

1 **Impaired bidirectional NMDA receptor dependent synaptic plasticity in the dentate gyrus**
2 **of adult female *Fmr1* heterozygous knockout mice**

3

4 Abbreviated title: Hippocampal NMDA receptor hypofunction in female Fragile X syndrome
5 mice

6

7 *Yau, S.Y.^{1,2*}, Bostrom, C.A.^{1*}, Chiu, J.¹, Fontaine, C.J.¹, Sawchuk, S.¹, Meconi, A.¹, Wortman,*
8 *R.C.¹, Truesdell, E.¹, Truesdell, A.¹, Chiu, C.¹, Hryciw, B.N.¹, Eadie, B.D.¹, Ghilan, M.¹, Christie,*
9 *B.R.¹.*

10

11 ¹Division of Medical Sciences, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada

12 ²Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong SAR,
13 China

14

15 *Both authors contributed equally

16

17 **Corresponding Author:** Brian R. Christie (Ph.D.)

18 Division of Medical Sciences, Island Medical Program, University of Victoria, Victoria, B.C.,
19 V8W 2Y2, Canada. *E-mail:* brain64@uvic.ca; *Phone:* +1.250.472-4244; *Fax:* +1.250.772-5505

20

21 **Number of Pages: 21**

22 **Number of Figures: 8**

23 **Number of words for Abstract: 229**

24 **Number of words for Introduction: 670**

25 **Number of words for Discussion: 1331**

26

27 Key words: Fragile X syndrome, females, hippocampus, learning and memory,
28 synaptic plasticity

29

30

31

1 **Abstract: (262 words)**

2

3 Fragile-X syndrome (FXS) is caused by the transcriptional repression of the *Fmr1* gene resulting
4 in loss of the Fragile-X mental retardation protein (FMRP). This leads to cognitive impairment in
5 both male and female patients, however few studies have focused on the impact of FXS in
6 females. Significant cognitive impairment has been reported in approximately 35% of women
7 who exhibit a heterozygous *Fmr1* gene mutation, however to date there is a paucity of
8 information regarding the mechanistic underpinnings of these deficits. We, and others, have
9 recently reported that there is significant impairment in N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor
10 (NMDAR)-dependent long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) in the
11 hippocampal dentate gyrus (DG) of male *Fmr1* knock out mice. Here we examined if female
12 mice displaying a heterozygous loss of the *Fmr1* gene (*Fmr1*^{+/-}) would exhibit similar
13 impairments in DG-dependent spatial memory processing and NMDAR hypofunction. We found
14 that Female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice did not show impaired metabotropic glutamate receptor (mGluR)-LTD
15 in the CA1 region, and could perform well on a temporal ordering task that is thought to involve
16 this brain region. In contrast, female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice showed impairments in a pattern separation
17 task thought to involve the DG, and also displayed a significant impairment in both NMDAR-
18 dependent LTD and LTP in this region. The LTP impairment could be rescued by administering
19 the NMDAR co-agonist, glycine. Our data suggests that NMDAR hypofunction in the DG may
20 partly contribute to learning and memory impairment in female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice. Targeting
21 NMDAR-dependent mechanisms may offer hope as a new therapeutic approach for treating
22 female FXS patients with learning and memory impairments.

23

24

25

26

27

1 **Introduction**

2

3 Fragile-X Syndrome (FXS) is the most common X-linked form of inherited intellectual
4 impairment (Bagni and Greenough, 2005), affecting approximately 1 in 4000 males and 1 in
5 8000 females (Hagerman et al., 1999; Turner et al., 1996). It is caused by an expanded cytosine-
6 guanine-guanine (CGG) (>200 repeats, known as full mutation) repeat tract in the Fragile X
7 mental retardation syndrome 1 (*Fmr1*) gene, which, in turn, causes DNA hypermethylation and
8 subsequent loss of the Fragile-X mental retardation protein (FMRP) (Hansen et al., 1992; Pieretti
9 et al., 1991).

10

11 FXS patients display varied cognitive performance ranging from normal to severe impairment
12 (Alanay et al., 2007; Kabakus et al., 2006; Rousseau et al., 1991). These cognitive impairments
13 appear to be sexually dimorphic, as the majority of FXS males show moderate to severe
14 cognitive impairments (Hagerman et al., 1999) while affected females often have a less severe
15 phenotype than males (Abrams et al., 1994; Sobesky, 2002) and exhibit varied degrees of
16 behavioral deficits. Approximately 50% of homozygous females (Mazzocco et al., 1993;
17 Rousseau et al., 1991) and 35% of heterozygous females (Kemper et al., 1986; Mizejeski et al.,
18 1986) show significant mental retardation. Furthermore, emotional dysfunction has most been
19 reported in FXS females (Hagerman et al., 1999; Reiss et al., 1988), suggesting that FXS females
20 appear most vulnerable to specific psychopathology and behavioral deficits, such as social
21 anxiety and depression (Freund et al., 1993).

22

23 The causes for wide variation in mental impairment among female patients remain undetermined;
24 however, the number of CGG repeats in the *Fmr1* gene may be a factor influencing cognitive
25 affectedness of female carriers (Taylor et al., 1994). A correlation between CGG expansion size
26 and spatial performance was found in FXS males (Cornish et al., 1999). Similarly, an association
27 between size of CGG repeats and severity of social anxiety was found in FXS females (Freund et
28 al., 1993). Levels of FMRP in the brain may correlate with the severity of behavioral impairment
29 in FXS individuals. Understanding the female condition in FXS can be challenging since FXS
30 females differ greatly in their degree of mental impairment and CGG repeat number. Information
31 regarding behavioural abnormalities in female FXS patients is still very limited. Female patients

1 with FXS display reduced hippocampal activity during visual memory encoding tasks as well as
2 deficits in episodic memory (Greicius et al., 2004) and often exhibit emotional dysfunction
3 (Freund et al., 1993). Together, these findings suggest that hippocampal dysfunction underlies
4 the symptoms of FXS in female patients. With the development of *Fmr1* knockout (KO) mice
5 (The Dutch-Belgian Fragile X Consortium, 1994), the majority of animal studies using *Fmr1* KO
6 mice have focused on males, leaving the effects of a loss of FMRP on females largely
7 unexplored.

8
9 The hippocampus, which is important for emotion as well as learning and memory (Squire,
10 1992), has high expression for *Fmr1* mRNA in human fetal brains (Abitbol et al., 1993). The
11 granule neurons of the dentate gyrus (DG) express the highest levels of *Fmr1* mRNA in the
12 mouse brain (Hinds et al., 1993). Disruptions in FMRP production may introduce synaptic
13 impairments in the hippocampus that could result in deficits in learning and memory in *Fmr1*
14 KO mice (Eadie et al., 2012; Franklin et al., 2014; King and Jope, 2013). In the *cornu ammonis*
15 region 1 (CA1) of the hippocampus, exaggerated metabotropic glutamate receptor-dependent
16 (mGluR), but intact N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR)-dependent long-term depression
17 (LTD) and long-term potentiation (LTP), have been reported in male *Fmr1* KO mice (Godfraind
18 et al., 1996; Huber et al., 2002; Lauterborn et al., 2007). In the DG, we have reported that male
19 *Fmr1* KO mice show impairments in pattern separation performance, reduced NMDAR-
20 dependent LTD and LTP, and decreased NMDAR subunit protein expression (Bostrom et al.,
21 2013; Eadie et al., 2012). While little work has been done in females, *Fmr1* heterozygous KO
22 (*Fmr1*^{+/-}) mice have been shown to exhibit a significant increase in susceptibility to audiogenic
23 seizures (Musumeci et al., 2000). Whether the hippocampi of female FXS mice are affected in
24 the same way as males remains unknown. Because female patients with FXS are usually
25 heterozygous carriers, we examined whether female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice show parallel impairments in
26 hippocampal synaptic plasticity and behavioral deficits to male KO mice.

