

8 | Epidemiology | Research Article



Prevalence and molecular characterization of cefotaximeresistant *Salmonella* strains recovered from retail meat samples in Shenzhen, China, during 2014–2017

Chen Yang,^{1,2} Kaichao Chen,^{2,3} Lianwei Ye,^{1,2} Heng Heng,^{1,2} Xuemei Yang,^{2,3} Edward Wai-chi Chan,² Sheng Chen^{2,3}

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS See affiliation list on p. 15.

ABSTRACT In this work, we collected foodborne *Salmonella* strains in Shenzhen, China, during 2014–2017 and investigated the genetic profile of all cefotaxime-resistant isolates in the collection. The strains were subjected to antimicrobial susceptibility tests, whole-genome sequencing, bioinformatics analysis, and conjugation studies. A total of 79 cefotaxime-resistant *Salmonella* were identified and found to exhibit multidrug resistance. Resistance rate recorded during the study period increased from 1.9% to 9.1%. *Salmonella* Typhimurium was the predominant serovar, and CTX-M family genes were dominant among the ESBLs genes detected. Notably, CTX-M-bearing plasmids or transposons often contain other drug resistance genes. Furthermore, a combination of CTX-M-55 and CTX-M-65 genes was detected for the first time in foodborne *Salmonella* strains. Our findings reveal the prevalence and molecular characteristics of cefotaximeresistant foodborne *Salmonella* strains in southern China.

IMPORTANCE Cefotaxime-resistant *Salmonella* strains pose an increasing threat to human health by causing infections with limited treatment options. It is therefore necessary to undertake a surveillance on the prevalence of such strains and investigate the resistance and transmission mechanisms. In this work, various ESBL genes flanked by different IS located in different mobile genetic elements were detectable among cefotaxime-resistant *Salmonella* strains. These data show that the high prevalence and genotypic diversity of cefotaxime-resistant foodborne *Salmonella* strains in China are possibly attributed to the evolution and transmission of a wide range of multidrug resistance-encoding mobile genetic elements.

KEYWORDS *Salmonella*, CTX-M, cefotaxime, multidrug resistance, plasmid, food

C efotaxime was discovered in 1976 and marketed as a "third-generation" cephalosporin in 1980. It was synthesized by adding an α -syn-methoxy-imino group to an aminothiazoyl ring that contains an acetyl side chain (1). Like other cephalosporins, cefotaxime binds to penicillin-binding proteins Ib and III through the β -lactam ring, inhibiting the process of transpeptidation in bacterial cell wall synthesis of bacteria and causing autolysis of bacteria (1). Cefotaxime exhibits a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity against anaerobic, Gram-positive, and Gram-negative bacteria, especially strains that produce β -lactamase to degrade caphalosporins of the previous generations. Besides, cefotaxime does not cause coagulopathies and pseudocholelithiasis (2). Therefore, this drug is extremely effective in the treatment of serious infections caused by Gram-negative bacterial pathogens.

Salmonella, which belongs to the Enterobacteriaceae family, is a leading cause of foodborne illnesses worldwide and a reservoir of antibiotic resistance genes due to its ability to inhabit a wide range of animal hosts, including pigs, cattle, poultry,

Editor Xiaohui Zhou, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, China

Address correspondence to Sheng Chen, sheng.chen@polyu.edu.hk.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

See the funding table on p. 16.

Received 28 November 2022 Accepted 10 July 2023 Published 24 August 2023

Copyright © 2023 Yang et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

wildlife, and companion animals (3–5). The predominant source of community-acquired Salmonella infection is food animals, from which Salmonella may come into contact with human through the activities of animal husbandry, food delivery, processing, retail, home handling, and ingestion of contaminated food. Salmonella infections are usually self-limited, but may develop into systemic infections of high mortality rate in a small proportion of patients, such as the immunocompromised and elderly patients. In such cases, antibiotics are required for treatment. Cefotaxime is an important antibiotic commonly used to treat Salmonella infections. However, incidence of cefotaxime resistance has increased significantly in the past decade, presumably due to the selection pressure created as a result of increased use of cefotaxime in the treatment of Salmonella infection in both human and food animals. The molecular mechanisms of cefotaxime resistance in Salmonella have been under intensive investigation (4, 6). The major resistance mechanism involves hydrolysis of cefotaxime by the production of extendedspectrum beta lactamases (ESBLs). The most prevalent ESBLs produced by Salmonella are CTX-M, TEM, and SHV. Among the enzymes of the CTX-M family, CTX-M-15 is dominant worldwide, while CTX-M-14 is the most prevalent in China. CTX-M-27 was found to exhibit a striking increase in prevalence globally, including China, since it was identified in 2003 (6). The AmpC β -lactamase-encoding gene bla_{CMY-2} was the most important ESBL gene before the CTX-M-encoding gene became the most common ESBL gene worldwide except for China (7, 8).

In this work, we conducted a surveillance on the prevalence of cefotaxime resistance among *Salmonella* strains isolated from retail pork, chicken, beef, and shrimp during 2014–2017 and performed whole-genome sequencing to investigate the molecular mechanisms of cefotaxime resistance among such strains.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolation of Salmonella from retail meat products

Retail samples of pork, chicken, beef, and shrimp were purchased from supermarkets and wet markets in Shenzhen, China, during 2014–2017. *Salmonella* strains were isolated as described previously (9). Typical *Salmonella* strains recovered from each sample were purified and subjected to species identification by the detection of *invA* gene and by using the matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry biotyper system (Bruker, Germany). All isolates were serotyped according to the Kauffmann–White scheme using commercial antiserum (Difco, Detroit, MI) (10).

Antimicrobial susceptibility tests

Salmonella isolates were subjected to the assessment of susceptibility to 12 antimicrobial drugs using the agar dilution and broth microdilution method based on the 29th CLSI and EUCAST (v 12.0) guidelines (11, 12). *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213 and *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 were used as quality control strains. The 12 antimicrobial compounds tested were ampicillin, cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, meropenem, ciprofloxacin, nalidixic acid, azithromycin, chloramphenicol, sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim, tetracycline, amikacin, and kanamycin. Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the test drugs was determined in at least three experiments for each strain.

Genomic sequencing and analysis

Salmonella genomic DNA was extracted from each test isolate using the PureLink Genomic DNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen, United States) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA libraries were constructed by using the NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Preparation Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs, United States) and sequenced via the 150 bp paired-end NextSeq 500 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA). Raw reads were trimmed and quality filtered using Trimmomatic v0.36 (13). Draft genomes of the test strains were assembled using SPAdes v3.10.1 (14). To identify the genetic features of

antibiotic resistance genes and assess the distribution patterns of such genes in the plasmids, draft genome searches were conducted by using ResFinder (15), PlasmidFinder (16), and the CLC Genomics Workbench (CLC bio, Denmark). To compare the plasmids identified in this work with structurally similar plasmids carrying cefotaxime resistance genes that were reported in previous studies, all structurally similar plasmid sequences were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database upon BLASTn screening with contigs containing cefotaxime resistance gene against the nonredundant protein sequence (nr) database. Genomic DNA from strain SA535 was subjected to sequencing with the long-read MinION platform, following the manufacturer's guideline (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, United Kingdom). Both long and short reads were de novo hybrid assembled using Unicycler v0.4.8 (17). Assembled genome sequences were annotated by RAST (18), ResFinder (15), ISFinder (19), PlasmidFinder (16), and the CLC Genomics Workbench (CLC bio, Denmark). Alignment of plasmid sequences with similar structures was performed by BLAST Ring Image Generator (BRIG) v0.95.22 (20) and Easyfig win 2.1 (21). Whole-genome phylogenetic trees containing reference isolates were created for the identification of 14-Sa44. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were generated using Snippy v3.1 with default settings (22), and whole-genome alignment was used to infer the MLST phylogenies using Fasttree v2.1.10, with default parameters (23). The phylogenetic tree was visualized by iTOL version 5 (24).

