

Liang X. Shen G.Q.P., Bu S.S (2016). Multi-agent systems in construction: a ten-year review, *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 30(6), 04016016, DOI 10.1061/(ASCE)CP.1943-5487.0000574, March. (SCI, IF=1.268, Ranked 29/126 in Civil Engineering by JCR in 2015).

Multi-agent systems in construction: a ten-year review

Xin Liang¹, Geoffrey Qiping Shen², Shanshan Bu³

Abstract

This study critically reviews multi-agent systems (MAS) in construction. Given their autonomous, cooperative, and learning attributes, MAS are a promising approach to address the essential problems of “collaboration and consensus” among stakeholders in construction projects. Despite the development of many agent-based technologies and applications in recent years, relatively few reviews have been reported on this area. Based on selected papers, this study proposes a novel framework of MAS in construction. It focuses on collaboration and consensus in construction projects, and comprehensively organizes three dimensions of MAS, namely “application–issue–attribute”. Based on this framework, the applications and issues in construction domain can be facilitated with appropriate attributes of MAS, and it can also help find the potential applications of MAS in construction. Furthermore, this study clarifies the advantages of MAS and support collaboration and consensus in construction domain. Finally, the development of MAS in construction is presented, and future research directions are recommended.

Keywords: Multi-agent system; Agent; Construction; Collaboration; Consensus

1 Department of Building and Real Estate, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China, PH (852) 27665825, FAX (852)

27645131, Email: xin.c.liang@connect.polyu.hk

2 Department of Building and Real Estate, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China, Email: geoffrey.shen@polyu.edu.hk

3 Department of Building and Real Estate, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China, Email: shanshan.bu@connect.polyu.hk

1 **Introduction**

2 Multi-agent systems (MAS) have developed rapidly in recent years because of their strong
3 capability in solving complex and dynamic problems. MAS include numerous intelligent
4 agents that can represent real-world parties without global control and unified objective (e.g.
5 stakeholders in construction projects) (Ren and Anumba, 2004). Given that an individual
6 agent lacks sufficient resources, information, and capabilities, each agent should interact with
7 other agents and environments to maximize its own utility. A complex problem, which
8 involves a distributed environment and several heterogeneous stakeholders, can be bottom-up
9 analyzed by assigning sub-problems to related intelligent agents. Given their autonomy,
10 cooperation, and learning characteristics (Nwana, 1996), intelligent agents can dynamically
11 adjust to a realize system stability and optimization through convergence mechanism
12 (Phanden et al., 2011). Therefore, MAS are considered a promising method for complex
13 systems, because of their dynamic, robust, and parallel working capabilities.

14 Numerous construction projects are complex systems with distributed heterogeneous
15 stakeholders operating in a dynamic environment. Particularly, fragmentation is considered a
16 serious problem (Ren and Anumba, 2004), that can be significantly solved through
17 collaboration (Xue et al., 2012). However, geographically distributed teams, different
18 backgrounds of participants, dynamic environment, and conflicting interests negatively affect
19 collaboration and consensus. MAS include different agents that can simulate collaboration
20 among different stakeholders with varied interests. Modeling and simulation with MAS can
21 help address and analyze these issues. Therefore, MAS provide an appropriate mean to
22 address the issues of fragmentation and improve collaboration in the construction industry.
23 Previous studies have applied MAS in several issues, including occupant behavior simulation
24 (Azar and Menassa, 2012), project organization (Du and El-Gafy, 2012), collaborative design
25 (Chu et al., 2009; Ren et al., 2011a), dynamic scheduling (Christodoulou, 2009), dispute

26 resolution (El-Adaway and Kandil, 2010), negotiation (Kim and Paulson, 2003; Xue and Ren,
27 2009), knowledge Systems (Obonyo, 2013) and site management (Kim and Kim, 2010a).
28 Although they seems like various independent issues, in fact, most of these key issues are
29 related to the same question: how to improve “collaboration and consensus” in construction
30 management.

31 Previous studies obtained remarkable achievements in MAS, however, most of them mainly
32 focused on tackling a specific issue in construction domain. Few studies, if not none, focused
33 on building a comprehensive framework to systematically conclude the applications, key
34 issues and the correlations with agent attributes. Ren and Anumba (2004) did a
35 comprehensive review of MAS in construction, but it still mainly emphasized on specific
36 issues and technology. In other domains, for example in manufacturing, the framework of
37 MAS knowledge has been built several years ago (Shen et al., 2006a).

38 To bridge this gap, this study proposes an “application–issue–attribute” framework, which
39 summarizes, categorizes and links the applications, the key issues and attributes of MAS in
40 construction. A main difficulty of MAS development is how to appropriately use their
41 attributes to maximize their advantages. This framework relates key issues of MAS with
42 attributes of agents, and then links various applications to the key issues. Based on this
43 framework, the applications in construction domain can be facilitated with appropriate
44 attributes of MAS. On the other hand, the results can also help find the potential applications
45 of MAS in construction.

46 In addition, different from previous studies, this review is problem oriented rather than
47 technology or application oriented. Namely, MAS are reviewed from the particular
48 perspective of “collaboration and consensus”. The majority of the previous studies and
49 reviews focused on specific issues, applications and technology. But the original and essential
50 problem of “collaboration and consensus” was overlooked. Therefore, this study does not aim

51 to specific applications and issues, but focuses on the key issues related to collaboration and
52 consensus. The results can help further clarify the advantages of MAS in this problem and
53 support collaboration and consensus in construction domain.

54 Finally, an updated review focusing on the state of art of MAS in construction is provided.

55 Over the last decade, MAS have developed rapidly not only in algorithms and technologies,
56 but in various application innovations as well. An update on MAS in construction is essential.

57 In conclusion, the main aim of this study is to propose a novel framework of MAS in
58 construction. It focuses on collaboration and consensus in construction projects, and
59 comprehensively organizes three dimensions of MAS, namely “application–issue–attribute”.

60 The objectives of this study are outlined as following.

61 (1) To review and analyze MAS from the particular perspective of collaboration and
62 consensus in construction.

63 (2) To build an “application–issue–attribute” framework. It will classify the key issues and
64 applications of MAS in construction and correlate them with the attributes of agents. The
65 main application areas of MAS in construction are intended to be identified based on this
66 classification.

67 (3) To investigate the advantages and disadvantages of MAS in construction and provide the
68 prospects for MAS development.

69 **Methodology**

70 The process of literature review includes four steps, which are shown in Figure 1:

71 (1) Essential problem identification: This review identifies the essential issue in construction
72 as “collaboration and consensus”. By abstracting and modeling this problem, MAS are
73 considered to be an appropriate method to solve it;

74 (2) Key issues of MAS observation: General MAS theories are reviewed to find general
75 improvement of MAS, and the state of art of MAS in construction (e.g. methods, algorithms,

76 techniques and applications) is investigated to find the particular issues in construction
77 domain;

78 (3) Existing and potential application areas analysis: Existing application areas are identified
79 by reviewing state of the art in construction, and state-of-art applications in other domains
80 (e.g. transportation, manufacturing and information science) offer some potential and future
81 application areas;

82 (4) Gaps and prospects exploration: Some gaps are found between requirements in
83 construction and the state of art of MAS. Trends and prospects are suggested to narrow the
84 gaps and to improve collaboration and consensus.