27

28 **Materials and Methods**

29

30 ***Transgenic Mice***

1 Female *Fmr1*^{+/-} and wild-type (WT) littermate mice with C57Bl/6J genetic background were
2 generated by breeding a female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mouse with a WT male mouse from established breeding
3 colonies. All experiments were carried out in adult mice (2 - 4 months of age) in accordance with
4 international standards on animal welfare and guidelines set by the Canadian Council on Animal
5 Care and the Animal Care Committees at the University of Victoria.

6

7 ***Genotyping***

8 Genotyping was performed as previously described (Bostrom et al., 2013; Eadie et al., 2012).
9 Ear snips were used to isolate DNA using the DNA isolation kit according to the manufacture's
10 instructions (Invitrogen, Ontario, Canada), followed by PCR analysis with cycling parameters as
11 follows: denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 60s at 94 °C, 90 s at 65 °C and
12 150 s at 72 °C. Primers used for probing the Fmr1 KO allele (800 base pairs) were: M2 = 5'-
13 ATCTAGTCAYGCTATGGATATCAGC-3' and N2 = 5'-GTGGGCTCTATGGCTTCTGAGG-
14 3'. Primers for probing the WT allele (450 base pairs) were: S1 = 5'-
15 GTGGTTAGCTAAAGTGAGGATGAT-3' and S2 = 5'-
16 CAGGTTTGTGGGATTAACAGATC-3' (**Suppl. Fig. 1**). PCR products were run in a 1.5 %
17 agarose gel with SYBR-safe and visualized using a BioRad trans-illuminator.

18

19 ***Analyses of Estrus Cycle***

20 Mice that were in the proestrus stage of their estrus cycle at the time of decapitation for
21 electrophysiology were excluded from data analysis, since the proestrus stage has been
22 associated with alterations in functional plasticity (Warren et al., 1995). All female mice
23 subjected to electrophysiology were subjected to vaginal lavage immediately before decapitation
24 while anesthetized with isoflurane. Papanicolaou (PAP) histochemistry was performed to
25 confirm the stage of the estrus cycle as illustrated in **Suppl. Fig 2**. In brief, slides were immersed
26 into Gills hematoxylin solution 1 for 3 min, followed by washes in tap water and then immersed
27 in Scott's tap water substitute (0.3 % sodium bicarbonate and 2% anhydrous magnesium sulfate
28 in distilled water). Slides were then immersed in PAP stain (1: 1 Orange G6 and Eosin-azure 50;
29 Sigma-Aldrich, Ontario, Canada) for 3 min and immersed in 100% ethanol, then a xylene
30 substitute (Citrisolv; Fisher Scientific Company, Ontario, Canada), coverslipped with permount

1 and analyzed by conventional light microscopy (Model BX51TF, Olympus Corporation,
2 Pennsylvania, US).

3

4 ***Behavioral tests***

5 A separate cohort of animals was used for behavioral tests. All mice were subjected to 7 days of
6 pre-handling, followed by two days where they were habituated to the empty testing apparatus
7 for 15 minutes. Pre-handling and habituation can significantly reduce stress that is associated
8 with handling and exposure to novel environments. This is critical as the Fmr1 KO mice are
9 hypersensitive to stress (Ghilan et al., 2015). Mice were transferred to the behavioral room 2 hr
10 before conducting behavioral tests each day. Each mouse was subjected to a battery of
11 behavioral tests, however only one behavioral test was performed on any single day.

12

13 *Categorical spatial processing task*

14 A Plexiglas circular apparatus (diameter 100 cm X height 30 cm) with four visual cues attached
15 to the wall was used. This task assesses categorical spatial memory which depends on the DG
16 (Goodrich-Hunsaker et al., 2005). It consists of a 15-min habituation session with two different,
17 but highly similar objects spaced 40 cm apart. Following a 5-min inter-trial interval in an empty,
18 opaque transfer cage, mice were allowed to explore the objects with their locations interchanged
19 without altering the distance between objects for 5 min. Increased exploration of the objects
20 during the test session compared to the last 5 min of the habituation indicates that the mice
21 remembered the object positions (**Fig. 1A₁**). The exploration ratio was calculated as (exploration
22 time of object in 5 min test or last 5 min of habituation) / (exploration time of object in 5-min test
23 + last 5 min of habituation). Exploration index was calculated as (exploration time of object in 5-
24 min test - last 5 min of habituation) / (exploration time of object in 5-min test + last 5 min of
25 habituation).

26

27 *Temporal order task*

28 This test assesses temporal order memory and requires the CA1 region to be intact (Hoge and
29 Kesner, 2007). Mice received three sessions to explore two copies of a new set of objects (sets 1,
30 2, 3, respectively) and were allowed to explore these objects (40 cm apart) for 5 min, followed
31 by 5 min intertrial interval in an opaque transfer cage (as above). During the 5-min test session,

1 mice were allowed to explore a copy of object set 1 and a copy of object set 3 (**Fig. 1B₁**). More
2 time spent on exploring the first object (Object 1) presented relative to the most recent explored
3 object (Object 3) indicates normal temporal order memory. Exploring ratio of the objects was
4 calculated as (exploration time of Object 1 or 3) / (exploration time of Object 1 + 3). Exploration
5 index was calculated as (exploration time of Object 1 – 3) / (exploration time of Object 1 + 3).

6

7 *Tail suspension test*

8 The tail suspension test was conducted as previously described (Yau et al., 2014). Briefly, the
9 mouse was suspended with a climb-stopper placed around its tail prior to applying the tape. A 6-
10 min session was video-taped and analyzed for immobility time by observers in a blind manner.

11 *Forced swim test*

12 Mice were placed in a 5 L beaker filled with 4 L room temperature tap water for 6 min. The
13 session was video-taped for scoring by an observer blind to the treatment conditions. The
14 immobility time in the last 4-min testing session was analyzed and presented as an indicator for
15 depression-like behaviour as previously described (Yau et al., 2014). Immobility was defined as
16 any movement beyond what was needed to keep the head above the water.

17 *Field electrophysiological recordings*

18 Mice were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane, and were immediately decapitated. Their brains
19 were removed directly into oxygenated (95% O₂/5% CO₂) ice-cold normal artificial
20 cerebrospinal fluid (nACSF) consisting of (in mM) 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaHPO₄, 25
21 NaHCO₃, 2 CaCl₂, 1.3 MgCl₂, and 10 dextrose, pH 7.3. Transverse hippocampal slices (350 μm)
22 were obtained using a Vibratome 1500 (Ted Pella Inc., Redding, California, U.S.A.). Slices were
23 incubated in continuously oxygenated nACSF at 30 °C and were allowed to recover for a
24 minimum of 1 hr before recordings commenced.

