

Research Article

Angewandte Chemie www.angewandte.org

Metal–Organic Frameworks

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2025, 64, e202505883 doi.org/10.1002/anie.202505883

Auxiliary Ligand-Coordinated Nanoconfined Hydrophobic Microenvironments in Nickel(II)–Acetylide Framework for Enhanced **CO₂ Photoreduction**

Yingving Oin, Jian Lu, Chen Zhang, Linli Xu,* and Wai-Yeung Wong*

Abstract: Metal-acetylide frameworks (MAFs), featuring metal-bis(acetylide) linkages (-C=C-M-C=C-), are emerging as a new class of 2D nanomaterials with promise in catalysis. Here, we report a new 2D Ni^{II}-acetylide framework, TPA-Ni(PR₃)₂-GYs, that incorporates the Ni^{II}(PR₃)₂ moiety $[R = CH_3 (Me), CH_2CH_3 (Et), and CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3 (Et)]$ (Bu)] into tris(4-ethynylphenyl)amine-based graphdiyne framework (TPA-GDY). As a result, TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY exhibits an exceptional photocatalytic CO₂ reduction activity of 3807 μ mol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ and a high selectivity of 99.4% for CO production upon visible light irradiation. Mechanistic investigations reveal a strong orbital matching effect between the d orbitals of Ni^{II} and the p orbitals of the alkynyl C atoms in organic ligands, which not only accelerates the transfer and separation of photogenerated charge carriers but also reduces the reaction potential barrier for the formation of *COOH intermediates. Furthermore, the high hydrophobicity of the auxiliary coordinated ligands (trialkylphosphines) to Ni center, particularly tributylphosphine, creates a nanoconfined space that enhances both the accessibility of CO_2 and the utilization of Ni^{II} catalytic active sites while inhibiting hydrogen evolution. This study highlights the benefit of modulating the microenvironment around the coordinated metal center to enhance the performance of catalysts with direct metal-acetylide bonding.

Introduction

The development of efficient and noble metal-free photocatalytic systems for the transformation of carbon dioxide (CO_2) into value-added fuels has garnered significant interest as a mean to address the global energy crisis and mitigate climate issues.^[1-3] However, the photocatalytic CO₂ reduction reaction (CO_2RR) typically suffers from moderate photocatalytic activity and limited selectivity. This is due to the inherent stability of the CO₂ molecule, stemming from its high C=O bond energy and complex multiple proton-coupled electron transfer process.^[4-6] Therefore, the design and synthesis of

[*] Y. Qin, C. Zhang, L. Xu, W.-Y. Wong Department of Applied Biology and Chemical Technology and Research Institute for Smart Energy, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Hong Kong, P.R. China E-mail: linli.xu@polyu.edu.hk wai-yeung.wong@polyu.edu.hk

J. Lu School of Fashion and Textiles, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Hong Kong, P.R. China

photocatalysts featuring robust active sites capable of efficient CO₂ activation and conversion is of paramount importance.

Graphdiyne, a unique allotrope of carbon, distinguishes itself from conventional sp^2 carbon materials (e.g., fullerene, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), and graphene) through its network of sp and sp^2 hybridized carbon atoms connected by butadiyne linkages ($-C \equiv C - C \equiv C -$). This distinctive structure endows graphdiyne with a tunable π system, uniformly distributed pores, and readily modifiable electronic properties.^[7,8] Furthermore, the specific chemical structure of graphdiyne renders it an attractive platform for anchoring metal atoms within a well-defined coordination environment. However, their controllable preparation of graphdiyne-based catalysts remains a huge challenge due to potential structural inhomogeneities and unstable active sites. Thus, a comprehensive understanding of the catalytic mechanism on the atomic level is still lacking. Transition metal ions, serving as efficient catalytic centers, can be incorporated into graphdiyne frameworks via metal-bis(acetylide) linkages ($-C \equiv C - M - C \equiv C -)$, leading to the formation of a family of metal-acetylide frameworks (MAFs).^[9,10] Recently, large-area Hg^{II}-acetylide frameworks were first isolated for use in optical devices and catalysis by our group.^[11-13] More relevant to this work, Ni^{II}-, Pd^{II}-, and Pt^{II}-acetylide frameworks have also shown promise as efficient photocatalysts for CO₂RR.^[14] A key distinction between Hg^{II}-AFs and Ni^{II}-, Pd^{II}-, and Pt^{II}-AFs lies in the presence of coordinated auxiliary ligands (trialkylphosphines), which create a specific microenvironment surrounding the metal centers. Although $-C \equiv C - Hg - C \equiv C - units$ form a linear structure, $-C \equiv C - M(PR_3)_2 - C \equiv C - (M = Ni^{II})_2$ Pd^{II}, and Pt^{II}) units adopt a square planar configuration

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section

^{© 2025} The Author(s). Angewandte Chemie International Edition n published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

at the metal core. The microenvironment of these metal centers can be precisely tuned through coordination with trialkylphosphine using predesigned building blocks,^[9,10,15–17] offering a powerful tool to influence catalytic performance. However, the specific influence of these auxiliary coordinated ligands on the metal catalytic sites within MAFs remains largely unexplored, despite the fact that they significantly affect the catalytic performance.^[14]

