Neuronal avalanches of a self-organized neural network with activeneuron-dominant structure

Xiumin Li and Michael Small

Citation: Chaos **22**, 023104 (2012); doi: 10.1063/1.3701946 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3701946 View Table of Contents: http://chaos.aip.org/resource/1/CHAOEH/v22/i2 Published by the American Institute of Physics.

An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science

## **Related Articles**

A microfluidic platform for controlled biochemical stimulation of twin neuronal networks Biomicrofluidics 6, 024106 (2012)

Stochastic resonance on a modular neuronal network of small-world subnetworks with a subthreshold pacemaker Chaos 21, 047502 (2011)

Multiple coherence resonance induced by time-periodic coupling in stochastic Hodgkin–Huxley neuronal networks

Chaos 21, 043109 (2011)

Vibrational resonance in excitable neuronal systems Chaos 21, 043101 (2011)

Chaos

Bifurcation mechanisms of regular and chaotic network signaling in brain astrocytes Chaos 21, 023103 (2011)

# Additional information on Chaos

Journal Homepage: http://chaos.aip.org/ Journal Information: http://chaos.aip.org/about/about\_the\_journal Top downloads: http://chaos.aip.org/features/most\_downloaded Information for Authors: http://chaos.aip.org/authors

### ADVERTISEMENT



# Neuronal avalanches of a self-organized neural network with active-neuron-dominant structure

Xiumin Li<sup>1,2,a)</sup> and Michael Small<sup>2,3</sup> <sup>1</sup>College of Automation, Chongging University, Chongging 400044, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Electronic and Information Engineering, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong

<sup>3</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia

(Received 21 September 2011; accepted 21 March 2012; published online 11 April 2012)

Neuronal avalanche is a spontaneous neuronal activity which obeys a power-law distribution of population event sizes with an exponent of -3/2. It has been observed in the superficial layers of cortex both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. In this paper, we analyze the information transmission of a novel self-organized neural network with active-neuron-dominant structure. Neuronal avalanches can be observed in this network with appropriate input intensity. We find that the process of network learning via spike-timing dependent plasticity dramatically increases the complexity of network structure, which is finally self-organized to be active-neuron-dominant connectivity. Both the entropy of activity patterns and the complexity of their resulting post-synaptic inputs are maximized when the network dynamics are propagated as neuronal avalanches. This emergent topology is beneficial for information transmission with high efficiency and also could be responsible for the large information capacity of this network compared with alternative archetypal networks with different neural connectivity. @ 2012 American Institute of Physics. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3701946]

Recent neurophysiological experiments report that scaleinvariant dynamics known as neuronal avalanches can be broadly observed in spontaneous cortical activities. These dynamics exhibit a mixture of ordered and disordered patterns and are believed to be highly efficient for information processing in the neocortex. In this paper, we investigate neuronal avalanches in a novel active-neurondominant neural network which is self-organized by spike-timing-dependent plasticity-a model of neural development which is also strongly supported by direct physiological evidence. The refinement process of network learning selectively strengthens or weakens synaptic connections based on the intrinsic excitability of individual neurons. We find that neuronal avalanches can be observed in this network with medium external excitation. Moreover, activity entropy measured from population dynamics is maximized when neuronal avalanches exist. Meanwhile, the active-neuron-dominant network connectivity dramatically enhances the activity entropy of neuronal population and displays large complexity of network structure compared with alternative archetypal networks with different neural connectivity. This result may have important implications on understanding the potential functions of network learning for improving the efficiency of information processing.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