Conjugation and plasmid characterization

The transmission potential of the cefotaxime resistance genes was assessed by performing the conjugation experiment using the filter mating method as previously described, with slight modifications (25, 26). *Salmonella* strains that harbored the cefotaxime resistance genes were used as donor strain, and sodium azide-resistant *E. coli J53* was used as the recipient strain. Transconjugants were selected on eosin methylene blue agar containing sodium azide (100 µg/mL) and cefotaxime (2 µg/mL). The presence of the cefotaxime resistance genes as a marker gene in the plasmid harbored by the transconjugants was determined by PCR (26). The MIC profiles of the transconjugants were determined to confirm that they differed from those of the donor. The sizes of large plasmids of *Salmonella* isolates and corresponding transconjugants were determined by S1-PFGE as previously described (25).

RESULTS

Prevalence of cefotaxime resistant Salmonella strains in retail meat products

A total of 1,038 non-duplicate *Salmonella* strains were isolated from 2,975 food samples (1,711 pork, 529 chicken, 294 beef, and 441 shrimp samples) collected in Shenzhen, China, during 2014–2017. Among the *Salmonella* strains isolated from pork, beef, and chicken samples, 79 exhibited cefotaxime resistance (MIC \geq 4); the resistance rate was 1.9% (3/157) in 2014 and 2.4% (7/286) in 2015, but increased to 12.4% (56/452) in 2016 before dropping to 9.1% (13/143) in 2017 (Table S1); 74.68% of the cefotaxime-resistant *Salmonella* strains were isolated from pork, followed by chicken (21.52%), beef (2.53%), and shrimp (1.27%) (Table 1). The 79 cefotaxime-resistant *Salmonella* strains were found to belong to 13 serotypes, namely, the predominant *Salmonella* enterica serovar Typhimurium (S. Typhimurium) (59.49%) and its monophasic variant *S.* 4,(5),12:i:-(2.53%), *S.* Albany (10.13%), *S.* Indiana (8.86%), *S.* Rissen (3.80%), *S.* Kentucky (2.53%), *S.* London (2.53%), *S.* Stanley (2.53%), *S.* Weltevreden (2.53%), *S.* Meleagridis (1.27%), *S.* Derby (1.27%), *S.* Parkroyal (1.27%), and *S.* Senftenberg (1.27%) (Table 2).

Among the 181 *S*. Typhimurium strains, none of the isolates recovered from 2014 was resistant to cefotaxime, yet the resistance rate was found to increase from 10.5% in 2015 to 38.3% in 2016, but decreased to 25.0% in 2017. The cefotaxime resistance rate of the other two prevalent serotypes, *S*. Rissen and *S*. Derby, was 3.0% (3/99) and 0.4% (1/237), respectively, which is much lower than that of *S*. Typhimurium (Table 2). *S*. Typhimurium