85 Since this paper focuses on MAS in construction domain, the search terms are set as ("multi
86 agent" OR "agent based") AND ("construction" OR "infrastructure" OR "civil engin*") in the
87 searching criterion Topic in the Science Citation Index (SCI) database, and the result was
88 refined to computer science interdisciplinary applications, engineering civil, operations
89 research management science, construction building technology, engineering, and automation
90 control systems domains. After reading abstracts of these papers, some irrelevant papers were
91 excluded. In the end, 98 papers were selected to review. The development of journal papers
92 related to MAS in construction is illustrated in Figure 2, which shows that the number of
93 published papers dropped from 2007 to 2008, but rapidly increased over the last years.

94 95 **Overview of MAS**

96 MAS have been used for decades, but what the term “agent” refers to is not well defined
97 (Panait and Luke, 2005). Durfee et al. (1987) defined MAS as a loosely coupled network of
98 agents working together to solve problems that individual agents cannot solve on their own.
99 Wooldridge and Jennings (1995) described “agent” as “a self-contained program capable of
100 controlling its own decision-making and acting based on its perception of its environment, in

101 pursuit of one or more objectives”. Other definitions have been suggested. Panait and Luke
102 (2005) considered agent as “a computational mechanism that exhibits a high degree of
103 autonomy, performing actions in its environment based on information (sensors, feedback)
104 received from the environment”. Shen et al. (2006a) opined that an agent is a computer
105 system that can act autonomously to reach its objectives.

106 The definitions of agent and MAS may vary, but they share common characteristics.
107 Wooldridge and Jennings (1995) identified these characteristics as autonomy, social ability,
108 reactivity, and pro-activeness. This definition was refined by Nwana (1996) to include the
109 following widely-cited behavioral attributes of MAS:

110 1) Autonomy. This attributes indicates that agents act by themselves rather than being
111 controlled by humans, other agents or entities.

112 2) Cooperation. This attributes indicates that with cooperation, agents can achieve what they
113 cannot achieve on their own; MAS exhibit a satisfactory performance when agents
114 collaborate well.

115 3) Learning. This is the key attribute of an intelligent agent, allowing it to evolve over time,
116 adapt to the environment and enhance performance.

117 **Key issues of MAS related to collaboration and consensus in construction**

118 *Identification of key issues*

119 MAS provide many advantages, but they remain at the early stage of development with
120 numerous issues that need to be addressed and solved. Bond and Gasser (1988) first cited a
121 list of changes faced by MAS. This list was expanded by Sycara (1998) and improved by
122 other researchers. Previous studies suggested that reaching a stable state of coherent
123 collective behavior in MAS is a major challenge (Christodoulou, 2009). This challenge is
124 referred to a “consensus” problem, implying the need “to reach an agreement regarding a
125 certain quantity of interest that depends on the state of all agents” (Olfati-Saber et al., 2007).

126 In most MAS, groups of agents should reach consensus over various interests and make
127 common decisions to solve a number of problems (Olfati-Saber and Murray, 2004). However,
128 agents are autonomous and lack global perspective, control, or sufficient data to reach a
129 consensus automatically. Thus relevant algorithms or mechanisms have to be designed to
130 facilitate the process.

131 Based on a previous work (Sycara, 1998), the main issues derived from the consensus
132 problem in MAS can be classified into seven aspects: (1) individual agent reasoning, (2)
133 planning and scheduling, (3) organization, (4) resolving conflicts and negotiation, (5)
134 resource and task assignment, (6) communication management, and (7) adaptation and
135 learning. These dimensional problems can further be categorized into three agent behavioral
136 attributes, as shown in Figure 3. The research questions pertinent to each issue are illustrated
137 in Table 1.

138 *Autonomy and individual agent reasoning issues*

139 The reasoning of individual agent reasoning is important to MAS coherence because agents
140 can rationalize the behavior of others and even avoid potential conflicts. Many studies on
141 MAS have formalized a logical architecture for the sophisticated reasoning of agents. In
142 particular, these studies tended to focus on specific aspects of agent reasoning, (e.g.
143 diagnostics and error recovery) that are considered important in MAS. Odrey and Mejia
144 (2003) developed a multi-level, multi-layer hierarchy to recognize and recover error. This
145 hierarchy included a production module and an error recovery module, as well as a mediator
146 module connecting the first two. Based on this architecture, agents exhibited responsive and
147 adaptive capabilities for self-adjustment.

148 Rojas and Mukherjee (2006) proposed a general-purpose situational simulation framework in
149 construction domain. This framework implies that the reasoning processes can be isolated
150 using a conceptual classification of problems in construction. This agent reasoning, which is

151 referred to as “general purpose multi-agent framework”, consists of several basic *modules*,
152 used to exchange information and act with a specific operator. The simulation platform based
153 on this framework can reflect realistic situations and improve the construction process.

154 ***Cooperation issues***

155 *Planning and schedule*

156 MAS are an effective means to realize planning and scheduling (Phanden et al., 2011). In
157 particular, this tool can be used by agents to improve coherence by planning their actions
158 (Sycara, 1998). The scheduling problem widely existing in construction is typically
159 considered a non-deterministic polynomial (NP) problem (Pinedo, 2012). This kind of
160 problem has polynomial computation complexity, and its time consumption increases
161 exponentially with problem size. Compared with traditional approaches, agent-based
162 approaches have significant advantages for this problem: parallel computation with high
163 efficiency, dynamic adaptation, and robustness with fault tolerance (Shen et al., 2006b).

164 MAS can improve scheduling efficiency because each agent can operate concurrently. To
165 implement this parallel operation, ant colony algorithm is widely used in agent-based
166 scheduling (Mullen et al., 2009; Shyu et al., 2006). MAS can also be used with several
167 traditional scheduling techniques to improve efficiency. One attempt is to improve Petri net
168 efficiency with MAS (Molinero and Nunez, 2011; Stuit and Szirbik, 2009). MAS can
169 likewise be combined with modern information technologies, such as the Internet, distributed
170 computations, and cloud framework (Singh and Malhotra, 2012).

171 Dynamic adaptation is another advantage of agent-based scheduling. Most construction
172 projects operate in a dynamic environment, because tasks, designs and resources may change
173 and other unpredictable events often occur during project execution. In addition, predicting
174 the exact time of each task is difficult. A dynamic and robust schedule should be created to
175 avoid crashing cost, time, human resources, or facilities, especially in the construction

176 industry (Hall, 2012). Ouelhadj and Petrovic (2009) compared several algorithms of dynamic
177 scheduling, including heuristics, meta-heuristics, MAS, and other artificial intelligence
178 algorithms. The comparative study demonstrated that MAS show potential in current and
179 future research in dynamic scheduling (Ouelhadj and Petrovic, 2009).