It has been widely believed that brain dynamics are collective processes involving synaptic integrations from thousands of neurons in cortical networks. There is evidence showing that healthy spontaneous brain dynamics originating from collective processes are not composed of either completely random activity patterns or periodic oscillations.<sup>1,2</sup> Recent studies by Beggs and Plenz reported a type of spontaneous activity with critical dynamics, where spatiotemporal patterns are distributed in sizes according to a power law with a slope of -1.5.<sup>3–5</sup> These experimental results exactly agree with the mean-field theoretical analysis for selforganized criticality (SOC), which is a critical branching process observed in many systems.<sup>6,7</sup> This critical dynamic represents spatially irregular patterns of propagated medium synchronization in neural circuits. It has been found in superficial layers of cortex both in vivo and in vitro.<sup>3,5,8–11</sup> Neuronal avalanches play a substantial role in cortical circuits, especially for information transmission. It has been shown that there is a close relationship between SOC and synchronization in neural networks.<sup>12</sup> Information capacity and transmission, as well as dynamic range, are maximized in cortical networks with neuronal avalanches.<sup>13,14</sup>

Computational models with respect to neuronal avalanches have been investigated in recent years. The smallworld topology was identified from network reconstruction of experimental data with neuronal avalanches.<sup>15</sup> A neuronal network model with scale-free topology was developed to investigate the generation of avalanches distribution.<sup>10</sup> Besides these networks with predefined structures, selforganized neural networks with synaptic plasticity can also achieve critical neuronal dynamics.<sup>16–23</sup> However, few studies consider the relationship or the interaction between the development of network structure and the dynamics of neuronal population. In our recent papers,<sup>24,25</sup> we proposed a novel neural network refined from spike-timing-dependent

1054-1500/2012/22(2)/023104/10/\$30.00

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: freexmin@gmail.com.

plasticity (STDP). Due to the existence of heterogeneity in the excitability degrees of neurons, the network finally evolves into a sparse and active-neuron-dominant structure. That is, strong connections are mainly distributed to the outward links of a few highly active neurons. Such synapse distribution renders these active cells a powerful drive to trigger the other neurons firing synchronously, thus spreading the excitation of the whole network activity. The refinement of synaptic connectivity encodes well the heterogeneity of intrinsic dynamic and significantly promotes network synchronization.<sup>24</sup> A recent experimental study<sup>26</sup> found that a small population of highly active neurons may dominate the firing in neocortical networks, suggesting the existence of active-neuron-dominant connectivity in the neocortex.

In this paper, neuronal avalanches have been observed in a self-organized neural network with active-neuron-dominant structure by changing the applied external excitation. This finding is consistent with the experimental results that cortex operates in critical regime with appropriate local excitability.<sup>8</sup> Both the entropy of activity patterns and the complexity of their resulting post-synaptic inputs are maximized when the network dynamics are propagated as neuronal avalanches. We find that the process of activity-dependent synaptic plasticity dramatically increases the complexity of network structure, which is self-organized as active-neuron-dominant connectivity after the learning process. This emergent network has high complexity of network topology which benefits for enhancing information transmission of neural circuits.

#### II. SELF-ORGANIZED NEURAL NETWORK WITH SYNAPTIC PLASTICITY

Here, the self-organization of the neuronal network through the STDP learning is briefly introduced. The network used in this paper is composed of 100 synaptically connected regular spiking neurons which are modeled by the two-variable integrate-and-fire (IF) model of Izhikevich.<sup>27</sup> It is described by

$$\dot{v}_i = 0.04v_i^2 + 5v_i + 140 - u_i + I + I_i^{syn}$$
  
$$\dot{u}_i = a(bv_i - u_i) + D\xi_i,$$
(1)

if 
$$v_i > 30 \,\mathrm{mV}$$
, then 
$$\begin{cases} v_i \leftarrow c \\ u_i \leftarrow u_i + d, \end{cases}$$
 (2)

where i = 1, 2, ..., N,  $v_i$  represents the membrane potential, and  $u_i$  is a membrane recovery variable. The parameters a, b,



FIG. 1. Self-organized neural network with active-neuron-dominant structure. (a) Left: Strong outward synaptic connections of active neurons with high excitability. Right: Strong inward synaptic connections of less-active neurons with low excitability. Arrows represent the directions of synaptic connectivity. (b) Image of the normalized matrix of synaptic weights. Both x axis and y axis represent the index of neurons which is sorted with increasing value of the parameter b (i.e., increasing excitability degree). (c) Node strength which is the summation of all inward or outward synaptic weights for each node is proportional to the node degree. (d) Probability distribution of synaptic weights is almost power law except for some extremely large synaptic weights.