						MIN			ומול	jet mutatior			
Iobition Iopition	Q	Year of	Serotypes	Source of	CTX	CIP	AZI	_ β-Lactamase	gyrA	parC	Other important	Plasmid type	s Estimated
Lie Salet 2014 London Pork >16 2 >22 C/W-H310 - - qm86, gm51, mp104) Incf11 2023 14-5435 2014 Albary Pork >16 2 2 C/W-H35 + + qm66, gm51, mp104) Incf11 2013 14-54115 2015 Ngham Pork >16 1 C/W-H34 + + qm61, gap68, mm101 IncP 2033 54535 2015 Nghamurium Pork >16 1 1 C/W-H34 + + qm61, gap68, mm101 IncP 2033 54535 2015 Nghamurium Pork >16 1 1 C/W-H34 + + qm61, gap68, mm101 IncP 2033 54335 2016 Weltsverden Pork >16 1 1 C/W-H34 + + qm61, gap68, mm101 IncP 2033 54335 2016 Weltsverden Pork 1 1 C/W-H34<		isolation		isolation							acquired resistance genes		size
14.554014IndianPork>16 $>12223CTXAH65+++opod8, em(1)IncH222814.5315013RisemPork>1622CTXAH73++++10010110110154560013RisemPork>16122CTXAH73++++10010110110154563013TyphimutumPork>16012<0.25CTXAH14+++4705110010110154573013TyphimutumPork>16012<0.25CTXAH14+++4705100520354673703TyphimutumPork>161111CTXAH14+++4705100120354732016TyphimutumPork>161111CTXAH55+++4705100154732016VielverdenPork>161111CTXAH55+++4705100154732016VielverdenPork>161111CTXAH55+++4705100154742016TiphimutumPork>161111CTXAH55+++4705100120354732016TiphimutumPork>161111CTXAH55++47051.00488001$	14-Sa44	2014	London	Pork	>16	2	>32	CTX-M-130	ī	I	qnrB6, qnrS1, mph(A)	IncFIB/I1	202,750
145 101 May Pork >16 2 2 CTXM-13 6 7	14-Sa54	2014	Indiana	Pork	>16	>16	>32	CTX-M-65	+	+	oqxAB, erm(T)	IncHI2	228,062
SM33 2013 Bisen Poix >16 0.03 6.02.5 CTX-Mi-1 + <t< td=""><td>14-Sa115</td><td>2014</td><td>Albany</td><td>Pork</td><td>>16</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>CTX-M-130</td><td>+</td><td>I</td><td></td><td>Incl1</td><td>91,411</td></t<>	14-Sa115	2014	Albany	Pork	>16	2	2	CTX-M-130	+	I		Incl1	91,411
5560 2015 Kentucky Cicken >16 1 CT/M+14 + + qmS1, open8 5433 2015 Typhimudim Pork >16 2.23 CT/M+14 + + qmS1, open8 MMP(1) MM 5433 2015 Typhimudim Pork >16 0.12 CT/M+14 + + qmS1, open8 MMC-11 MMP(2) 203 5437 2015 Typhimudim Pork >16 1 1 CT/M+15 + + + qmS1, open8 MMC-11 MMP(2) 835 5473 2016 Indiana Cricken >16 1 1 CT/M+15 + + + qmS1, open8 MMP(2) 855 5473 2016 Indiana Cricken >16 >1 1 CT/M+15 + + qmS1, open8 MMP(2) MMP(2) MMS 859 5473 2016 Indiana Cricken >16 >1 1 <	SA535	2015	Rissen	Pork	>16	0.03	<0.25	CTX-M-27	+	+		IncP	62620
Sisser 2015 Tickian Chickin >16 32 CYXM-14 + + qms1, ogAM8, morl-11 Ine-Hiz 2475 Sisser 2015 Typhimutum Pork 16 0.12 <0.25	SA560	2015	Kentucky	Chicken	>16	16	-	CTX-M-14	+	+	qnrS1		
5533 2015 Typhimutum Pork 16 0.12	SA567	2015	Indiana	Chicken	>16	>16	32	CTX-M-14	+	+	qnrS1, oqxAB, mph(A)	IncN	85,943
3461 2015 Typhimutium Pork >16 0.25 0.55 CTX-M+14 - - qm51, opA68, mc-11 lneHi2 2473 3473 2016 Vibleweden Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M+14 + - qm51, opA68, mp10, mc+12 2473 2473 34735 2016 Vibleweden Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M+35 + - qm51, opA68, mp10, mc+12 2473 24744 244	SA583	2015	Typhimurium	Pork	16	0.12	<0.25	CTX-M-14	I	I	qnrS1, oqxAB, mcr-1.1	IncHI2	247,705
54627 2015 Typhimurum Pork >16 4 1 CTXM-35 - - qm51, opAB IncHiz 247. 54737 2016 Witewenden Pork >16 1 1 1 CTXM-55 - - qm51, opAB IncHiz 238. 54748 2016 Witewenden Pork >16 1 1 CTXM-55 - - qm51, opAB, mp1(N) IncHiz 238. 54798 2016 Indiana Chicken >16 >1 1 CTXM-55 + + + qm51, opAB, mp1(N) IncHiz 238. 54795 2016 Indiana Chicken >16 >1 2 CTXM+14 + + + qm51, opAB, mp1(N) IncHi 59. 5430 2016 Piphimurum Pork >16 1 2 CTXM+14 + + qm51, opAB, mp1(N) IncHi 59. 59. 59. 59. 59. 59.	SA617	2015	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.25	0.5	CTX-M-14	I	I	qnrS1, oqxAB, mcr-1.1	IncHI2	247,705
SX727 2015 Typhimuu Pork 716 1 1 CTX-M-55 - - qmB19,qm51 Tanspoon 393 SX448 2016 Witewreten Pork 716 1 1 CTX-M-55 - - qm51 Incluz 2243 SX495 2016 Witewreten Pork 716 71 1 CTX-M-55 - - qm51 incluz 2233 2233 SX495 2016 Indiana Chiclen 716 522 CTX-M-14 + + - qm51 incluz 233 SX495 2016 Indiana Chiclen 716 522 CTX-M-14 + + - qm51 incluz 233 233 234<	SA627	2015	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	4	-	CTX-M-14	+	I	qnrS1, oqxAB	IncHI2	247,705
SA745 2016 Welterveden Pork >16 1 1 CTX-Me5 - - qm51 IncHi2 2238 SA748 2016 Indiana Chicken >16 1 1 CTX-Me5 - - qm51 incHi2 2238 SA796 2016 Indiana Chicken >16 >1 2 CTX-Me14 + + qm51, optA8, mph(A) incHi2 2383 SA797 2016 Indiana Chicken >16 >1 2 CTX-Me14 + + qm51, optA8, mph(A) incHi2 359 SA877 2016 Typhimut Pork >16 1 2 CTX-Me5 + + qm51, optA8, mph(A) incHi2 359 SA902 2016 Typhimut Pork >16 0.3 1 CTX-Me5 + + qm51, optA8, mph(A) incHi2 359 SA902 2016 Typhimut Pork >16 0.3 1 <td>SA727</td> <td>2015</td> <td>Typhimurium</td> <td>Pork</td> <td>>16</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>CTX-M-55</td> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td>qnrB19, qnrS1</td> <td>Transposon</td> <td>8,993</td>	SA727	2015	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	-	1	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrB19, qnrS1	Transposon	8,993
SA748 2016 Weterveden Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M65 - - qm51 (ncH12 2230 SA795 2016 Indiana Cricken >16 >16 >232 CTX-M14 + + qm51, opA8, mp10/h IncH12 S235 SA795 2016 Indiana Cricken >16 >1 2 CTX-M14 + + qm51, opA8, mp10/h IncH12 S53 SA837 2016 Indiana Cricken >16 1 2 CTX-M35 + + qm51, opA8, mp10/h IncH12 S53 SA832 2016 Typhimuru Pork >16 1 2 CTX-M35 + + + qm51, opA8, mp10/h IncH12 243, SA932 2016 Typhimuru Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M35 + + + qm51, opA8, mp10/h IncH12 244, SA932 2016 Typhimuru Pork	SA745	2016	Weltevreden	Pork	>16	-	1	CTX-M-65	I	I	qnrS1	IncHI2	228,062
SA795 2016 Indiana Chicken >16 >16 >22 CTX-M-14 + + qmr51, ogx48, mph(M) incN S59 SA797 2016 Indiana Chicken >16 >16 >22 CTX-M-14 + + qmr51, ogx48, mph(M) incN S59 SA87 2016 Indiana Chicken >16 >12 CTX-M-55 + + qmr51, ogx48, mph(M) incN S59 SA87 2016 Parknoyal Pork >16 1 22 CTX-M-55 + + qmr51, ogx48, mph(M) incN S59 SA902 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-55 + + qmr51, ogx48, mph(M) incH12 247. SA903 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-55 + + qmr51, ogx48, mcr11 incH12 247. SA903 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1<	SA748	2016	Weltevreden	Pork	>16	-	1	CTX-M-65	I	I	qnrS1	IncHI2	228,062
SA796 2016 Indiana Chicken >16 >16 >23 CTX-M-14 + + + qmS1, ogA8, mph(A) Incl S53 SA877 2016 Albany Chicken >16 >16 >32 CTX-M-13 + + qmS1, ogA8, mph(A) Incl S53 SA877 2016 Albany Chicken >16 >12 CTX-M-55 + + qmS1, ogA8, mph(A) Incl S53 SA802 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.3 1 CTX-M-55 + + qmS1, ogA8, mph(A) Incl 393 SA902 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.3 1 CTX-M-55 + + + qmS1, ogA8, mph(A) Incl 344 SA903 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.3 1 CTX-M-55 - - qmS1, mph(A) Incl 344 SA912 2016 Typhimurium Pork >	SA795	2016	Indiana	Chicken	>16	>16	>32	CTX-M-14	+	+	qnrS1, oqxAB, mph(A)	IncN	85,943
SA797 2016 Indiana Chicken >16 >16 >32 CTX-M-13 + + qmc51, oqx48, mph(4) Indi S3-3 SA877 2016 Pakroyal Chicken >16 1 2 CTX-M-55 + + + qmc51, oqx48, mph(4) Indi 35-3 SA892 2016 Typhimurum Pork >16 32 CTX-M-55 + + + qmc51, oqx48, mph(4) Indi 35-3 SA902 2016 Typhimurum Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-55 + + + + - qmc51, oqx48, mp(14) Indi 35-3 SA912 2016 Typhimurum Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-55 + + + - qmc51, oqx48, mc1-11 IncH11 244; SA935 2016 Typhimurum Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-55 + + + - qmc51, mc111 IncH11	SA796	2016	Indiana	Chicken	>16	>16	>32	CTX-M-14	+	+	qnrS1, oqxAB, mph(A)	IncN	85,943
SAB37 2016 Albany Chicken >16 1 2 CTX-M-55 + - qm619, qm51 SAB36 2016 Pakroyal Pork >16 32 CTX-M-55 + - qm51, ogxA8, mp1(A) hm12 237, 237, SAB02 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.3 1 CTX-M-55 + + - qm51, ogxA8, mp1(A) hm12 244;	SA797	2016	Indiana	Chicken	>16	>16	>32	CTX-M-14	+	+	qnrS1, oqxAB, mph(A)	IncN	85,943
SAB96 2016 Parkroyal Pork >16 >12 CTX-M-55 + - qmr51, ogxAB, mph(A) incHi2 2375, 2375 SA902 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.3 1 CTX-M-55 - - qmr51, ogxAB, mph(A) incHi1 2475, 2475, 2475, 2475 SA917 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 4 CTX-M-14 - - qmr51, ogrAB, mcr1.1 incHi1 2447, 2447, 2447, 2447 SA925 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 4 CTX-M-14 - - qmr51, ogrAB, mcr1.1 incHi1 2447, 2447, 2447, 2447 SA035 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.1 1 1 CTX-M-14 + - qmr51, ogrAB, mcr1.1 incHi12 247, 2447, 2447, 2447, 2447 SA035 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-14 + - qmr51, ogrAB, mcr1.1 incHi12 247, 2447, 2447, 2447, 2447	SA837	2016	Albany	Chicken	>16	-	2	CTX-M-55	+	Ι	qnrB19, qnrS1		
SA902 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.3 1 CTX-M-55 - - qm51 Transposon 8.993 SA910 2016 Rissen Pork >16 0.03 1 CTX-M-55 + + + - qm51 IncHil 186, SA932 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-14 - - qm51 IncHil 247, SA943 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 4 CTX-M-14 - - qm51 IncHil 247, SA943 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.2 1 CTX-M-14 + - qm51 IncHil 247, SA943 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.2 1 CTX-M-14 + - qm51 pm51	SA896	2016	Parkroyal	Pork	>16	>16	32	CTX-M-55	+	Ι	qnrS1, oqxAB, mph(A)	IncHI2	257,945
SA910 2016 Risen Park >16 0.03 1 CTX-M-65 + + + IncHl 186. SA927 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-14 - - - qm51 incHl1 244. SA938 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 4 CTX-M-14 - - - qm51 mcH1 244.	SA902	2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.5	-	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrS1	Transposon	8,993
SA927 2016 Senftenberg Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-14 - - qm51 IncH11 244. SA938 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 4 CTX-M-14 - - qm51 IncH11 244. SA938 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 4 CTX-M-14 + - qm51 IncH12 247. SA935 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-14 + - qm51 IncH12 247. SA103 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-14 + - qm51 Inasposon 893 SA1048 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-14 + - qm51 pm61 247. SA107 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.03 1 CTX-M-14 <	SA910	2016	Rissen	Pork	>16	0.03	-	CTX-M-65	+	+		IncFII	186,499
SA938 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 4 CTX-M-14 - - qmr51, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 247. SA945 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.5 1 CTX-M-55 - - qmr51, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 247. SA956 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 1 1 CTX-M-55 - - qmr51, optA8, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 247. SA1034 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-14 + - qmr51, optA8, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 247. SA1048 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.03 1 CTX-M-14 + - qmr51, optA8, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 247. SA107 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 1 2 CTX-M-14 + - qmr51, optA8, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 247. SA107 2016 Typhimurium <	SA927	2016	Senftenberg	Pork	>16	-	-	CTX-M-14	I	I	qnrS1	IncH11	244,597
SA945 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.5 1 CTX-M-55 - - qmr51, ogxAB, mcr-11.1 Transposon 8/93 SA956 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 1 - - - qmr51, ogxAB, mcr-11.1 IncHi2 247, SA1034 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 1 - - - qmr51, ogxAB, mcr-11.1 IncHi2 247, SA1048 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.03 1 CTX-M-14 + + - qmr51, ogxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHi2 247, SA1047 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.03 1 CTX-M-14 + + - qmr51, ogxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHi2 247, SA1047 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.03 1 CTX-M-14 + - - - - - - - - -	SA938	2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.12	4	CTX-M-14	I	Ι	qnrS1, mcr-1.1	IncHI2	247,705
SA956 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 4 2 CTX-M-14 + - qmrS1, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncH12 247.7 SA1034 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-55 - - qmrS1, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncH12 247.7 SA1048 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.03 1 CTX-M-14 + - qmrS1, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncH12 247.7 SA1077 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.03 1 CTX-M-14 + - qmrS1, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncH12 247.7 SA1077 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.03 1 CTX-M-14 + - qmrS1, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncH12 247.7 SA1087 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.06 1 CTX-M-14 + - qmrS1, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncH12 247.7 SA1085 2016 Typhimurium	SA945	2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.5	-	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrS1	Transposon	8,993
SA1034 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-55 - - qm51 Transposon 8/903 SA1048 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-14 + - qm51, oqxAB, mcr-11 IncHl2 2473 SA1049 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.03 1 CTX-M-14 + - qm51, oqxAB, mcr-11.1 IncHl2 2473 SA1077 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.03 1 CTX-M-14 - - oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 2473 SA1085 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.06 1 CTX-M-14 - - - oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 2473 SA1085 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.06 1 CTX-M-14 - - - - - 2473 SA1082 2016 Meleagridis Chicken <td>SA956</td> <td>2016</td> <td>Typhimurium</td> <td>Pork</td> <td>>16</td> <td>4</td> <td>2</td> <td>CTX-M-14</td> <td>+</td> <td>I</td> <td>qnrS1, oqxAB, mcr-1.1</td> <td>IncHI2</td> <td>247,705</td>	SA956	2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	4	2	CTX-M-14	+	I	qnrS1, oqxAB, mcr-1.1	IncHI2	247,705
SA1048 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 2 1 CTX-M-14 + - qm51, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHI2 247.7 SA1059 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.03 1 CTX-M-14 + - qm51, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHI2 247.7 SA1077 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 1 2 CTX-M-14 - - oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHI2 247.7 SA1072 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.06 1 CTX-M-14 - - oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHI2 247.7 SA1082 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.06 1 CTX-M-14 - - oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHI2 247.7 SA1082 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.06 1 CTX-M-14 - - oqrAB, mcr-1.1 IncHI2 247.7 SA108 2016 Typhimurium Pork	SA1034	2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	-	-	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrS1	Transposon	8,993
SA1069 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.03 1 CTX-M-14 - - oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 247.7 SA1077 2016 Albany Chicken >16 1 2 CTX-M-55 - - oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 247.7 SA1077 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 2 CTX-M-55 - - oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 247.7 SA1082 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.06 1 CTX-M-14 - - oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 247.7 SA1082 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 1 CTX-M-14 - - oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 247.7 SA1105 2016 Meleagridis Chicken >16 1 16 CTX-M-14 + + + 40751 mp(A) SA1158 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.5 CTX-	SA1048	2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	2	-	CTX-M-14	+	I	qnrS1, oqxAB, mcr-1.1	IncHI2	247,705
SA107 2016 Albany Chicken >16 1 2 CTX-M-55 - - - SA1085 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.06 1 CTX-M-14 - - - 247; SA1085 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.06 1 CTX-M-14 - - - qm51, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncH12 247; SA105 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 1 16 CTX-M-15 - - - qm751, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncH12 247; SA1105 2016 Kentucky Chicken >16 1 16 CTX-M-14 + + + qm761, mpH(A) SA1158 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.5 CTX-M-14 + + + qm51, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncH12 247; SA1265 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 0.5 CTX-M-14 <	SA1069	2016	Typhimurium	Pork	16	0.03	-	CTX-M-14	I	I	oqxAB, mcr-1.1	IncHI2	247,705
5A1085 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.06 1 CTX-M-14 - - oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 247.7 SA1092 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.06 1 CTX-M-14 - - oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 247.7 SA1092 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.06 1 CTX-M-14 - - oqrAB, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 247.7 SA1158 2016 Meleagridis Chicken >16 1 16 CTX-M-55 - - oqrAB, mcr-1.1 IncHl2 247.7 SA1158 2016 Kentucky Chicken >16 0.5 CTX-M-14 + + + - opr86, qmr51, mph(A) SA1268 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 0.5 CTX-M-14 + + + - 247.7 SA1268 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 <	SA1077	2016	Albany	Chicken	>16	-	2	CTX-M-55	I	I			
SA1092 2016 Typhimurium Pork 16 0.06 1 CTX-M-14 - - qmr51, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncH12 247.7 SA1105 2016 Meleagridis Chicken >16 1 16 CTX-M-55 - - qmr51, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncH12 247.7 SA1158 2016 Kentucky Chicken >16 1 16 CTX-M-14 + + + qmr51, mph(A) SA1158 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.5 CTX-M-14 + + + qmr51 qmr51, mph(A) SA1266 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 0.5 CTX-M-14 + + + 10r51, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncH12 247.7 SA1268 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 0.5 CTX-M-155 + + - qmr51, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncH12 247.7 SA1266 2016 Typhimurium Pork <td>SA1085</td> <td>2016</td> <td>Typhimurium</td> <td>Pork</td> <td>>16</td> <td>0.06</td> <td>-</td> <td>CTX-M-14</td> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td>oqxAB, mcr-1.1</td> <td>IncHI2</td> <td>247,705</td>	SA1085	2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.06	-	CTX-M-14	I	I	oqxAB, mcr-1.1	IncHI2	247,705
SA1105 2016 Meleagridis Chicken >16 1 16 CTX-M-55 - - qmB6, qm71, mph(A) SA1158 2016 Kentucky Chicken >16 16 0.5 CTX-M-14 + + qm751, mph(A) SA1158 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 16 0.5 CTX-M-14 + + qm751 247; SA1266 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 0.5 CTX-M-14 + - qm71, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncH12 247; SA1268 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 0.5 CTX-M-155 + - qm71, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncH12 247; SA1265 2016 Albany Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-55 + - qm51 Tansposon 8,99: SA1266 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.5 0.5 CTX-M-55 + - <	SA1092	2016	Typhimurium	Pork	16	0.06	-	CTX-M-14	I	I	qnrS1, oqxAB, mcr-1.1	IncHI2	247,705
SA1158 2016 Kentucky Chicken >16 16 0.5 CTX-M-14 + + qnr51 SA1266 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 2 2 CTX-M-14 + + + 247; SA1266 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 0.5 CTX-M-14 + - qnr51, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncH12 247; SA1268 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.1 0.5 CTX-M-55 + - qnr51 IncH12 247; SA1265 2016 Albany Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-55 + - qnr51 IncH12 247; SA1266 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-55 + - qnr51 Transposon 8,99:	SA1105	2016	Meleagridis	Chicken	>16	-	16	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrB6, qnrS1, mph(A)		
SA1226 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 2 2 CTX-M-14 + - qnr51, oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHI2 247,7 SA1258 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 0.5 CTX-M-14 - - oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHI2 247,7 SA1265 2016 Albany Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-55 + - oqrS1 247,7 SA1265 2016 Albany Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-55 + - oprS1 SA1266 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.5 0.5 CTX-M-55 + - oprS1 Transposon 8,995	SA1158	2016	Kentucky	Chicken	>16	16	0.5	CTX-M-14	+	+	qnrS1		
SA1258 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.12 0.5 CTX-M-14 - - oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHI2 247,7 SA1265 2016 Albany Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-55 + - oqxAB, mcr-1.1 IncHI2 247,7 SA1265 2016 Albany Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-55 + - oqrS1 SA1266 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.5 0.5 CTX-M-55 - - oqrS1 Transposon 8,995	SA1226	2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	2	2	CTX-M-14	+	I	qnrS1, oqxAB, mcr-1.1	IncHI2	247,705
SA1265 2016 Albany Pork >16 1 1 CTX-M-55 + – qnr51 SA1266 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.5 0.5 CTX-M-55 – – qnr51 Transposon 8,99:	SA1258	2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.12	0.5	CTX-M-14	I	I	oqxAB, mcr-1.1	IncHI2	247,705
SA1266 2016 Typhimurium Pork >16 0.5 0.5 CTX-M-55 – – – gnrS1 Transposon 8,99:	SA1265	2016	Albany	Pork	>16	-	1	CTX-M-55	+	I	qnrS1		
	SA1266	2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.5	0.5	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrS1	Transposon	8,993

