

1 **Discussion of “Study on Asphalt-Cement Materials for Seismic Isolation**
2 **Layer of Shield Tunnels” by Qi Yang, Ping Geng, Liangjie Wang, Bingbing**
3 **Zhao, and Pingliang Chen. (DOI:10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0004466)**

4

5 **Xi Jiang**, Ph.D., M.ASCE

6 Research Scientist, Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Tennessee,
7 Knoxville, TN 37996. Email: xjiang29@vols.utk.edu

8

9 **Yumeng Zhang**, Ph.D., M.ASCE

10 Postdoctoral Fellow, College of Civil Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai, 200092,
11 China. Email: zhangym@tongji.edu.cn

12

13 **Jiwang Jiang**, Ph.D., M.ASCE

14 Postdoctoral Research Fellow, 422 School of Transportation, Southeast University Rd.,
15 Jiangning District, Nanjing, Jiangsu 211189, China.

16 Email: jiang_jiwang@hotmail.com

17

18 **Xuehui Zhang**, Ph.D., M.ASCE

19 Postdoctoral Research Fellow, Department of Geoscience and Engineering, Delft University
20 of Technology, Delft, the Netherlands.

21 Email: X.Zhang-10@tudelft.nl

22

23 **Zhen Leng**, Ph.D., M.ASCE

24 Associate Professor, Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Hong Kong Polytechnic
25 University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong.

26 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7797-1134>.

27 Email: zhen.leng@polyu.edu.hk

28

29 The authors have presented a comprehensive investigation on asphalt-cement (A-C) materials
30 for seismic isolation layer of shield tunnels based on laboratory tests and numerical simulations.
31 The physical and mechanical properties of the A-C materials such as fluidity, consistency, and
32 ultimate compressive strength were studied and the influence of the mass ratio of
33 cement/asphalt on these properties was investigated as well. The results show that the fluidity,
34 consistency, and compressive strength of A-C materials increased with the content of cement %
35 (by weight). In addition, the cement/asphalt mass ratio of 50% was considered the optimum for
36 the seismic isolation layer since experimental results revealed no obvious deformation was
37 found before peak stress, and few cracks on the specimens' surface were observed after the
38 loading process. Then, the A-C materials with a 50% ratio of cement/asphalt were further
39 investigated by using FLAC 3d numerical simulations, in which the A-C materials were applied
40 to a field shield tunnel (Shantou Bay Undersea Tunnel) with an outer diameter of 14.0 m
41 located in a hard-soft stratum. In the numerical simulation, the EI Centro earthquake wave
42 (3.12 Hz), the Wenchuan earthquake wave (1.02 Hz), and the Artificial earthquake wave (1.47
43 Hz) were used to investigate the anti-seismic capacity of the A-C-based isolation layer in the
44 shield tunnel. The horizontal displacement and principal stress of the segmental linings were
45 studied to evaluate the effectiveness of the seismic isolation layer. The simulation results show
46 that the A-C based seismic isolation layer could significantly reduce the maximum principal
47 stresses of the tunnel near the hard-soft stratum junction. And the reasonable fortified length
48 of the A-C seismic isolation layer in this study was 3.5 times the tunnel diameter from the
49 stratum junction to both sides. The discussers highly appreciate the work of the authors and
50 would like to provide some comments regarding the experimental process, results, and analysis.
51 Synchronous backfill grouting is of great importance to the safety of shield tunnelling. Many
52 construction accidents of shield tunnelling are due to improper grouting, especially in water-

53 rich ground strata, resulting in water inrush, mud bursting, leakage, corrosion, segments
54 faulting, and even a disastrous tunnel collapse (Liang et al. 2022; Ye et al. 2020, 2021; Ying et
55 al. 2022). In this study, the authors used a cationic fast-cleft and slow-coagulate emulsified
56 asphalt to make A-C samples. The discussers recommended the authors explain why you chose
57 cationic emulsified asphalt to make seismic isolation layer. Based on the previous literature,
58 the asphalt emulsion type has a significant effect on the properties of cement-emulsified asphalt
59 mortar (CEAM) (Jiang et al. 2021). The cationic emulsified asphalt-based CEAM would have
60 a low elastic modulus and strengths. And the anionic emulsified asphalt-based CEAM exhibits
61 a relatively high elastic modulus and better mechanical performance (Wang et al. 2015).
62 Therefore, specifying the rationale for choosing emulsified asphalt type could provide a
63 valuable reference for other similar studies.