25

26 Slices were perfused at a rate of approximately 2 ml / min. All drugs used were dissolved in
27 nACSF before bath application. Field EPSPs were collected using an Axon MultiClamp 700B
28 amplifier connected to a Windows computer running Clampex 10.2 software (Molecular
29 Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Electrodes were placed under the visual guidance of an upright
30 microscope. The slope from a single fEPSP trace was calculated from the initial slope of the

1 fEPSP relative to the slope of the 10 ms interval immediately preceding afferent stimulation. The
2 current magnitude (10-50 μ A) was delivered through a digital stimulus isolation amplifier
3 (Getting Instruments Inc., CA) and set to elicit a fEPSP approximately 40-50% of maximum for
4 synaptic potentiation experiments and 50-60% for synaptic depression experiments. A stable
5 baseline (for a minimum of 20 minutes) was obtained by delivering single pulse stimulation at
6 15s interstimulus intervals.

7
8 NMDAR-dependent LTP of field excitatory post-synaptic potentials (fEPSPs) in the DG was
9 induced using a conditioning stimulus (CS) consisting of 4 trains of 50 pulses at 100 Hz, 30 s
10 apart (high-frequency stimulation; HFS). Medial perforant path evoked fEPSPs were obtained
11 using a concentric bipolar stimulating electrode (FHC Inc., Bowdoin, Maine, USA) and a glass
12 recording electrode (1-2 M Ω) filled with nACSF and placed in the medial molecular layer. HFS-
13 LTP was conducted in the presence of 5 μ M bicuculline methiodide (BIC; Sigma-Aldrich,
14 Ontario, Canada) to block the inhibitory effects of the gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor type A
15 (GABA_A) on synaptic plasticity in this region of the hippocampus. BIC was bath applied before
16 recording the baseline. Experiments assessing the role of NMDAR in HFS-LTP were also
17 conducted in the presence of 50 μ M (2R)-amino-5-phosphonovaleric acid (APV; Sigma-Aldrich,
18 Ontario, Canada) in addition to BIC. APV was bath applied 5 min prior to and during CS. For
19 experiments assessing the use of glycine to rescue deficits in NMDAR dependent LTP, glycine
20 (10 μ M) was bath applied during baseline and HFS conditioning protocols. LTD in the DG was
21 induced by administering 900 pairs of pulses (50 ms interstimulus interval) delivered at 1 Hz
22 (PP-LFS). PP-LTD was conducted in the presence of 5 μ M BIC. We have shown that difference
23 in LTD between male WT and *Fmr1*^{-/-} mice can be abolished by application of a selective
24 NMDAR antagonist APV (data not shown)(Bostrom et al., 2013).

25
26 Evoked fEPSPs in the CA1 were obtained using a glass-recording electrode (1-2 M Ω) filled with
27 nACSF and placed in the stratum radiatum. mGluR-dependent LTD of fEPSPs in the CA1 region
28 was induced with a CS consisting of 900 pairs of stimuli (50 ms interstimulus interval) delivered
29 at 1 Hz over 15 min (PP-LFS). PP-LTD was conducted in the presence of 5 μ M BIC.
30 Additionally, 50 μ M APV was bath applied to the slice for a minimum of 5 min before and

1 during the application of PP-LFS CS to block any possible NMDAR mediated effects on PP-
2 LTD.

3
4 Input-output experiments were conducted to measure basal dendritic excitation in response to
5 increasing applied current in nACSF (using an increasing pulse width from 30 to 300 μ s with 30
6 μ s interstimulus intervals). Paired-pulse recordings were also conducted to measure presynaptic
7 release probability. Paired-pulse recordings consisted of 5 sets of two pulses each with an
8 interpulse interval of 50 ms (20 s between paired stimuli) delivered in nACSF.

9
10 Evoked fEPSP responses were digitized and the initial slope of the fEPSP was analyzed using
11 pClamp 10 software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). All data are represented as percentage
12 change from the initial average baseline fEPSP slope, which was defined as the average slope
13 obtained for the 20 min prior to CS application. Percentage potentiation or depression was
14 calculated as follows: (average fEPSP 50 to 60 min post-CS) / (average fEPSP between -20 to 0
15 min pre-CS) x 100.

16
17 ***Whole cell patch clamping recording***

18 Transverse hippocampal slices (350 μ m) were obtained using high Mg^{2+} , low Ca^{2+} slicing ACSF
19 which consisted of (in mM) 123 NaCl, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 3 KCl, 1.25 $NaH_2PO_4 \cdot H_2O$, 1 $CaCl_2$, 6
20 $MgCl_2$. Following a minimum recovery in nACSF for at least 1 hour at 33 $^{\circ}C$, whole cell
21 recording were obtained using an Axon Axopatch 200B amplifier and digitized with DigiData
22 1440A connected to a PC running Clampex 10.3 software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).
23 The intracellular solution consisted of (in mM) 135 $CsMeSO_4$, 8 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 7
24 phosphocreatine, 0.3 Na_3GTP ., 2 Mg_2ATP , 10 QX-314, pH 7.3. Liquid junction potential was
25 adjusted to 9.5 mV. The resistance of the recording electrode was 5 – 7 $M\Omega$. Synaptic responses
26 were evoked by electrical stimulation in the middle molecular layer (medial perforant pathway)
27 of the suprapyramidal blade. Recordings were performed in the suprapyramidal limb of the
28 granule cell layer located midway between the crest and the distal end of the limb. Dentate
29 granule cells with series resistance larger than 30 $M\Omega$ and change of series resistance larger than
30 30% were discarded. Once whole-cell recording was achieved in nACSF, α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-
31 methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor (AMPA)-mediated excitatory postsynaptic currents

1 (EPSCs) were obtained by holding cells at -70 mV, and NMDAR-mediated EPSCs were
2 obtained by holding cells at +40 mV with a 0.05 ms pulse in voltage clamp mode. The peak
3 current observed at -70 mV represents the maximum AMPAR-EPSC, whereas NMDAR-EPSC
4 was measured at +40 mV after 50 ms of the stimulating pulse when the AMPAR-EPSC is gone.
5 Pharmacological isolation of NMDAR-mediated EPSCs was obtained using low Mg^{2+} ACSF
6 (0.1 mM) containing AMPAR antagonist NBQX (5 μ M; Tocris Bioscience) and 100 μ M
7 Picrotoxin (Sigma-Aldrich, Ontario, Canada) after break-in in the cells. An approximately 100
8 pA evoked NMDAR-EPSC was obtained without glycine. Glycine potentiated NMDA currents
9 were obtained following bath application of glycine for 15 min, and were confirmed by bath
10 application of the glycine binding site antagonist 5,7-Dichlorokynurenic acid (Tocris Bioscience,
11 Ontario, Canada).