*c*, *d* are dimensionless. The variable  $\xi_i$  is the independent Gaussian noise with zero mean and intensity *D* that represents the noisy background. *I* stands for the externally applied current, and  $I_i^{syn}$  is the total synaptic current through neuron *i* and is governed by the dynamics of the synaptic variable  $s_i$ 

$$I_{i}^{syn} = -\sum_{1(j\neq i)}^{N} g_{ji}s_{j}(v_{i} - v_{syn})$$
  

$$\dot{s}_{j} = \alpha(v_{j})(1 - s_{j}) - s_{j}/\tau$$
  

$$\alpha(v_{j}) = \alpha_{0}/(1 + e^{-v_{j}/v_{shp}}),$$
(3)



FIG. 2. Raster of neuronal activities (left panel) and corresponding avalanche size distributions (right panel) for subcritical (top), critical (middle), and supercritical (bottom) dynamical state with different bin width  $\Delta t$ . The slope  $\alpha$  for three cases when  $\Delta t = 4$  ms is calculated as the slope of linear function fitted from the nearly linear part of the distribution (with avalanche size ranged from about 2 to 10 for I = 4, 4 to 20 for I = 5.5 and 3 to 30 for I = 6).

here the synaptic recovery function  $\alpha(v_j)$  can be taken as the Heaviside function. When the presynaptic cell is in the silent state,  $v_j < 0$ ,  $s_j$  can be reduced to  $\dot{s_j} = -s_j/\tau$ , otherwise  $s_j$  jumps quickly to 1 and acts on the postsynaptic cells. The synaptic conductance  $g_{ji}$  from the  $j_{th}$  neuron to the  $i_{th}$  neuron will be updated through the STDP learning rule. Here, the excitatory synaptic reversal potential  $v_{syn}$  is set to be 0. The degree of neuron's excitability is governed by the parameter b. Neurons with larger b are prone to exhibit larger excitability and fire with a higher frequency than others. In order to establish a heterogenous network,  $b_i$  is uniformly distributed in [0.12, 0.2].

In our simulation, synapses between neighboring neurons are updated by the STDP modification function F, which selectively strengthens the pre-to-post synapses with relatively shorter latencies or stronger mutual correlations, while weakening the remaining synapses.<sup>28</sup> The synaptic conductance is updated by

$$\Delta g_{ij} = g_{ij}F(\Delta t)$$

$$F(\Delta t) = \begin{cases} A_{+}\exp\left(-\Delta t/\tau_{+}\right) & \text{if } \Delta t > 0\\ -A_{-}\exp\left(\Delta t/\tau_{-}\right) & \text{if } \Delta t < 0 \end{cases}$$
(4)

where  $\Delta t = t_j - t_i$ ,  $t_i$  and  $t_j$  are the spike time of the presynaptic and postsynaptic cell, respectively.  $F(\Delta t) = 0$  if  $\Delta t = 0$ .  $\tau_+$  and  $\tau_-$  determine the temporal window for synaptic modification. The parameters  $A_+$  and  $A_-$  determine the maximum amount of synaptic modification. Here, we set  $\tau_- = \tau_+ = 20$ ,  $A_+ = 0.05$ , and  $A_-/A_+ = 1.05$  as used in Ref. 28. The peak synaptic conductance is restricted to the range  $[0, g_{max}]$ , where  $g_{max} = 0.03$  is the limiting value. Other parameters used in this paper are a = 0.02, c = -65, d = 8,  $\alpha_0 = 3$ ,  $\tau = 2$ ,  $V_{shp} = 5$ , D = 0.1. The time step is 0.05 ms.

