#### **Corrosion Resistant Nanostructured Eutectic High Entropy Alloy**

S. Shuang<sup>1</sup>, Z.Y. Ding<sup>1</sup>, D. Chung<sup>1</sup>, S.Q. Shi<sup>2</sup>, Y. Yang<sup>1,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon Tong, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

<sup>2.</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

<sup>3.</sup> Department of Materials Science and Engineering, College of Engineering, City

University of Hong Kong, Kowloon Tong, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

\* corresponding author. YY (<u>yonyang@cityu.edu.hk</u>)

### Abstract

In this work, we developed a nanostructured eutectic high entropy alloy (EHEA) of composition FeCrNiCoNb<sub>0.5</sub> (atomic %). Due to the formation of a compact amorphous high entropy passive film and the two-phase nanostructure, our EHEA exhibits unique combination of a low corrosion current density, a large passivation region and a superior repassivation ability in 1 M NaCl, outperforming the variety of conventional alloys and other high entropy alloys hitherto reported. The outcome of our research suggests that the notion of EHEAs could be explored to design corrosion resistant chemically complex alloys.

Keywords: A. High entropy alloy; C. Passivity; C. Repassivation; C. Pitting corrosion

## **1. Introduction**

Corrosion has been a critical issue in the development of new structural materials, particularly metallic materials, because it poses a threat to the proper use of those materials by accelerating their failure during service time. As a consequence, if not mitigated, corrosion could cause significant economic loss, environmental damage and even human injury or death [1,2]. Therefore, understanding the corrosion behavior and the development of corrosion-resistant materials are of great importance. As of today, a great number of corrosion resistant alloys have been developed [3–11], such as stainless steel [3–5], aluminum alloys [6–9] and titanium alloys [10,11]. Among these alloys, 304 stainless steel (SS) has been widely used in many applications due to its excellent corrosion resistance [12–14], high strength and ductility [15,16]. These conventional alloy systems were usually designed based on the notion of minor alloying for enhanced corrosion resistance, i.e., the addition of minor elements, such as Cr, Ni, Al, to one or two base elements [17–19]. These minor elements play a vital role in improving the corrosion resistance of the alloys by forming compact, protective oxide films. This paradigm of alloy design has been adopted for centuries.

In contrast, the idea of high entropy alloys (HEAs) [20–22] or multi-principal element alloys [23–25], which was proposed by Yeh et al. in 2004 [26], offers a new route to design chemically complex alloys. Compared to conventional alloys, HEAs contain five or more principal elements in approximately equal atomic ratios [27], which have attracted tremendous research interest because of their excellent physical properties, such as high hardness and strength [28,29], good thermal stability [30] and good resistance to wear [31], corrosion [32–34] and high temperature oxidation [35,36]. As a result, HEAs are usually considered to be an engineering material with a great potential in various industrial applications, such as aero-engine [37], superconductor [38], and nuclear reactor [39].

The corrosion resistance of some HEAs, particularly the FeCrCoNi alloy system, has recently been explored [20,32,40–50]. For example, Hsu et al. [43] reported that the FeCrCoNi HEA, which was of a single-phased face centered cubic (FCC) structure, exhibited a better pitting corrosion resistance than the 304L stainless steel because of its high Cr content although the strength of the single phase FCC FeCrCoNi was rather low (~130 MPa) [51,52]. To improve the mechanical properties of the FeCrCoNi HEA, some minor elements were added [53–59]. To name a few, Al works as a body centered cubic (BCC) stabilizer in the Al-Co-Cr-Fe-Ni alloy system, which can increase the overall strength of the alloy because of BCC precipitation [55].

However, the corrosion resistance of the FeCrCoNi HEA could be impaired significantly after alloying. Luo et al. reported that addition of Mn reduced the Cr content in the passive film on the Fe-Cr-Ni-Co-Mn HEA, which led to poor anti-corrosion performance [50]. Besides, excessive addition of Mo resulted in the precipitation of (Cr, Mo)-rich  $\sigma$ -phase, resulting in preferential dissolution of (Cr, Mo)-depleted phase in the Fe-Cr-Ni-Co-Mo HEA [60]. A similar behaviour was observed in the Al-Fe-Ni-Cr-Co-Ti-V HEA [61], i.e. when the V content was increased to a certain level, minor  $\sigma$  phases was formed, causing the alloy's corrosion resistance to deteriorate.

Due to the positive enthalpy of mixing, segregation of Cu would occur in the Fe-Cr-Ni-Co-Cu HEA [43], thereby leading to a micro-galvanic driven dissolution and accelerated localized corrosion because Cu-rich regions tend to be electrochemically less noble than Cu-depleted regions. Also, the formation of (Al, Ni)-rich and Cr-depleted BCC phase in the Fe-Cr-Ni-Co-Al HEA is susceptible to the attack of corrosive ions [44,62], hence causing severe pitting corrosion. In general, it seems that the multi-phased HEAs are less corrosion resistant than single-phased HEAs. A similar behavior was also observed in conventional alloys [63–65].

In this work, we would like to study the corrosion resistance of a nanostructured eutectic high entropy alloy (EHEA) we recently developed, which has a chemical composition of FeCrNiCoNb<sub>0.5</sub> (in atomic %) and comprises a lamellar nanostructure with FCC and Laves phase. Despite the high volume fraction (~ 50%) of the Laves phase, which is notoriously known for its low toughness (~1 MPa·m<sup>0.5</sup>) [66,67], this nanostructured EHEA however displays an excellent combination of strength (~ 2.3 GPa) and fracture toughness (~15 MPa·m<sup>0.5</sup>) at room temperature [68]. Therefore, this nanostructured EHEA has a good potential to be used as the next generation of structural materials, particularly for high temperature applications [21,69–71]. More interestingly, we would like to demonstrate that, in sharp contrast to the Fe-Cr-Ni-Co-Cu and Fe-Cr-Ni-Co-Al systems, our Fe-Cr-Ni-Co-Nb alloy exhibits a remarkable corrosion resistance and superior self-repair ability under cyclic potentiodynamic polarization.

## 2. Experimental

#### 2.1 Sample preparation

The FeCrNiCoNb<sub>0.5</sub> EHEA samples were prepared by arc melting using Fe, Cr, Ni, Co, Nb metals of high purity (99.95%) as raw materials. To ensure the chemical homogeneity, the alloy ingots were fully re-melted at least five times in a Ti-gettered argon atmosphere. The melt was subsequently cast into a water-cooled Cu mold ( $50 \times 10 \times 5$  mm<sup>3</sup>). To study the microstructure effect, some as-cast samples were annealed at 1473 K ( $1200 \, ^{\circ}$ C) under the static argon atmosphere for six hours in a tube furnace followed by water quenching. Besides, commercial 304L stainless steel (SS) was employed as the reference material. All samples for electrochemical tests were cut into  $10 \times 10 \times 3 \, \text{mm}^3$  bulks. Their surfaces were ground sequentially with SiC papers of up to 2500 grit and finally polished with 0.5 µm diamond powder. After that, these samples were cleaned with

deionized water and alcohol, and dried in cold air. Prior to electrochemical measurements, the samples were sealed in epoxy resin with only one exposed face of an area of 1 cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### 2.2 Microstructural analysis

Before the variety of corrosion experiments, the microstructure and chemical composition of the samples were analyzed by a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Quanta FEG450) equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDX) and also by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL JEM-2100F). In addition, X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku SmartLab) using Cu Kα radiation was performed for structural characterization.

#### 2.3 Electrochemical corrosion measurements

Potentiodynamic polarization tests, cyclic polarization tests, and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were performed in a typical three-electrode cell, which consisted of the test sample as the working electrode, a saturated calomel reference electrode (SCE), and a carbon rod counter electrode. All potentials stated in this paper were measured against the SCE. The measurements were carried out in 1 M NaCl solution at 25 °C under atmospheric pressure, using the Vertex<sup>TM</sup> electrochemical workstation (Iviumstat Technology). The open circuit potential (OCP) was recorded for 1 hour to attain a steady potential prior to these tests. For potentiodynamic polarization measurements, each sample was scanned at a rate of 1mV/s from an initial potential of -0.3 V (vs. OCP) to a final potential of 1.3 V (vs. OCP). Cyclic potentiodynamic polarization (CPP) tests started at -0.2 V (vs. OCP) at the scanning rate of 1 mV/s towards a more positive potential until the current density reached a maximum value of 5 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. After that, reverse scan was started and terminated at the potential where the polarization began. EIS was performed at the corresponding OCP with the applied alternating current (AC) amplitude of 10 mV in the frequency

range of 100 kHz to 10 mHz. All the electrochemical tests were repeated at least 3 times to confirm the data reproducibility.

### 2.4 Characterization of the passivation film

To understand the mechanism of passivation, a potential of 0.6 V (vs. SCE) was first applied on our sample for 3 h to obtain a stable passive film. A cross-section thin foil containing the passive film was then prepared with the focused ion beam (FIB) technique (FEI Scios DualBeam). To protect the passive film, a Pt layer was deposited before FIB milling. The TEM image of the passive film was obtained with the transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEOL JEM-2100F) equipped with EDX. In addition, the Mott-Schottky (M-S) analysis was performed within the potential range from -0.6 VSCE to 0.2 VSCE in order to probe the semi-conductive property of the passive film. Before the capacitance measurements, the electrodes were initially pre-passivated at OCP for 3 h. The scanning rate was 10 mV/s at the AC voltage of 5 mV and the frequency of 1000 Hz.

#### 2.5 Surface morphologies

To understand the mechanism of corrosion, the sample surface morphologies at several selected stages of the cyclic polarization test, were examined using SEM and atomic force microscope (AFM). To achieve high spatial resolution, a special AFM tip coated with a 1-nm sharp carbon nanotube was employed in this study [72]. The chemical composition of the corrosion products was also analyzed using EDX.

### **3. Results**

#### 3.1 Microstructural Characterization

Figure. 1 (a) shows the XRD pattern of the as-cast FeCrNiCoNb<sub>0.5</sub> EHEA, which reveals that our EHEA is composed of a C14 Laves phase and a FCC phase. To characterize its microstructure,

the surface of one specimen was chemically etched and examined under SEM. As seen in Fig. 1 (b), a typical lamellar eutectic structure could be observed. Notably, because of the difference of the etching speed, the Lave phase was revealed as the bright regions (slow etching speed) while the FCC phase as dark regions (fast etching speed). According to these observations, the volume fraction of the Laves phase was estimated to be 51%, which is slightly higher than that of the FCC phase. TEM examinations were also performed [Fig. 1 (c)], which confirmed the crystalline structure of both phases through the selected area diffraction patterns (SAD), being consistent with the XRD result. As shown in Fig. 1 (c), the width of the lamellae varies between 80 nm and 150 nm regardless of the phase difference. The chemical compositions of the two phases were studied by EDS, according to which the FCC phase is Nb-lean while the Laves phase is Nb-rich, as listed in Table 1. Moreover, since the phase boundary is susceptible to a corrosion attack [73–75], we examined the interface between the FCC and Lave phase. As shown by the high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) image [Fig. 1 (d)], discrete atomic steps can be observed along the interface, which is the typical morphological feature of non-faceted eutectic growth. This behavior suggests a low entropy of fusion or a high entropy of solid solution during solidification of our EHEA [76].