180 Apart from the traditional algorithms used in MAS (e.g. genetic algorithm, ant colony
181 algorithm and particle swarm optimization), several novel algorithms have recently been
182 developed to integrate with MAS (Kim and Paulson, 2003; Taghaddos et al., 2012). Kim and
183 Paulson (2003) developed an economics-inspired approach, which adopted a common
184 conception known as “compensatory” used in welfare economics. Taghaddos et al. (2012)
185 constructed a model inspired by “persistence of vision”. This model disintegrated a
186 scheduling problem into resource allocation problems at each point of time and then solved
187 each resource allocation problem using the auction method.

188 *Organizations*

189 Organizations are generally considered a structure of information and control relations
190 existing among agents, providing a high level view of cooperative problem solving (Sycara,
191 1998). Horling and Lesser (2004) identified 10 types of organizational structure of MAS: (1)
192 hierarchy, (2) holarchy, (3) coalition, (4) marketplace, (5) congregation, (6) society, (7)
193 federation, (8) matrix, (9) team, and (10) compound organization. Each organizational
194 structure has advantages and disadvantages, thus no organization is definitely better than the
195 others.

196 Other studies have considered the organization of MAS for specific applications. Son and
197 Rojas (2011) introduced an organizational framework for temporal team collaboration in
198 large-scale construction projects, and proposed an approach to examine organizational issues.
199 This framework indicates how individual effort influences system efficiency: the more effort
200 needed to build relationships, the lower the efficiency in the network.

201 *Resolving conflicts and negotiation*

202 Xue et al. (2005) suggested that negotiation is a necessary decision-making process to reach a
203 consensus. Agent-based negotiation is a tool that can help resolve conflicts and balance
204 profits among participants (Duan et al., 2012).

205 The agent-based algorithms used to improve negotiations can be categorized as either game-
206 theoretic techniques, physics models, operation research models, or informal models (Kraus,
207 1997). Ren et al. (2003c) categorized negotiation theories into game theory, economic theory,
208 and behavior theory, all of which inevitably overlap. Anumba et al. (2003) only suggested
209 two categories (i.e., game theory and behavior theory). However, regardless of which
210 category they belong to, all these algorithms are typically used to save time/cost, solve
211 decisions, and optimize negotiation results (Liao et al., 2013).

212 Negotiations aim to maximize utility, called “Pareto optimality” (Yager, 2002). Many
213 mechanisms have been designed to achieve this utility, and game theory is one of the most
214 commonly used methods to seek strategies that satisfy participants after conflict and
215 competition (Ren et al., 2003b). Ren et al. (Ren et al., 2003a; Ren and Anumba, 2002; Ren et
216 al., 2002) proposed an approach for negotiating construction claims. Ren et al. (2003b) and
217 Murray (2003) defined a concession mechanism with the principle of conflict avoidance. This
218 mechanism implies that a negotiation will be terminated if the risks of conflict for each side
219 are zero. However, the game theory based method was challenged by considerable research
220 because it is based on complete information, which is not available in real-world situations
221 (Ren and Anumba, 2002).

222 The game theory based method is time consuming when the number of agents increases
223 (Kraus, 1997). This disadvantage prompted the emergence of some algorithms for large-scale
224 agents. Kim et al. (2003) introduced a bidding mechanism, which can be established among
225 subcontractors to trade utilities. In this system, the subcontractors can compensate one

226 another for agreeing to changes in the schedule. This mutually beneficial arrangement is
227 known as the “Pareto improvement” and is especially effective in mega projects. El-Adaway
228 and Kandil (2010) introduced an approach for judging the best practice for new cases. In this
229 technique, if the negotiator can prove that the new case is similar to a previous one, the same
230 treatment used in the past can be adopted.

231 Xue et al. (2005) proposed an approach to evaluate attributes quantitatively, using an $m \times n$
232 evaluation matrix to illustrate m participants and n attributes. This method of evaluation can
233 help participants clearly understand the advantages of the plans and make precise decisions
234 during negotiations. Xue et al. (2009) eventually improved their algorithm by adopting a
235 novel approach, that is the relative entropy method. This method was based on the
236 information entropy model, which quantitatively evaluates the preference of negotiators for
237 various attributes. An agent-based negotiation platform has been developed to realize this
238 approach (Xue and Ren, 2009).

239 *Resource and task allocation*

240 Task allocation is often associated to a collaborative and distributed design, a complex
241 activity requiring good communication among teams with different backgrounds. Dijkstra
242 and Timmermans (2002) developed an agent based model to visualize the user behavior,
243 which can support assessment of design performance. Chu et al. (2009) investigated the
244 interaction among studies and developed a kind of MAS to obtain a collaborative 3D design
245 in construction projects. The system includes server and client agents, assigned to accomplish
246 specific tasks and to work separately with agent technologies. Watkins et al. (2009)
247 developed an agent-based “bottom-top” approach which defines the efficiency of every labor
248 activity, so that task allocation can be planned accurately.

249 Resource management includes money, human resources, facilities, information, and
250 knowledge management. Most previous research in the construction domain primarily

251 focused on tangible objects, such as money and facilities. In the recent years, however,
252 intangible objects have drawn considerable attention, particularly those related to information
253 and knowledge (Wu, 2001). Most the experiential information, knowledge and memory are
254 stored only in human minds because of the subjectivity of construction data. Nonetheless, the
255 developing complexities of projects demands the use of effective approaches to manage these
256 subjective resources (El-Diraby and Zhang, 2006). Koo et al. (2012) proposed an intelligent
257 knowledge management system based on MAS. The basic idea of this approach involves the
258 integration of the individual agent knowledge management system to form a unified system.
259 The structure of the system was developed based on loosely coupled independent agents,
260 indicating that the system can be easily reconfigured over time when the environment
261 changes.

262 *Communication management*

263 Agents can improve their consensuses through effective communication (Sycara, 1998; Yen,
264 2002). Obonyo (2013) claimed that communication comprises speech actions whose
265 meanings are described by agents. Huang et al. (2006) developed a three-tier system for
266 collaborative communication which increases system performance, security and
267 maintainability. Trust is a crucial issue in communication management, especially when
268 agents work separately and the environment changes constantly.

269 Communication technology is another research direction for MAS communication
270 management. Traditionally, the work culture in construction industry depends on face-to-face
271 communication. Nevertheless, with recent technological advances, wireless and inter-
272 operative communications have become commonplace. Aziz et al. (2006) reviewed and
273 compared intelligent wireless communication services in construction (e.g., Wi-Fi, Bluetooth
274 and 3G), and discussed the integration with agent-based technologies to support mobile
275 construction workers. Lee and Bernold (2008) developed a wireless system to realize

276 “ubiquitous communications” that can link the information island together. A platform
277 prototype was used to alert weather changes to outside workers. Lu et al. (2011) observed
278 that although radio frequency identification (RFID) technology has significantly improved
279 and is now widely used in various domains (e.g., retail, security, and transportation), it is yet
280 to be commonly used in construction. These researchers illustrated several scenarios in which
281 RFID may be used, including logistics and supply chain management, inventory management,
282 quality assurance, access control and labor attendance records, tracking of machines and tools,
283 and machine operations and records. Ren et al. (2011b) developed an RFID system for
284 material planning, ordering, receiving and storing, handling and distribution, and site usage
285 and monitoring in construction projects. Cerovsek (2011) integrated RFID with building
286 information modeling (BIM) to automatically generate models.