Year of isolation					,			חבר ווות ומרויהו			
isolation	serotypes	Source of	CTX	CIP	AZI	β-Lactamase	gyrA	parC	Other important	Plasmid type	s Estimated
		isolation							acquired resistance genes		size
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.5	-	CTX-M-55	I	1	gnrS1	Transposon	8,993
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.5	-	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrS1	Transposon	8,993
2016	Albany	Chicken	>16	-	-	CTX-M-55	+	I	qnrS11		
2016	Albany	Chicken	>16	-	-	CTX-M-55	+	I	qnrS1		
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.5	-	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrS1	Transposon	8,993
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.5	-	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrS1	Transposon	8,993
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.5	-	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrS1	Transposon	8,993
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.5	-	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrS1	Transposon	8,993
2016	Albany	Pork	>16	0.5	2	CTX-M-55	+	I			
2016	Albany	Chicken	>16	٦	2	CTX-M-55	+	I			
2016	Stanley	Pork	>16	2	16	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrS1, mph(A)	IncHI2	257,945
2016	Stanley	Shrimp	>16	0.5	0.25	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrS1		
2016	4,[5],12:i:-	Pork	>16	-	-	CTX-M-14	+	I	oqxAB, mcr-1.1	IncHI2	247,705
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	>16	8	CTX-M-14	+	I	oqxAB, mcr-1.1	IncHI2	247,705
2016	Typhimurium	Beef	>16	-	-	CTX-M-65	I	I	qnrS1	IncHI2	228,062
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	-	0.5	CTX-M-65	I	I	qnrS1	IncHI2	228,062
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	2	2	CTX-M-14	+	I	oqxAB, mcr-1.1	IncHI2	247,705
2016	Typhimurium	Beef	>16	-	2	CTX-M-65	I	I	qnrS1	IncHI2	228,062
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	4	2	CTX-M-14	+	I	qnrS1, qnrVC5, oqxAB,	IncHI2	247,705
									mcr-1.1		
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.5	0.5	CTX-M-55/65	I	I	qnrS1	IncHI2	228,062
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	-	-	CTX-M-65	I	I	qnrS1, qnrVC5	IncHI2	228,062
2016	Typhimurium	Chicken	>16	-	0.5	CTX-M-65	I	I	qnrS1	IncHI2	228,062
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	-	-	CTX-M-65	I	I	qnrS1	IncHI2	228,062
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	-	0.5	CTX-M-65	I	I	qnrS1	IncHI2	228,062
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	-	-	CTX-M-65	I	I	qnrS1	IncHI2	228,062
2016	Derby	Pork	>16	8	0.5	CMY-2	I	I	qnrS2, oqxAB	IncFII	98,807
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	-	-	CTX-M-65	I	I	qnrS1	IncHI2	228,062
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.5	0.5	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrS1	Transposon	8,993
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.5	-	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrS1	Transposon	8,993
2016	Indiana	Chicken	>16	16	0.5	CTX-M-14	+	+	qnrS13, oqxAB	IncHI2	247,705
2016	Typhimurium	Pork	8	-	>32	CTX-M-14	+	I	oqxAB, mph(A), mcr-1.	1 IncHI2	247,705
2017	4,[5],12:i:-	Pork	>16	-	0.5	CTX-M-65	Ι	I	qnrS1	IncHI2	228,062
2017	Tvphimurium	Pork	>16	2	8	CTX-M-14	I	I	gnrS1	IncHI2	247,705
	in the second seco										