#### 3.2 Corrosion and passivation

In order to study the anti-corrosion behavior, potentiodynamic polarization curves of our EHEA were measured in 1M NaCl solution, which were compared afterwards to those obtained from 304 SS [Fig. 2 (a)]. Evidently, both alloys are able to passivate; however, the passivation region of our EHEA is much wider than that of the 304 SS in terms of the difference between the passivation breakdown potential ( $E_b$ ) and the corrosion potential ( $E_{corr}$ ). Here, it is worth mentioning that our EHEA and the 304 SS seemingly enter the stable passivation region directly

from the linear regime of E versus log(i) (or the so-called Tafel region [77]) in absence of an active-passive transition, in which E stands for the potential and i for the current density. This behavior suggests that the growth of the passivation film on both alloys is spontaneous at their corresponding corrosion potential [78,79], as seen in Fig. 2 (a).

Table 2 listed the various electrochemical parameters extracted from the polarization curves obtained from our EHEA and 304 SS, including not only  $E_b$ ,  $E_{corr}$  and the passivation region ( $E_b$ - $E_{corr}$ ) but also the corrosion current density ( $I_{corr}$ ). Apparently, the  $E_{corr}$  (-238 mV<sub>SCE</sub>) and  $I_{corr}$  (24.29 nA/cm<sup>2</sup>) of our EHEA are comparable to those of the 304 SS ( $E_{corr} = -196 \text{ mV}_{SCE}$  and  $I_{corr} = 30.45 \text{ nA/cm}^2$ ). Since the corrosion current density is directly proportional to the corrosion rate [80,81], the similar corrosion current density indicates a similar corrosion rate between our EHEA and 304 SS when corrosion takes place at  $E_{corr}$ . More importantly, our EHEA exhibits a significantly higher  $E_b$  (976 mV<sub>SCE</sub>), which is about three times of the 304 SS with  $E_b = 346 \text{ mV}_{SCE}$ . Accordingly, the passivation region ( $E_b$ - $E_{corr}$ ) of our EHEA is much wider (1214 mV<sub>SCE</sub>), which is nearly three times of that of the 304 SS (433 mV<sub>SCE</sub>). Since the passivation region is usually associated with the stability of the passive film on a metal surface [82,83], the wide passivation region suggests a rather stable passive film on the surface of our EHEA.

For a comprehensive comparison, we extracted the corrosion parameters from the potentiodynamic polarization curves reported for a variety of HEAs [32,47,81,84-86] and conventional alloys [87-97] (Table 3). Note these corrosion parameters were all obtained in 1 M NaCl at room temperature and all the potentials listed in Tables 3 were converted to the potentials versus standard calomel electrode (SCE). Here, it should be pointed out that, among the alloys selected for comparison, only Co<sub>1.5</sub>CrFeNi<sub>1.5</sub>TiMo<sub>x</sub> HEAs [32] exhibited an active-passive transition. Therefore, the passive region of the Co<sub>1.5</sub>CrFeNi<sub>1.5</sub>TiMo<sub>x</sub> HEAs [32] was set as the

difference between the breakdown potential  $(E_b)$  and primary passivation potential  $(E_{pp})$ . In contrast, the passive region of the others was set as the difference between the breakdown potential  $(E_b)$  and the corrosion potential  $(E_{corr})$ .

Fig. 2 (b) compares the anti-corrosion properties of the variety of alloys in terms of  $-\log(I_{corr})$  and the magnitude of the passive region. Evidently, our EHEA displays a very low corrosion current density and a wide passive region. Generally speaking, its performance is comparable to the best forming stainless steels but better than most conventional alloys, such as Al-, Ti- and Ni-based alloys [89,92–97], in terms of its unique combination of the corrosion current density and passive region. Notably, compared to the HEAs reported in the prior works [32,47,81,84–86], our EHEA exhibits the lowest corrosion current density, suggestive of a remarkably low corrosion rate.

To further characterize the corrosion properties, we performed EIS measurements for our EHEA and the 304 SS. As shown in Fig. 2 (c), both alloys exhibit a similar semi-circular type of Nyquist plot; however, the diameter of the semicircle for the EHEA is larger than that of the 304 SS, suggestive of a higher corrosion resistance of the EHEA [98]. As seen in the corresponding Bode plot, the IZI value of our EHEA is also higher than that of the 304 SS [Fig. 2 (d)], which further confirms the better corrosion resistance of EHEA. Notably, our EIS data can be fit well to the circuit model with one single time constant [the inset of Fig. 2 (c)], which consists of an electrolyte resistance ( $R_s$ ), a polarization resistance ( $R_p$ ), and a constant phase element (CPE) for a double layer capacitance. The admittance (Y) of CPE is expressed as  $Y = Y_0(j\omega)^n$ , where j is the imaginary number;  $\omega$  is the angular frequency;  $Y_0$  and n are the magnitude and exponential term of the CPE, which are frequency-independent parameters [99]. According to the literature [100–102], the CPE corresponds to an ideal capacitor for n=0 while to an ideal resistor for n=1. For the intermediate case of 0 < n < 1, the value of the CPE can be correlated with the surface roughness.

The general trend is that the lower is the n value the rougher is the corresponding electrode surface [103].

Table 4 lists the fitted electrochemical parameters. The fitting quality is evaluated by the chisquared ( $\chi^2$ ) values, which are of the order of 10<sup>-3</sup>, and the error percentage corresponding to each component of the equivalent circuit (values given in Table 4) indicates a good mathematical fitting [104–106]. Interestingly, the *n* values of both 304 SS and EHEA are similar and close to unity. This indicates that both alloys behaved in the EIS tests in a manner akin to a capacitance with a rather smooth surface [81]. Furthermore, the fitted value of the electrolyte resistance ( $R_s$ ) for both alloys is significantly smaller than that of the corresponding polarization resistance ( $R_p$ ). This makes sense, since  $R_p$ , as strongly depends on the passive film, can be regarded as an indicator of the corrosion resistance of a material [50]. Both 304 SS and our EHEA possess good corrosion resistance. Since a larger  $R_p$  generally corresponds to a better corrosion resistance [107–109], our EHEA appears more corrosion resistant than 304 SS because of its higher  $R_p$  value. Again, this is in good agreement with the results obtained from potentiodynamic polarization.

#### 3.3 Repassivation

Aside from regular potentiodynamic polarization, cyclic potentiodynamic polarization (CPP) was also performed to study the self-repairability of the passive film on our EHEA in the 1 M NaCl solution. As seen in Fig. 2 (e), positive hysteresis occurs in our EHEA when the potential is higher than 767 mV<sub>SCE</sub> in the reverse scan, which is way above the corrosion potential ( $E_{corr} = -232 \text{ mV}_{SCE}$ ) detected in the forward scan and hence indicative of repassivation [110]. Apparently, the 304 SS does not show a prominent repassivation behavior in CPP. Table 5 details the potential parameters extracted from the CPP curves of the EHEA and 304 SS. Here, the corrosion potential ( $E'_{corr}$ ) and breakdown potential ( $E'_{b}$ ) determined from the CPP curves are similar to those from

the previous PD curves. Following the literature [111–113], the repassivation (or protection) potential ( $E_{rp}$ ) is defined as the intersection of the forward and reverse scan while the pit transition potential ( $E_{ptp}$ ) as the potential at which the anodic current density transitions to the cathodic current density. Compared to the 304 SS, our EHEA shows a considerably nobler  $E_{rp}$  and smaller  $E'_{b}-E_{rp}$ , indicative of less pitting propagation and excellent localized corrosion resistance [112–114]. Notably, the values of  $E_{rp}$  and  $E_{ptp}$  of the EHEA are much higher than the  $E_{corr}$ . This behavior suggests a remarkable self-repairability of the passive film formed on our EHEA [114,115].

#### 3.4 Corrosion morphologies

Next, we examined the surface morphologies of the EHEA, as well as that of the 304 SS for a reference, at three selected time points on their respective CPP curves [a-f in Fig. 2 (e)]. This was done in an ex-situ manner, and the tests were deliverably stopped at the selected time points such that the sample surfaces could be examined with SEM and EDS [Figs. 3 (a)-(h)]. As seen in Figs. 3 (a) and (d), pitting could be observed on the surface of both the EHEA and 304 SS at the onset of trans-passivation. However, the average pit size on the EHEA surface is ~1.5 µm and about 16 times smaller than the average size of the pits (~25  $\mu$ m) on the 304 SS surface. Interestingly, as the potential continued to increase, it seems that the FCC phase was selectively dissolved while the pits did not grow further on the EHEA surface [Figs. 3 (b)-(c)], implying that they could be metastable in nature [116,117]. In contrast, the pit size increased with the potential on the 304 SS surface and grew to ~100  $\mu$ m at E= -197 V<sub>SCE</sub> [Fig. 3 (f)]. This behavior is consistent with our CCP results since large pits are difficult to be repassivated according to Refs [118,119]. In other words, the small pits on the EHEA surface is in accord with the noble repassivation potential and a good repassivation ability. Figs. 3 (g) and (h) show the corrosion products detected via EDS at the pit site on the EHEA [Fig. 3 (b)] and on the 304 SS [Fig. 3 (e)], respectively.

Evidently, the corrosion product in the pit of the EHEA is mainly metal oxide while that at the pit of the 304 SS contains not only metal oxide but also chloride. A similar phenomenon of Cl<sup>-</sup> ion accumulation was reported in Ref. [105]. In general, the presence of Cl<sup>-</sup> is commonly believed to catalyze and accelerate pitting corrosion [120–122].

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1 Passive film

To understand the mechanism underlying the excellent corrosion resistance, we first examined the surface of our EHEA. Fig. 4 (a) shows the cross-sectional TEM image of the EHEA sample, which was subject to a potential at 0.6 V<sub>SCE</sub> in 1 M NaCl solution for 3 h. Evidently, one can observe three layers including a deposited Pt, a passive film, and the EHEA. As shown in the HRTEM image [Fig. 4 (b)], the thickness of the passive film is about 5-6 nm, which is comparable to that of the passive film (~3 nm) formed on the Fe-Cr alloy under the potential of ~0.4 V<sub>SCE</sub> [123,124]. Notably, this passive film is of an amorphous structure, as seen in the fast Fourier transform (FFT) image [the inset of Fig. 4 (b)]. Fig. 4 (c) shows the energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS) line profiles obtained along the path as indicated by the yellow arrow in the cross-sectional TEM image. Based on the EDS results, it is clear that region 1 corresponds to our EHEA while region 2 to the surface passive oxide film, which consists of Cr, Ni, Co, Nb, Fe and O. To be consistent with the literature results [123,125], the boundary between region 2 and 3 is determined based on the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the oxygen signal profile.