12

13 ***Statistical Analyses***

14 Differences between the mean values of experimental groups were compared with two-tailed
15 Student's *t* test for two group comparison. Repeated measures ANOVA or two-way ANOVA
16 with Tukey's post-hoc test was applied for electrophysiological data when appropriate using
17 SPSS 16.0 software. Data are presented as means \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical
18 significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

19

20 **Results:**

21 **Female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice displayed impairment in spatial memory processing task**

22 Adult male *Fmr1* knockout mice displayed impaired temporal order memory and spatial pattern
23 separation processing (Franklin et al., 2014; King and Jope, 2013). Here we tested if similar
24 learning and memory impairment could be found in female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice. The categorical spatial
25 learning task is a DG dependent task that tests topological spatial pattern separation (Goodrich-
26 Hunsaker et al., 2005). It assesses the time spent exploring two objects after the positions of
27 these two objects are transposed in the test phase (**Fig. 1A1**). *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice spent significantly less
28 time exploring the objects in the test phase when compared to WT mice (**Fig. 1A2 & A3**, $t_{(12)} =$
29 4.230, $P = 0.001$), indicating impairment in categorical spatial memory in *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice.

1 In the temporal order test, control mice spent less time exploring a most recently presented object
2 in habituation phase (**Fig. 1B₁**). Both WT and *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice spent more time exploring the first
3 object presented than the most recent object presented (**Fig. 1B₂**). Exploration index indicated
4 that there was no significant difference in temporal ordering for objects between WT and *Fmr1*^{+/-}
5 mice (**Fig. 1B₃**. $t_{(14)} = -0.153$, $P = 0.880$).

6 There was no significant difference in depressive despair in either the forced swim test (**Fig. 1C**.
7 $t_{(14)} = -0.104$, $P = 0.919$) or tail suspension test (**Fig. 1D**. $t_{(14)} = 0.242$, $P = 0.812$), as indicated
8 by comparable time spent in immobility between WT and *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice.

9

10 **Intact basal synaptic transmission and short-term synaptic plasticity in the DG and CA1** 11 **regions of *Fmr1*^{+/-} Mice**

12

13 Basal synaptic transmission was measured by Input/Output functions while short-term synaptic
14 plasticity was measured by pair-pulse measures of presynaptic neurotransmitter release. The
15 slope of the fEPSP significantly increased when the applied current was increased from a pulse
16 width of 30 to 300 μ s (**Fig. 2A**. repeated measures ANOVA: $F_{(8,88)} = 109.317$, $P < 0.001$);
17 However, no statistical differences were found between genotypes (**Fig. 2A**. repeated measures
18 ANOVA: $F_{(1,11)} = 0.064$, $P = 0.805$), suggesting no difference in basal synaptic transmission in
19 the DG between WT and *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice. Paired-pulse conditioning stimulation was used as a
20 measure of short-term plasticity. There was no significant difference between WT and *Fmr1*^{+/-}
21 responses to paired-pulse assay of presynaptic release probability in the DG (**Fig. 2B**. $t_{(13)} = -$
22 0.120 , $P = 0.906$), suggesting that WT and female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice have an equal probability of
23 neurotransmitter release. Intact basal synaptic transmission (**Fig. 2C**. repeated measures
24 ANOVA: $F_{(1,13)} = 0.019$, $P = 0.894$) and short-term plasticity (**Fig. 2D**. $t_{(19)} = -2.38$, $P = 0.815$.
25 WT: Pulse 2 = 143.6 ± 6.1 % of pulse 1; *Fmr1*^{+/-}: Pulse 2 = 162.3 ± 7.3 % of pulse 1) were also
26 observed in the CA1 region of female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice when compared to WT mice.

27

28 **Impaired NMDAR-dependent LTP and LTD in the DG, but intact mGluR-LTD in the CA1** 29 **of *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice**

1 LTP was induced by HFS and LTD was induced by LFS in the DG of WT and *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice (**Fig.**
2 **3**). *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice displayed significantly less LTP (**Fig. 3A1 & A2** WT: 84.10 ± 2.51 %; *Fmr1*^{+/-}:
3 40.7 ± 6.5 %; $t_{(15)} = 2.987$, $P = 0.01$) and LTD (**Fig. 3B1 & B2**, WT: -29.73 ± 4.05 %; *Fmr1*^{+/-}: -
4 12.19 ± 4.10%; $t_{(18)} = -3.162$, $P < 0.005$) in the DG when compared to WT mice. There was no
5 significant difference in mGluR-LTD in the CA1 region between WT and *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice (**Fig.**
6 **3C1 & C2**, WT: -24.37 ± 8.70%; *Fmr1*^{+/-}: -19.92 ± 6.35%; $t_{(12)} = -0.526$, $P = 0.608$).

7 **Decreased AMPAR and NMDAR-mediated EPSCs in the dentate gyrus of *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice**

8 Since female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice showed impairment in spatial pattern separation, a task dependent on
9 the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus (Goodrich-Hunsaker et al., 2005), we investigated if this
10 behavioral deficit was associated with a decrease in AMPAR- or NMDAR-mediated EPSCs in
11 dentate granule cells. Whole cell patch clamping revealed that *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice showed significant
12 decreases in both AMPAR- (**Fig. 4A & B**. repeated measure ANOVA: $F_{(1,37)} = 4.847$, $P = 0.034$)
13 and NMDAR-mediated EPSCs (**Fig. 4A & C**. repeated measure ANOVA: $F_{(1, 37)} = 9.695$, $P =$
14 0.004) when compared to WT mice. Furthermore, both maximum AMPAR- (WT = 590.67 ±
15 33.29 pA; *Fmr1*^{+/-} = 451.04 ± 39.36 pA) and NMDAR- (WT = 358.97 ± 38.68; *Fmr1*^{+/-} = 205.94
16 ± 24.74 pA) mediated EPSCs in *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice were significantly lower than that in WT mice
17 (**Fig. 4D**. AMPAR: $t_{(36)} = 3.467$, $P = 0.001$; NMDAR: $t_{(36)} = 3.0714$, $P = 0.004$).

18 **Glycine potentiated NMDA currents in both WT and *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice**

19 Glycine, a co-agonist of the NMDAR, may potentiate the NMDAR and enhance NMDA currents
20 (Johnson and Ascher, 1987). Bath application of glycine increased NMDAR-mediated EPSCs in
21 both WT and *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice, though *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice showed significantly lower NMDAR-mediated
22 EPSCs than WT mice (**Fig. 5**. WT = 200.84 ± 28.75%; *Fmr1*^{+/-} = 181.85 ± 16.91%; $t_{(16)} = 2.783$,
23 $P = 0.013$).

24 **Impairments in NMDAR-dependent LTP can be reversed by glycine in *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice**

25 We have previously reported that glycine rescues impaired synaptic plasticity in male *Fmr1* KO
26 mice (Bostrom et al., 2013). We therefore tested if glycine exerts similar effects on female
27 *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice. Two-way ANOVA revealed no effect of genotype ($F_{(1,29)} = 2.452$, $P = 0.13$), but
28 significant effect of glycine ($F_{(1,29)} = 5.382$, $P = 0.029$); and interaction ($F_{(1,29)} = 10.599$, $P =$

1 0.003). Posthoc analysis revealed that the same conditioning stimulation protocol induced
2 significantly less LTP in female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice when compared to WT mice (WT: 84.10 ± 2.51 %;
3 *Fmr1*^{+/-}: 40.7 ± 6.5 %; Tukey post-hoc test $P < 0.05$). Glycine significantly normalized LTP in
4 *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice (**Fig. 6A₁ & A₂** *Fmr1*^{+/-}+Glycine: 91.81 ± 41.51 %; $P < 0.005$), and showed no
5 effect on WT mice (WT+Glycine: 71.84 ± 25.58 %; $P > 0.05$).