					MIC			Tarno	t mutation			
								- Andrew				
Q	Year of	Serotypes	Source of	CTX	CIP	AZI	β-Lactamase	gyrA	parC	Other important	Plasmid types	Estimated
	isolation		isolation							acquired resistance		size
										genes		
SA1853	2017	London	Pork	>16	-	0.5	CTX-M-65	I	I	qnrB6		
SA1857	2017	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.5	-	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrS1	Transposon	8,993
SA1969	2017	Typhimurium	Chicken	>16	>16	16	CTX-M-14	+	I	oqxAB	IncHI2	247,705
SA1973	2017	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	>16	8	CTX-M-65	+	I	oqxAB	IncHI2	228,062
SA1974	2017	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	-	-	CTX-M-65	I	I	qnrS1	IncHI2	228,062
SA1976	2017	Indiana	Chicken	>16	-	-	CTX-M-55	+	+	qnrS1	IncHI2	257,945
SA2004	2017	Rissen	Chicken	>16	>16	-	CMY-2	+	I		IncFII	98,807
SA2041	2017	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	4	-	CTX-M-14	+	I	oqxAB, mcr-1.1	IncHI2	247,705
SA2074	2017	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	0.5	2	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrS1	Transposon	8,993
SA2075	2017	Typhimurium	Pork	>16	-	-	CTX-M-55	I	I	qnrS1	Transposon	8,993
^a CTX, Cefotaxim€	; CIP, Ciprofloxaci	n; AZI, Azithromycin.										