According to the EDS profile of O, we can determine that the thickness of the chemically complex or high entropy oxide film is ~6 nm, being consistent with the rough estimate of the film thickness made based on Fig. 4 (b). Interestingly, one can observe a 16-nm thick oxygen rich but metal depleted layer (region 3) next to the oxide film. According to Refs. [126–129], such an

oxygen rich layer is commonly observed on top of oxide films during passivation of metals, which could be attributed to the surface absorption of oxyhydroxide and/or water molecules in the outermost layers of the passive film [130–133]. Given the detected Fe and Cr elements and corrosive solution (neutral chloride solution), the oxyhydroxide could be a mixture of Cr(OH)<sub>3</sub> and FeOOH [134]. In addition, it is worth mentioning that the presence of water molecules could weaken the metal signals in Region 3 because water molecules could remain adsorbed or bounded onto the alloy surface even under a ultrahigh vacuum, as discussed in [135,136]. Finally, region 4 corresponds to the Pt layer we deposited onto the EHEA sample for surface protection.

Apart from the chemical analyses, we also examined the topography of the EHEA surface with AFM, which was done in an ex-situ manner after the film was formed at the potential of 0.6  $V_{SCE}$  in 1 M NaCl solution. As seen in Figs. 4 (e)-(f), nano-sized spikes with a height as large as 26 nm could be observed at numerous spots. In addition, surface cavities or valleys could be observed around these spikes. A similar phenomenon of nano-spike formation was reported in Refs [126–128], which was then attributed to oxyhydroxide aggregates. It is noteworthy that the average spike height is ~18 nm, which is in agreement with the thickness of region 3 estimated from Fig. 4 (b), thereby corroborating the idea that region 3 could result from surface oxyhydroxide aggregates.

Fig. 5(a) shows the cross-sectional TEM image of the EHEA sample, from which one can clearly see the passive films on the Laves and FCC phase. According to the results of elemental mapping [Figs. 5 (b)-(f)], there is no significant difference in the concentration of Fe, Cr, Ni, Co in the passive films on the FCC and Laves phase. However, it appears that the passive film on the Laves phase contains more Nb than that on the FCC phase [Figs. 5 (g)]. This could be due to the high Nb content (=20.4 at.%) in the Laves phase as opposed to the low Nb content (=1.5 at. %) in

the FCC phase. Please note that, as it is difficult to detect oxygen (O) with EDS because of its light weight [123], we do not show the result of elemental mapping for O here.

According to our EDS result (**Table 1**), the FCC phase contains 28.1 at.% Cr while the Laves phase contains 17.0 at.% Cr. According to Refs. [137,138], such high concentrations of Cr could promote the formation of passive films on both phases. However, in sharp contrast to the low concentration (1.5 at.%) of Nb in the FCC phase, the Laves phase contains 20.4 at. % Nb. According to Refs. [139,140], Nb is conducive to forming highly protective oxide films, being corrosion resistant even at very high oxidizing potentials. Furthermore, according to Kim et al. [151], the corrosion rate of binary Cr-Nb alloys is about four orders of magnitude lower than that of pure chromium. This suggests that a balanced concentration of Nb and Cr, as is the case for the Laves phase, could result in higher corrosion resistance in the passive film [141,142].

In general, passivation or repassivation can be attributed to the formation of an oxide passive film [143]. From a thermodynamic viewpoint, the driving force of repassivation is the reduction in the Gibbs free energy associated with oxide formation. The repassivation potential of the EHEA is 1008 mV<sub>SHE</sub>, at which a stably growing pit or crevice corrosion ceases to grow [144]. Based on the Pourbaix diagrams for Fe, Cr, Ni, Co and Nb [145,146], we found that only the potential range for the passivation on Nb (from -500 mV<sub>SHE</sub> to 1000 mV<sub>SHE</sub> with pH 7) is in good agreement with the repassivation potential range of our EHEA. This finding suggests that the thermodynamic driving force for the repassivation on our EHEA is likely to be associated with the reduction in the Gibbs free energy associated with the formation of an Nb-containing oxide.

Furthermore, we carried out Mott-Schottky (M-S) experiments to study the semi-conductive behavior of the high entropy oxide film. Based on the well-established M-S relationship [147–149], the space charge capacitance ( $C_{sc}$ ) can be related to the applied potential *E* as follows:

$$\frac{1}{C_{sc}^2} = \frac{2}{\varepsilon \varepsilon_0 q N_q} \left( E - E_{fb} - \frac{kT}{e} \right) \tag{1}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the film's dielectric constant, normally assumed to be 15.6 [150,151];  $\varepsilon_0$  is the vacuum permittivity (8.85×10<sup>-12</sup> F m<sup>-1</sup>); q is the elementary charge (-e for "hole" and +e for "electron");  $N_q$  is the charge carrier density ( $N_A$  for "acceptors" and  $N_D$  for "donors");  $E_{fb}$  is the flat band potential; k is the Boltzmann constant (1.38×10<sup>-23</sup> J K<sup>-1</sup>); T is the absolute temperature and e is the electron charge (1.6×10<sup>-19</sup> C). Note that  $N_q$  can be extracted from the slope of the linear portion of the experimental curve of  $1/C_{SC}^2$  vs. E, while  $E_{fb}$  can be determined at  $1/C_{SC}^2$ =0.

Fig. 6 (a) shows the M-S plots obtained for the EHEA and 304 SS at the open circuit potential (OCP) in the 1 M NaCl solution for 3 h. The linear dependence of  $C_{sc}^{-2}$  on *E* is evident for the EHEA for -0.46 V<sub>SCE</sub> < *E* < -0.03 V<sub>SCE</sub>, and for the 304 SS for -0.33 V<sub>SCE</sub> < *E* < 0.17 V<sub>SCE</sub>. The positive slope for these two alloys indicates that both passivation films are of an n-type semiconductor; in other words, the number of electrons in the conduction band of the corresponding oxide is more than that of holes in the valence band. By comparison, the linear slope of the EHEA is larger than that of the 304 SS, therefore suggestive of a lower charge carrier density in the EHEA according to Eq. (1). Fig. 6 (b) compares  $N_D$  and  $E_{fb}$  obtained from the M-S plots between the EHEA and the 304 SS (= -0.425 V<sub>SCE</sub>) are more negative than the corresponding corrosion potential. Furthermore, the donor density of point defects in the high entropy oxide film on the 304 SS (9.01×10<sup>20</sup>cm<sup>-3</sup>) and two orders of magnitude smaller than the  $N_D$  (~10<sup>22</sup>cm<sup>-3</sup>) values reported for conventional passive films [152–154]. In general, oxygen vacancies facilitate electron

transfer during electrochemical reactions and, therefore, a high (low) donor density would accelerate (retard) the corrosion rate [149,155]. As such, the rather low donor density  $N_D$  indicates that the high entropy oxide film formed on the EHEA surface is less defective, more compact and protective, which explains the good corrosion resistance of the EHEA.

#### 4.2 Nanostructure effect

In addition to the compact passive film, the nanostructure also plays a critical role in the excellent corrosion resistance of the EHEA. According to T. Okada [156,157], pit nucleation is essentially an event of electrochemical instability and originates from the coupling of local anodic sites in the presence of perturbations in an electric field and the concentration of attacking ions, such as Cl<sup>-</sup>. In theory, the electrochemical instability takes place once the average spacing of the anodic sites (*l*) is larger than a critical wavelength ( $\lambda_c$ ) of the perturbations, which can be calculated via  $\lambda_c = 2\pi / k_c$ , where  $k_c$  is the critical wave number of the perturbations. Based on the theoretical model of Okada [156,157],  $k_c$  can be expressed as

$$k_{c} = \frac{E_{X}}{2(1+z)\zeta} \left\{ h(W) + \sqrt{(h(W)^{2} + 4(1+z)\zeta(az+m-\beta)W)} \right\}$$
(2)

in which:

$$\zeta = zC_M / C_X \tag{3a}$$

$$h(W) = (az + m - \beta)W - z\zeta$$
(3b)

$$W = \frac{I_a}{FD_M C_X E_X (1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha_f})}$$
(3c)

where  $E_x$  = the electric filed at the interface between the passive film and electrolyte, z = the charge of metal ion species, m = a constant related to the Cl<sup>-</sup> concentration at the interface,  $C_M$  = the metal ion concentration at the interface,  $C_x =$  the Cl<sup>-</sup> concentration at the interface,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  = the constants related to the energy profile in the metal dissolution reaction,  $I_a$  = the anodic current density, F = the Faraday constant,  $D_M$  = the diffusion coefficient of metal ions in the electrolyte,  $a_f$  = the transfer coefficient of donors in the passive film. According to the literature [156–158], we have  $E_x \sim 10^8$  V/m, m = 3, F = 96485 C/mol,  $D_M = 10^{-10}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s and  $a_f = 0.3$  for a general metal corrosion process. For our EHEA, we approximately take  $z\sim2$  and can extract  $I_a = 50$  A/m<sup>2</sup> based on the electrochemical tests. For simplicity,  $C_x$  is taken to be the concentration of Cl<sup>-</sup> in solution (~10<sup>3</sup> mol/m<sup>3</sup>). By integrating the anode current density with time, we can calculate the amount of electron loss during the oxidation of the EHEA, and therefore obtain the concentration of the dissolved metal ions  $C_M \sim 0.56$  mol/m<sup>3</sup> and  $\zeta \sim 10^{-3}$ . As a result, we estimate that the critical wavelength ( $\lambda_c$ ) should be ~6 µm for our EHEA. In other words, if the average spacing (*l*) between the anodic sites on the surface of our EHEA was smaller (greater) than ~6 µm, pitting would be suppressed (facilitated).

As seen in Figs. 6 (a) and (g), at the beginning of transpassivation, a large number of distinct nano-spikes or protrusions, 4 to 8 nm high [Fig. 6(d)], can be observed over the whole surface of our EHEA. However, these protrusions ruptured [Fig. 6(g)] and broke into shorter pieces [~8 nm in Fig. 6 (d)] as the transpassivation continued. In principle, the transpassive potential at the surface accelerates corrosion reactions, leading to the rupture of protrusions and passivity breakdown [159,160]. As shown in Figs. 6 (b) and (h), when the current density reaches 5 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, all spikes and protrusions disappear on the EHEA surface. Meanwhile, the FCC phase dissolved and became 25 to 40 nm deeper than their neighboring Laves phase, the latter of which remained almost at the same height throughout the tests. This selective dissolution delivers a strong message that the FCC phase in our EHEA worked as the anodic sites..

Since the average spacing (*l*) of the FCC phase is ~100 nm, which is 60 times smaller than the estimated critical perturbation wavelength ( $\lambda_c = 6 \mu m$ ), the pits on the EHEA surface cannot grow according to the model of Okada [156,157], which is in excellent agreement with our experimental observations. In other words, the pits observed on the EHEA can be all viewed as metastable in nature [Figs. 3 (a)-(c)]. Interestingly, when the scanning potential was reversed, nano-spikes appear again on the surface of the EHEA [Figs. 7 (c) and (i)], indicative of repassivation. During this process, the FCC phases dissolved very slowly, as manifested by the slight change in their depths [Figs. 7 (f)]. Given the fine structure of our EHEA, the selective corrosion behavior of the FCC phase conforms more to a general corrosion process.