The surface microenvironment of a catalyst plays a critical role in dictating CO₂ conversion efficiency and product selectivity.^[18] Photocatalytic CO₂ reduction typically occurs in the aqueous phase, where the limited solubility (\sim 33 mM at 20 °C, 1 atm) and slow diffusion ($\sim 1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$) of CO₂ molecules can hinder their transport to the active sites.^[19,20] Constructing a hydrophobic nanoconfined space around the active sites can increase the local CO₂ concentration, thereby enhancing the collision frequency of CO₂ with these active sites while simultaneously reducing H⁺ concentration to suppress the competing hydrogen evolution reaction (HER).^[21-23] Metal active centers can be inadvertently buried during catalyst modification or neglected due to the absence of effective catalyst design strategies, including morphology control, defect modulation, oxidation state adjustment, and hydrophobic coating.^[24] To address these challenges, we designed a photocatalyst based on a 2D Ni^{II}-AFs wherein Ni^{II} ions are coordinated with hydrophobic trialkylphosphines to generate a nanoconfined space. We hypothesized that adjusting the length of the alkyl chains would significantly affect the photocatalytic CO₂RR activity. Therefore, it is essential to investigate the confinement effects arising from the hydrophobic microenvironment of trialkylphosphines and explore their influence on the catalytic reaction processes occurring at the surface and interface within this nanoconfined space.

Based on these considerations, we propose a strategy to construct Ni^{II}-AFs by modifying non-noble transition metals, fixed by bis(acetylide) bonds, with auxiliary coordinated trialkylphosphine ligands. The strong coordination between the transition metals and acetylide linkages enhances the migration barrier and binding energy of the metal atoms, preventing their migration and agglomeration while improving metal atom utilization efficiency. A synergistic effect between d-p orbital overlap between transition metal single atoms and adjacent alkynyl carbon atoms, along with π -conjugated moieties, accelerates the transport and separation of photogenerated charge carriers, thereby enhancing the photocatalytic CO₂RR performance. Notably, the auxiliary coordinated tributylphosphine (PBu₃) ligands surrounding Ni^{II} active sites create a hydrophobic nanoconfined space that increases the collision frequency of CO_2 with the active centers. This work introduces a novel approach to create high photocatalytic-responsive blocks of $-C \equiv C - Ni(PR_3)_2 - C \equiv C - (where R = CH_3 (Me), CH_2CH_3)_2$ (Et), and CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃ (Bu)) capitalizing on the synergistic effect of "diacetylene sites" to anchor single-distributed transition metal atoms and their auxiliary coordinated ligands to establish a hydrophobic nanoconfined space. This approach reveals the relationship between their molecular structure and photocatalytic performance and their underlying mechanism.

Results and Discussion

In this study, MAFs incorporating different transition metal ions ($M = Ni^{II}$ and Pd^{II}) were synthesized via a base-catalyzed dehydrohalogenation reaction in tetrahydrofuran (THF) using triethylamine (TEA) and CuI as catalysts. Specifically, the organic ligand tris(4-ethynylphenyl) amine (TPA) reacted with metal complexes *trans*-Ni(PR₃)₂Cl₂ (where R = Me, Et, and Bu) or *trans*-Pd(PBu₃)₂Cl₂ to form TPA-Ni(PR₃)₂-GYs or TPA-Pd(PBu₃)₂-GY (Scheme 1).

The structural characteristics and crystallinity of the TPA-M(PBu₃)₂-GYs were analyzed using powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) and simulations via Materials Studio. As shown in Figure S1, TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY exhibited two broad diffraction peaks: one at 10.54° , attributed to the (111) and (021) planes, and another at 19.60°, corresponding to the π - π stacking interactions between adjacent layers, associated with the (001) facet. The experimental PXRD pattern was in good agreement with the calculated pattern for an AA-stacking mode. Pawley refinements yielded unit cell parameters: a $b = 30.426 \text{ Å}, c = 4.50 \text{ Å}, \alpha = \beta = 90.0^{\circ}, \gamma = 0.0^{\circ}$ 120.0° , with residuals of $R_{\rm p} = 1.28\%$ and $R_{\rm wp} = 1.67\%$, confirming the successful synthesis of TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY. The PXRD pattern of TPA-Pd(PBu₃)₂-GY showed peaks at 8.49° and 19.84°, corresponding to the (020), (101), (021), and (001) planes. Theoretical simulations and Pawley refinement confirmed the same AA-stacking model for TPA-Pd(PBu₃)₂-GY, with unit cell parameters of a = b = 30.426 Å, c =4.520 Å, $\alpha = \beta = 89.999^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 120.00^{\circ}$ (residuals $R_{\rm p} = 2.05\%$ and $R_{wp} = 2.76\%$) (Figure S2). The chemical structures of TPA-M(PBu₃)₂-GYs were characterized using Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy and solid-state ¹³C and ³¹P nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. FT-IR spectra (Figure 1a) revealed the near disappearance of the sharp $-C \equiv C - H$ stretching vibration peaks at approximately 3265 cm^{-1} and 2102 cm^{-1} for the TPA ligand, alongside the emergence of new peaks at 2167 cm⁻¹, corresponding to the $-C \equiv C$ - stretching vibrations in the MAF. This indicates the successful formation of $-C \equiv C - M(PBu_3)_2 - C \equiv C - moieties$. Additionally, the presence of C-H stretching vibration peaks of butyl groups (2824–2998 cm⁻¹) in TPA-M(PBu₃)₂-GYs further confirms the formation of metal-acetylide networks. Solid-state ¹³C NMR spectra showed characteristic signals for butyl groups at 14.2 ppm ($-CH_2-$) and 24.6 ppm ($-CH_3$), alkyne carbons ($-C \equiv C -$) at 66.4 and 82.6 ppm, aromatic carbons (-C=C-) between 100.0 and 140.0 ppm, and -C-Nat 147.2 ppm (Figures 1b and S3).^[25] The peaks for -Ni-C=C and $-Pd-C \equiv C$ are challenging to detect due to the low signal sensitivity and broad range within 100-300 ppm.^[26] Solidstate ³¹P NMR spectra of TPA-M(PBu₃)₂-GYs exhibited primary peaks at $\delta = 10$ ppm, indicative of strong $p-\pi$ interactions between phosphorus lone pairs and adjacent divne π orbitals (Figure S4),^[27] confirming the successful formation of MAFs.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and atomic force microscopy (AFM) analyses (Figures 1c,d and S5–S9) revealed that TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY possesses a 2D layered structure with a thickness of approximately 1.8 nm and displays the Tyndall





Scheme 1. Schematic illustration of the synthesis process of TPA-Ni(PR₃)₂-GYs (R = Me, Et, and Bu).