6
7 Bath application of the NMDAR antagonist APV (50 μM) attenuated LTP to the same level in
8 both WT and *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice ((**Fig 6B₁ & B₂** WT: -4.3 ± 11.4 %; *Fmr1*^{+/-}: -4.3 ± 4.6 %; $t_{(11)} = -$
9 1.778, $P = 0.103$), indicating that the LTP induced through HFS in both WT and *Fmr1*^{+/-} slices
10 was predominantly NMDAR dependent.

11 12 **Discussion**

13 While females with FXS often have a less severe behavioral phenotype than males (Abrams et
14 al., 1994; Sobesky, 2002), approximately 35% of heterozygous females show significant
15 cognitive impairment (Kemper et al., 1986; Mizejeski et al., 1986). To date, the underlying
16 mechanism(s) of how the loss of FMRP affects synaptic function in female brains remains
17 unclear. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study examining hippocampal synaptic
18 plasticity in an adult female mouse model of FXS with partial loss of FMRP. The results show
19 that female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice exhibit impairments in a pattern separation task that requires normal
20 functioning of the DG, but no significant changes in depression-like behaviors. The memory
21 processing deficit in *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice is associated with a DG-specific impairment in bidirectional
22 NMDAR-dependent synaptic plasticity; a result that is congruent with those previously reported
23 in male *Fmr1* KO mice (Bostrom et al., 2013; Eadie et al., 2012; Franklin et al., 2014; Yun and
24 Trommer, 2011). However, females differ in that the exaggerated mGluR-LTD that has been
25 reported in the CA1 region of male *Fmr1* KO mice (Bear et al., 2004; Huber et al., 2002) was
26 absent. Female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice also displayed normal temporal order processing. Application of
27 the NMDAR co-agonist glycine restored NMDAR-dependent LTP in the DG, suggesting that a
28 pharmacological approach to increase endogenous glycine concentration in the brain may serve
29 as an effective treatment for improving learning and memory deficits in both male and female
30 FXS patients.

1 ***Impaired spatial memory processing in female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice***

2 Here we demonstrated that female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice on a C57BL/6 background showed significant
3 impairment in a DG-dependent categorical spatial task, similar to previous observations in male
4 *Fmr1* KO mice (Franklin et al., 2014; King and Jope, 2013). Male *Fmr1* KO mice with complete
5 loss of FMRP show severe impairments in the temporal order test (Franklin et al., 2014; King
6 and Jope, 2013), a visual task reliant on an intact CA1 region (Hoge and Kesner, 2007). In
7 contrast, female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice displayed intact temporal order memory in this study. This may be
8 due to the fact that partial loss of FMRP is not sufficient to impair CA1 synaptic function, as no
9 impairment of mGluR-dependent synaptic plasticity was found in this region in female *Fmr1*^{+/-}
10 mice. Although female FXS patients show emotional dysfunction more often than their male
11 counterparts (Freund et al., 1993), depression-like behavior as assessed by forced swim test and
12 tail suspension test was not altered in our female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice, similar to what is seen in male
13 *Fmr1* KO mice (Yuskaitis et al., 2010). A recent study using male and female *Fmr1* KO mice on
14 a C57BL/6J-*Tyr*^{c-Brd} (C57 albino) background, show similar impairments between sexes in
15 spatial learning and memory in the Morris Water Maze (MWM), contextual memory deficits,
16 and decreased anxiety in the open field test (Baker et al., 2010). This suggests that there may be
17 negligible sex differences in regards to behavioral impairments in mice with a full mutation of
18 the *Fmr1* gene. However, our results suggest that female mice with a partial loss of FMRP show
19 less severe behavioral deficits than male mice with a full *Fmr1* mutation. This is in line with the
20 clinical findings which report female patients to be less severely affected by the loss of FMRP
21 when compared to male patients.

22 ***Impaired NMDAR-dependent bidirectional synaptic plasticity in the DG of *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice***

23
24 Understanding the mechanism(s) underlying impairments in hippocampal plasticity will be
25 critical for the success of future therapeutic treatment of FXS patients with learning and memory
26 impairments. Intact basal transmission and short-term plasticity were observed in female *Fmr1*^{+/-}
27 mice, indicating that behavioral and synaptic impairments may be linked to dysfunction at
28 postsynaptic sites rather than at pre-synaptic sites. Our previous findings in male mice indicate
29 that an absence of FMRP can produce impairments in bidirectional synaptic plasticity in the DG
30 that may be due to decreased NMDAR subunits (Bostrom et al., 2013). NMDAR hypofunction

1 and impaired NMDAR-dependent LTP and LTD in the MPP-DG synapses (Bostrom et al., 2013;
2 Eadie et al., 2012; Franklin et al., 2014; Yun and Trommer, 2011) may contribute to these
3 deficits in a DG-dependent pattern separation task. This is supported by the fact that female
4 *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice also displayed DG-dependent behavioral deficits that are correlated with impaired
5 NMDAR-LTP and LTD. Future research warrants a closer examination of NMDAR subunits
6 expression, which may also underlie NMDAR-LTP and LTD findings in the female *Fmr1*^{+/-}
7 mouse. Female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice displayed intact mGluR-mediated LTD in the CA1 region, which
8 correlated with normal behavioral performance in the temporal order task. Exaggerated mGluR-
9 mediated LTD in the CA1 region has been reported in male *Fmr1* KO mice (Godfraind et al.,
10 1996; Huber et al., 2002), in association with impaired temporal order memory (Franklin et al.,
11 2014; King and Jope, 2013). Interestingly, partial loss of FMRP in female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice may not
12 be sufficient to cause impairments in synaptic plasticity in the CA1 region. Since the DG has the
13 highest FMRP mRNA expression among hippocampal regions (Hinds et al., 1993), partial loss of
14 FMRP may disproportionately affect this brain region, causing severe impairments in synaptic
15 plasticity in the DG, but not the CA1 region.

16

17 ***Glycine potentiates NMDAR and restores LTP in the DG of *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice***

18

19 Pharmacological rescue of NMDAR hypofunction in the hippocampal DG should take its place
20 alongside current research into inhibition of mGluR5 at the forefront of the search to enhance
21 cognitive function in FXS (Bostrom et al., 2016). Inhibition of mGluR5 by its antagonist MPEP
22 have repeatedly shown to rescue LTP deficits in the CA1 (Dölen et al., 2007; Michalon et al.,
23 2014), but have failed to rescue impaired LTP in the DG and hippocampal-dependent learning in
24 male FXS mice (Franklin et al., 2014). Similarly, inhibition of mGluR5 by MPEP has failed to
25 rescue LTP deficits in the amygdala (Suvrathan et al., 2010). These studies suggest that only
26 targeting mGluR5 may not be sufficient to rescue all hippocampal synaptic deficits in FXS.