287 *Adaptation and learning issues*

288 Learning is a basic characteristic of agents, which is the reason they are referred to as
289 intelligent. Agents can learn from their own experience, other agents, and the environment.
290 Alonso et al. (2001) reviewed learning in MAS and discussed it from three perspectives,
291 namely, (1) single-agent learning and multi-agent learning, (2) on-line and off-line learning
292 methods, and (3) logic-based learning and social learning. These researchers also cited some
293 primary learning mechanisms, including contagious behavior, stimulus enhancement,
294 observational learning, matched-dependent behavior, and cross-modal matching.

295 Some studies have investigated on the specific approaches and applications of agent-based
296 learning. Bayesian learning is one of commonly used approaches, which can update a
297 strategy after learning from a previous experience. Previous studies employed this technique
298 in negotiations (Ren et al., 2003c), contract systems (Montano et al., 2008), and supply chain
299 management (Xue et al., 2009). Agent-based learning has been adopted in some new areas in
300 construction. Azar and Menassa (2012) introduced an agent-based learning approach for

301 building energy performance. The agents of this system can record and learn the energy
302 performance of individuals and then use the results to suggest various means to save energy.

303 **Discussion**

304 *Advantages and disadvantages of MAS in construction*

305 One of the advantages of MAS is their ability to act separately in various components of
306 engineering or business processes, executed by numerous agents. MAS are suitable for
307 sophisticated pattern of interactions, such as cooperation, coordination, and consensus (Ren
308 and Anumba, 2004). In addition, MAS are robust because they do not rely on a centralized
309 control center. Hence, the loss of one agent will not cause panic in the system. MAS can
310 likewise disintegrate a problem into smaller ones that can be assigned to different agents for
311 parallel processing (Shen et al., 2006b). These abilities of MAS are satisfactory for dealing
312 with the problems that are:

- 313 1) Too large to be solved by a single agent because of limited time or resources. One of
314 these problems is the resource-constrained scheduling problem, which is a time-
315 consuming NP-hard optimization problem (Taghaddos et al., 2012).
- 316 2) Inherently distributed, but require collaboration and interaction (Sycara, 1998). For
317 example, the collaborative design by individual team members (Anumba et al., 2002;
318 Chu et al., 2009; Ren et al., 2011b), and control of a distributed sensor network in
319 construction fields (Dibley et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2010).
- 320 3) Related to the self-interest of the participants. In the real world, most construction
321 participants are autonomous and want to maximize their own profits. Agents could
322 simulate negotiations, arguments, and conflicts among stakeholders and efficiently find
323 an optimal solution (Anumba et al., 2003; Dzung and Lin, 2004; Kraus, 1997; Ren et al.,
324 2003c; Xue et al., 2009).

325 4) In a dynamic environment. Intelligent agents can learn from and adapt to the
326 environment by themselves. Thus, MAS are adaptable to changes, which are common in
327 construction, including design (Anumba et al., 2002), resource (Kim and Kim, 2010a),
328 organizational (Unsal and Taylor, 2011), and schedule changes (Kim and Paulson, 2003;
329 Kim et al., 2003; Shen et al., 2006b).

330 The application of MAS in construction can potentially improve the process of dealing with
331 decentralized, complex, and dynamic problems to achieve improved efficiency, quality, and
332 cost. However, MAS are yet to be developed significantly because of several disadvantages.
333 The fundamental disadvantage of MAS is the ongoing debate among research communities in
334 the areas of software engineering and artificial intelligence (Dimou et al., 2009). Such a
335 dispute, delays the development of software. Without the support of practical toolkit for real
336 applications, MAS are tend to act as theoretical models on paper rather than simple systems.
337 The lack of a systematic methodology or a clear handbook, which allows people to model
338 their applications from MAS, also hinders the development of MAS because construction
339 problems are too complex to handle without an appropriate guide.

340 ***Future application areas***

341 Emerging from artificial intelligence, MAS have been widely used in many other areas, such
342 as manufacturing, transportation, information and communication, and space technology. In
343 the construction domain, MAS have rapidly developed and have been used in almost all
344 aspects. The applications of MAS in previous studies are listed in Table 2.

345 Recently developed applications of MAS should be given due attention by researchers. The
346 first is the integration of MAS with simulation platforms, such as BIM and geographic
347 information system (GIS). BIM, which is a collection of visualized building data, particularly
348 in 3D modeling, has drawn significant interest recently (Cerovsek, 2011; Volk et al., 2014).
349 Nonetheless, BIM primarily models a building without human behavior (Porter et al., 2014),

350 which may significantly affect the building during the entire life cycle (i.e., from design and
351 construction to operation and retrofit phase). The integration of MAS and BIM can improve
352 the performance of the latter, given that the former can simulate human behavior in buildings
353 and analyze the interactions of human and buildings in a virtual environment modeled by
354 BIM. Porter et al. (2014) proposed an application of MAS in performing dynamic security
355 analysis in a BIM environment to simulate the human behavior of attacking and defending
356 facilities in buildings. Shi et al. (2009) proposed an agent based model to simulate the
357 occupant behavior under fire condition. The result could improve building design in BIM.
358 Occupant behavior can significantly impact the energy consumption of building (Hong et al.,
359 2015). Integrated with energy plus and BIM, agent based model can predict the energy
360 consumption more accurately (Azar and Menassa, 2012). Cambeiro et al. (2014) developed
361 an application for multidisciplinary, integrated, and collaborative work among agents to
362 integrate all of them in different phases with MAS, supported by BIM. These studies
363 confirmed that, the assimilation of BIM with MAS can be used to develop additional realistic
364 models and perform more analysis. MAS can also facilitate GIS to simulate the influence of
365 human behavior on urban development (Zhang et al., 2013).

366 The second new application of MAS is information and knowledge management, particularly
367 big data management. The growing trend of big data management, including data mining and
368 cloud architecture, indicates that massive amounts of historical data can already be stored,
369 processed, and shared (Fiosina et al., 2013). However, the dataset can be exceedingly large to
370 be processed by a single processor (Bianchi et al., 2013). On the one hand, the decentralized
371 characteristic of MAS can process data separately by avoiding the transmission of big
372 information volumes, particularly with cloud computation (Fiosina et al., 2013). On the other
373 hand, big data can offer a powerful computing infrastructure for MAS applications for
374 modeling and simulation. MAS can help collect of huge amounts of data from individuals

375 and apply data mining results to individuals (Gao and Cho, 2012). Although related research
376 has emerged in other domains, the applications in construction have received inadequate
377 attention. MAS integrated with big data can provide decentralized, cooperative, and
378 networked systems. These systems are suitable for addressing sophisticated problems in
379 construction, such as preference analysis (Gao and Cho, 2012), decision support (Bianchi et
380 al., 2013), and strategy optimization (Fiosina et al., 2013).