		No. of C	TX ^r isolates/No. o	of isolates (%)	
Serovar	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total
Typhimurium	0/22 (0.0)	4/38 (10.5)	34/89 (38.2)	9/32 (28.1)	47/181 (26.0)
Albany	1/3 (33.3)	0/1 (0.0)	7/9 (77.8)	0/0 (0.0)	8/13 (61.5)
Indiana	1/4 (25.0)	1/5 (20.0)	4/7 (57.1)	1/4 (25.0)	7/20 (35.0)
Rissen	0/20 (0.0)	1/41 (2.4)	1/24 (4.2)	1/14 (7.1)	3/99 (3.0)
Kentucky	0/0 (0.0)	1/6 (16.7)	1/12 (5.9)	0/15 (0.0)	2/38 (5.3)
London	1/20 (5.0)	0/10 (0.0)	0/22 (0.0)	1/9 (11.1)	2/61 (3.3)
4,[5],12:i:-	0/0 (0.0)	0/0 (0.0)	1/2 (50.0)	1/4 (25.0)	2/6 (33.3)
Stanley	0/5 (0.0)	0/12 (0.0)	2/7 (28.6)	0/1 (0.0)	2/25 (8.0)
Weltevreden	0/3 (0.0)	0/9 (0.0)	2/3 (66.7)	0/1 (0.0)	2/16 (12.5)
Meleagridis	0/9 (0.0)	0/2 (0.0)	1/7 (14.3)	0/3 (0.0)	1/21 (4.8)
Derby	0/52 (0.0)	0/74 (0.0)	1/81 (1.2)	0/30 (0.0)	1/237 (0.4)
Parkroyal	0/0 (0.0)	0/0 (0.0)	1/1 (100.0)	0/0 (0.0)	1/1 (100.0)
Senftenberg	0/0 (0.0)	0/5 (0.0)	1/9 (11.0)	0/0 (0.0)	1/14 (7.1)

TABLE 2 Rate of cefotaxime resistance among strains of various Salmonella serovars^a

^aCTXr: Cefotaxime resistant.

accounted for 70% (n = 42) of pork-borne cefotaxime-resistant *Salmonella* strains and was the only serovar detectable in beef-borne cefotaxime-resistant *Salmonella* isolates. Among the 17 cefotaxime-resistant *Salmonella* strains isolated from chicken samples, the two most common serotypes were *S*. Indiana (29.4%) and *S*. Albany (29.4%). The unique shrimp-borne cefotaxime-resistant *Salmonella* strain belonged to the serotype *S*. Stanley. The MLST results showed that most of the *S*. Typhimurium and its monophasic variant isolates belonged to the same sequence type, namely, ST34, and that only one *S*. Typhimurium strain was ST19. Among strains of the other serovars, the relationship between the serovar and the MLST profile of each strain was found to be unique and tightly linked, with no significant genetic differences detectable among strains within the same serotype (Fig. 1).