Figure 1. a) FT-IR spectra of TPA-M(PBu₃)₂-GYs (M = Ni^{II} and Pd^{II}). b) Solid-state ¹³C NMR spectrum of TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY, with ¹³C chemical shift assignments indicated on the chemical structure. c) TEM image and HRTEM images, d) AFM image, e) AC-HAADF-STEM image, and f) EDX elemental mapping of TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY. The EDX mapping show the distribution of Ni (green), P (blue), C (red), and N (yellow). g) High-resolution Ni 2*p* XPS spectrum of TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY. h) Ni K-edge XANES spectra of TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY, Ni foil, and NiO. i) FT EXAFS spectra at the Ni K-edge for TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY, Ni foil, and NiO.

effect in aqueous solution, suggesting colloidal behavior. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image showed an interlayer spacing of 4.5 Å. TPA-Pd(PBu₃)₂-GY also forms 2D layered nanosheets, indicating that the metal identity does not significantly alter the morphology. The aberration-corrected high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (AC-HAADF-STEM) of TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY displayed many isolated bright dots marked with red circles, which were identified as atomically dispersed Ni centers (Figure 1e). Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) mapping confirmed the homogeneous distribution of Ni, C, N, and P elements within the TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY matrix (Figure 1f). Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) indicated Ni and Pd loadings of 8.31 wt% and 14.20 wt% in TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY and TPA-Pd(PBu₃)₂-GY, respectively (Table S1). The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) specific surface areas were 206.6 m² g⁻¹ for TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY and 110.2 m² g⁻¹ for TPA-Pd(PBu₃)₂-GY. The pore volume distributions were approximately 3.0 nm for both samples (Figures \$10 and \$11).^[28] The decomposition temperatures of TPA-M(PBu₃)₂-GYs were below 300 °C in a N₂ atmosphere (Figure S12). PXRD patterns confirmed that TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY exhibited robust chemical stability in various solvents at room temperature for 24 h, with the patterns consistent with the original material (Figure S13). These properties highlight TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY as a promising candidate for photocatalytic CO₂RR.

The surface chemical composition and valence states of the samples were investigated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis (Figures S14-S16). The highresolution Ni 2p XPS spectra (Figure 2a) displayed a Ni $2p_{3/2}$ peak at approximately 856.0 eV and a Ni $2p_{1/2}$ peak at approximately 873.7 eV, consistent with divalent nickel (Ni²⁺). This confirms the successful integration of Ni^{II} ions into the graphdiyne framework, with no detection of other nickel species such as NiO or metallic Ni. Additionally, satellite peaks at ~879.5 eV and ~861.5 eV indicate the presence of high-spin divalent Ni²⁺ ions in TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY.^[29] Figure 2h presents the Ni K-edge X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) spectra for TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY alongside those of two references (Ni foil and NiO). The absorption edge of TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY in the XANES spectra is located at a position similar to those of Ni foil and NiO, indicating that the average oxidation state of Ni species is close to +2. The Fourier-transformed (FT) k^3 weighted EXAFS spectra in R space reveal a primary peak at ~1.53 Å, indicative of Ni-C and Ni-P interactions, with no significant Ni-Ni, Ni-N, or Ni-O-Ni interactions at \sim 2.17, 1.48, and 2.57 Å, respectively, confirming the presence of single-atom Ni species (Figure 2i). By comparing TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY with other references (Figures S17-S19 and Table S2), EXAFS fitting revealed two types of coordination for Ni: Ni–C ($R_{\text{Ni-C}} = 1.866 \pm 0.010$ Å; CN = 1.9 ± 0.3) and Ni–P ($R_{\text{Ni-P}} = 2.054 \pm 0.023$ Å; CN = 2.3 ± 0.2), confirming that each Ni atom is coordinated by two C atoms and two P atoms to form a P2-Ni-C2 moiety. Regarding the wavelet transforms (WT) of the Ni K-edge EXAFS (Figure S20), only one intensity maximum at approximately 4.1 \AA^{-1} was observed in TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY, attributed to the Ni-C/P scattering contribution. These EXAFS results confirm the successful creation of the Ni^{II}-acetylide framework, with Ni existing as isolated single atoms.