27

28 However, pharmacological blockade of GSK3 β , a negative regulator of NMDAR-dependent LTP
29 (Hooper et al., 2007; Peineau et al., 2007), has been shown to reverse NMDAR-dependent
30 synaptic deficits in MPP-DGC synapses and improve cognitive deficits in male *Fmr1* KO mice
31 (Franklin et al., 2014). We observed a similar benefit of glycine in rescuing synaptic dysfunction

1 in the DG of female *Fmr1*^{+/-} and male *Fmr1* KO mice. Whole cell recordings revealed that
2 glycine was able to potentiate NMDAR-mediated EPSCs in *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice and NMDAR-LTP
3 was restored by the addition of glycine in female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice (without affecting control levels
4 of LTP). This data suggests that enhancing NMDAR function may be able to effectively reverse
5 synaptic deficits in FXS mice regardless of sex differences. Possible behavioral benefits of
6 potentiating NMDAR *in vivo* in the hippocampus of female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice warrant further
7 investigation. Since impairment in synaptic plasticity and behavioral deficit observed in the
8 present study is observational, it would be of great interest to examine whether increasing
9 NMDAR activation specifically in the DG rescues behavioral deficiency in both female and
10 male *Fmr1* KO mice, or DG region-specific reduction of NMDAR activity in the WT mice
11 would result in behavioral deficit that is similar to the *Fmr1* KO mice.

12

13 The present findings reinforce the integral role of the NMDAR in both male and female FXS
14 mice, and speak to the potential benefit of pharmacological therapy aimed at reversing NMDAR
15 dependent deficits for hippocampal dependent learning and memory in FXS.

16

17 ***Conclusions***

18

19 This study has corroborated and extended the theory of NMDAR hypofunction in FXS by
20 revealing impaired synaptic plasticity in female *Fmr1* transgenic mice with partial loss of FMRP.
21 Taking our previous findings with male *Fmr1* KO mice and our current findings together,
22 impairments in NMDAR-dependent LTD and LTP which are possibly linked to NMDAR
23 hypofunction in the DG may contribute to hippocampal-dependent learning and memory
24 impairment observed in male and female FXS patients. Our present study indicates that
25 increasing NMDAR function by the use of the co-agonist glycine can rescue impaired synaptic
26 plasticity specifically in female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice. This suggests that modulating the NMDAR may
27 serve as a potent treatment for learning and memory impairment in FXS patients. Though further
28 investigation will be required to fully elucidate the mechanisms underlying altered synaptic
29 plasticity in the DG, our results solidify the important contribution of NMDARs to hippocampal
30 pathophysiology in both male and female FXS.

31

1 **Conflicts of Interest**

2 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare regarding this manuscript.

3

4 *Acknowledgements*

5 This project was supported by grants from the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (CIHR
6 MOP 125888) and postdoctoral fellowship awarded to SY Yau by the CIHR in partnership of
7 Fragile X Research Foundation of Canada.

8

1 **References**

- 2 Abitbol, M., Menini, C., Delezoide, A.-L., Rhyner, T., Vekemans, M., Mallet, J., 1993. Nucleus
3 basalis magnocellularis and hippocampus are the major sites of FMR-1 expression in the
4 human fetal brain. *Nat. Genet.* 4, 147–153. doi:10.1038/ng0693-147
- 5 Abrams, M.T., Reiss, A.L., Freund, L.S., Baumgardner, T.L., Chase, G.A., Denckla, M.B., 1994.
6 Molecular-neurobehavioral associations in females with the fragile X full mutation. *Am. J.*
7 *Med. Genet.* 51, 317–327. doi:10.1002/ajmg.1320510407
- 8 Alanay, Y., Ünal, F., Turanlı, G., Alikasıfoğlu, M., Alehan, D., Akyol, U., Belgin, E., Şener, C.,
9 Aktaş, D., Boduroğlu, K., Utine, E., Volkan-Salancı, B., Özusta, Ş., Genç, A., Başar, F.,
10 Sevinç, Ş., Tunçbilek, E., 2007. A multidisciplinary approach to the management of
11 individuals with fragile X syndrome. *J. Intellect. Disabil. Res.* 51, 151–161.
12 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2788.2006.00942.x
- 13 Bagni, C., Greenough, W.T., 2005. From mRNP trafficking to spine dysmorphogenesis: the roots
14 of fragile X syndrome. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 6, 376–387. doi:10.1038/nrn1667
- 15 Baker, K.B., Wray, S.P., Ritter, R., Mason, S., Lanthorn, T.H., Savelieva, K.V., 2010. Male and
16 female *Fmr1* knockout mice on C57 albino background exhibit spatial learning and memory
17 impairments. *Genes, Brain Behav.* 9, no–no. doi:10.1111/j.1601-183X.2010.00585.x
- 18 Bear, M.F., Huber, K.M., Warren, S.T., 2004. The mGluR theory of fragile X mental retardation.
19 *Trends Neurosci.* 27, 370–377. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2004.04.009
- 20 Bostrom, C., Yau, S., Majaess, N., Vetrici, M., Gil-Mohapel, J., Christie, B.R., 2016.
21 Hippocampal dysfunction and cognitive impairment in Fragile-X Syndrome. *Neurosci.*
22 *Biobehav. Rev.* 68, 563–574. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.06.033
- 23 Bostrom, C.A., Majaess, N.-M., Morch, K., White, E., Eadie, B.D., Christie, B.R., 2013. Rescue
24 of NMDAR-Dependent Synaptic Plasticity in *Fmr1* Knock-Out Mice. *Cereb. Cortex* 25,
25 271–279. doi:10.1093/cercor/bht237
- 26 Cornish, K.M., Munir, F., Cross, G., 1999. Spatial Cognition in Males With Fragile-X
27 Syndrome: Evidence for a Neuropsychological Phenotype. *Cortex* 35, 263–271.
28 doi:10.1016/S0010-9452(08)70799-8
- 29 Dölen, G., Osterweil, E., Rao, B.S.S., Smith, G.B., Auerbach, B.D., Chattarji, S., Bear, M.F.,
30 2007. Correction of Fragile X Syndrome in Mice, *Neuron*.
31 doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2007.12.001

1 Eadie, B.D., Cushman, J., Kannangara, T.S., Fanselow, M.S., Christie, B.R., 2012. NMDA
2 receptor hypofunction in the dentate gyrus and impaired context discrimination in adult
3 *Fmr1* knockout mice. *Hippocampus* 22, 241–254. doi:10.1002/hipo.20890

4 Franklin, A. V., King, M.K., Palomo, V., Martinez, A., McMahon, L.L., Jope, R.S., 2014.
5 Glycogen Synthase Kinase-3 Inhibitors Reverse Deficits in Long-term Potentiation and
6 Cognition in Fragile X Mice. *Biol. Psychiatry* 75, 198–206.
7 doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.08.003

8 Freund, L.S., Reiss, A.L., Abrams, M.T., 1993. Psychiatric disorders associated with fragile X in
9 the young female. *Pediatrics* 91, 321–9.