In the initial state, each neuron is all-to-all bidirectionally connected with the same conductance of  $g_{max}/2$ . The whole network is subject to an external current (I = 6) as a learning environment. After sufficient time (about 20 s), the connections evolve into a steady state and exhibit the locally activeneuron-dominant property as we have described in our previous work.<sup>24</sup> Most of the synapses are rewired to be either 0 or  $g_{max}$ . Competition within this heterogenous network causes the active cells to have high out-degree synapses and low indegree synapses, while the inactive ones are just the opposite (Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)). During the STDP learning process, the synaptic strengths of the network are renewed by increasing the influence of active cells over the others and the



FIG. 3. Neuronal avalanches of the STDP network. (a) Size distributions for avalanche sizes ranging from the subcritical state to supercritical state of the network activity by increasing the stimulus current (*I*). Avalanche size (*S*) is the number of neurons which are activated during each avalanche. Time bin width  $\Delta t$  is 4 ms. (b) Raster of neuronal activity with different spatiotemporal scales. (c) Avalanche lifetime distribution computed as the number of time bins in each avalanche. Fitting slope of the distribution for *I* = 5.5 is about -2.2.

dependence of inactive cells on the active cells. Note that there is a nearly linear relationship between the node degree and node strength (Fig. 1(c)), indicating the connections from active neurons to less-active neurons have both high degree and strong weight. The probability distribution of synaptic weights is almost power law except for some extremely large synaptic weights, suggesting the presence of hub-nodes with high capability of synaptic transmission (Fig. 1(d)). In this way, the internal dynamics of different neurons is encoded into the topology of the emergent network and, therefore, the communication between active neurons and inactive neurons is improved.<sup>24</sup> In the following simulations (except for Fig. 8), the STDP network has no update process but has a fixed structure which was finally obtained until the network reaches stationary state in the STDP learning (shown in Fig. 1).

# III. NEURONAL AVALANCHES OF THE SELF-ORGANIZED NEURAL NETWORK

The left panel of Fig. 2 shows the network activity with different levels of external excitation. The average firing fre-

quency and synchronization of network activity are improved by increasing the external current, which can be achieved by increasing the concentration of dopamine or the ratio of excitatory to inhibitory synaptic inputs in the cortex.<sup>8</sup> Due to the existence of synaptic connectivity, the spatiotemporal patterns of these synchronized network activities are propagated through cascades that initiated from several excited neurons. A sequence of consecutive active frames that is preceded by a blank frame and ended by a blank frame is called an avalanche, where frame is the spatial pattern of active neurons during one time bin  $\Delta t$ .<sup>3</sup> Avalanche size (S) is the number of activated neurons during each avalanche. Probability distributions of avalanche sizes for corresponding network activities are shown in the right panel of Fig. 2. The curves take on the form of a power law:  $P(S) \propto S^{\alpha}$  when synchronized patterns are produced in the network activity (for cases I = 5.5 and I = 6 shown in Fig. 2). Neuronal avalanche occurs when the network activity reaches medium synchronization with the slope  $\alpha = -1.5$ . Note that this scale-free property for the critical state of network synchrony is very robust for the change of time bin width  $\Delta t$ . In the following calculations,  $\Delta t$  is set to



FIG. 4. (a) Examples of activity binary patterns for subcritical, critical, and supercritical states when the 100 neurons are arranged as a  $10 \times 10$  array. Active sites are marked as black squares. (b) The entropy of activity patterns is maximized when the stimulus current is about 5.5, which is robust for the changes of unit size. Here time bin is set to be 4 ms. (c) Entropy of activity patterns is maximized when  $\alpha$  is -1.5 (i.e., critical state). Here unit size is 10.