To evaluate the photocatalytic CO_2 reduction activity of the synthesized materials, control experiments were performed to assess the influence of individual reaction components (Figures S21-S23). As shown in Figure 2a, no carbon-containing products were detected when the photocatalyst, triethanolamine (TEOA), or light were absent or when CO_2 was replaced with argon (Ar). These results underscore the necessity of all these components for the TPA-M(PR_3)₂-GYs catalytic system to function properly. Photocatalytic tests were conducted in a mixed water/acetonitrile solution $(v_{H_2O}/v_{CH_3CN} = 3:1, 4 \text{ mL})$ under visible light irradiation $(\lambda > 420 \text{ nm})$ from a 300 W Xe-lamp, using $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+1}$ as the photosensitizer and TEOA (200 µL) as the sacrificial electron donor. Under these conditions, TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY achieved a remarkable CO evolution rate of 3807 µmol g^{-1} h⁻¹ with high selectivity (99.4%) after 5 h (Figure 2b). In contrast, the metal-free TPA-GDY and TPA-Pd(PBu₃)₂-GY exhibited substantially lower CO evolution rates of 24 and 106 μ mol g⁻¹ h⁻¹, respectively, indicating the critical role of Ni incorporation in the enhanced activity. Importantly, the observed selectivity for CO production remained high even at reduced CO₂ partial pressure, demonstrating the robustness of the system. To elucidate the impact of the trialkylphosphine ligand structure on photocatalytic CO₂ reduction, a series of TPA-Ni(PR₃)₂-GYs catalysts with varying alkyl chain lengths (R = Me, Et, and Bu) were evaluated. As illustrated in Figure 2c, the photocatalytic performance exhibited a positive correlation with the length of the alkyl chain. This enhancement is likely due to the creation of a confined microenvironment by the longer alkyl chains, which promotes increased local CO₂ concentration and prolonged interaction between CO₂ molecules and the active Ni sites.^[30] The absence of any detectable liquid products was confirmed by ¹H NMR analysis (Figures S24 and S25). The apparent quantum efficiency (AOE) of the TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY catalyst reached a maximum value of 0.8% at 450 nm (Figure S26), which aligns with the characteristic absorption spectrum of the $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ photosensitizer. Isotopic labelling experiments using ¹³CO₂ as a feed gas unequivocally demonstrated that the CO product originated from the CO₂RR, as confirmed by the detection of ¹³CO (m/z = 29) via GCMS (Figure 2d). Furthermore, TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY demonstrated high durability, with no significant changes in CO production and selectivity over five cycles (Figure 2e), and its structure remained stable, as shown by XRD, FT-IR, XPS, and TEM (Figures S27-S30). A comparative analysis (Figure 2f) revealed that TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY outperforms most reported Ni-based organic photocatalysts for CO₂ reduction, highlighting its superior activity. The enhanced performance can be attributed to the synergistic interplay between the $-C \equiv C - Ni(PBu_3)_2 - C \equiv C - C$ moiety and the π -conjugated graphdiyne framework, which facilitates efficient charge carrier transport and separation. Moreover, the nanoconfined hydrophobic space generated by the tributylphosphine ligands promotes a higher collision frequency between CO₂ molecules and the Ni catalytic 15213773, 2025,



Figure 2. a) Control experiments demonstrating the necessity of each component for photocatalytic CO₂ reduction using TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY. b) CO₂ photoreduction activity and CO selectivity of TPA-GDY, TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY, and TPA-Pd(PBu₃)₂-GY under optimized conditions. c) Effect of trialkylphosphine ligand alkyl chain length (PMe₃, PEt₃, and PBu₃) on photocatalytic CO₂ RR performance. d) GCMS spectrum confirming the formation of ¹³CO (m/z = 29) during photocatalytic reduction of ¹³CO₂ over TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY. e) Cyclic stability tests of TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY for CO₂ photoreduction. f) Comparison of CO₂ photoreduction activity of TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY with previously reported Ni-based organic photocatalysts (note: corresponding references are provided in Refs. [29 and 31–38]).

centers while simultaneously hindering proton transfer, ultimately favoring CO production and suppressing hydrogen evolution. Therefore, this catalyst design offers a promising route for addressing key challenges in photocatalytic reaction, including photocatalytic mechanisms, reaction activity, and product selectivity.

The light-harvesting properties and electronic structure of TPA-M(PBu₃)₂-GYs were investigated using UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS) and Mott-Schottky (MS) plots (Figure S31). Both TPA-M(PBu₃)₂-GYs exhibited strong absorption across a broad range of 200-800 nm, indicating their excellent visible light absorption capabilities. The optical bandgap and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energy of TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY were determined to be 2.17 eV and -1.20 V (versus NHE), respectively. Combining Tauc plots and MS plots enabled the determination of the energy band structures (Figure \$32). The LUMO of TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY was more positive than that of the photosensitizer [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺ (-1.31 V versus NHE),^[39] but more negative than the reduction potential of E° (CO₂/CO) (-0.53 V versus NHE), signifying that photoexcited electrons can be readily transferred from $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ to TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY to drive CO₂RR under visible light irradiation (Figure S33). Furthermore, TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY exhibited a stronger affinity for CO2 compared to TPA-Pd(PBu3)2-GY (Figure \$34), which is attributed to stronger coordination interactions between CO₂ and the Ni^{II} active sites.^[40]

Ultrafast femtosecond time-resolved transient absorption (fs-TA) spectroscopy was employed to probe the charge

carrier dynamics in real time (Figures 3a-c and S35). Upon excitation at 400 nm, the fs-TA spectra of TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY displayed a broad ground-state bleach (GSB) centered at around 470 nm, indicative of state-filling by photoexcited carriers. A prominent excited-state absorption (ESA) peak emerged between 500 and 780 nm, which can be attributed to singlet excited-state decay.^[41] The GSB recovery kinetics were well-fitted using a three-exponential decay model, revealing a ΔA recovery asymptote within the 8.0 ns probedelay limit, suggesting a prolonged carrier lifetime. The ESA dynamics at 647 nm exhibited decay constants of $\tau_1 = 1.7 \pm 0.1$ ps, $\tau_2 = 44 \pm 4$ ps, and $\tau_3 = 573 \pm 58$ ps. In contrast, the transient spectrum of TPA-Pd(PBu₃)₂-GY was dominated by GSB near 590 nm, associated with charge transfer transitions, with a long bleaching signal lifetime exceeding 8.0 ns (Figure S36). Photoelectric measurements further support these observations. The fluorescence lifetimes of TPA-GDY, TPA-Pd(PBu₃)₂-GY, and TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY were measured to be 0.95, 1.06, and 1.17 ns, respectively (Figure 3c and Table S4). TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY displayed the strongest photocurrent response and the smallest semicircle diameter in the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) results (Figure S37), indicating effective suppression of charge carrier recombination and superior intramolecular charge transfer capability.^[42,43]