To further substantiate the nanostructure effect, we annealed the as-cast EHEA at 1473 K for six hours. As a result, the lamellar nanostructure coarsened and turned into a microstructure [Fig. 8 (a)]. As seen in Fig. 8 (b), the annealed EHEA exhibits a large passivation region ( $E_b$ - $E_{corr}$  = 1.2 V) comparable with that of the as-cast one, which could be attributed to the formation of the compact passive high entropy oxide film. In the coarsened eutectic microstructure, the average spacing of the FCC phase is around 6~10 µm, which is slightly above the critical perturbation wavelength ( $\lambda_c$ = 6 µm). Consequently, stable pitting occurred in the annealed EHEA. This manifests as the considerably more negative  $E_{rp}$ , larger  $E_b$ - $E_{rp}$  and thus a generally poorer selfrepairing ability on the cyclic potentiodynamic polarization curves [Fig. 8 (b)]. The surface morphology of the annealed EHEA was also examined with SEM at the point of re-passivation [b in Fig. 8 (b)]. As seen in Fig. 8 (c), the FCC phases corroded significantly and formed a local pit with the size of ~15 µm. The pit size is about ten times of the small metastable pits (~1.5 µm) observed on the as-cast nanostructured EHEA, which indicates severe pit localization and, in turn, confirms the nanostructure effect on pitting nucleation. Compared to metastable pits of a smaller size, larger stable pits are less likely to be repassivated; therefore, it leads to a deteriorated repassivation ability [118].

## **5.** Conclusion

To conclude, we developed a novel nanostructured EHEA in this study, which exhibits excellent corrosion resistance and a superior self-repairing ability. Such high anti-corrosion performance is due to the combination of a compact surface high entropy oxide film with the nanostructure in the EHEA. To facilitate our understanding, the underlying corrosion mechanisms are depicted in Fig. 9. In principle, our EHEA is passivated due to the formation of a less defective or more compact amorphous oxide film on its surface, which leads to a rather low corrosion current density and large passivation region. Upon transpassivation, the FCC phase behaves as anodic sites and dissolves preferentially. Due to the small spacing (~100 nm) between these FCC phases, stable pitting is prohibited, leading to selective corrosion and a general corrosion like behavior. When the scanning potential is reversed, the passive oxide film re-forms on the nanostructured EHEA, showing a remarkable self-repairing ability. On the basis of our findings, we envision that, following the "eutectic nanostructure high entropy" design paradigm, one could design more corrosion-resistant EHEAs by further structural refining combined with the minor addition of proper elements, such as Al and Ti.

#### Acknowledgements

The research of YY is supported by City University of Hong Kong with the project number 9610391 and 9610366.

### Data availability

The raw/processed data required to reproduce these findings cannot be shared at this time as the data also forms part of an ongoing study.

## References

[1] G. a. Schmitt, M. Schütze, G.F. Hays, W. Burns, E.-H. Han, A. Pourbaix, G. Jacobson, Global Needs for Knowledge Dissemination, Research, and Development in Materials Deterioration and Corrosion Control, World Corros. Organ. (2009) 1–44. http://corrosion.org/wco\_media/whitepaper.pdf.

[2] C.M. Hansson, The impact of corrosion on society, Metall. Mater. Trans. A Phys. Metall.Mater. Sci. 42 (2011) 2952–2962. doi:10.1007/s11661-011-0703-2.

[3] R.K. Gupta, N. Birbilis, The influence of nanocrystalline structure and processing route on corrosion of stainless steel: A review, Corros. Sci. 92 (2015) 1–15. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2014.11.041.

[4] L. Zeng, X.P. Guo, G.A. Zhang, H.X. Chen, Semiconductivities of passive films formed on stainless steel bend under erosion-corrosion conditions, Corros. Sci. 144 (2018) 258–265. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2018.08.045.

[5] Z. Cui, L. Wang, H. Ni, W. Hao, C. Man, S. Chen, X. Wang, Z. Liu, X. Li, Influence of temperature on the electrochemical and passivation behavior of 2507 super duplex stainless steel in simulated desulfurized flue gas condensates, Corros. Sci. 118 (2017) 31–48. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2017.01.016.

[6] R.K. Gupta, D. Fabijanic, R. Zhang, N. Birbilis, Corrosion behaviour and hardness of in situ consolidated nanostructured Al and Al-Cr alloys produced via high-energy ball milling, Corros.
 Sci. 98 (2015) 643–650. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2015.06.011.

J.J. Pang, F.C. Liu, J. Liu, M.J. Tan, D.J. Blackwood, Friction stir processing of aluminium alloy AA7075: Microstructure, surface chemistry and corrosion resistance, Corros. Sci. 106 (2016) 217–228. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2016.02.006.

[8] Y. Ma, X. Zhou, Y. Liao, Y. Yi, H. Wu, Z. Wang, W. Huang, Localised corrosion in AA 2099-T83 aluminium-lithium alloy: The role of grain orientation, Corros. Sci. 107 (2016) 41–48. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2016.02.018.

[9] M.L. de Bonfils-Lahovary, L. Laffont, C. Blanc, Characterization of intergranular corrosion defects in a 2024 T351 aluminium alloy, Corros. Sci. 119 (2017) 60–67. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2017.02.020.

[10] N. Dai, L.C. Zhang, J. Zhang, X. Zhang, Q. Ni, Y. Chen, M. Wu, C. Yang, Distinction in corrosion resistance of selective laser melted Ti-6Al-4V alloy on different planes, Corros. Sci. 111 (2016) 703–710. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2016.06.009.

[11] N. Dai, L.C. Zhang, J. Zhang, Q. Chen, M. Wu, Corrosion Behaviour of Selective Laser Melted Ti-6Al-4V Alloy in NaCl Solution, Corros. Sci. 102 (2016) 484–489. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2015.10.041.

[12] Z.B. Zheng, Y.G. Zheng, Effects of surface treatments on the corrosion and erosioncorrosion of 304 stainless steel in 3.5% NaCl solution, Corros. Sci. 112 (2016) 657–668. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2016.09.005.

[13] N. Zhuang, D. Chen, Y. Zuo, X. Feng, X. Lu, The effect of deformation on metastable pitting of 304 stainless steel in chloride contaminated concrete pore solution, Corros. Sci. 103 (2015) 223–229. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2015.11.022.

[14] K. Wu, W.S. Jung, J.W. Byeon, In-situ monitoring of pitting corrosion on vertically positioned 304 stainless steel by analyzing acoustic-emission energy parameter, Corros. Sci. 105 (2016) 8–16. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2015.12.010.

[15] L. Zhu, H. Ruan, A. Chen, X. Guo, J. Lu, Microstructures-based constitutive analysis for mechanical properties of gradient-nanostructured 304 stainless steels, Acta Mater. 128 (2017)

375-390. doi:10.1016/j.actamat.2017.02.035.

[16] X.L. Wu, M.X. Yang, F.P. Yuan, L. Chen, Y.T. Zhu, Combining gradient structure and TRIP effect to produce austenite stainless steel with high strength and ductility, Acta Mater. 112
(2016) 337–346. doi:10.1016/j.actamat.2016.04.045.

[17] L. Vitos, P.A. Korzhavyi, B. Johansson, Stainless steel optimization from quantum mechanical calculations, Nat. Mater. 2 (2003) 25–28. doi:10.1038/nmat790.

[18] L.M. Zhang, S.D. Zhang, A.L. Ma, H.X. Hu, Y.G. Zheng, B.J. Yang, J.Q. Wang, Thermally induced structure evolution on the corrosion behavior of Al-Ni-Y amorphous alloys, Corros. Sci. (2018). doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2018.08.046.

[19] F. Yang, H. Kang, E. Guo, R. Li, Z. Chen, Y. Zeng, T. Wang, The role of nickel in mechanical performance and corrosion behaviour of nickel-aluminium bronze in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution, Corros. Sci. 139 (2018) 333–345. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2018.05.012.

[20] D.B. Miracle, O.N. Senkov, A critical review of high entropy alloys and related concepts, Acta Mater. 122 (2017) 448–511. doi:10.1016/j.actamat.2016.08.081.

[21] Y.F. Ye, Q. Wang, J. Lu, C.T. Liu, Y. Yang, High-entropy alloy: challenges and prospects,
 Mater. Today. 19 (2016) 349–362. doi:10.1016/j.mattod.2015.11.026.

[22] Y. Shi, B. Yang, P. Liaw, Corrosion-Resistant High-Entropy Alloys: A Review, Metals(Basel). 7 (2017) 43. doi:10.3390/met7020043.

[23] D. Miracle, B. Majumdar, K. Wertz, S. Gorsse, New strategies and tests to accelerate discovery and development of multi-principal element structural alloys, Scr. Mater. 127 (2017) 195–200. doi:10.1016/j.scriptamat.2016.08.001.

[24] L.J. Santodonato, Y. Zhang, M. Feygenson, C.M. Parish, M.C. Gao, R.J.K. Weber, J.C. Neuefeind, Z. Tang, P.K. Liaw, Deviation from high-entropy configurations in the atomic

distributions of a multi-principal-element alloy, Nat. Commun. 6 (2015) 1–13. doi:10.1038/ncomms6964.

[25] O.N. Senkov, J.D. Miller, D.B. Miracle, C. Woodward, Accelerated exploration of multiprincipal element alloys with solid solution phases, Nat. Commun. 6 (2015) 1–10. doi:10.1038/ncomms7529.

[26] J.W. Yeh, S.K. Chen, S.J. Lin, J.Y. Gan, T.S. Chin, T.T. Shun, C.H. Tsau, S.Y. Chang, Nanostructured high-entropy alloys with multiple principal elements: Novel alloy design concepts and outcomes, Adv. Eng. Mater. 6 (2004) 299–303. doi:10.1002/adem.200300567.

[27] M.H. Tsai, J.W. Yeh, High-entropy alloys: A critical review, Mater. Res. Lett. 2 (2014)
107–123. doi:10.1080/21663831.2014.912690.

[28] K.M. Youssef, A.J. Zaddach, C. Niu, D.L. Irving, C.C. Koch, A novel low-density, highhardness, high-entropy alloy with close-packed single-phase nanocrystalline structures, Mater. Res. Lett. 3 (2014) 95–99. doi:10.1080/21663831.2014.985855.

[29] Z. Li, K.G. Pradeep, Y. Deng, D. Raabe, C.C. Tasan, Metastable high-entropy dual-phase alloys overcome the strength-ductility trade-off., Nature. 534 (2016) 227–30. doi:10.1038/nature17981.

[30] Y. Zou, H. Ma, R. Spolenak, Ultrastrong ductile and stable high-entropy alloys at small scales, Nat. Commun. 6 (2015) 1–8. doi:10.1038/ncomms8748.

[31] M.H. Chuang, M.H. Tsai, W.R. Wang, S.J. Lin, J.W. Yeh, Microstructure and wear behavior of AlxCo1.5CrFeNi1.5Tiy high-entropy alloys, Acta Mater. 59 (2011) 6308–6317. doi:10.1016/j.actamat.2011.06.041.