be 4 ms as in the studies.<sup>3,4,15</sup> Fig. 3(a) demonstrates that the propagation of dynamic patterns of this self-organized neural network can be transferred from the subcritical state to critical and finally to the supercritical state by increasing the stimulus excitatory current (I). In the critical regime, large diversity of avalanche patterns can be found at many different scales (see Fig. 3(b)). Moreover, the duration of an avalanche which is usually called avalanche lifetime and is expressed in number of time bins also follows a power law distribution when I = 5.5 (Fig. 3(c)). The exponent is about -2.2, very close to the value of -2 for lifetime distribution reported in Ref. 3.

that only very few neurons are activated during the excitation propagation, whereas in the supercritical state, most of the network is activated synchronously, causing a long tail at the end of the distribution. These observations are consistent with the results obtained both experimentally and computationally.<sup>3,10,15</sup> This critical state of information processing makes the network achieve medium synchronization neither to be incoherent spiking nor highly coherent activity, which indicates its high flexibility and sensitivity to external signals.

4000

8

9

10

4500

5000 ms

For the subcritical case, the exponential distribution indicates



FIG. 5. Complexity of synaptic conductance generated from the integration of network activity reaches maximum during the critical state. (a) Rasters of network activity and corresponding post-synaptic conductance for different states. (b) Symbolization strategy of the synaptic conductance signal. (c) Lempel-Ziv complexity of the symbolized synaptic data is maximal at the critical state (I = 5.5).

#### IV. ENTROPY OF NETWORK ACTIVITY AND STRUCTURE

Examples of population events represented as binary patterns are shown in Fig. 4(a), which indicate that temporally sub-synchronous neuronal activity occurring during the critical state can induce complex distributions of spacial patterns. In order to measure the complexity of activity patterns, entropy *H* is introduced and defined as  $H = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log_2 p_i$ , where *n* is the number of unique binary patterns and  $p_i$  is the probability that pattern *i* occurs.<sup>14</sup> For calculation convenience, neuronal activities are measured in pattern units consisting of a certain number of neurons. In each time bin, if any cell of the unit is firing then the event of this unit is active, otherwise it is inactive. Fig. 4(b) shows that the activity entropy of neuronal population is obviously maximized when the external current I is about 5.5 where neuronal avalanches occur ( $\alpha = -1.5$  for Fig. 4(c)). This result is robust for the changes of unit size and highly consistent with the analysis of cortical in-vitro recordings studied in Ref. 14. To investigate how the complex population events of this neural network influence downstream neurons, we calculate the summation of all post-synaptic conductance integrated from each presynaptic neuron in the network (Fig. 5(a)). Each single synapse is the standard kinetic model as described in Ref. 29 with a peak conductance of 0.1 nS and rise and decay time constant of 0.5 ms and 2 ms, respectively. Then time series of synaptic conductances are symbolized as numbers between 0 and 5 by equally sorting the peak values of synaptic signals into six levels (Fig. 5(b)). Based on the symbolized data, we calculate the Lempel-Ziv complexity for cases with various external current (shown in Fig. 5(c)). Lempel-Ziv complexity gives a measure of complexity based on an estimated number of distinct patterns in symbolic sequences especially binary signals.<sup>30</sup> The peaked Lempel-Ziv complexity at about I = 5.5 where critical dynamics exist further illustrates that information can be maximally transmitted in neural networks in the form of neuronal avalanches. The sub and supercritical events of neuronal population produce either weak or regular postsynaptic signal with less encoded information that can be propagated to downstream neurons.