To further investigate the CO_2 affinity and electron distribution in TPA-M(PBu₃)₂-GYs, density functional theory (DFT) calculations and CO_2 absorption experiments were performed. The calculations revealed that CO_2 adsorption on

Research Article





Figure 3. a) TA spectra of TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY following excitation at 400 nm, with varying time delays. b) fs-TA kinetics of TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY, with solid lines representing multiexponential fits to the TA data. c) Time-resolved fluorescence decay spectra of TPA-GDY, TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY, and TPA-Pd(PBu₃)₂-GY. Charge density difference isosurfaces (level 0.0001 e Bohr⁻³) for CO₂ adsorbed on d) TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY and e) TPA-Pd(PBu₃)₂-GY, with yellow and cyan colors indicating electron accumulation and depletion, respectively. f) In situ DRIFTS spectra monitoring surface intermediates during CO₂ reduction over TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY under visible light irradiation. g) Calculated free energy diagram for CO₂RR on TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY.

TPA-M(PBu₃)₂-GYs is thermodynamically favorable. TPA- $Ni(PBu_3)_2$ -GY exhibited a more negative adsorption energy (-0.53 eV) compared to TPA-Pd(PBu₃)₂-GY (-0.24 eV), indicating stronger host-guest interactions with CO₂. Charge density difference analysis revealed that CO₂ gains 0.21 electron from TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY and 0.15 electron from TPA-Pd(PBu₃)₂-GY (Figure 3d-e), confirming that Ni^{II} ions enhance both the binding strength and polarization of *CO₂, thereby facilitating CO₂ adsorption and activation.^[44] In situ diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) was used to dynamically monitor adsorbed CO₂ and CO2-derived species on TPA-Ni(PBu3)2-GY during photocatalysis (Figure 3g). The adsorption peaks at approximately 1338 cm^{-1} and 1498 cm^{-1} can be assigned to monodentate carbonate $(m-CO_3^{2-})$, whereas peaks near 1274 cm⁻¹ and 1593 cm⁻¹ are attributed to bidentate carbonate $(b-CO_3^{2-})$.^[45,46] The peak observed at 1437 cm⁻¹ is assigned to bicarbonate (*HCO₃⁻) stretching vibrations.^[47] The adsorption peak at 1671 cm⁻¹ suggests the formation of carboxylic acid (*COOH), a key intermediate in CO₂

photoreduction.^[25] The emergence of *CO₂ at 1724 cm⁻¹ with prolonged irradiation suggested CO₂ activation via $CO_2 + e^- \rightarrow *CO_2$ (Figure 3g).^[48,49] Increasing peak intensities for the intermediates and products with extended irradiation confirmed the photocatalytic CO₂ reduction products with a consecutive reaction pathway. The calculated Gibbs free energies for CO₂ to CO conversion on metal active sites within TPA-M(PBu₃)₂-GYs (Figures 3h and S38-S40) revealed that the rate-determining step (RDS) is the formation of *COOH during photocatalytic CO_2RR via * $CO_2 + H^+$ $+e^{-} \rightarrow *COOH.^{[50,51]}$ TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY exhibits a reduced energy barrier for *COOH formation (1.13 eV) compared to TPA-Pd(PBu₃)₂-GY (1.40 eV), which is attributed to the strong interactions between $-C \equiv C - Ni^{II} - C \equiv C - and$ *COOH, thereby reducing the activation energy for CO₂ activation and ultimately enhancing the overall photocatalytic CO₂ reduction performance.

To investigate the impact of the hydrophobic microenvironment on the photocatalytic CO_2 reduction performance of TPA-M(PR₃)₂-GYs, we performed water contact angle



Figure 4. Density distribution of CO₂ and H⁺ calculated from MD simulations, along with the corresponding final snapshot for (a) TPA-Ni(PMe₃)₂-GY, (b) TPA-Ni(PEt₃)₂-GY, and (c) TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY. (d) Radial distribution functions (RDF, g(r)) for the trialkylphosphines (PMe₃, PEt₃, and PBu₃) as a function of the distance from the Ni^{II} catalytic centers to the H atoms of water (H_w). (e) Statistical distribution of H⁺ ions in the vicinity of the Ni^{II} catalytic centers for three types of branched chains in the trialkylphosphines (PMe₃, PEt₃, and PBu₃). f) Calculated CO₂ adsorption energies on TPA-Ni(PR₃)₂-GYs as a function of the alkyl chain length in the trialkylphosphines. g–i) 2D planar distribution probability maps for the CO₂ density around the Ni–PBu₃ moiety.