10 Ghilan, M., Hryciw, B.N., Brocardo, P.S., Bostrom, C.A., Gil-Mohapel, J., Christie, B.R., 2015.
11 Enhanced corticosteroid signaling alters synaptic plasticity in the dentate gyrus in mice
12 lacking the fragile X mental retardation protein. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 77, 26–34.
13 doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2015.01.008

14 Godfraind, J.-M., Reyniers, E., De Boulle, K., D’Hooge, R., De Deyn, P.P., Bakker, C.E.,
15 Oostra, B.A., Kooy, R.F., Willems, P.J., 1996. Long-term potentiation in the hippocampus
16 of fragile X knockout mice. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* 64, 246–251. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-
17 8628(19960809)64:2<246::AID-AJMG2>3.0.CO;2-S

18 Goodrich-Hunsaker, N.J., Hunsaker, M.R., Kesner, R.P., 2005. Dissociating the role of the
19 parietal cortex and dorsal hippocampus for spatial information processing. *Behav. Neurosci.*
20 119, 1307–1315. doi:10.1037/0735-7044.119.5.1307

21 Greicius, M.D., Boyett-Anderson, J.M., Menon, V., Reiss, A.L., 2004. Reduced basal forebrain
22 and hippocampal activation during memory encoding in girls with fragile X syndrome.
23 *Neuroreport* 15, 1579–1583. doi:10.1097/01.wnr.0000134472.44362.be

24 Hagerman, R.J., Hills, J., Scharfenaker, S., Lewis, H., 1999. Fragile X Syndrome and Selective
25 Mutism.

26 Hansen, R.S., Gartler, S.M., Scott, C.R., Chen, S.-H., xLaird, C.M., 1992. Methylation analysis
27 of CGG sites in the CpG island of the human *FMR1* gene. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 1, 571–578.
28 doi:10.1093/hmg/1.8.571

29 Hinds, H.L., Ashley, C.T., Sutcliffe, J.S., Nelson, D.L., Warren, S.T., Housman, D.E., Schalling,
30 M., 1993. Tissue specific expression of *FMR-1* provides evidence for a functional role in
31 fragile X syndrome. *Nat. Genet.* 3, 36–43. doi:10.1038/ng0193-36

1 Hoge, J., Kesner, R.P., 2007. Role of CA3 and CA1 subregions of the dorsal hippocampus on
2 temporal processing of objects. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 88, 225–231.
3 doi:10.1016/j.nlm.2007.04.013

4 Hooper, C., Markevich, V., Plattner, F., Killick, R., Schofield, E., Engel, T., Hernandez, F.,
5 Anderton, B., Rosenblum, K., Bliss, T., Cooke, S.F., Avila, J., Lucas, J.J., Giese, K.P.,
6 Stephenson, J., Lovestone, S., 2007. Glycogen synthase kinase-3 inhibition is integral to
7 long-term potentiation. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 25, 81–86. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2006.05245.x

8 Huber, K.M., Gallagher, S.M., Warren, S.T., Bear, M.F., 2002. Altered synaptic plasticity in a
9 mouse model of fragile X mental retardation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 99, 7746–7750.
10 doi:10.1073/pnas.122205699

11 Johnson, J.W., Ascher, P., 1987. Glycine potentiates the NMDA response in cultured mouse
12 brain neurons. *Nature* 325, 529–531. doi:10.1038/325529a0

13 Kabakus, N., Aydin, M., Akin, H., Balci, T.A., Kurt, A., Kekilli, E., 2006. Fragile X Syndrome
14 and Cerebral Perfusion Abnormalities: Single-Photon Emission Computed Tomographic
15 Study. *J. Child Neurol.* 21, 1040–1046. doi:10.1177/7010.2006.00230

16 Kemper, M.B., Hagerman, R.J., Ahmad, R.S., Mariner, R., Opitz, J.M., Reynolds, J.F., 1986.
17 Cognitive profiles and the spectrum of clinical manifestations in heterozygous fra(X)
18 females. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* 23, 139–156. doi:10.1002/ajmg.1320230109

19 King, M.K., Jope, R.S., 2013. Lithium treatment alleviates impaired cognition in a mouse model
20 of fragile X syndrome. *Genes, Brain Behav.* 12, n/a–n/a. doi:10.1111/gbb.12071

21 Lauterborn, J.C., Rex, C.S., Kramar, E., Chen, L.Y., Pandeyarajan, V., Lynch, G., Gall, C.M.,
22 2007. Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor Rescues Synaptic Plasticity in a Mouse Model of
23 Fragile X Syndrome. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 10685–10694. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2624-
24 07.2007

25 Mazzocco, M.M.M., Pennington, B.F., Hagerman, R.J., 1993. The Neurocognitive Phenotype of
26 Female Carriers of Fragile X. *J. Dev. Behav. Pediatr.* 14, 328–335. doi:10.1097/00004703-
27 199310000-00007

28 Michalon, A., Bruns, A., Risterucci, C., Honer, M., Ballard, T.M., Ozmen, L., Jaeschke, G.,
29 Wettstein, J.G., von Kienlin, M., Künnecke, B., Lindemann, L., 2014. Chronic
30 Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor 5 Inhibition Corrects Local Alterations of Brain Activity
31 and Improves Cognitive Performance in Fragile X Mice. *Biol. Psychiatry* 75, 189–197.

1 doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.05.038

2 Miezejeski, C.M., Jenkins, E.C., Hill, A.L., Wisniewski, K., French, J.H., Brown, W.T., 1986. A

3 profile of cognitive deficit in females from fragile x families, *Neuropsychologia*.

4 doi:10.1016/0028-3932(86)90026-6

5 Musumeci, S.A., Bosco, P., Calabrese, G., Bakker, C., De Sarro, G.B., Elia, M., Ferri, R.,

6 Oostra, B.A., 2000. Audiogenic seizures susceptibility in transgenic mice with fragile X

7 syndrome. *Epilepsia* 41, 19–23.

8 Peineau, S., Taghibiglou, C., Bradley, C., Wong, T.P., Liu, L., Lu, J., Lo, E., Wu, D., Saule, E.,

9 Bouschet, T., Matthews, P., Isaac, J.T.R., Bortolotto, Z.A., Wang, Y.T., Collingridge, G.L.,

10 2007. LTP Inhibits LTD in the Hippocampus via Regulation of GSK3 β . *Neuron* 53, 703–

11 717. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2007.01.029

12 Pieretti, M., Zhang, F., Fu, Y.-H., Warren, S.T., Oostra, B.A., Caskey, C.T., Nelson, D.L., 1991.

13 Absence of expression of the FMR-1 gene in fragile X syndrome. *Cell* 66, 817–822.

14 doi:10.1016/0092-8674(91)90125-I

15 Reiss, A.L., Hagerman, R.J., Vinogradov, S., Abrams, M., King, R.J., 1988. Psychiatric

16 Disability in Female Carriers of the Fragile X Chromosome. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 45, 25–

17 30. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.1988.01800250029005

18 Rousseau, F., Heitz, D., Biancalana, V., Blumenfeld, S., Kretz, C., Boué, J., Tommerup, N., Van

19 Der Hagen, C., DeLozier-Blanchet, C., Croquette, M.F., 1991. Direct diagnosis by DNA

20 analysis of the fragile X syndrome of mental retardation. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 325, 1673–81.