To examine the influence of self-organized network connectivity updated by synaptic plasticity (STDP) on the network population events, we compare the critical dynamics of neuronal activities for four different networks (Figs. 6(a)-6(d)). STDP network is the network updated by the STDP learning rule as described previously in this paper; prior STDP network is globally coupled with constant synapses  $g_{max}/2$ , where  $g_{max}$  is the maximal value of synaptic conductance used in the STDP network; random network has synapses uniformly distributed in  $[0, g_{max}]$ ; the shuffled



FIG. 6. Entropy of network activity and structure for networks with different topologies. (a) Rasters of network activity for different networks (where I = 5.5): (a) STDP network; (b) network before STDP update; (c) network with shuffled synaptic weights of the STDP network; (d) network with randomly distributed synaptic weights. All of these networks share the same individual neurons. (e) The STDP network exhibits much larger activity entropy than the other networks. The unit size is 10. (f) Avalanche size distributions of neuronal activities for different networks when I = 5.5, where  $\Delta t = 4$  ms. (g) Structure entropy of both inward and outward synaptic weights are greatly increased through the STDP update.



FIG. 7. Structure properties of the STDP network. (a)–(c) Relationship between the individual neuron's excitability (represented by the parameter *b*) and its probability of being first excited (blue) or last excited (red) for different states.

network shares the same synaptic weights with the STDP network but all of these values are shuffled across the whole network. All these four types of network are composed of the same heterogeneous cells and share the same average synaptic weight being about  $g_{max}/2$ . Fig. 6(e) shows that all of these networks reach the maximal activity entropy as neuronal avalanches occur when external current is about 5.5. However, the activity entropy of the STDP network is much larger than that of the other networks, indicating the high information capacity of the STDP-refined neural network. Moreover, avalanche size distributions of the other networks are not power-law, which means that STDP learning could be essential for the emergence of criticality in neural networks (Fig. 6(f)). Meanwhile, structure entropy is used to characterize the complexity of synaptic connectivity distribution, where the entropy has the same definition as the activity entropy but with  $p_k$  as the probability that the average value of inward or outward synaptic strength  $g_i$  (normalized) lies within bin k ( $k \in [0, 1]$  with a step of 0.005). It can be clearly seen from Fig. 6(g) that structure entropy for both in-strength and out-strength of the STDP network is dramatically enhanced during the synaptic refinement process. The reason for that is that the distinct differences of both inward and outward links between active neurons and inactive neurons in the STDP network make the mean inward or outward synaptic strengths still broadly distributed within the range of [0, 1] even after averaging, whereas for the other networks, the mean inward or outward synaptic strengths are averaged to be around 0.5 with little diversity. Hence, the synaptic weights of STDP network have higher entropy than the random or shuffled networks. The active-neuron-dominant structure with high complexity actually encodes the intrinsic heterogeneity of individual neuronal dynamics. This complex network structure in turn contributes to the high activity entropy of neuronal population as shown in Fig. 6(e). Figs. 7(a)-7(c) demonstrate that when external stimulation is strong enough to make the network reach the critical state, the active-neuron-dominant structure entails excitation to be



FIG. 8. Raster of neuronal activity during STDP learning process. Network dynamics indicate that the dynamic of neuronal activity has been changed from the subcritical state to the critical state and finally to the supercritical state during the update of network structure. Here, the driving current is I = 6.

first expressed on the most active neurons and then transferred to neighboring cells through the strong outward synaptic connections. Moreover, during the STDP synaptic updating process, the dynamic of neuronal activity has been changed from the subcritical state to the critical state and finally to the supercritical state (Fig. 8). This indicates a natural phenomenon emerging from synaptic organisation of neural networks.

#### V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, information transmission of a selforganized neural network with active-neuron-dominant structure is investigated. Neuronal avalanches where activity propagation obeys a power-law distribution of population event sizes with an exponent of -3/2 can be observed in this network. During this critical dynamic state, excitation of the network activity originating from the most active neurons can be spread efficiently and exhibits the largest activity entropy, thus providing the most complex post-synaptic inputs to downstream neurons. Specifically, the active-neuron-dominant structure emerging from synaptic plasticity dramatically increases both the activity and the structure entropy compared with alternative archetypal networks with different neural connectivity. This self-refinement of network structure is beneficial for improving information capacity of the neural network.