measurements and in situ DRIFTS analysis of the adsorption behaviors toward H_2O and CO_2 on TPA-M(PR_3)₂-GYs. The results indicate that as the alkyl chain length increases, both the hydrophobicity and CO₂ adsorption capacity of the samples are enhanced, as evidenced by Figures S41 and S42. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations demonstrated that increasing the length of trialkylphosphines (PMe₃, PEt₃, PBu₃) surrounding the Ni^{II} catalytic centers in TPA-Ni(PR₃)₂-GYs reduced H⁺ ion concentration and increased CO₂ concentration near these centers (Figures 4a-c and \$43). This suggests that longer alkyl chains improve CO₂ capture and reduction while inhibiting HER, consistent with the observed photocatalytic performance. Radial distribution function (RDF, g(r)) analysis revealed that longer alkyl chains decrease the RDF peak intensity and shift the peak to larger distances (Figure 4d-e), indicating that PBu₃ exhibits stronger hydrophobic properties compared to PMe₃ and PEt₃. Consequently, the distance between Ni^{II} in the Ni-PBu₃ moiety and the nearest water molecules was maximized, leading to a reduction in hydrogen proton density around Ni^{II.[22]} Under the influence of nanoconfinement, the adsorption energy of Ni^{II} for CO₂ increased with the length of the alkyl chain (Figure 4f), and the electron transfer number after CO_2 adsorption also increased (Figure S44), further corroborating that modifying the alkyl chain length effectively regulates the microenvironment of the metal catalytic centers. As evident from Figure 4g-i, the distribution of CO₂ around the Ni-PBu₃ moiety became progressively more concentrated. Furthermore, the interaction between TPA-Ni(PR₃)₂-GYs and CO₂ molecules can be intuitively visualized using the independent gradient model based on Hirshfeld partition (IGMH) model (Figure \$45). This study reveals both weak and strong attractive interactions between TPA-Ni(PR₃)₂-GYs and CO₂ molecules. Notably, the TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY model exhibits a larger isosurface area in cyan and green colors, suggesting a higher binding affinity for CO2 molecules compared to TPA-Ni(PMe3)2-GY and TPA-Ni(PEt₃)₂-GY. Therefore, the confinement effect produced by the hydrophobic microenvironment constructed by alkyl chains enhances the concentration of reactants and active intermediates in the catalytic reaction system, thereby improving the photocatalytic CO_2 performance.

Conclusion

In summary, this study introduces a novel class of 2D metalorganic hybrid photocatalysts based on MAFs, enabling the precise integration of transition metal ions into an extended π -conjugated system through the unique metal-bis(acetylide) linkages. Hydrophobic trialkylphosphine chains were strategically employed to create a nanoconfined environment around the catalytic sites. The synergistic d-p orbital overlap between Ni^{II} and adjacent alkynyl carbon atoms, coupled with the highly π -conjugated moieties, facilitates efficient charge carrier transfer and optimizes the adsorption energy of intermediates and reactants, thus enhancing photocatalytic CO₂ reduction performance. The hydrophobic confined space created by tributylphosphine increases the collision frequency of CO₂ with the active centers while simultaneously reducing proton transfer. Consequently, TPA-Ni(PBu₃)₂-GY exhibited superior CO₂ photoreduction activity (3807 μ mol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) and CO selectivity (99.4%) under visible light irradiation, exceeding those of TPA-Pd(PBu₃)₂-GY (106 μ mol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) and TPA-GDY (24 μ mol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) by factors of 35.9 and 158.6, respectively. This work provides a unique framework for the rational design of efficient CO₂ reduction photocatalysts and underscores the potential of graphdiyne as a versatile building block in future catalyst design for sustainable energy conversion.

Supporting Information

The authors have cited additional references within the Supporting Information.^[23,31–38,52–73]

Acknowledgements

W.-Y.W. thanks the financial support from the RGC Senior Research Fellowship Scheme (SRFS2021-5S01), the Hong Kong Research Grants Council (PolyU 15307321), the Research Institute for Smart Energy (CDAQ), the Research Centre for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (CE2H), the Research Centre for Carbon-Strategic Catalysis (CE01 and CE2L) and Miss Clarea Au for the Endowed Professorship in Energy (847S). L.L.X. thanks the financial support from the Hong Kong Research Grants Council (PolyU 25301524), the Guangdong Provincial Natural Science Foundation-General Project (2024A1515010422), and PolyU (WZ0Z, BEBA, CE2N, CDB5, CE35).

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the Supporting Information of this article. Keywords: Highly π -conjugated structure • Metalated graphyne • Nickel(II)-acetylide frameworks • Photocatalytic CO₂ reduction