[32] Y.L. Chou, J.W. Yeh, H.C. Shih, The effect of molybdenum on the corrosion behaviour of the high-entropy alloys Co1.5CrFeNi1.5Ti0.5Mox in aqueous environments, Corros. Sci. 52 (2010)

2571-2581. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2010.04.004.

[33] Y. Shi, L. Collins, R. Feng, C. Zhang, N. Balke, P.K. Liaw, B. Yang, Homogenization of Al x CoCrFeNi high-entropy alloys with improved corrosion resistance, Corros. Sci. 133 (2018) 120–131. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2018.01.030.

[34] Y.Y. Chen, U.T. Hong, H.C. Shih, J.W. Yeh, T. Duval, Electrochemical kinetics of the high entropy alloys in aqueous environments - A comparison with type 304 stainless steel, Corros. Sci. 47 (2005) 2679–2699. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2004.09.026.

[35] W. Kai, C.C. Li, F.P. Cheng, K.P. Chu, R.T. Huang, L.W. Tsay, J.J. Kai, The oxidation behavior of an equimolar FeCoNiCrMn high-entropy alloy at 950 °C in various oxygen-containing atmospheres, Corros. Sci. 108 (2016) 209–214. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2016.03.020.

[36] W. Kai, C.C. Li, F.P. Cheng, K.P. Chu, R.T. Huang, L.W. Tsay, J.J. Kai, Air-oxidation of FeCoNiCr-based quinary high-entropy alloys at 700–900 °C, Corros. Sci. 121 (2017) 116–125. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2017.02.008.

[37] O.N. Senkov, C.F. Woodward, Microstructure and properties of a refractory NbCrMo0.5Ta0.5TiZr alloy, Mater. Sci. Eng. A. 529 (2011) 311–320. doi:10.1016/j.msea.2011.09.033.

[38] Y. Yuan, Y. Wu, H. Luo, Z. Wang, X. Liang, Z. Yang, H. Wang, X. Liu, Z. Lu, Superconducting Ti15Zr15Nb35Ta35 High-Entropy Alloy With Intermediate Electron-Phonon Coupling, Front. Mater. 5 (2018) 1–6. doi:10.3389/fmats.2018.00072.

[39] A. Ayyagari, R. Salloom, S. Muskeri, S. Mukherjee, Low activation high entropy alloys for next generation nuclear applications, Materialia. 4 (2018) 99–103. doi:10.1016/j.mtla.2018.09.014.

[40] C.L. Wu, S. Zhang, C.H. Zhang, H. Zhang, S.Y. Dong, Phase evolution and cavitation

erosion-corrosion behavior of FeCoCrAlNiTixhigh entropy alloy coatings on 304 stainless steel by laser surface alloying, J. Alloys Compd. 698 (2017) 761–770. doi:10.1016/j.jallcom.2016.12.196.

[41] R. Wang, K. Zhang, C. Davies, X. Wu, Evolution of microstructure, mechanical and corrosion properties of AlCoCrFeNi high-entropy alloy prepared by direct laser fabrication, J. Alloys Compd. 694 (2017) 971–981. doi:10.1016/j.jallcom.2016.10.138.

[42] Q. Ye, K. Feng, Z. Li, F. Lu, R. Li, J. Huang, Y. Wu, Microstructure and corrosion properties of CrMnFeCoNi high entropy alloy coating, Appl. Surf. Sci. 396 (2017) 1420–1426. doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2016.11.176.

[43] Y.J. Hsu, W.C. Chiang, J.K. Wu, Corrosion behavior of FeCoNiCrCux high-entropy alloys in 3.5% sodium chloride solution, Mater. Chem. Phys. 92 (2005) 112–117. doi:10.1016/j.matchemphys.2005.01.001.

[44] Y. Shi, B. Yang, X. Xie, J. Brechtl, K.A. Dahmen, P.K. Liaw, Corrosion of AlxCoCrFeNi high-entropy alloys: Al-content and potential scan-rate dependent pitting behavior, Corros. Sci. 119 (2017) 33–45. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2017.02.019.

[45] Y. Cai, Y. Chen, S.M. Manladan, Z. Luo, F. Gao, L. Li, Influence of dilution rate on the microstructure and properties of FeCrCoNi high-entropy alloy coating, Mater. Des. 142 (2018) 124–137. doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2018.01.007.

[46] Y.L. Chou, Y.C. Wang, J.W. Yeh, H.C. Shih, Pitting corrosion of the high-entropy alloy Co1.5CrFeNi1.5Ti0.5Mo0.1 in chloride-containing sulphate solutions, Corros. Sci. 52 (2010) 3481–3491. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2010.06.025.

[47] Y.Y. Chen, T. Duval, U.D. Hung, J.W. Yeh, H.C. Shih, Microstructure and electrochemical properties of high entropy alloys-a comparison with type-304 stainless steel, Corros. Sci. 47 (2005)

2257-2279. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2004.11.008.

[48] Y. Qiu, M.A. Gibson, H.L. Fraser, N. Birbilis, Corrosion characteristics of high entropy alloys, Mater. Sci. Technol. 31 (2015) 1235–1243. doi:10.1179/1743284715Y.000000026.

[49] D.H. Xiao, P.F. Zhou, W.Q. Wu, H.Y. Diao, M.C. Gao, M. Song, P.K. Liaw, Microstructure, mechanical and corrosion behaviors of AlCoCuFeNi-(Cr,Ti) high entropy alloys, Mater. Des. 116 (2017) 438–447. doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2016.12.036.

[50] H. Luo, Z. Li, A.M. Mingers, D. Raabe, Corrosion behavior of an equiatomic CoCrFeMnNi high-entropy alloy compared with 304 stainless steel in sulfuric acid solution, Corros. Sci. 134 (2018) 131–139. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2018.02.031.

[51] G.A. Salishchev, M.A. Tikhonovsky, D.G. Shaysultanov, N.D. Stepanov, A. V. Kuznetsov,
I. V. Kolodiy, A.S. Tortika, O.N. Senkov, Effect of Mn and v on structure and mechanical properties of high-entropy alloys based on CoCrFeNi system, J. Alloys Compd. 591 (2014) 11–21. doi:10.1016/j.jallcom.2013.12.210.

[52] Y. Zhou, X. Jin, X.Y. Du, L. Zhang, B.S. Li, Comparison of the structure and properties of equiatomic and non-equiatomic multicomponent alloys, Mater. Sci. Technol. (United Kingdom).
34 (2018) 988–991. doi:10.1080/02670836.2017.1415016.

[53] Y.J. Zhou, Y. Zhang, Y.L. Wang, G.L. Chen, Solid solution alloys of AlCoCrFeNi Tix with excellent room-temperature mechanical properties, Appl. Phys. Lett. 90 (2007). doi:10.1063/1.2734517.

[54] C.J. Tong, M.R. Chen, S.K. Chen, J.W. Yeh, T.T. Shun, S.J. Lin, S.Y. Chang, Mechanical performance of the AlxCoCrCuFeNi high-entropy alloy system with multiprincipal elements, Metall. Mater. Trans. A Phys. Metall. Mater. Sci. 36 (2005) 1263–1271. doi:10.1007/s11661-005-0218-9.

[55] R.B. Nair, H.S. Arora, S. Mukherjee, S. Singh, H. Singh, H.S. Grewal, Exceptionally high cavitation erosion and corrosion resistance of a high entropy alloy, Ultrason. Sonochem. 41 (2018) 252–260. doi:10.1016/j.ultsonch.2017.09.044.

[56] H. Zhang, Y. Pan, Y.Z. He, Synthesis and characterization of FeCoNiCrCu high-entropy alloy coating by laser cladding, Mater. Des. 32 (2011) 1910–1915. doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2010.12.001.

[57] T.T. Shun, L.Y. Chang, M.H. Shiu, Microstructures and mechanical properties of multiprincipal component CoCrFeNiTi x alloys, Mater. Sci. Eng. A. 556 (2012) 170–174. doi:10.1016/j.msea.2012.06.075.

[58] H. Luo, Z. Li, W. Lu, D. Ponge, D. Raabe, Hydrogen embrittlement of an interstitial equimolar high-entropy alloy, Corros. Sci. 136 (2018) 403–408. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2018.03.040.

[59] H. Luo, W. Lu, X. Fang, D. Ponge, Z. Li, D. Raabe, Beating hydrogen with its own weapon:
Nano-twin gradients enhance embrittlement resistance of a high-entropy alloy, Mater. Today. 21
(2018) 1003–1009. doi:10.1016/j.mattod.2018.07.015.

[60] X.-L. Shang, Z.-J. Wang, Q.-F. Wu, J.-C. Wang, J.-J. Li, J.-K. Yu, Effect of Mo Addition on Corrosion Behavior of High-Entropy Alloys CoCrFeNiMox in Aqueous Environments, Acta Metall. Sin. (English Lett. 32 (2019) 41–51. doi:10.1007/s40195-018-0812-7.

[61] L.X. Han, C.M. Wang, H.F. Sun, Microstructure and anticorrosion property of highentropy alloy AlFeNiCrCoTi 0.5 V x, Mater. Trans. 57 (2016) 1134–1137. doi:10.2320/matertrans.M2015443.

[62] Y.F. Kao, T.D. Lee, S.K. Chen, Y.S. Chang, Electrochemical passive properties of AlxCoCrFeNi (x = 0, 0.25, 0.50, 1.00) alloys in sulfuric acids, Corros. Sci. 52 (2010) 1026–1034. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2009.11.028.

[63] Y.L. Chou, Y.C. Wang, J.W. Yeh, H.C. Shih, Pitting corrosion of the high-entropy alloy Co1.5CrFeNi1.5Ti0.5Mo0.1in chloride-containing sulphate solutions, Corros. Sci. 52 (2010) 3481–3491. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2010.06.025.

[64] Y.F. Kao, T.D. Lee, S.K. Chen, Y.S. Chang, Electrochemical passive properties of AlxCoCrFeNi (x = 0, 0.25, 0.50, 1.00) alloys in sulfuric acids, Corros. Sci. 52 (2010) 1026–1034. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2009.11.028.

[65] L. Wei, Y. Liu, Q. Li, Y.F. Cheng, Effect of roughness on general corrosion and pitting of
(FeCoCrNi)0.89(WC)0.11 high-entropy alloy composite in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution, Corros. Sci.
146 (2019) 44–57. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2018.10.025.

[66] J.H. Zhu, L.M. Pike, C.T. Liu, P.K. Liaw, Point defects in binary Laves phase alloys, Acta
 Mater. 47 (1999) 2003–2018. doi:10.1016/S1359-6454(99)00090-7.

[67] C.T. Liu, J.H. Zhu, M.P. Brady, C.G. McKamey, L.M. Pike, Physical metallurgy and mechanical properties of transition-metal Laves phase alloys, Intermetallics. 8 (2000) 1119–1129. doi:10.1016/S0966-9795(00)00109-6.

[68] D. Chung, Z. Ding, Y. Yang, Hierarchical Eutectic Structure Enabling Superior Fracture Toughness and Superb Strength in CoCrFeNiNb0.5 Eutectic High Entropy Alloy at Room Temperature, Adv. Eng. Mater. 1801060 (2018) 1–11. doi:10.1002/adem.201801060.