A recent study has reported that information retention in neuronal avalanches can be optimized by appropriately skewing synaptic weight distribution, with many weak weights and only a few strong ones.<sup>31</sup> This kind of network model correctly matches the activity patterns observed in experimental data. Similarly, in our model, the STDP learning process selectively strengthens the outward connections of active neurons with high excitability and meanwhile weakens or removes their redundant inward connections. The resulted distribution of refined synaptic weights is highly consistent with the above mentioned observations in Ref. 31 and further demonstrates its effect on improving information capacity of neural circuits. Besides the measure of entropy, another efficient measure of the complex dynamics accompanied adaptive behavior called Causal Connectivity Analysis was proposed and used to predict the functional consequences of neural network lesions.<sup>32</sup> They suggested that lesions to input neurons with strong causal projections to outputs have a profound impact on network function. Moreover, learning and memory has been observed during the critical state in a computational study.<sup>33</sup> Neuronal activity as a collective process requires flexible and efficient branched paths of signal propagation, which could be achieved by self-organization of neural network. The effect of synaptic adaptation involving both facilitation and depression in short-term plasticity on the occurrence of neuronal avalanches could be investigated in our future work.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. X. Xu for his valuable discussion. This research was funded by a Hong Kong University Grants

Council Grant Competitive Earmarked Research Grant (CERG) No. PolyU 5279/08 E.