Antimicrobial susceptibility of cefotaxime-resistant Salmonella

All the 79 cefotaxime-resistant foodborne *Salmonella* strains were found to be multidrug resistant (MDR) (Table S2). The rate of resistance to different classes of antimicrobial drugs is as follows: β -lactams: ampicillin (98.73%), ceftriaxone (100.00%); fluoroquinolones: ciprofloxacin (24.05%), nalidixic acid (49.37%); aminoglycosides: amikacin (5.06%), kanamycin (45.57%); macrolides: azithromycin (10.13%); tetracyclines: tetracycline (96.20%); phenicols: chloramphenicol (73.42%); and folate pathway inhibitors: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (94.94%). Resistance to meropenem was not detectable. Among the cefotaxime-resistant *Salmonella* strains, the rate of resistance to ciprofloxacin was relatively high (> 30%) during the study period, except for the year 2016, when a resistance rate of 17.86% was recorded. The chicken-borne *Salmonella* strains exhibited higher rate of resistance to nearly all the antimicrobial drugs than strains recovered from pork, especially the key antimicrobial drugs for the treatment of *Salmonella* infections, namely, ciprofloxacin (52.94% vs 16.95%) and azithromycin (23.53% vs 6.78%); tetracycline was an exception. In addition, only chicken-borne *Salmonella* strains were resistant to amikacin (Table 3).

Genetic basis of cefotaxime resistance in Salmonella

DNA sequencing studies identified that seven CTX-M types β -lactamase genes were mostly responsible for cefotaxime resistance in the foodborne *Salmonella* strains, including one CTX-M-1 group gene: *bla*_{CTX-M-55}; four CTX-M-9 group genes: *bla*_{CTX-M-14}, *bla*_{CTX-M-65}, *bla*_{CTX-M-130}, and *bla*_{CTX-M-27}; and one AmpC β -lactamase-encoding gene: *bla*_{CMY-2}. The most common genes were *bla*_{CTX-M-55}, *bla*_{CTX-M-14}, and *bla*_{CTX-M-65}, accounting for 35.44%, 34.18%, and 22.78% of the test strains, respectively. In particular,



FIG 1 The phylogenetic tree of 79 cefotaxime-resistant foodborne *Salmonella* strains recovered during 2014–2017. From the inside to the outside, each circle represents the serovar, ST types, source, isolation time, cefotaxime-resistant genes, and distribution of cefotaxime-resistant genes, respectively.

strain SA1541 harbored both the CTX-M-1 group gene $bla_{CTX-M-55}$ and the CTX-M-9 group gene $bla_{CTX-M-65}$. The other five genes were less frequently found (< 3.00%). The prevalence rate of $bla_{CTX-M-55}$ increased from 14.29% (1/7) in 2015 to 40.35% (23/57) in 2016 and then decreased slightly to 33.33% (4/12), whereas that of $bla_{CTX-M-14}$ decreased from 71.43% (5/7) in 2015 to 33.33% (19/57) in 2016 and 25.00% (3/12) in 2017. Among the 47 cefotaxime-resistant *S*. Typhimurium strains, the most prevalent genes were $bla_{CTX-M-14}$, $bla_{CTX-M-55}$, and $bla_{CTX-M-65}$, accounting for 38.30%, 34.04%, and 25.53% of the test strains, respectively; 87.50% (n = 8) of *S*. Albany strains harbored $bla_{CTX-M-55}$, and 71.43% (n = 7) of *S*. Indiana strains carried $bla_{CTX-M-14}$ (Table S3).

The test strains were also found to contain other β -lactamase genes such as those of the class A β -lactamase gene family [bla_{TEM-1B} (31.64%), bla_{CARB-2} (8.86%), and bla_{LAP-2} (2.53%)] and class D beta-lactamase gene family [bla_{OXA-10} (18.99%) and bla_{OXA-1} (6.33%)]. In addition, a variety of horizontally acquired antibiotic resistance genes were detectable; these include the rifampicin resistance gene *ARR-3*, the aminoglycoside resistance genes *aac*(6')-*laa*, *aph* (6)-*ld*, *aph*(3 '7)-*lb*, and *aac* (3)-*lva*; the phenicol resistance genes *floR*, *drfA14*, and *drfA1*; the macrolide resistance genes *lnu*(*F*) and *mph*(*A*); the fosfomycin resistance gene *fosA*; the colistin resistance gene *mcr-1.1*; the quinolone resistance genes *qnrS*, *qnrB*, *qnrVC*, and *oqxAB*; the sulfonamide resistance genes *sul1*, *sul2*, and *sul3*; and the tetracycline resistance genes *tet*(*B*) *tet*(*A*) (Fig. 2)

	·	No	%) of CTX ^r iso	lates (%) in			No (%) of CTX	isolates (%)	in
Antimicrobial	Overall	2014	2015	2016	2017	Pork	Chicken	Beef	Shrimp
drugs	(<i>n</i> = 79)	(<i>n</i> = 3)	(<i>n</i> = 7)	(<i>n</i> = 56)	(<i>n</i> = 13)	(<i>n</i> = 59)	(<i>n</i> = 17)	(<i>n</i> =2)	(<i>n</i> = 1)
AMP ^a	78 (98.73)	2 (67.67)	7 (100)	56 (100)	13 (100)	58 (98.31)	17 (100)	2 (100)	1 (100)
СТХ	79 (100)	3 (100)	7 (100)	56 (100)	13 (100)	59 (100)	17 (100)	2 (100)	1 (100)
CRO	79 (100)	3 (100)	7 (100)	56 (100)	13 (100)	59 (100)	17 (100)	2 (100)	1 (100)
MRP	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
CHL	58 (73.42)	3 (100)	4 (57.14)	41 (73.21)	10 (76.92)	43 (72.88)	13 (76.47)	2 (100)	0 (0)
SXT	75 (94.94)	3 (100)	7 (100)	54 (96.43)	11 (84.62)	55 (93.22)	17 (100)	2 (100)	1 (100)
TET	76 (96.20)	2 (67.67)	6 (85.71)	55 (98.21)	13 (100)	57 (96.61)	16 (94.12)	2 (100)	1 (100)
CIP	19 (24.05)	1 (33.33)	3 (42.86)	10 (17.86)	5 (38.46)	10 (16.95)	9 (52.94)	0 (0)	0 (0)
NAL	39 (49.37)	3 (100)	3 (42.86)	24 (42.86)	9 (69.23)	24 (40.68)	15 (88.24)	0 (0)	0 (0)
АМК	4 (5.06)	0 (0)	1 (14.29)	3 (5.36)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (23.53)	0 (0)	0 (0)
AZI	8 (10.13)	2 (67.67)	1 (14.29)	4 (7.14)	1 (7.69)	4 (6.78)	4 (23.53)	0 (0)	0 (0)
KAN	36 (45.57)	1 (33.33)	4 (57.14)	23 (41.07)	8 (61.51)	19 (32.20)	11 (64.71)	2 (100)	0 (0)

TABLE 3 The rate of antimicrobial resistance among cefotaxime-resistant foodborne Salmonella strains^a

^aAMP, Ampicillin; CTX, Cefotaxime; CRO, Ceftriaxone; MRP, Meropenem; CHL, Chloramphenicol; SXT, Sulfamethoxazole/Trimethoprim; TET, Tetracycline; CIP, Ciprofloxacin; NAL, Nalidixic acid; AMK, Amikacin; AZI, Azithromycin; KAN, Kanamycin.