381 Thirdly, MAS have been employed in applications related to green building, especially to the
382 energy efficiency of buildings (Azar and Menassa, 2010; Zhang et al., 2010). Different
383 behaviors of occupants can affect the energy consumption of buildings by more than 150%
384 compared with the simulation benchmark (Clevenger and Haymaker, 2006). Although the
385 occupants significantly affect the energy consumption in real-life situation (Yang and Wang,
386 2013), the actual energy simulation platforms disregard occupiers' behavior (Hoes et al.,
387 2009). MAS can enhance the performance of traditional energy simulation platforms, (e.g.,
388 Energy Plus), by integrating the characteristics of the occupants (Azar and Menassa, 2010;
389 Hong et al., 2015; Kashif et al., 2011). To achieve effective energy and comfort management
390 in a building environment, intelligent control systems have been developed based on MAS
391 (Klein et al., 2012; Lee and Malkawi, 2014; Yang and Wang, 2013; Zhang et al., 2011).
392 These systems are user-oriented and can simulate building occupant interaction. Therefore,
393 the systems can simultaneously manage energy efficiency and user comfort. For example, the
394 occupants can adjust their behaviors on window use, blind use, and space heater/personal fan
395 use. These behaviors can change the comfort level of occupants and the electricity
396 consumption of the building (Lee and Malkawi, 2014).

397 Finally, a potential application of MAS is to improve management efficiency in construction
398 site. Construction site is very complex, which involves various construction workers with
399 different tasks assigned, equipment, materials and environmental constraints (e.g. rain, snow,

400 wind). MAS could simulate the behaviors of construction workers and their interactions with
401 tasks, equipment, materials and environment. An agent-based system was developed to
402 simulate the traffic flow of construction equipment in construction site (Kim and Kim,
403 2010b). It is advanced compared to traditional discrete simulation, since the MAS can adapt
404 to the highly dynamic environment and uncertainty in construction operation (Mohamed and
405 AbouRizk, 2005). In addition, MAS can be used to model and simulate constructions workers,
406 whose attitudes and behaviors directly affect a construction project's performance (Ahn and
407 Lee, 2015). Based on the simulation results, related interventions can be developed to
408 improve site management (e.g. improving workers' efficiency, reducing absenteeism).
409

410 ***Development and prospects***

411 The process of developing solutions for theoretical and practical problems in construction is
412 illustrated in Figure 4. The process is iterative (Ren and Anumba, 2004). The specific
413 industry problems should first be identified and divided into sub-problems (e.g., stakeholders
414 and procedures). MAS are then modeled by abstracting characteristics, defining constraints,
415 quantifying, and mapping the model. After modeling, algorithms and mechanisms should be
416 developed to address the problem. MAS are then implemented using appropriate software
417 and platforms. The specific problems should be resolved based on MAS. Nevertheless, new
418 problems may emerge after validation and evaluation. Thus, the development process should
419 be run again to develop MAS that are adaptive to real situations. The key issues of MAS
420 identified by this study can offer solutions and contributions to every step of the process.
421 Beyond the internal iterative development process, external areas (i.e., theoretical model of
422 MAS, development trends of construction, and advanced applications of MAS in other
423 domains) can likewise improve the process.

424 Based on the development process, new approaches have emerged and technologies have
425 been continuously updated. In the future, the following directions may require further
426 attention.

427 **System robustness under uncertainty:** Construction projects are subject to strict deadlines,
428 budgets, and resource constraints. Thus, traditional approaches can potentially crash when
429 changes are applied. MAS can be used under these conditions because they can adapt easily
430 to new situations. Despite being dynamic, MAS are not designed for uncertainty. Future
431 MAS should focus on uncertainties when simulating the environment and human behavior,
432 including the stochastic process or other probability distributions.

433 **Real-time management:** Numerous emergencies may arise in construction projects,
434 including natural (e.g., weather changes, earthquakes, floods, and hurricanes) and human

435 causes (e.g., contract breaches, political reasons, accidents, and supply delays). Most of these
436 emergencies cannot be predicted, and may thus result in inestimable losses. Therefore, real-
437 time management is important for construction, through which real-time communication,
438 processes, and control can be achieved. With their parallel process ability, MAS can provide
439 the necessary support to this direction.

440 **Integration with modern algorithms and approaches:** MAS is a framework that has been
441 previously integrated with game theory, Bayesian learning, genetic algorithm, and ant colony
442 algorithm. However, several agent-related algorithms and approaches have been developed in
443 the last decades. Some of methods are widely used at present, but only a few of them are
444 adopted in the field of construction. For example, the Laplacian-based consensus algorithm
445 has recently been developed and used in various areas. Nevertheless, few studies have
446 employed this framework in construction. Therefore, modern approaches should be followed
447 and adopted in this field.

448 **Development of software and toolkit for real projects:** To date, different simulation
449 software have been applied in different contexts to develop MAS applications. Commercial
450 off-the-shelf (COTS) software is commonly used in general applications. For example, JADE
451 (Chu et al., 2009) and ZEUS (Xue et al., 2005) specialize in handling logic among agents,
452 NetLogo (Andrews et al., 2011) and Anylogic (Azar and Menassa, 2012) specialize in graph
453 and 3D demonstration. In addition to COTS software, various agent-based algorithms,
454 systems, and platforms are customized for actual projects, such as e-HUBs (Ren and Hassan,
455 2007), virtual organizational imitation for construction enterprises (Du and El-Gafy, 2012),
456 and supply chain simulator (CS2) (Min and Bjomsson, 2008). Nonetheless, MAS continue to
457 be difficult to use in real-life situations (Dimou et al., 2009) because of the aforementioned
458 disadvantages. Most software and toolkits are on the simulation stage than on practice

459 because of the limitations in dealing with complex projects. The further development of MAS
460 should be directed toward real project applications for MAS to become empirical.

461 **Conclusions**

462 “Collaboration and consensus” are identified as a key success factor in construction in which
463 fragmentation is a serious problem. However, this factor is difficult to attain because of
464 inherently distributed problems, self-interested participants, and dynamic environment in
465 construction. MAS naturally support such problem because of the autonomous, cooperative,
466 and learning behavioral attributes of agents. Orienting this problem, this study analyzes how
467 MAS are applied to improve collaboration and consensus in construction.

468 The development of MAS in construction occurs iteratively in three steps, namely, problem
469 identification and composition, problem abstraction and modeling, and MAS implementation
470 and validation. The issues identified in this study can support all these steps. Assistance from
471 external areas can inspire and improve MAS development in construction. In comparison
472 with related studies in different domains, several advanced methods, platforms and
473 applications of MAS are addressed and introduced to the construction industry.

474 In previous studies, MAS were mainly applied to scheduling, dispute resolution, decision
475 support system, and collaborative design. Apart from these traditional areas, new applications
476 are suggested in this review, including BIM, GIS, Energy plus, knowledge management,
477 energy performance simulation and site management. The efficiency of MAS in modelling
478 interactions of human and environment is advanced in certain applications (e.g., human
479 influence on energy consumption). The applications of MAS remains limited, and further
480 innovative areas should be explored in the future.

481 Given that considerable research has been made, the construction industry not only uses MAS
482 as a tool, but it continuously contributions to MAS development as well. For example,
483 Anumba et al. developed a collaborative design of structures by using intelligent agents

Liang X. Shen G.Q.P., Bu S.S (2016). Multi-agent systems in construction: a ten-year review, *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 30(6), 04016016, DOI 10.1061/(ASCE)CP.1943-5487.0000574, March. (SCI, IF=1.268, Ranked 29/126 in Civil Engineering by JCR in 2015).