[69] K.-Y. Tsai, M.-H. Tsai, J.-W. Yeh, Sluggish diffusion in Co–Cr–Fe–Mn–Ni high-entropy alloys, Acta Mater. 61 (2013) 4887–4897. doi:10.1016/j.actamat.2013.04.058.

[70] Z. Yu, M. Wen-Gan, Z. Ren-You, C. Chong, G. Lei, QCD NLO and EW NLO corrections to tt~H production with top quark decays at hadron collider, Phys. Lett. Sect. B Nucl. Elem. Part. High-Energy Phys. 738 (2014) 1–5. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2014.09.022.

[71] O.N. Senkov, G.B. Wilks, J.M. Scott, D.B. Miracle, Mechanical properties of Nb 25Mo

25Ta 25W 25 and V 20Nb 20Mo 20Ta 20W 20 refractory high entropy alloys, Intermetallics. 19 (2011) 698–706. doi:10.1016/j.intermet.2011.01.004.

[72] Y. Yang, J.F. Zeng, A. Volland, J.J. Blandin, S. Gravier, C.T. Liu, Fractal growth of the dense-packing phase in annealed metallic glass imaged by high-resolution atomic force microscopy, Acta Mater. 60 (2012) 5260–5272. doi:10.1016/j.actamat.2012.06.025.

[73] N. Sathirachinda, R. Pettersson, J. Pan, Depletion effects at phase boundaries in 2205 duplex stainless steel characterized with SKPFM and TEM/EDS, Corros. Sci. 51 (2009) 1850–1860. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2009.05.012.

[74] G. Svenningsen, M.H. Larsen, J.C. Walmsley, J.H. Nordlien, K. Nisancioglu, Effect of artificial aging on intergranular corrosion of extruded AlMgSi alloy with small Cu content, Corros. Sci. 48 (2006) 1528–1543. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2005.05.045.

[75] L. Huang, K. Chen, S. Li, Influence of grain-boundary pre-precipitation and corrosion characteristics of inter-granular phases on corrosion behaviors of an Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy, Mater.
Sci. Eng. B Solid-State Mater. Adv. Technol. 177 (2012) 862–868. doi:10.1016/j.mseb.2012.04.008.

[76] Z.Y. Ding, Q.F. He, Q. Wang, Y. Yang, Superb strength and high plasticity in laves phase rich eutectic medium-entropy-alloy nanocomposites, Int. J. Plast. 106 (2018) 57–72. doi:10.1016/j.ijplas.2018.03.001.

[77] E. McCafferty, Validation of corrosion rates measured by the Tafel extrapolation method, Corros. Sci. 47 (2005) 3202–3215. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2005.05.046.

Y. Shi, L. Collins, R. Feng, C. Zhang, N. Balke, P.K. Liaw, B. Yang, Homogenization of AlxCoCrFeNi high-entropy alloys with improved corrosion resistance, Corros. Sci. 133 (2018)
 120–131. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2018.01.030.

[79] Y. Qiu, M.A. Gibson, H.L. Fraser, N. Birbilis, Corrosion characteristics of high entropy alloys, Mater. Sci. Technol. 31 (2015) 1235–1243. doi:10.1179/1743284715Y.000000026.

[80] A.A. Rodriguez, J.H. Tylczak, M.C. Gao, P.D. Jablonski, M. Detrois, M. Ziomek-Moroz,
J.A. Hawk, Effect of Molybdenum on the Corrosion Behavior of High-Entropy Alloys CoCrFeNi
2 and CoCrFeNi 2 Mo 0.25 under Sodium Chloride Aqueous Conditions, Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng.
2018 (2018) 1–11. doi:10.1155/2018/3016304.

[81] C.P. Lee, C.C. Chang, Y.Y. Chen, J.W. Yeh, H.C. Shih, Effect of the aluminium content of AlxCrFe1.5MnNi0.5 high-entropy alloys on the corrosion behaviour in aqueous environments, Corros. Sci. 50 (2008) 2053–2060. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2008.04.011.

[82] J. Kang, J. Li, K.Y. Zhao, X. Bai, Q.L. Yong, J. Su, Passivation Behaviors of Super Martensitic Stainless Steel in Weak Acidic and Weak Alkaline NaCl Solutions, J. Iron Steel Res. Int. 22 (2015) 1156–1163. doi:10.1016/S1006-706X(15)30127-8.

[83] Q. Xiang, B. Jiang, Y. Zhang, X. Chen, J. Song, J. Xu, L. Fang, F. Pan, Effect of rollinginduced microstructure on corrosion behaviour of an as-extruded Mg-5Li-1Al alloy sheet, Corros. Sci. 119 (2017) 14–22. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2017.02.009.

[84] C.H. Tsau, Wei-LiWang, Microstructures, hardness and corrosion behaviors of FeCoNiNb0.5Mo0.5and FeCoNiNb high-entropy alloys, Materials (Basel). 11 (2018) 1–12. doi:10.3390/ma11010016.

[85] C.H. Tsau, S.X. Lin, C.H. Fang, Microstructures and corrosion behaviors of FeCoNi and CrFeCoNi equimolar alloys, Mater. Chem. Phys. 186 (2017) 534–540. doi:10.1016/j.matchemphys.2016.11.033.

[86] Z. Zhang, E. Axinte, W. Ge, C. Shang, Y. Wang, Microstructure, mechanical properties and corrosion resistance of CuZrY/Al, Ti, Hf series high-entropy alloys, Mater. Des. 108 (2016)

106–113. doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2016.06.100.

[87] F. Borgioli, E. Galvanetto, T. Bacci, Corrosion behaviour of low temperature nitrided nickel-free, AISI 200 and AISI 300 series austenitic stainless steels in NaCl solution, Corros. Sci. 136 (2018) 352–365. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2018.03.026.

[88] L. Nosei, S. Farina, M. Ávalos, L. Náchez, B.J. Gómez, J. Feugeas, Corrosion behavior of ion nitrided AISI 316L stainless steel, Thin Solid Films. 516 (2008) 1044–1050. doi:10.1016/j.tsf.2007.08.072.

[89] S. Mato, G. Alcalá, T.G. Woodcock, A. Gebert, J. Eckert, L. Schultz, Corrosion behaviour of a Ti-base nanostructure-dendrite composite, Electrochim. Acta. 50 (2005) 2461–2467. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2004.10.071.

[90] P.B. Srinivasan, V. Muthupandi, W. Dietzel, V. Sivan, An assessment of impact strength and corrosion behaviour of shielded metal arc welded dissimilar weldments between UNS 31803 and IS 2062 steels, Mater. Des. 27 (2006) 182–191. doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2004.10.019.

[91] F. Zhang, J. Pan, P.M. Claesson, Electrochemical and AFM studies of mussel adhesive protein (Mefp-1) as corrosion inhibitor for carbon steel, Electrochim. Acta. 56 (2011) 1636–1645. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2010.10.033.

[92] W. Zhang, G.S. Frankel, Transitions between pitting and intergranular corrosion in AA2024, Electrochim. Acta. 48 (2003) 1193–1210. doi:10.1016/S0013-4686(02)00828-9.

[93] X. Liu, G.S. Frankel, Effects of compressive stress on localized corrosion in AA2024-T3,
 Corros. Sci. 48 (2006) 3309–3329. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2005.12.003.

[94] K.H. Na, S. Il Pyun, Comparison of susceptibility to pitting corrosion of AA2024-T4, AA7075-T651 and AA7475-T761 aluminium alloys in neutral chloride solutions using electrochemical noise analysis, Corros. Sci. 50 (2008) 248–258. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2007.05.028.

[95] G. Georges, Alloy in Chloride Media, Corros. Sci. (1913) 310–327.

[96] S.D. Day, M.T. Whalen, K.J. King, G.A. Hust, L.L. Wong, J.C. Estill, R.B. Rebak, Corrosion behavior of alloy 22 in oxalic acid and sodium chloride solutions, Corrosion. 60 (2004) 804–814. doi:10.5006/1.3287860.

[97] R.E. McMahon, J. Ma, S. V. Verkhoturov, D. Munoz-Pinto, I. Karaman, F. Rubitschek, H.J. Maier, M.S. Hahn, A comparative study of the cytotoxicity and corrosion resistance of nickeltitanium and titanium-niobium shape memory alloys, Acta Biomater. 8 (2012) 2863–2870. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2012.03.034.

[98] C. Xunjue, Fabrication and corrosion resistance of a hydrophobic micro-arc oxidation coating on AZ31 Mg alloy, Corros. Sci. 90 (2015) 402–412. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2014.10.041.

[99] T. Liu, Y. Yin, S. Chen, X. Chang, S. Cheng, Super-hydrophobic surfaces improve corrosion resistance of copper in seawater, Electrochim. Acta. 52 (2007) 3709–3713. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2006.10.059.

[100] R. Arrabal, B. Mingo, A. Pardo, M. Mohedano, E. Matykina, I. Rodríguez, Pitting corrosion of rheocast A356 aluminium alloy in 3.5wt.% NaCl solution, Corros. Sci. 73 (2013) 342–355. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2013.04.023.

[101] K.F. Quiambao, S.J. McDonnell, D.K. Schreiber, A.Y. Gerard, K.M. Freedy, P. Lu, J.E. Saal, G.S. Frankel, J.R. Scully, Passivation of a corrosion resistant high entropy alloy in non-oxidizing sulfate solutions, Acta Mater. 164 (2019) 362–376. doi:10.1016/j.actamat.2018.10.026.
[102] J. Wu, S.D. Zhang, W.H. Sun, Y. Gao, J.Q. Wang, Enhanced corrosion resistance in Febased amorphous coatings through eliminating Cr-depleted zones, Corros. Sci. 136 (2018) 161–173. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2018.03.005.

[103] S.R. Allahkaram, M.H. Nazari, S. Mamaghani, A. Zarebidaki, Characterization and

corrosion behavior of electroless Ni-P/nano-SiC coating inside the CO2 containing media in the presence of acetic acid, Mater. Des. 32 (2011) 750–755. doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2010.07.030.

[104] S.L. De Assis, S. Wolynec, I. Costa, Corrosion characterization of titanium alloys by electrochemical techniques, Electrochim. Acta. 51 (2006) 1815–1819. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2005.02.121.

[105] C. Sun, J. Li, S. Shuang, H. Zeng, J.L. Luo, Effect of defect on corrosion behavior of electroless Ni-P coating in CO2-saturated NaCl solution, Corros. Sci. 134 (2018) 23–37. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2018.01.041.

[106] C. Escrivà-Cerdán, E. Blasco-Tamarit, D.M. García-García, J. García-Antón, R. Akid, J. Walton, Effect of temperature on passive film formation of UNS N08031 Cr-Ni alloy in phosphoric acid contaminated with different aggressive anions, Electrochim. Acta. 111 (2013) 552–561. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2013.08.040.