64 The auxiliary additives such as water reducer, defoamer, and viscosity modifying agent play
65 an important role in controlling the properties of CEMA. In this study, the 8013HPWR early-
66 strength & high-performance water reducer was used to make testing specimens. It is
67 worthwhile that the authors could explain how to choose the auxiliary additives like
68 superplasticizers in this study to guarantee the stable and proper performance of the CEMA-
69 based isolation layer in the shield tunnelling.

70 The mechanical properties of A-C based grouting materials depend on the hydration of cement
71 and the demulsification of asphalt emulsion. The above interactive and counterbalancing
72 process results in the characteristics of the final phased CEAM. Therefore, the preparation
73 technology is imperative to the performance of the CEAM-based seismic isolation layer. The
74 agglomeration of emulsified asphalt, cement particles, and other raw materials should be
75 avoided. In addition, the sequences in which the different materials are added are also critical.
76 The feeding (preparation) order should be taken care of to minimize the direct contact of

77 emulsified asphalt and dry cement particles to avoid emulsified asphalt demulsifying in
78 advance, and cement and sand are wrapped in the asphalt membrane. Therefore, could the
79 authors introduce the experience of how to ensure the properties of A-C materials during
80 specimen preparation?

81 The size effect of specimens on the properties of CEAM-based materials also needs to be
82 focused on (Jiang et al. 2023). The nominal strength of laboratory-size specimens differs from
83 that of larger structural members used in real construction structures (Kim and Yi 2002). The
84 difference in the nominal strength is a direct consequence of energy release into a finite-size
85 fracture process zone. The size effect is quite apparent in the compressive failure of quasi-
86 brittle materials such as concrete. Therefore, it is worth studying the size effect in cementitious
87 materials. In this study, 70.7 mm×70.7 mm×70.7 mm cubic A-C samples were used to conduct
88 properties tests. Will the properties of CEAM in the backfill grouting of actual shield tunnelling
89 construction match the laboratory test results? Do we need to introduce surplus coefficients to
90 ensure the quality of CEAM during the practical application? The discussers believe the authors
91 could provide a reasonable explanation for this question.

92 The grouting mechanism, especially the diffusion theory of penetration grouting in shield
93 tunnelling is of great importance to the safety and stability of shield tunnelling (Li et al. 2022a;
94 b; Liu et al. 2021). When the grouts are injected into the ground, it triggers soil expansion and
95 excess pore water pressure which significantly disturb the surrounding area of the shield tunnel.
96 In addition, the interface between the soil and grouts changes with the ongoing diffusion of
97 pressurized grouts, influencing the stability of the shield tail voids and further negatively
98 affecting the safety of shield tunnelling. Therefore, reliable grouts diffusion mechanisms shall
99 be developed to address the aforementioned issues. In this study, the diffusion properties of the
100 A-C based materials were not considered to simplify the simulation analysis. However,

101 improper control of grouts diffusion behind the segmental linings may result in safety concerns,
102 and inadequate grouting could negatively affect the grouting quality, weakening the anti-
103 seismic ability of the A-C based seismic isolation layer in the shield tunnelling. Therefore, the
104 diffusion theory of penetration grouting in shield tunnelling is recommended to be considered
105 in the future study, contributing to the accuracy of numerical simulations. The discussers would
106 like to ask for opinions on this aspect.

107 The practical application value of the A-C based backfill grouts should be the priority
108 consideration in this study. The matching criteria between the properties of grouts and the
109 corresponding geological conditions should be established. In this study, the hard-soft stratum
110 was used as the geological condition to numerically investigate the anti-seismic function of the
111 A-C materials during shield tunnelling. However, the authors did miss the critical geological
112 information, such as the seismic conditions of the project site (Shantou Bay area). And what is
113 the relationship between earthquake frequency and geology? The clarification could help add
114 practical value to this study. In addition, is the A-C material suitable for real shield tunnelling
115 construction? Will the A-C based grouts pollute the grouting pipes and surrounding formations?
116 The pumpability of the grouts also determines the grouting quality, influencing the quality of
117 the seismic isolation layer. The discussers believe the authors could provide a reasonable
118 explanation for these questions.

119 Shield tunnelling has been the dominant tunnel construction method for many countries such
120 as Japan, the United States, and China, especially in soft soil areas, due to its advantages of a
121 fast construction schedule, low disturbance to the surrounding environment, and a high-level
122 automation (Huang et al. 2022a; b; Zhang et al. 2022). The authors' research is of great value
123 to the technical advances and promotion of shield tunnelling technology. The discussers believe

124 the authors would complete more comprehensive and valuable studies after reviewing and
125 answering the above discussions and questions.