484 (Anumba et al., 2002). This method has been cited and applied in computer science domain.
485 Xue et al. (Xue et al., 2005) proposed an agent-based framework for supply chain
486 coordination in construction, that influences the areas of manufacturing, information, and
487 economics. MAS have been applied in construction for decades, but some of their
488 disadvantages and crucial problems remain unaddressed. In addition, the majority of studies
489 on MAS have focused on frameworks, algorithms, and simulation than on their applications
490 in real projects. From the industry perspective, the agent-based technology is generally
491 considered a “nice-to-have” tool but not a “must-have” (Shen et al., 2006a). Therefore,
492 substantial efforts should be achieved before MAS can be widely used in construction.

493

494 **Acknowledgements**

495 This research is funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 71271184)
496 and the Hong Kong Polytechnic University. Special gratitude is due to Dr. Ren Zhaomin,
497 who inspired this research but sadly passed away in January 2013.

498

Liang X, Shen G.Q.P., Bu S.S (2016). Multi-agent systems in construction: a ten-year review, *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 30(6), 04016016, DOI 10.1061/(ASCE)CP.1943-5487.0000574, March. (SCI, IF=1.268, Ranked 29/126 in Civil Engineering by JCR in 2015).

499 **References**

- 500 Ahn, S., Lee, S., 2015. Methodology for Creating Empirically Supported Agent-Based Simulation with
501 Survey Data for Studying Group Behavior of Construction Workers. *Journal of Construction*
502 *Engineering and Management*, 141.
- 503 Alonso, E., D'Inverno, M., Kudenko, D., Luck, M., Noble, J., 2001. Learning in multi-agent systems.
504 *Knowledge Engineering Review*, 16, 277-284.
- 505 Andrews, C.J., Yi, D., Krogmann, U., Senick, J.A., Wener, R.E., 2011. Designing Buildings for Real
506 Occupants: An Agent-Based Approach. *Ieee Transactions on Systems Man and Cybernetics Part a-*
507 *Systems and Humans*, 41, 1077-1091.
- 508 Anumba, C.J., Ren, Z., Thorpe, A., Ugwu, O.O., Newnham, L., 2003. Negotiation within a multi-agent
509 system for the collaborative design of light industrial buildings. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 34,
510 389-401.
- 511 Anumba, C.J., Ugwu, O.O., Newnham, L., Thorpe, A., 2002. Collaborative design of structures using
512 intelligent agents. *Automation in Construction*, 11, 89-103.
- 513 Azar, E., Menassa, C., 2010. A conceptual framework to energy estimation in building using agent
514 based modeling, in: Johansson, B., Jain, S., MontoyaTorres, J., Hukan, J., Yucesan, E. (Eds.),
515 *Proceedings of the 2010 Winter Simulation Conference*, pp. 3145-3156.
- 516 Azar, E., Menassa, C.C., 2012. Agent-Based Modeling of Occupants and Their Impact on Energy Use
517 in Commercial Buildings. *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 26, 506-518.
- 518 Aziz, Z., Anumba, C.J., Ruikar, D., Carrillo, P., Bouchlaghem, D., 2006. Intelligent wireless web services
519 for construction - A review of the enabling technologies. *Automation in Construction*, 15, 113-123.
- 520 Bianchi, P., Clemenccon, S., Morrai, G., Jakubowicz, J., 2013. On-line learning gossip algorithm in
521 multi-agent systems with local decision rules, *Proceedings - 2013 IEEE International Conference on*
522 *Big Data, Big Data 2013*, pp. 6-14.
- 523 Bond, A.H., Gasser, L., 1988. Readings in Distributed Artificial Intelligence. *SIGART Bull.*, 25-26.
- 524 Cambeiro, F.P., Barbeito, F.P., Castano, I.G., Bolibar, M.F., Rodriguez, J.R., 2014. Integration of
525 Agents in the Construction of a Single-Family House Through use of BIM Technology. *24th Daaam*
526 *International Symposium on Intelligent Manufacturing and Automation*, 2013, 69, 584-593.
- 527 Cerovsek, T., 2011. A review and outlook for a 'Building Information Model' (BIM): A multi-
528 standpoint framework for technological development. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 25, 224-
529 244.
- 530 Christodoulou, S., 2009. Construction imitating ants: Resource-unconstrained scheduling with
531 artificial ants. *Automation in Construction*, 18, 285-293.
- 532 Chu, C.H., Wu, P.H., Hsu, Y.C., 2009. Multi-agent collaborative 3D design with geometric model at
533 different levels of detail. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 25, 334-347.
- 534 Clevenger, C.M., Haymaker, J., 2006. The impact of the building occupant on energy modeling
535 simulations, *Joint International Conference on Computing and Decision Making in Civil and Building*
536 *Engineering*, Montreal, Canada. Citeseer, pp. 1-10.
- 537 Dibley, M.J., Li, H., Miles, J.C., Rezgui, Y., 2011. Towards intelligent agent based software for building
538 related decision support. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 25, 311-329.
- 539 Dijkstra, J., Timmermans, H., 2002. Towards a multi-agent model for visualizing simulated user
540 behavior to support the assessment of design performance. *Automation in Construction*, 11, 135-
541 145.
- 542 Dimou, C., Symeonidis, A.L., Mitkas, P.A., 2009. An integrated infrastructure for monitoring and
543 evaluating agent-based systems. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36, 7630-7643.
- 544 Du, J., El-Gafy, M., 2012. Virtual Organizational Imitation for Construction Enterprises: Agent-Based
545 Simulation Framework for Exploring Human and Organizational Implications in Construction
546 Management. *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 26, 282-297.

Liang X, Shen G.Q.P., Bu S.S (2016). Multi-agent systems in construction: a ten-year review, *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 30(6), 04016016, DOI 10.1061/(ASCE)CP.1943-5487.0000574, March. (SCI, IF=1.268, Ranked 29/126 in Civil Engineering by JCR in 2015).