21 doi:10.1056/NEJM199112123252401

22 Sobesky, W., 2002. The Treatment of Emotional and Behavioral Problems. *Fragile X Syndr.*

23 Diagnosis, Treat.

24 Squire, L.R., 1992. Memory and the hippocampus: A synthesis from findings with rats,

25 monkeys, and humans. *Psychol. Rev.* 99, 195–231. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.99.2.195

26 Suvrathan, A., Hoeffler, C.A., Wong, H., Klann, E., Chattarji, S., 2010. Characterization and

27 reversal of synaptic defects in the amygdala in a mouse model of fragile X syndrome. *Proc.*

28 *Natl. Acad. Sci.* 107, 11591–11596. doi:10.1073/pnas.1002262107

29 Taylor, A.K., Safanda, J.F., Fall, M.Z., Quince, C., Lang, K.A., Hull, C.E., Carpenter, I., Staley,

30 L.W., Hagerman, R.J., 1994. Molecular Predictors of Cognitive Involvement in Female

31 Carriers of Fragile X Syndrome. *JAMA J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 271, 507.

1 doi:10.1001/jama.1994.03510310037035
2 The Dutch-Belgian Fragile X Consortium, 1994. Fmr1 knockout mice: a model to study fragile
3 X mental retardation. *Cell* 78, 23–33.
4 Turner, G., Webb, T., Wake, S., Robinson, H., 1996. Prevalence of fragile X syndrome. *Am. J.*
5 *Med. Genet.* 64, 196–197. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-8628(19960712)64:1<196::AID-
6 AJMG35>3.0.CO;2-G
7 Warren, S.G., Humphreys, A.G., Juraska, J.M., Greenough, W.T., 1995. LTP varies across the
8 estrous cycle: enhanced synaptic plasticity in proestrus rats. *Brain Res.* 703, 26–30.
9 doi:10.1016/0006-8993(95)01059-9
10 Yau, S.Y., Li, A., Hoo, R.L., Ching, Y.P., Christie, B.R., Lee, T.M., Xu, A., So, K.F., 2014.
11 Physical exercise-induced hippocampal neurogenesis and antidepressant effects are
12 mediated by the adipocyte hormone adiponectin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111, 15810–
13 15815. doi:10.1073/pnas.1415219111
14 Yun, S.H., Trommer, B.L., 2011. Fragile X mice: Reduced long-term potentiation and N-
15 Methyl-D-Aspartate receptor-mediated neurotransmission in dentate gyrus. *J. Neurosci.*
16 *Res.* 89, 176–182. doi:10.1002/jnr.22546
17 Yuskaitis, C.J., Mines, M.A., King, M.K., Sweatt, J.D., Miller, C.A., Jope, R.S., 2010. Lithium
18 ameliorates altered glycogen synthase kinase-3 and behavior in a mouse model of Fragile X
19 syndrome. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 79, 632–646. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2009.09.023
20
21

1 **Figure legends:**

2

3 **Fig. 1. Behavioral performance of female *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice and their WT littermates.** (A₁) An
4 illustration of the task procedure with two similar objects presented to mice for 15 mins,
5 followed by a 5-min test phase with swapped object positions. (A₂) WT mice spent more time
6 exploring the transposed objects in test phase of the categorical spatial test, while *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice
7 spent equal amount time exploring the objects in the test phase. (A₃) *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice displayed
8 significant impairment in categorical spatial processing. (B₁) An illustration of temporal order
9 task with three different object sets presented to mice for 5 mins, followed by a 5-min test phase
10 with a presence of a copy of the first and last object. (B₂) Both WT and *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice showed a
11 higher exploration ratio to the first object presented (object 1) than to the most recently explored
12 object (object 3) in the temporal order test. (B₃) No deficit was observed in temporal order
13 memory in *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice when compared to WT mice. (C & D) *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice did not show an
14 increase in depression-like behavior in the forced swim test or tail suspension test, respectively. *
15 P < 0.005 n = 7-9 per group.

16 **Fig. 2. Intact basal synaptic transmission and short-term synaptic plasticity in *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice**
17 **in the dentate gyrus.** (A) *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice showed no difference in synaptic responses to single
18 pulse stimuli in the medial perforant path when compared to WT mice. WT: n = 4 (7), *Fmr1*^{+/-}: n
19 = 5 (6) (B) *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice showed no significant difference in paired-pulse plasticity when
20 compared to WT mice. WT: n = 5 (7); *Fmr1*^{+/-}: n = 5 (8). (C & D) *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice showed no
21 significant difference in synaptic responses to single pulse stimuli and paired-pulse plasticity
22 when compared to WT mice. WT: n = 6(9), *Fmr1*^{+/-}: n = 4 (10).

23 **Fig. 3. Impaired NMDAR-dependent LTD in the DG of *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice.** (A₁) WT mice showed
24 significant NMDAR-LTP in the dentate gyrus, whereas *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice showed an attenuated
25 NMDAR-LTP when compared to WT mice. P < 0.05; (A₂) Bar chart showing the averaged
26 NMDAR-LTP at 50-60 min post HFS. (B₁) WT mice showed significant NMDAR-LTD in the
27 dentate gyrus, whereas *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice showed an attenuated NMDAR-LTD when compared to
28 WT mice. P < 0.005; WT n = 10(10); *Fmr1*^{+/-} n = 7(9) (B₂) Bar chart showing the averaged
29 NMDAR-LTD at 50-60 min post LFS (C₁) There was no significant difference in mGluR-LTD

1 in the CA1 region between WT and *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice. WT: n = 4(7); *Fmr1*^{+/-}: n = 5 (7). (C₂) Bar
2 chart showing the averaged mGluR-LTD at 50-60 min post LFS. Scale bar: Y: 0.2 mV, X: 3 ms.

3 **Fig. 4. Decreased NMDAR-mediated EPSCs in the DG of *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice.** (A) Representative
4 traces of maximum AMPA and NMDA currents. (B) *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice showed lower amplitudes of
5 AMPAR and (C) NMDAR-mediated EPSCs with increasing stimulation intensity. (D) *Fmr1*^{+/-}
6 mice showed significant decreases in both AMPAR- and NMDAR-mediated EPSCs. (E) There
7 was no different in AMPAR / NMDAR ratio between *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice and WT mice. * P < 0.005.
8 WT: n = 6(19); *Fmr1*^{+/-}: n = 8(20)

9 **Fig. 5. Glycine potentiated NMDAR-mediated EPSCs in both WT and *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice.** (A)
10 WT mice showed larger glycine potentiated NMDA currents in WT than *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice. (B)
11 Representative traces of glycine-potentiated NMDA currents. WT: n = 5(7); *Fmr1*^{+/-}: n = 9(11).
12 * P < 0.05.

13 **Fig. 6. Impaired NMDAR-dependent long-term potentiation in the DG of *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice was
14 reversed by glycine.** (A₁) Induction of LTP was significantly less in *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice compared to
15 WT mice (Data duplicated from Fig 2A). Application of glycine normalized LTP induction in
16 *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice, without affecting LTP induction in WT mice. (A₂) Bar chart showing the changes
17 in averaged LTP at 50-60 min post HFS. WT: n =6 (8); *Fmr1*^{+/-}: n = 8(8). (B₁) Application of the
18 NMDAR antagonist APV blocked the induction of LTP in both WT and *Fmr1*^{+/-} mice. WT: n =5
19 (7); *Fmr1*^{+/-}: n = 5(7) (B₂) Bar chart showing changes of LTP with APV application.

20
21