126

127

128 **References:**

- 129 Huang, Z., S. Argyroudis, ; Dongmei Zhang, K. Pitilakis, H. Huang, A. M. Asce, and D.
130 Zhang. 2022a. “Time-Dependent Fragility Functions for Circular Tunnels in Soft
131 Soils.” *ASCE ASME J Risk Uncertain Eng Syst A Civ Eng*, 8 (3). American Society
132 of Civil Engineers (ASCE). <https://doi.org/10.1061/AJRUA6.0001251>.
- 133 Huang, Z., D. Zhang, K. Pitilakis, G. Tsinidis, H. Huang, D. Zhang, and S. Argyroudis.
134 2022b. “Resilience assessment of tunnels: Framework and application for tunnels
135 in alluvial deposits exposed to seismic hazard.” *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake
136 Engineering*, 162. Elsevier Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILDYN.2022.107456>.
- 137 Jiang, S., J. Li, Z. Zhang, H. Wu, and G. Liu. 2021. “Factors influencing the
138 performance of cement emulsified asphalt mortar – A review.” *Constr Build Mater*,
139 279: 122479. Elsevier Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.122479>.
- 140 Jiang, X., Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang, J. Ma, R. Xiao, F. Guo, Y. Bai, and B. Huang. 2023.
141 “Influence of size effect on the properties of slag and waste glass-based
142 geopolymer paste.” *J Clean Prod*, 383: 135428. Elsevier Ltd.
143 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.135428>.
- 144 Kim, J. K., and S. T. Yi. 2002. “Application of size effect to compressive strength of
145 concrete members.” *Sadhana - Academy Proceedings in Engineering Sciences*, 27
146 (PART 4): 467–484. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02706995>.
- 147 Li, P., J. Liu, L. Shi, X. Li, H. Zhu, D. Huang, and X. Kou. 2022a. “Two-phase
148 analytical model of seepage during grout consolidation around shield tunnel
149 considering the temporal variation in viscosity and the infiltration effect.”
150 *European Journal of Environmental and Civil Engineering*, 26 (10): 4392–4415.
151 Taylor and Francis Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19648189.2020.1852603>.
- 152 Li, P., J. Liu, L. Shi, Y. Zhai, D. Huang, and J. Fan. 2022b. “A semi-elliptical surface
153 compound diffusion model for synchronous grouting filling stage in specially
154 shaped shield tunnelling.” *Int J Numer Anal Methods Geomech*, 46 (2): 272–296.
155 John Wiley and Sons Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/NAG.3299>.
- 156 Liang, X., K. Ying, F. Ye, E. Su, T. Xia, and X. Han. 2022. “Selection of backfill
157 grouting materials and ratios for shield tunnel considering stratum suitability.”
158 *Constr Build Mater*, 314: 125431.
159 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.125431>.
- 160 Liu, J., P. Li, L. Shi, J. Fan, X. Kou, and D. Huang. 2021. “Spatial distribution model of
161 the filling and diffusion pressure of synchronous grouting in a quasi-rectangular
162 shield and its experimental verification.”
163 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.undsp.2021.02.002>.
- 164 Wang, Z., X. Shu, T. Rutherford, B. Huang, and D. Clarke. 2015. “Effects of asphalt
165 emulsion on properties of fresh cement emulsified asphalt mortar.” *Constr Build
166 Mater*, 75: 25–30. Elsevier Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.11.013>.

- 167 Ye, F., X. Liang, X. Liang, W. Zhang, C. Liu, and H. Feng. 2021. “Grouting technology
168 and construction schemes of a tunnel in aeolian stratum: a case study of Shenmu
169 No. 1 tunnel.” *Sci Rep*, 11 (1): 23552. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-03021-4>.
- 170 Ye, F., N. Qin, X. Han, X. Liang, X. Gao, and K. Ying. 2020. “Displacement infiltration
171 diffusion model of power-law grout as backfill grouting of a shield tunnel.”
172 *European Journal of Environmental and Civil Engineering*. Taylor and Francis Ltd.
173 <https://doi.org/10.1080/19648189.2020.1735524>.
- 174 Ying, K., F. Ye, Y. Li, X. Liang, E. Su, and X. Han. 2022. “Backfill grouting diffusion
175 law of shield tunnel considering porous media with nonuniform porosity.”
176 *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*, 127: 104607.
177 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2022.104607>.
- 178 Zhang, J.-Z., D.-M. Zhang, H.-W. Huang, K. K. Phoon, C. Tang, and G. Li. 2022.
179 “Hybrid machine learning model with random field and limited CPT data to
180 quantify horizontal scale of fluctuation of soil spatial variability.” *Acta Geotech*, 17
181 (4): 1129–1145. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11440-021-01360-0>.
- 182