- K. Jayaramulu, S. Mukherjee, D. M. Morales, D. P. Dubal, A. K. Nanjundan, A. Schneemann, J. Masa, S. Kment, W. Schuhmann, M. Otyepka, R. Zbořil, R. A. Fischer, *Chem. Rev.* 2022, *122*, 17241–17338.
- B. Yu, T. Meng, X. Ding, X. Liu, H. Wang, B. Chen, T. Zheng,
 W. Li, Q. Zeng, J. Jiang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2022, 61, e202211482.
- [3] Y. Zhang, B. Johannessen, P. Zhang, J. Gong, J. Ran, S. Qiao, Adv. Mater. 2023, 35, 2306923.
- [4] S. Xie, C. Deng, Q. Huang, C. Zhang, C. Chen, J. Zhao, H. Sheng, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2023, 62, e202216717.
- [5] R. Xu, D. Si, S. Zhao, Q. Wu, X. Wang, T. Liu, H. Zhao, R. Cao, Y. Huang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2023, 145, 8261–8270.
- [6] Y. Yang, H. Zhang, Y. Wang, L. Shao, L. Fang, H. Dong, M. Lu, L. Dong, Y. Lan, F. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.* **2023**, *35*, 2304170.
- [7] J. Yu, W. Chen, F. He, W. Song, C. Cao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2023, 145, 1803–1810.
- [8] H. Zou, L. J. Arachchige, W. Rong, C. Tang, R. Wang, S. Tan, H. Chen, D. He, J. Hu, E. Hu, C. Sun, L. Duan, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2022, *32*, 2200333.
- [9] A. Haque, R. A. Al-Balushi, I. J. Al-Busaidi, M. S. Khan, P. R. Raithby, *Chem. Rev.* 2018, *118*, 8474–8597.
- [10] C.-L. Ho, Z.-Q. Yu, W.-Y. Wong, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 5264– 5295.
- [11] L. Xu, J. Sun, T. Tang, H. Zhang, M. Sun, J. Zhang, J. Li, B. Huang, Z. Wang, Z. Xie, W.-Y. Wong, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2021, 60, 11326–11334.
- [12] M. Fang, L. Xu, H. Zhang, Y. Zhu, W.-Y. Wong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2022, 144, 15143–15154.
- [13] Y. Zhao, L. Xu, X. Wang, Z. Wang, Y. Liu, Y. Wang, Q. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Huang, Y. Liu, W.-Y. Wong, Z. Kang, *Nano Today* 2022, 43, 101428.
- [14] Y. Qin, Y. Wang, J. Lu, L. Xu, W.-Y. Wong, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2025, 64, e202418269.
- [15] L. Zeng, J.-W. Chen, L. Zhong, W. Zhen, Y. Y. Tay, S. Li, Y.-G. Wang, L. Huang, C. Xue, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* **2022**, 307, 121154.
- [16] G. Wang, Y. Wu, Z. Li, Z. Lou, Q. Chen, Y. Li, D. Wang, J. Mao, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2023, 62, e202218460.
- [17] K. Sun, Y. Huang, Q. Wang, W. Zhao, X. Zheng, J. Jiang, H. Jiang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2024, 146, 3241–3249.
- [18] R. J. Lyons, Y. Yang, E. McQueen, L. Luo, A. I. Cooper, M. A. Zwijnenburg, R. S. Sprick, *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2024**, *14*, 2303680.
- [19] Y.-J. Ko, C. Lim, J. Jin, M. G. Kim, J. Y. Lee, T.-Y. Seong, K.-Y. Lee, B. K. Min, J.-Y. Choi, T. Noh, G. W. Hwang, W. H. Lee, H.-S. Oh, *Nat. Commun.* **2024**, *15*, 3356.
- [20] J. Li, G. Chen, Y. Zhu, Z. Liang, A. Pei, C.-L. Wu, H. Wang, H. R. Lee, K. Liu, S. Chu, Y. Cui, *Nat. Catal.* **2018**, *1*, 592–600.
- [21] H. Fujisaki, T. Ishizuka, H. Kotani, Y. Shiota, K. Yoshizawa, T. Kojima, *Nature* 2023, 616, 476–481.
- [22] G. Ren, M. Zhou, P. Hu, J. Chen, H. Wang, *Nat. Commun.* 2024, 15, 2346.
- [23] Q. Huang, C. Chu, Q. Li, Q. Liu, X. Liu, J. Sun, B. Ni, S. Mao, ACS Catal. 2023, 13, 11232–11243.
- [24] Y. Duan, Y. Wang, W. Zhang, C. Ban, Y. Feng, X. Tao, A. Li, K. Wang, X. Zhang, X. Han, W. Fan, B. Zhang, H. Zou, L. Gan, G. Han, X. Zhou, *Adv. Mater.* **2024**, *36*, 2404900.
- [25] S. Barman, A. Singh, F. A. Rahimi, T. K. Maji, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 16284–16292.
- [26] A. J. Kim, L. G. Butler, Inorg. Chem. 1993, 32, 178–181.

- [27] Y. Wang, J. An, L. Qi, Y. Xue, G. Li, Q. Lyu, W. Yang, Y. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2023, 145, 864–872.
- [28] Y. Yang, Y. Wang, L. Dong, Q. Li, L. Zhang, J. Zhou, S. Sun, H. Ding, Y. Chen, S. Li, Y. Lan, *Adv. Mater.* **2022**, *34*, 2206706.
- [29] Y. Gong, S. Lv, H. Yang, W. Shi, J. Wang, L. Jiang, D. Zhong, T. Lu, CCS Chem. 2024, 6, 3030–3040.
- [30] Y. Hao, Y. Lu, Z. Jiao, C. Su, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2024, 63, e202317808.
- [31] X. Wang, J. Liu, L. Zhang, L. Dong, S. Li, Y. Kan, D. Li, Y. Lan, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 1726–1732.
- [32] H. Zhong, R. Sa, H. Lv, S. Yang, D. Yuan, X. Wang, R. Wang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2020, 30, 2002654.
- [33] W. Zhong, R. Sa, L. Li, Y. He, L. Li, J. Bi, Z. Zhuang, Y. Yu, Z. Zou, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 7615–7621.
- [34] J. Zhang, Y. Wang, H. Wang, D. Zhong, T. Lu, Chin. Chem. Lett. 2022, 33, 2065–2068.
- [35] M. Dong, J. Zhou, J. Zhong, H. Li, C. Sun, Y. Han, J. Kou, Z. Kang, X. Wang, Z. Su, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2022, 32, 2110136.
- [36] X. Dong, Y. Si, Q. Wang, S. Wang, S. Zang, Adv. Mater. 2021, 33, 2101568.
- [37] T. Zheng, X. Ding, T. Sun, X. Yang, X. Wang, X. Zhou, P. Zhang, B. Yu, Y. Wang, Q. Xu, L. Xu, D. Wang, J. Jiang, *Small* **2023**, 20, 2307743.
- [38] W. Yang, H. Wang, R. Liu, J. Wang, C. Zhang, C. Li, D. Zhong, T. Lu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 409–414.
- [39] Y. Xia, M. Tang, L. Zhang, J. Liu, C. Jiang, G. Gao, L. Dong, L. Xie, Y. Lan, *Nat. Commun.* **2022**, *13*, 2964.
- [40] Q. Zhang, S. Gao, Y. Guo, H. Wang, J. Wei, X. Su, H. Zhang, Z. Liu, J. Wang, *Nat. Commun.* **2023**, *14*, 1147.
- [41] Z. Fang, T. Liu, J. Liu, S. Jin, X. Wu, X. Gong, K. Wang, Q. Yin, T. Liu, R. Cao, H. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 12515– 12523.
- [42] Y. Qin, H. Li, J. Lu, Y. Feng, F. Meng, C. Ma, Y. Yan, M. Meng, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 2020, 277, 119254.
- [43] Y. Qin, H. Li, J. Lu, F. Meng, C. Ma, Y. Yan, M. Meng, *Chem. Eng. J.* 2020, 384, 123275.
- [44] W. Lyu, Y. Liu, J. Zhou, D. Chen, X. Zhao, R. Fang, F. Wang, Y. Li, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2023, 62, e202310733.
- [45] L. Ran, Z. Li, B. Ran, J. Cao, Y. Zhao, T. Shao, Y. Song, M. K. H. Leung, L. Sun, J. Hou, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2022, 144, 17097– 17109.
- [46] L. Sun, Z. Zhang, J. Bian, F. Bai, H. Su, Z. Li, J. Xie, R. Xu, J. Sun, L. Bai, C. Chen, Y. Han, J. Tang, L. Jing, *Adv. Mater.* 2023, 35, 2300064.
- [47] H. Li, C. Cheng, Z. Yang, J. Wei, Nat. Commun. 2022, 13, 6466.
- [48] J. Sheng, Y. He, J. Li, C. Yuan, H. Huang, S. Wang, Y. Sun, Z. Wang, F. Dong, ACS Nano 2020, 14, 13103–13114.
- [49] M. Zhou, Z. Wang, A. Mei, Z. Yang, W. Chen, S. Ou, S. Wang, K. Chen, P. Reiss, K. Qi, J. Ma, Y. Liu, *Nat. Commun.* **2023**, *14*, 2473.