[107] I. Campos, M. Palomar-Pardavé, A. Amador, C. VillaVelázquez, J. Hadad, Corrosion behavior of boride layers evaluated by the EIS technique, Appl. Surf. Sci. 253 (2007) 9061–9066. doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2007.05.016.

[108] C.A. Della Rovere, J.H. Alano, R. Silva, P.A.P. Nascente, J. Otubo, S.E. Kuri, Characterization of passive films on shape memory stainless steels, Corros. Sci. 57 (2012) 154– 161. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2011.12.022.

[109] M.A. Migahed, Electrochemical investigation of the corrosion behaviour of mild steel in 2
M HCl solution in presence of 1-dodecyl-4-methoxy pyridinium bromide, Mater. Chem. Phys. 93
(2005) 48–53. doi:10.1016/j.matchemphys.2005.02.003.

[110] L. Wei, Y. Liu, Q. Li, Y.F. Cheng, Effect of roughness on general corrosion and pitting of (FeCoCrNi)0.89(WC)0.11 high-entropy alloy composite in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution, Corros. Sci.

146 (2019) 44–57. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2018.10.025.

[111] E.M. Rosen, D.C. Silverman, Corrosion Prediction from Polarization Scans Using an Artificial Neural Network Integrated with an Expert System, Corrosion. 48 (2010) 734–745. doi:10.5006/1.3315994.

[112] M. Trueba, S.P. Trasatti, Study of Al alloy corrosion in neutral NaCl by the pitting scan technique, Mater. Chem. Phys. 121 (2010) 523–533. doi:10.1016/j.matchemphys.2010.02.022.

[113] U. Trdan, J. Grum, Evaluation of corrosion resistance of AA6082-T651 aluminium alloy after laser shock peening by means of cyclic polarisation and ElS methods, Corros. Sci. 59 (2012) 324–333. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2012.03.019.

[114] M. Finšgar, I. Milošev, Corrosion behaviour of stainless steels in aqueous solutions of methanesulfonic acid, Corros. Sci. 52 (2010) 2430–2438. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2010.04.001.

[115] Y.L. Chou, J.W. Yeh, H.C. Shih, The effect of molybdenum on the corrosion behaviour of the high-entropy alloys Co1.5CrFeNi1.5Ti0.5Mox in aqueous environments, Corros. Sci. 52 (2010) 2571–2581. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2010.04.004.

[116] B. Deng, Y. Jiang, J. Gong, C. Zhong, J. Gao, J. Li, Critical pitting and repassivation temperatures for duplex stainless steel in chloride solutions, Electrochim. Acta. 53 (2008) 5220–5225. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2008.02.047.

[117] M.H. Moayed, R.C. Newman, Evolution of current transients and morphology of metastable and stable pitting on stainless steel near the critical pitting temperature, Corros. Sci. 48 (2006) 1004–1018. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2005.03.002.

[118] N. Sato, The stability of localized corrosion, Corros. Sci. 37 (1995) 1947–1967.

[119] Y. Heights, IBM Research Division, T. J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598, U.S.A., Corrosion. 30 (1990) 1203–1218.

[120] P.C. Pistorius, G.T. Burstein, Growth of corrosion pits on stainless steel in chloride solution containing dilute sulphate, Corros. Sci. 33 (1992) 1885–1897. doi:10.1016/0010-938X(92)90191-5.

[121] Z. Szklarska-Smialowska, Pitting corrosion of aluminum, Corros. Sci. 41 (1999) 1743–
1767. doi:10.1016/S0010-938X(99)00012-8.

[122] M. Moreno, W. Morris, M.G. Alvarez, G.S. Duffó, Corrosion of reinforcing steel in simulated concrete pore solutions effect of carbonation and chloride content, Corros. Sci. 46 (2004) 2681–2699. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2004.03.013.

[123] K. Oh, S. Ahn, K. Eom, K. Jung, H. Kwon, Observation of passive films on Fe-20Cr-xNi (x=0, 10, 20wt.%) alloys using TEM and Cs-corrected STEM-EELS, Corros. Sci. 79 (2014) 34–40. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2013.10.023.

[124] F. Mohammadi, T. Nickchi, M.M. Attar, A. Alfantazi, EIS study of potentiostatically formed passive film on 304 stainless steel, Electrochim. Acta. 56 (2011) 8727–8733. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2011.07.072.

[125] E. Hamada, K. Yamada, M. Nagoshi, N. Makiishi, K. Sato, T. Ishii, K. Fukuda, S. Ishikawa,
T. Ujiro, Direct imaging of native passive film on stainless steel by aberration corrected STEM,
Corros. Sci. 52 (2010) 3851–3854. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2010.08.025.

[126] S.D. Zhang, Z.W. Liu, Z.M. Wang, J.Q. Wang, In situ EC-AFM study of the effect of nanocrystals on the passivation and pit initiation in an Al-based metallic glass, Corros. Sci. 83 (2014) 111–123. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2014.02.005.

[127] J. LI, D.J. MEIER, An AFM Study of the Properties of Passive Films on Iron Surfaces., J.
 Electroanal. Chem. 454 (1998) 53–58. doi:10.1002/chin.199849009.

[128] F.A. Martin, C. Bataillon, J. Cousty, In situ AFM detection of pit onset location on a 304L

stainless steel, Corros. Sci. 50 (2008) 84–92. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2007.06.023.

[129] V. Maurice, P. Marcus, Progress in corrosion science at atomic and nanometric scales, Prog.
Mater. Sci. 95 (2018) 132–171. doi:10.1016/j.pmatsci.2018.03.001.

[130] Y. Qiu, S. Thomas, M.A. Gibson, H.L. Fraser, K. Pohl, N. Birbilis, Microstructure and corrosion properties of the low-density single-phase compositionally complex alloy AlTiVCr, Corros. Sci. 133 (2018) 386–396. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2018.01.035.

[131] M. Seo, N. Sato, R. Saito, Ellipsometry and Auger Analysis of Chromium Surfaces
 Passivated in Acidic and Neutral Aqueous Solutions, J. Electrochem. Soc. 127 (1980) 1909–1912.
 doi:10.1149/1.2130034.

[132] G. Lorang, F. Basile, M. Da Cunha Belo, J. -P Langeron, Quantitative Auger analysis of passive films formed on stainless steels, Surf. Interface Anal. 12 (1988) 424–428. doi:10.1002/sia.740120711.

[133] A.J. Davenport, M. Sansone, High Resolution in Situ XANES Investigation of the Nature of the Passive Film on Iron in a pH 8.4 Borate Buffer, J. Electrochem. Soc. 142 (1995) 725–730. doi:10.1149/1.2048525.

[134] W.P. Yang, D. Costa, P. Marcus, Chemical Composition, Chemical States, and Resistance to Localized Corrosion of Passive Films on an Fe-17%Cr Alloy, J. Electrochem. Soc. 141 (1994) 111–116.

[135] G. Lorang, M.D.C. Belo, A.M.P. Simões, M.G.S. Ferreira, Chemical Composition of Passive Films on AISI 304 Stainless Steel, J. Electrochem. Soc. 141 (1994) 3347–3356. doi:10.1149/1.2059338.

[136] B. Stypula, J. Stoch, The characterization of passive films on chromium electrodes by XPS,Corros. Sci. 36 (1994) 2159–2167. doi:10.1016/0010-938X(94)90014-0.

[137] J.S. Kim, W.H.A. Peelen, K. Hemmes, R.C. Makkus, Effect of alloying elements on the contact resistance and the passivation behaviour of stainless steels, Corros. Sci. 44 (2002) 635–655. doi:10.1016/S0010-938X(01)00107-X.

[138] H.H. Uhlig, Passivity in metals and alloys, Corros. Sci. 19 (1979) 777–791.doi:10.1016/S0010-938X(79)80075-X.

[139] B.X. Huang, K. Wang, J.S. Church, Y.S. Li, Characterization of oxides on niobium by raman and infrared spectroscopy, Electrochim. Acta. 44 (1999) 2571–2577. doi:10.1016/S0013-4686(98)00385-5.

[140] C.L. Qiu, L. Liu, M. Sun, S.M. Zhang, The effect of Nb addition on mechanical properties, corrosion behavior, and metal-ion release of ZrAlCuNi bulk metallic glasses in artificial body fluid, J. Biomed. Mater. Res. - Part A. 75 (2005) 950–956. doi:10.1002/jbm.a.30502.

[141] M. Mehmood, E. Akiyama, H. Habazaki, A. Kawashima, K. Asami, K. Hashimoto, Effects of nanocrystalline heterogeneity on the corrosion behavior of sputter-deposited chromium-niobium alloys, Corros. Sci. 42 (2000) 361–382. doi:10.1016/S0010-938X(99)00077-3.

[142] C.A.C. Souza, D. V. Ribeiro, C.S. Kiminami, Corrosion resistance of Fe-Cr-based amorphous alloys: An overview, J. Non. Cryst. Solids. 442 (2016) 56–66. doi:10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2016.04.009.

[143] H.J. Engell, Stability and Breakdown Phenomena of passivating films, Electrochim. Acta.22 (1977) 987–993.

[144] A. Anderko, N. Sridhar, D.S. Dunn, A general model for the repassivation potential as a function of multiple aqueous solution species, Corros. Sci. 46 (2004) 1583–1612. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2003.10.002.

[145] M. Pourbaix, Thermodynamics and corrosion, Corros. Sci. 30 (1990). doi:10.1016/0010-

938X(90)90205-J.

[146] R. Parsons, Atlas of electrochemical equilibria in aqueous solutions, J. Electroanal. Chem.Interfacial Electrochem. 13 (1967) 471. doi:10.1016/0022-0728(67)80059-7.

[147] G.A. Zhang, Y.F. Cheng, Micro-electrochemical characterization and Mott-Schottky analysis of corrosion of welded X70 pipeline steel in carbonate/bicarbonate solution, Electrochim. Acta. 55 (2009) 316–324. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2009.09.001.

[148] R.M. Fernández-Domene, E. Blasco-Tamarit, D.M. García-García, J. García-Antón,
Passive and transpassive behaviour of Alloy 31 in a heavy brine LiBr solution, Electrochim. Acta.
95 (2013) 1–11. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2013.02.024.

[149] A. Fattah-alhosseini, F. Soltani, F. Shirsalimi, B. Ezadi, N. Attarzadeh, The semiconducting properties of passive films formed on AISI 316 L and AISI 321 stainless steels:
A test of the point defect model (PDM), Corros. Sci. 53 (2011) 3186–3192.
doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2011.05.063.

[150] D.G. Li, Y.R. Feng, Z.Q. Bai, J.W. Zhu, M.S. Zheng, Influence of temperature, chloride ions and chromium element on the electronic property of passive film formed on carbon steel in bicarbonate/carbonate buffer solution, Electrochim. Acta. 52 (2007) 7877–7884. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2007.06.059.

[151] H.X. Guo, B.T. Lu, J.L. Luo, Study on passivation and erosion-enhanced corrosion resistance by Mott-Schottky analysis, Electrochim. Acta. 52 (2006) 1108–1116. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2006.07.026.