- 547 Duan, L., Dogru, M.K., Oezen, U., Beck, J.C., 2012. A negotiation framework for linked combinatorial
548 optimization problems. *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems*, 25, 158-182.
- 549 Durfee, E.H., Lesser, V.R., Corkill, D.D., 1987. Coherent cooperation among communicating problem
550 solvers. *Computers, IEEE Transactions on*, 100, 1275-1291.
- 551 Dzeng, R.J., Lin, Y.C., 2004. Intelligent agents for supporting construction procurement negotiation.
552 *Expert Systems with Applications*, 27, 107-119.
- 553 El-Adaway, I.H., Kandil, A.A., 2010. Multiagent System for Construction Dispute Resolution (MAS-
554 COR). *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management-Asce*, 136, 303-315.
- 555 El-Diraby, T.E., Zhang, J., 2006. A semantic framework to support corporate memory management in
556 building construction. *Automation in Construction*, 15, 504-521.
- 557 Fiosina, J., Fiosins, M., Müller, J.P., 2013. Big data processing and mining for next generation
558 intelligent transportation systems. *Jurnal Teknologi (Sciences and Engineering)*, 63, 23-38.
- 559 Gao, Q., Cho, Y.I., 2012. A multi-agent personalized query refinement approach for academic paper
560 retrieval in big data environment. *Journal of Advanced Computational Intelligence and Intelligent*
561 *Informatics*, 16, 874-880.
- 562 Hall, N.G., 2012. Project management: Recent developments and research opportunities. *Journal of*
563 *Systems Science and Systems Engineering*, 21, 129-143.
- 564 Hoes, P., Hensen, J., Loomans, M., De Vries, B., Bourgeois, D., 2009. User behavior in whole building
565 simulation. *Energy and Buildings*, 41, 295-302.
- 566 Hong, T., D'Oca, S., Turner, W.J.N., Taylor-Lange, S.C., 2015. An ontology to represent energy-related
567 occupant behavior in buildings. Part I: Introduction to the DNAs framework. *Building and*
568 *Environment*, 92, 764-777.
- 569 Horling, B., Lesser, V., 2004. A survey of multi-agent organizational paradigms. *Knowledge*
570 *Engineering Review*, 19, 281-316.
- 571 Huang, C.J., Trappey, A.J.C., Yao, Y.H., 2006. Developing an agent-based workflow management
572 system for collaborative product design. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 106, 680-699.
- 573 Kashif, A., Le, X.H.B., Dugdale, J., Ploix, S., 2011. Agent based Framework to Simulate Inhabitants'
574 Behaviour in Domestic Settings for Energy Management, *ICAART (2)*, pp. 190-199.
- 575 Kim, K., Kim, K.J., 2010a. Multi-agent-based simulation system for construction operations with
576 congested flows. *Automation in Construction*, 19, 867-874.
- 577 Kim, K., Paulson, B.C., 2003. Agent-based compensatory negotiation methodology to facilitate
578 distributed coordination of project schedule changes. *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 17,
579 10-18.
- 580 Kim, K.J., Kim, K., 2010b. Case Study on the Evaluation of Equipment Flow at a Construction Site.
581 *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 24, 570-575.
- 582 Kim, K.S., Paulson, B.C., Levitt, R.E., Fischer, M.A., Petrie, C.J., 2003. Distributed coordination of
583 project schedule changes using agent-based compensatory negotiation methodology. *Ai Edam-*
584 *Artificial Intelligence for Engineering Design Analysis and Manufacturing*, 17, 115-131.
- 585 Klein, L., Kwak, J.-y., Kavulya, G., Jazizadeh, F., Becerik-Gerber, B., Varakantham, P., Tambe, M., 2012.
586 Coordinating occupant behavior for building energy and comfort management using multi-agent
587 systems. *Automation in Construction*, 22, 525-536.
- 588 Koo, J., Kim, Y.S., Kim, B.I., 2012. Estimating the impact of residents with disabilities on the
589 evacuation in a high-rise building: A simulation study. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 24,
590 71-83.
- 591 Kraus, S., 1997. Negotiation and cooperation in multi-agent environments. *Artificial Intelligence*, 94,
592 79-97.
- 593 Lee, J., Bernold, L.E., 2008. Ubiquitous agent-based communication in construction. *Journal of*
594 *Computing in Civil Engineering*, 22, 31-39.
- 595 Lee, Y.S., Malkawi, A.M., 2014. Simulating multiple occupant behaviors in buildings: An agent-based
596 modeling approach. *Energy and Buildings*, 69, 407-416.

Liang X. Shen G.Q.P., Bu S.S (2016). Multi-agent systems in construction: a ten-year review, *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 30(6), 04016016, DOI 10.1061/(ASCE)CP.1943-5487.0000574, March. (SCI, IF=1.268, Ranked 29/126 in Civil Engineering by JCR in 2015).

- 597 Liao, P.C., Thomas, S.R., O'Brien, W.J., 2013. Measuring information dependency for construction
598 engineering projects. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, 19, 177-183.
- 599 Lu, W., Huang, G.Q., Li, H., 2011. Scenarios for applying RFID technology in construction project
600 management. *Automation in Construction*, 20, 101-106.
- 601 Min, J.U., Bjornsson, H.C., 2008. Agent-based construction supply chain simulator (CS2) for
602 measuring the value of real-time information sharing in construction. *Journal of Management in*
603 *Engineering*, 24, 245-254.
- 604 Mohamed, Y., AbouRizk, S.M., 2005. Framework for Building Intelligent Simulation Models of
605 Construction Operations. *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 19, 277-291.
- 606 Molinero, C., Nunez, M., 2011. Planning of work schedules through the use of a hierarchical multi-
607 agent system. *Automation in Construction*, 20, 1227-1241.
- 608 Montano, B.R., Yoon, V., Drummey, K., Liebowitz, J., 2008. Agent learning in the multi-agent
609 contracting system [MACS]. *Decision Support Systems*, 45, 140-149.
- 610 Mullen, R.J., Monekosso, D., Barman, S., Remagnino, P., 2009. A review of ant algorithms. *Expert*
611 *Systems with Applications*, 36, 9608-9617.
- 612 Murray, R.O.S.R.M., 2003. Consensus protocols for networks of dynamic agents, *Proceedings of the*
613 *2003 American Controls Conference*.
- 614 Nwana, H.S., 1996. Software agents: An overview. *Knowledge engineering review*, 11, 205-244.
- 615 Obonyo, E., 2013. Enhancing Intelligent Knowledge Systems Using Organization-Centered Agent
616 Models. *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 27, 196-201.
- 617 Odrey, N.G., Mejia, G., 2003. A re-configurable multi-agent system architecture for error recovery in
618 production systems. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 19, 35-43.
- 619 Olfati-Saber, R., Fax, J.A., Murray, R.M., 2007. Consensus and cooperation in networked multi-agent
620 systems. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 95, 215-233.
- 621 Olfati-Saber, R., Murray, R.M., 2004. Consensus problems in networks of agents with switching
622 topology and time-delays. *Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on*, 49, 1520-1533.
- 623 Ouelhadj, D., Petrovic, S., 2009. A survey of dynamic scheduling in manufacturing systems. *Journal of*
624 *Scheduling*, 12, 417-431.
- 625 Panait, L., Luke, S., 2005. Cooperative multi-agent learning: The state of the art. *Autonomous Agents*
626 *and Multi-Agent Systems*, 11, 387-434.
- 627 Phanden, R.K., Jain, A., Verma, R., 2011. Integration of process planning and scheduling: a state-of-
628 the-art review. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 24, 517-534.
- 629 Pinedo, M., 2012. *Scheduling: theory, algorithms, and systems*. Springer.
- 630 Porter, S., Tan, T., Tan, T., West, G., 2014. Breaking into BIM: Performing static and dynamic security
631 analysis with the aid of BIM. *Automation in Construction*, 40, 84-95.
- 632 Ren, Z., Anumba, C., Ugwu, O., 2003a. The development of a multi-agent system for construction
633 claims negotiation. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 34, 683-696.
- 634 Ren, Z., Anumba, C.J., 2002. Learning in multi-agent systems: a case study of construction claims
635 negotiation. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 16, 265-275.
- 636 Ren, Z., Anumba, C.J., 2004. Multi-agent systems in construction-state of the art and prospects.
637 *Automation in Construction*, 13, 421-434.
- 638 Ren, Z., Anumba, C.J., Tah, J., 2011a. RFID-facilitated construction materials management (RFID-
639 CMM) - A case study of water-supply project. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 25, 198-207.
- 640 Ren, Z., Anumba, C.J., Ugwu, O.O., 2002. Negotiation in a multi-agent system for construction claims
641 negotiation. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, 16, 359-394.
- 642 Ren, Z., Anumba, C.J., Ugwu, O.O., 2003b. The development of a multi-agent system for construction
643 claims negotiation. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 34, 683-696.
- 644 Ren, Z., Anumba, C.J., Ugwu, O.O., 2003c. Multiagent system for construction claims negotiation.
645 *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 17, 180-188.