- [50] M. Zhang, Y. Mao, X. Bao, G. Zhai, D. Xiao, D. Liu, P. Wang, H. Cheng, Y. Liu, Z. Zheng, Y. Dai, Y. Fan, Z. Wang, B. Huang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2023**, *135*, e202302919.
- [51] J. Liang, H. Yu, J. Shi, B. Li, L. Wu, M. Wang, Adv. Mater. 2023, 35, 2209814.
- [52] H. Maciejewski, B. Marciniec, J. Gulinski, A. Karolak, N. K. Skvortsov, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 2002, 5, 464–467.
- [53] M. Yang, L. Zhang, Z. Lei, P. Ye, J. Si, Q. Yang, Y. Wang, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 1998, 70, 1165–1172.
- [54] J. VandeVondele, M. Krack, F. Mohamed, M. Parrinello, T. Chassaing, J. Hutter, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 2005, 167, 103–128.
- [55] M. Krack, M. Parrinello, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2000, 2, 2105– 2112.
- [56] J. VandeVondele, J. Hutter, J. Chem. Phys. 2007, 127, 114105.
- [57] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 77, 3865.
- [58] S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, H. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 154104.
- [59] M. Yu, D. Trinkle, J. Chem. Phys. 2011, 134, 064111.
- [60] T. Lu, Q. Chen, J. Comput. Chem. 2022, 43, 539-555.
- [61] T. Lu, J. Chem. Phys. 2024, 161, 082503.
- [62] J. Hutter, M. Iannuzzi, F. Schiffmann, J. VandeVondele, WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci. 2014, 4, 15–25.
- [63] M. J. Abraham, T. Murtola, R. Schulz, S. Páll, J. C. Smith, B. Hess, E. Lindahl, *SoftwareX* 2015, 1–2,19–25.
- [64] M. A. Addicoat, N. Vankova, I. F. Akter, T. Heine, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2014, 10, 880–891.
- [65] T. Lu, F. Chen, J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 33, 580–592.
- [66] G. Kresse, D. Joubert, Phys. Rev. B 1999, 59, 1758-1775.
- [67] B. Shen, Q. Wu, Y. Guo, J. Qin, H. Chen, Y. Yang, Z. Liu, L. Li, W. Li, C. Zhu, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2025, 35, 2415854.
- [68] A. Wang, C. Breakwell, F. Foglia, R. Tian, L. Lovell, X. Wei, T. Wong, N. Meng, H. Li, A. Seel, M. Sarter, K. Smith, A. Alvarez-Fernandez, M. Furedi, S. Guldin, M. Britton, N. Mckeown, K. Jelfs, Q. Song, *Nature* **2024**, *635*, 353–358.
- [69] W. Ge, Y. Chen, Y. Fan, Y. Zhu, H. Liu, L. Song, Z. Liu, C. Lian, H. Jiang, C. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2022, 144, 6613–6622.
- [70] J. Wordsworth, T. M. Benedetti, S. V. Somerville, W. Schuhmann, R. D. Tilley, J. J. Gooding, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2022, 134, e202200755.
- [71] W. Fan, Y. Liu, C. Zhang, X. Chen, D. He, M. Li, Q. Hu, X. Jiao, Q. Chen, Y. Xie, *Mater. Horiz* **2024**, *11*, 4183–4189.
- [72] L. Chen, M. Li, J. Zhang, Nano Res. 2024, 17, 7880-7899.
- [73] J. Wang, W. Zhu, F. Meng, G. Bai, Q. Zhang, X. Lan, ACS Catal. 2023, 13, 4316–4329.

Manuscript received: March 13, 2025 Accepted manuscript online: March 18, 2025 Version of record online: March 27, 2025

GDCh