.348, 3.414]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	0.000 [0.000, ∞]	0.888 [0.220, 3.582]	0.000 [0.000, ∞]	1.940 [0.797, 4.724]
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	0.819 [0.203, 3.302]	1.229 [0.393, 3.849]	0.000 [0.000, ∞]	1.041 [0.332, 3.260]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	0.000 [0.000, ∞]	0.889 [0.221, 3.585]	0.444 [0.062, 3.171]	0.786 [0.195, 3.169]
	hazy days with higher ozone	All areas	1.012 [0.563, 1.822]	0.460 [0.189, 1.121]	0.729 [0.358, 1.486]	0.905 [0.462, 1.772]
		SVF < 50 th percentile	1.354 [0.653, 2.808]	0.622 [0.196, 1.973]	1.078 [0.469, 2.476]	0.932 [0.378, 2.299]
		vegetation < 50 th pctl	1.146 [0.529, 2.481]	0.575 [0.182, 1.824]	1.188 [0.548, 2.579]	0.954 [0.387, .2351]
		anthro. heat >= 50 th pctl	1.049 [0.485, 2.270]	0.727 [0.267, 1.984]	1.033 [0.477, 2.236]	1.189 [0.552, 2.565]
		PM _{2.5} >= 50 th percentile	1.604 [0.807, 3.188]	0.529 [0.167, 1.674]	1.189 [0.550, 2.571]	0.971 [0.394, 2.391]

1 **Captions of Figures**

2

3 **Figure 1 – Areas with high-density environment across Hong Kong based on a**
4 **threshold estimated by all decedents of 2007 through 2014 with a quantile**
5 **function. Brown regions indicate TPUs with high-density environment (SVF < 50th**
6 **percentile), and yellow regions represent TPUs with lower density of environment**
7 **(SVF \geq 50th percentile).**

8

9 **Figure 2 – Areas with lower vegetation across Hong Kong based on a threshold**
10 **estimated by all decedents of 2007 through 2014 with a quantile function. Light**
11 **green regions indicate TPUs with low vegetation (percentage of vegetation < 50th**
12 **percentile), and dark green regions represent TPUs with larger coverage of**
13 **vegetation (percentage of vegetation \geq 50th percentile).**

14

15 **Figure 3 – Areas with higher anthropogenic heat across Hong Kong based on a**
16 **threshold estimated by all decedents of 2007 through 2014 with a quantile**
17 **function. Dark red regions indicate TPUs with higher anthropogenic heat (\geq 50th**
18 **percentile), and light red regions represent TPUs with less anthropogenic heat (<**
19 **50th percentile).**

20

21 **Figure 4 – Areas with higher air pollution exposure across Hong Kong based on a**
22 **threshold estimated by all decedents of 2007 through 2014 with a quantile**
23 **function. Dark blue regions indicate TPUs with higher PM_{2.5} (\geq 50th percentile),**
24 **and light blue regions represent TPUs with less PM_{2.5} (< 50th percentile).**

25

26 **Figure 5 – Total all-cause deaths in TPUs across Hong Kong during haze events.**

27

28