Liang X. Shen G.Q.P., Bu S.S. (2016). Multi-agent systems in construction: a ten-year review, *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 30(6), 04016016, DOI 10.1061/(ASCE)CP.1943-5487.0000574, March. (SCI, IF=1.268, Ranked 29/126 in Civil Engineering by JCR in 2015).

- 646 Ren, Z., Hassan, T.M., 2007. Legal requirements and challenges for e-business within the single
647 electronic European market. *Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice*,
648 133, 246-254.
- 649 Ren, Z., Yang, F., Bouchlaghem, N.M., Anumba, C.J., 2011b. Multi-disciplinary collaborative building
650 design-A comparative study between multi-agent systems and multi-disciplinary optimisation
651 approaches. *Automation in Construction*, 20, 537-549.
- 652 Rojas, E.M., Mukherjee, A., 2006. Multi-agent framework for general-purpose situational simulations
653 in the construction management domain. *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 20, 165-176.
- 654 Shen, W., Hao, Q., Yoon, H.J., Norrie, D.H., 2006a. Applications of agent-based systems in intelligent
655 manufacturing: An updated review. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 20, 415-431.
- 656 Shen, W., Wang, L., Hao, Q., 2006b. Agent-based distributed manufacturing process planning and
657 scheduling: A state-of-the-art survey. *IEEE Transactions on Systems Man and Cybernetics Part C-*
658 *Applications and Reviews*, 36, 563-577.
- 659 Shi, J., Ren, A., Chen, C., 2009. Agent-based evacuation model of large public buildings under fire
660 conditions. *Automation in Construction*, 18, 338-347.
- 661 Shyu, S.Y.J., Lin, B.M.T., Hsiao, T.S., 2006. Ant colony optimization for the cell assignment problem in
662 PCS networks. *Computers & Operations Research*, 33, 1713-1740.
- 663 Singh, A., Malhotra, M., 2012. Agent Based Framework for Scalability in Cloud Computing.
664 *International Journal of Computer Science & Engineering Technology (IJCSSET)*, 3, 41-45.
- 665 Son, J., Rojas, E.M., 2011. Evolution of Collaboration in Temporary Project Teams: An Agent-Based
666 Modeling and Simulation Approach. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 137,
667 619-628.
- 668 Stuit, M., Szirbik, N.B., 2009. Towards Agent-Based Modeling and Verification of Collaborative
669 Business Processes: an Approach Centered on Interactions and Behaviors. *International Journal of*
670 *Cooperative Information Systems*, 18, 423-479.
- 671 Sycara, K.P., 1998. Multiagent systems. *Ai Magazine*, 19, 79-92.
- 672 Taghaddos, H., AbouRizk, S., Mohamed, Y., Hermann, U., 2012. Simulation-Based Auction Protocol
673 for Resource Scheduling Problems. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 138, 31-
674 42.
- 675 Unsal, H.I., Taylor, J.E., 2011. Modeling Interfirm Dependency: Game Theoretic Simulation to
676 Examine the Holdup Problem in Project Networks. *Journal of Construction Engineering and*
677 *Management*, 137, 284-293.
- 678 Volk, R., Stengel, J., Schultmann, F., 2014. Building Information Modeling (BIM) for existing buildings
679 - literature review and future needs. *Automation in Construction*, 38, 109-127.
- 680 Watkins, M., Mukherjee, A., Onder, N., Mattila, K., 2009. Using Agent-Based Modeling to Study
681 Construction Labor Productivity as an Emergent Property of Individual and Crew Interactions. *Journal*
682 *of Construction Engineering and Management-Asce*, 135, 657-667.
- 683 Wooldridge, M., Jennings, N.R., 1995. Intelligent agents: Theory and practice. *Knowledge*
684 *engineering review*, 10, 115-152.
- 685 Wu, D.J., 2001. Software agents for knowledge management: coordination in multi-agent supply
686 chains and auctions. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 20, 51-64.
- 687 Wu, W.W., Yang, H.J., Chew, D.A.S., Yang, S.H., Gibb, A.G.F., Li, Q.M., 2010. Towards an autonomous
688 real-time tracking system of near-miss accidents on construction sites. *Automation in Construction*,
689 19, 134-141.
- 690 Xue, X., Ren, Z., 2009. An agent-based negotiation platform for collaborative decision-making in
691 construction supply chain, in: Jain, L.C., Nguyen, N.T. (Eds.), pp. 123-145.
- 692 Xue, X., Shen, Q., Fan, H., Li, H., Fan, S., 2012. IT supported collaborative work in A/E/C projects: A
693 ten-year review. *Automation in Construction*, 21, 1-9.
- 694 Xue, X., Shen, Q., Li, H., O'Brien, W.J., Ren, Z., 2009. Improving agent-based negotiation efficiency in
695 construction supply chains: A relative entropy method. *Automation in Construction*, 18, 975-982.

Liang X. Shen G.Q.P., Bu S.S (2016). Multi-agent systems in construction: a ten-year review, *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 30(6), 04016016, DOI 10.1061/(ASCE)CP.1943-5487.0000574, March. (SCI, IF=1.268, Ranked 29/126 in Civil Engineering by JCR in 2015).

- 696 Xue, X.L., Li, X.D., Shen, Q.P., Wang, Y.W., 2005. An agent-based framework for supply chain
697 coordination in construction. *Automation in Construction*, 14, 413-430.
- 698 Yager, R.R., 2002. Defending against strategic manipulation in uninorm-based multi-agent decision
699 making. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 141, 217-232.
- 700 Yang, R., Wang, L., 2013. Development of multi-agent system for building energy and comfort
701 management based on occupant behaviors. *Energy and Buildings*, 56, 1-7.
- 702 Yen, B.P.C., 2002. Communication infrastructure in distributed scheduling. *Computers & Industrial
703 Engineering*, 42, 149-161.
- 704 Zhang, H., Li, Y., Li, H., 2013. Multi-agent simulation of the dynamic evolutionary process in Chinese
705 urban housing market based on the GIS: The case of Beijing. *Automation in Construction*, 35, 190-
706 198.
- 707 Zhang, L., Zhang, G.Q., Shen, B., Xie, X.Y., Yan, Q., Ieee, 2010. Building Energy Saving Design Based on
708 Multi-Agent System.
- 709 Zhang, T., Siebers, P.-O., Aickelin, U., 2011. Modelling electricity consumption in office buildings: An
710 agent based approach. *Energy and Buildings*, 43, 2882-2892.
- 711