- <sup>1</sup>G. Buzsáki, *Rhythms of the Brain* (Oxford University Press, USA, 2006).
   <sup>2</sup>D. Chialvo, "Emergent complex neural dynamics," Nat. Phys. 6(10),
- 744–750 (2010).
  <sup>3</sup>J. Beggs and D. Plenz, "Neuronal avalanches in neocortical circuits," J.
- Neurosci. **23**(35), 11167–11177 (2003).
- <sup>4</sup>J. Beggs and D. Plenz, "Neuronal avalanches are diverse and precise activity patterns that are stable for many hours in cortical slice cultures," J. Neurosci. **24**(22), 5216 (2004).
- <sup>5</sup>T. Petermann, T. Thiagarajan, M. Lebedev, M. Nicolelis, D. Chialvo, and D. Plenz, "Spontaneous cortical activity in awake monkeys composed of neuronal avalanches," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **106**(37), 15921 (2009).
- <sup>6</sup>P. Bak, C. Tang, and K. Wiesenfeld, "Self-organized criticality: An explanation of the 1/f noise," Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**(4), 381–384 (1987).
- <sup>7</sup>S. Zapperi, K. Lauritsen, and H. Stanley, "Self-organized branching processes: Mean-field theory for avalanches," Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**(22), 4071–4074 (1995).
- <sup>8</sup>D. Plenz and T. Thiagarajan, "The organizing principles of neuronal avalanches: Cell assemblies in the cortex?" Trends Neurosci. **30**(3), 101–110 (2007).
- <sup>9</sup>E. Gireesh and D. Plenz, "Neuronal avalanches organize as nested thetaand beta/gamma-oscillations during development of cortical layer 2/3," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **105**(21), 7576 (2008).
- <sup>10</sup>V. Pasquale, P. Massobrio, L. Bologna, M. Chiappalone, and S. Martinoia, "Self-organization and neuronal avalanches in networks of dissociated cortical neurons," Neuroscience **153**(4), 1354–1369 (2008).
- <sup>11</sup>C. Tetzlaff, S. Okujeni, U. Egert, F. Wörgötter, and M. Butz, "Self-organized criticality in developing neuronal networks," PLoS Comput. Biol. 6(12), e1001013 (2010).
- <sup>12</sup>S. Bottani, "Pulse-coupled relaxation oscillators: From biological synchronization to self-organized criticality," Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**(21), 4189–4192 (1995).
- <sup>13</sup>W. Shew, H. Yang, T. Petermann, R. Roy, and D. Plenz, "Neuronal avalanches imply maximum dynamic range in cortical networks at criticality," J. Neurosci. 29(49), 15595 (2009).
- <sup>14</sup>W. Shew, H. Yang, S. Yu, R. Roy, and D. Plenz, "Information capacity and transmission are maximized in balanced cortical networks with neuronal avalanches," J. Neurosci. **31**(1), 55 (2011).
- <sup>15</sup>S. Pajevic and D. Plenz, "Efficient network reconstruction from dynamical cascades identifies small-world topology of neuronal avalanches," PLoS Comput. Biol. 5(1), e1000271 (2009).
- <sup>16</sup>P. Bak and D. Chialvo, "Adaptive learning by extremal dynamics and negative feedback," Phys. Rev. E 63(3), 031912 (2001).
- <sup>17</sup>C. Shin and S. Kim, "Self-organized criticality and scale-free properties in emergent functional neural networks," Phys. Rev. E 74(4), 045101 (2006).
- <sup>18</sup>J. Teramae and T. Fukai, "Local cortical circuit model inferred from power-law distributed neuronal avalanches," J. Comput. Neurosci. 22(3), 301–312 (2007).
- <sup>19</sup>L. De Arcangelis, C. Perrone-Capano, and H. Herrmann, "Self-organized criticality model for brain plasticity," Phys. Rev. Lett. 96(2), 28107 (2006).
- <sup>20</sup>A. Levina, J. Herrmann, and T. Geisel, "Dynamical synapses causing selforganized criticality in neural networks," Nat. Phys. 3(12), 857–860 (2007).
- <sup>21</sup>L. Abbott and R. Rohrkemper, "A simple growth model constructs critical avalanche networks," Prog. Brain Res. **165**, 13–19 (2007).
- <sup>22</sup>C. Meisel and T. Gross, "Adaptive self-organization in a realistic neural network model," Phys. Rev. E 80(6), 061917 (2009).
- <sup>23</sup>D. Millman, S. Mihalas, A. Kirkwood, and E. Niebur, "Self-organized criticality occurs in non-conservative neuronal networks during/up/states," Nat. Phys. 6(10), 801–805 (2010).
- <sup>24</sup>X. Li, J. Zhang, and M. Small, "Self-organization of a neural network with heterogeneous neurons enhances coherence and stochastic resonance," Chaos 19, 013126 (2009).
- <sup>25</sup>X. Li and M. Small, "Enhancement of signal sensitivity in a heterogeneous neural network refined from synaptic plasticity," New J. Phys. **12**, 083045 (2010).
- <sup>26</sup>L. Yassin, B. Benedetti, J. Jouhanneau, J. Wen, J. Poulet, and A. Barth, "An embedded subnetwork of highly active neurons in the neocortex," Neuron 68(6), 1043–1050 (2010).
- <sup>27</sup>E. Izhikevich, "Simple model of spiking neurons," IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. 14(6), 1569–1572 (2003).

- <sup>28</sup>S. Song, K. Miller, L. Abbott et al., "Competitive Hebbian learning through spike-timing-dependent synaptic plasticity," Nat. Neurosci. 3, 919–926 (2000). <sup>29</sup>A. Destexhe, Z. Mainen, and T. Sejnowski, "Kinetic models of synaptic
- transmission," in Methods in Neuronal Modeling (MIT Press, Cambridge, 1998), pp. 1–25.
- <sup>30</sup>A. Lempel and J. Ziv, "On the complexity of finite sequences," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 22(1), 75-81 (1976).
- <sup>31</sup>W. Chen, J. Hobbs, A. Tang, and J. Beggs, "A few strong connections: Optimizing information retention in neuronal avalanches," BMC Neurosci. 11(1), 3 (2010). <sup>32</sup>A. Seth, "Causal connectivity of evolved neural networks during behav-
- ior," Network: Comput. Neural Syst. 16(1), 35-54 (2005).
- <sup>33</sup>L. De Arcangelis and H. Herrmann, "Learning as a phenomenon occurring in a critical state," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107(9), 3977 (2010).