[152] Y.F. Cheng, J.L. Luo, Comparison of the pitting susceptibility and semiconducting properties of the passive films on carbon steel in chromate and bicarbonate solutions, Appl. Surf. Sci. 167 (2000) 113–121. doi:10.1016/S0169-4332(00)00534-1.

[153] L. Hamadou, A. Kadri, N. Benbrahim, Characterisation of passive films formed on low carbon steel in borate buffer solution (pH 9.2) by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, Appl. Surf. Sci. 252 (2005) 1510–1519. doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2005.02.135.

[154] E.M.A. Martini, I.L. Muller, Characterization of the film formed on iron borate solution by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, Corros. Sci. 42 (2000) 443–454. doi:10.1016/S0010-938X(99)00064-5.

[155] C.A. Della Rovere, J.H. Alano, R. Silva, P.A.P. Nascente, J. Otubo, S.E. Kuri, Characterization of passive films on shape memory stainless steels, Corros. Sci. 57 (2012) 154– 161. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2011.12.022.

[156] T. Okada, Pit nucleation originated by coupling of perturbations with local anodic sites on passive metals, Electrochim. Acta. 33 (1988) 389–395. doi:10.1016/0013-4686(88)85034-5.

[157] T. Okada, A Theory of Perturbation-Initiated Pitting, J. Electrochem. Soc. 132 (1985) 537–
544. doi:10.1149/1.2113883.

[158] A.H. Heuer, H. Kahn, F. Ernst, G.M. Michal, D.B. Hovis, R.J. Rayne, F.J. Martin, P.M. Natishan, Enhanced corrosion resistance of interstitially hardened stainless steel: Implications of a critical passive layer thickness for breakdown, Acta Mater. 60 (2012) 716–725. doi:10.1016/j.actamat.2011.10.004.

[159] V. Maurice, P. Marcus, Passive films at the nanoscale, Electrochim. Acta. 84 (2012) 129–
138. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2012.03.158.

[160] P. Marcus, V. Maurice, H.H. Strehblow, Localized corrosion (pitting): A model of passivity breakdown including the role of the oxide layer nanostructure, Corros. Sci. 50 (2008) 2698–2704. doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2008.06.047.

# **Figure Captions**

**Fig. 1.** (a) The XRD pattern of the as-cast FeCrNiCoNb<sub>0.5</sub> EHEA, (b) the typical microstructural features of the FeCrNiCoNb<sub>0.5</sub> EHEA observed on the etched cross section, (c) the low magnification TEM image of the dual phase. The insets of (c) show the Fast Fourier Transformation (FFT) images of the FCC and Laves phase. (d) The HRTEM image of the interphase.

**Fig. 2.** (a) Potentiodynamic polarization curves of EHEA and 304 SS in 1 M NaCl solution. (b) A comparison of the corrosion current density ( $i_{corr}$ ) and break down potential ( $E_b$ ) between HEAs and other materials in 1 M NaCl solution at room temperature. (c and d) EIS of EHEA and 304 SS exposed to 1 M NaCl solution in the single-cylinder corrosion cell under open circuit potential condition: (c) Nyquist plots and (d) Bode plots. The inset of (c) shows the equivalent circuit. (e) Cyclic potentiodynamic polarization curves of EHEA and 304 SS in 1 M NaCl solution.

**Fig. 3.** Corrosion morphologies of EHEA (a)-(c) and 304 SS (d)-(f) at different selected time points on cyclic potentiodynamic polarization curves. (a)-(c) represents time points a-c in Fig. 2 (e) and (d)-(f) represents time points d-f in Fig. 2 (e). EDS spectra of zone 1 (g) and 2 (h).

**Fig. 4.** (a) The low magnification TEM image of the cross-sectional EHEA samples on which a passive film was formed, (b) the HRTEM image of the cross-section. The inset of (b) shows the Fast Fourier Transformation (FFT) images of the passive film. (c) STEM image of the cross-sectional EHEA samples and EDS line profiles along the yellow arrow in the STEM image. (d) The AFM image of the passivated EHEA surface. (e) Profiles along the white dash line in (d). (f) 3D topography image.

**Fig. 5.** (a) STEM image of the cross-sectional EHEA sample. EDS mapping distribution of (b) Nb, (c) Fe, (d) Cr, (e) Ni, (f) Co.

**Fig. 6.** (a) Mott-Schottky plots for the passive film formed on EHEA and 304 SS in 1 M NaCl solution at OCP for 3 h. (b) Flat band potential and donor densities of the passive films formed on EHEA and 304 SS.

**Fig. 7.** (a)-(c) AFM images of our EHEA at the time points a-c in Fig. 2 (e). (d)-(f) Profiles along the dash lines in (a)-(c). (g)-(i) 3D topography images corresponding to (a)-(c), respectively.

**Fig. 8.** (a) The typical microstructural features of the annealed EHEA alloy observed on the etched cross-section. (b) Cyclic potentiodynamic polarization curves of the as-cast and annealed EHEA in 1M NaCl solution. (c) Corrosion morphology of the annealed EHEA at the time point c on the cyclic potentiodynamic polarization curve.

**Fig. 9.** Schematic of the passivation, transpassivation and re-passivation mechanism on the EHEA surface during cyclic potentiodynamic polarization in 1M NaCl solution.

## Tables

Elements	Fe	Cr	Ni	Со	Nb
FCC	26.3	28.1	22.0	22.3	1.5
Laves	19.2	17.0	16.9	25.0	20.4

**Table 1** Phase compositions of the FeCrNiCoNb<sub>0.5</sub> EHEA (at.%).

 Table 2 Electrochemical parameters of EHEA and 304 SS in 1 M NaCl solution from potentiodynamic polarization curves.

Samples	$E_{\rm corr}  ({ m mV}_{ m SCE})$	$I_{\rm corr}$ (nA·cm <sup>-2</sup> )	$E_{\rm b}({ m mV}_{ m SCE})$	Passive region (mV)
EHEA	$-238 \pm 12$	$24.29 \pm 4.27$	$976\pm23$	$1214\pm33$
304 SS	$-196 \pm 14$	$30.45\pm3.48$	$346\pm38$	$433\pm45$

**Table 3** Summary of reported corrosion behaviour (derived from potentiodynamic polarization curves) of HEAs and conventionally alloys in 1 M NaCl solution. In this table corrosion parameters obtained from various papers are presented.

Materials	<i>I</i> <sub>corr</sub> (nA·cm <sup>-2</sup> )	$E_{corr}$ (mV <sub>SCE</sub> )	$E_b$ (mV <sub>SCE</sub> )	Passive region (mV)	Reference
FeCoNiCrNb <sub>0.5</sub>	24	-238	976	1214	This study
FeCoNi	400	-493	-32	461	[77]
FeCoNiCr	280	-328	-34	294	[78]
FeCoNiNb	43000	-494	-235	259	[77]
CrFeMn <sub>0.5</sub> Ni <sub>0.5</sub>	450	-631	-222	409	[74]
FeCoNiNb <sub>0.5</sub> Mo <sub>0.5</sub>	33000	-455	295	750	[77]
Co <sub>1.5</sub> CrFeNi <sub>1.5</sub> TiMo <sub>0.1</sub>	130	-622	973	1429	[32]
Co <sub>1.5</sub> CrFeNi <sub>1.5</sub> TiMo <sub>0.5</sub>	200	-734	923	1383	[32]
Co <sub>1.5</sub> CrFeNi <sub>1.5</sub> TiMo <sub>0.8</sub>	410	-792	941	1371	[32]
Co <sub>1.5</sub> CrFeNi <sub>1.5</sub> TiMo <sub>0</sub>	570	-684	97	557	[32]
Al <sub>0.3</sub> CrFeMn <sub>0.5</sub> Ni <sub>0.5</sub>	690	-641	-391	250	[74]
$Al_{0.5}CrFeMn_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}$	1020	-741	-361	380	[74]
Cu <sub>0.5</sub> NiAlCoCrFeSi	3160	-770	-490	280	[47]
CuYZrAlHf	146000	-1243	-949	294	[79]
CuYZrTiHf	159000	-1225	-945	280	[79]
CuYZrAlTi	235000	-1332	-850	482	[79]
304 SS <sup>a</sup>	30	-196	346	542	This study
202 SS <sup>a</sup>	24	-110	439	549	[80]
316L SS <sup>a</sup>	29	-90	606	696	[80]
Ion Nitriding 316L SS <sup>a</sup>	232	-654	-6	648	[81]
E309 DSS <sup>b</sup>	279	-243	431	674	[83]
E2209 DSS <sup>b</sup>	918	-266	492	758	[83]
UNS31803 DSS <sup>b</sup>	988	-229	924	1153	[83]
Carbon steel	41200	-651	_ <sup>c</sup>	_ <sup>c</sup>	[84]
AA2024-T3 <sup>d</sup>	86	-917	-704	213	[85]
AA2024-T3 <sup>d</sup> with LBP <sup>e</sup>	38	-907	-676	231	[86]
AA7075 <sup>d</sup>	1188	-896	-813	83	[87]
T240 <sup>d</sup>	70000	-712	-618	94	[88]
Ni-based alloy 22	40	-339	597	936	[89]
Ni50.8Ti49.2	2000	-380	358	738	[90]
$Ti_{60}Cu_{14}Ni_{12}Sn_4Nb_{10}\\$	9500	-409	-182	227	[82]

<sup>a</sup> Stainless steel

<sup>b</sup> Duplex stainless steel

<sup>c</sup> No passivation behavior

<sup>d</sup> Aluminum alloys

<sup>e</sup> Low plastic burnishing (LBP), which produces a surface layer with high residual compressive stress[86]

**Table 4** Equivalent circuit elements values for EIS data corresponding to EHEA and 304 SS in 1M NaCl solution.

Material	$R_1 (\Omega \text{ cm}^2)$	$CPE_{I}$		$R_2 (\Omega \text{ cm}^2)$	χ <sup>2</sup>
		$Y_0 (\Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-n})$	п	_	
EHEA	4.06(2.1)	$2.55 \times 10^{-5}(1.2)$	0.87	$13.50 \times 10^{5}(15.4)$	$4.18 \times 10^{-3}$
304 SS	6.14(1.4)	$2.97 \times 10^{-5}$ (1.2)	0.94	$7.88 \times 10^{5}$ (8.2)	$3.47 \times 10^{-3}$

The error percentage of data fitting is indicated in parentheses.

**Table 5** Corrosion parameters of EHEA and 304 SS obtained from cyclic polarization curves in 1M NaCl solution

Samples	$\dot{E_{\text{corr}}}$ (mV <sub>SCE</sub> )	$\dot{E_{b}}$ (mV <sub>SCE</sub> )	$E_{\rm rp}({\rm mV}_{\rm SCE})$	$\dot{E_{b}}$ - $E_{rp}$ (mV <sub>SCE</sub> )	$E_{\rm ptp}~({\rm mV}_{\rm SCE})$
EHEA	$-232 \pm 12$	$979\pm 6$	$767\pm38$	$212 \pm 43$	$549\pm21$
304 SS	$-185 \pm 19$	$343\pm20$	$-192 \pm 11$	$536\pm20$	$-197 \pm 8$