Mobile genetic elements containing cefotaxime resistance genes

Majority of bla_{CTX-M} were plasmid-borne, and the rest were found in the chromosome and transposon. While bla_{CMY-2} gene was only detectable in plasmids. The BLAST results showed that all the contigs in 79 cefotaxime-resistant strains exhibited >99% identity and >96% coverage to structurally similar plasmids recorded in the NCBI database (Fig. S1 to S9), indicating the estimated replicon type and size of plasmids harbored cefotaxime resistance genes. Among the seven plasmid replicons that carried these genes, IncHI2 was predominant (50.63%, 40/79), followed by IncN (5.06%, 4/79) and IncFII (3.80%, 3/79). The detection frequency of IncFIB/I1, IncHI1, IncP, and IncI1 plasmids was 1.27% in each case (1/79). The rest of the cefotaxime resistance genes were located in the transposon and the chromosome (20.25% and 15.19%), respectively (Table 4).

On the contrary, 57.1% (16/28) of *bla*_{CTX-M-55} was found located in a chromosomal IS26-mediated composite transposon (GenBank accession number: MN619286.1) previously reported to be recovered from *Salmonella* strains in China (27); 10.7% of *bla*_{CTX-M-55} was located in IncHI2 plasmids and exhibited a high degree of sequence similarity to the pOYZ4 plasmid (GenBank accession number: MN539018.1) recovered from foodborne *Salmonella* strains.

About 74.1% (20/27) of *bla*_{CTX-M-14} was found located in IncHI2 plasmids, which are structurally highly similar (>99% identity) to the pLS44712-MCR plasmid (GenBank accession number: CP035918.1) recovered from clinical *Salmonella* strains in China; 14.8% of *bla*_{CTX-M-14} was carried by IncN plasmids that exhibited high-level sequence identity (>99%) to the pT-HNK130-3 plasmid (GenBank accession number: CP047128.1) recovered from *E. coli* strains in China; and 3.7% of *bla*_{CTX-M-14} was located in a IncHI1 plasmid that exhibited a high degree of sequence identity to the plasmid pSESen3709_1 (GenBank accession number: AP020333.1), which was recovered from *Salmonella* strains in Japan.

About 89.5% (17/19) of *bla*_{CTX-M-65} was located in a IncHI2 plasmid, the structure of which was highly similar (>99% identity) to plasmid pST95-32-1 (GenBank accession number: CP043951.1), which was recovered from *E. coli* strains in China; 5.3% of *bla*_{CTX-M-65} was located in a IncFII plasmid that exhibited a high degree of sequence identity to plasmid p2EC1-1 (GenBank accession number: CP047572.1), which was recovered from a *E. coli* strain in Singapore. The *bla*_{CTX-M-130} harbored by a *Salmonella* London strain, 14-Sa44, was found located in a IncII plasmid pSA44-CRO (GenBank accession number: MH430883.1) and a fusion IncFIB/I1 plasmid pSa44-CIP-CRO (GenBank accession number: MH430881.1) reported previously (28). The *bla*_{CTX-M-130} was also detectable in a pSA44-CRO-like IncI1 plasmid in *Salmonella*. Albany strain 14-Sa115. The



FIG 2 Heatmap of antibiotic resistance genes and plasmid replicon types among 79 cefotaxime-resistant foodborne *Salmonella* strains recovered during 2014–2017. The location means the distribution of cefotaxime-resistant genes. In the resistance genes-bearing region, red squares denote the carriage of specific resistance gene; a means ciprofloxacin MIC, b means azithromycin MIC, and * means the target mutation of *gyrA* or *parc* gene.

nanopore sequencing data depicted carriage of a unique *bla*_{CTX-M-27} in a 62,602 bp IncP plasmid named pSA535-CTX-M-27 (GenBank accession number: OP328419). The 62,602 bp IncP plasmid exhibited a high degree of similarity (98% coverage and 99.37% identity) to a 50,634 bp IncP plasmid known as pS163-2.1 (GenBank accession number CP058710.1). IncP plasmid pS163-2.1 was recovered from animal sourced *E. coli* in Guangzhou (Fig. 3A). Compared to pSA163-2.1, pSA535-CTX-M-27 contained more *tra* genes, including *traN*, *tral*, and *traJ*. Both plasmids harbored *traB*, *traC*, *traF*, *traG*, and *traL*, as well as a *bla*_{CTX-M-27} flanked by IS*Ecp1* and IS*903B*, but not other antibiotic resistance genes (Fig. 3B). Two *bla*_{CMY-2} were found located in a IncFII plasmid that was structurally similar to the pSJC33-3 plasmid (GenBank accession number: CP080258.1) recoverable from a *E. coli* strain in China.

Analysis of the genetic environment of the resistance genes in the test strains showed that IS26-ESBLs-IS26 was the most prevalent genetic structure, followed by IS26-ESBLs-IS903B and ISEcp1-ESBLs-IS903B, accounting for 49.37% and 26.58%, respectively. The bla_{CTX-M-55} was found in three different genetic structures, including the dominant chromosomal transposon-borne structure of IS26-ISEcp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-qnrS1-IS26, a multidrug-resistance region of IS26-bla_{LAP-2}-bla_{TEM-1b}-Tn2-ISEcp1-bla_{CTX-M-55}-orf-Tn2-IS2-qnrS1-IS26 in the IncHI2 plasmid and the chromosomal ISEcp1-blaCTX-M-55 element. The most prevalent structure harboring blaCTX-M-14 was IS26-blaCTX-M-14-fosA-IS26 in the IncHI2 plasmid, followed by IS26-bla_{CTX-M-14}-IS903B in the IncN plasmids, ISEcp1-bla_{CTX-M-14}-IS903B in the IncHI1 plasmid, and ISEcp1-bla_{CTX-M-14} in the chromosome. The bla_{CTX-M-65} existed in two different structures, which were the prevalent IS26-bla_{CTX-M-65}-IS903B structure in the IncHI2 plasmid and the structure of bla_{CTX-M-65}-IS903B-IS26 in the IncFII plasmid, respectively. The genetic structure of blacTX-M-27 and bla_{CTX-M-130} was identical, in which the resistance gene was flanked by the ISEcp1 and IS903B elements. Lastly, blaCMY-2 was found to be flanked by IS1294 and located in the IncFII plasmid (Fig. 4).

1IncFIB/11202,750MH43081.1 $CTX.M-130$ ISE $p1-b/dCTX.M.130^{15}903B$ ISE $p1-b/dCTX.M.130^{15}903B$ ISE $p1-b/dCTX.M.130^{15}903B^{12}26$ 111.31 × 10^{-6} - 9.23 × 12.1IncFII96,413CP04757.1 $CTX.M-65$ $b/dCTX.M.14^{-16}5^{-15}903B^{12}26$ 2NA3IncHII244,597AP020333.1 $CTX.M-14$ $ISE p1-b/dCTX.M.14^{-16}503B$ 2NA4.1IncHII244,597AP020333.1 $CTX.M-14$ $ISE b/dCTX.M.14^{-16}503B$ 1NA4.1IncHI2247,705CP033918.1 $CTX.M-14$ $ISE b/dCTX.M.14^{-15}503B$ 1NA4.2IncHI2236,068MN539017.1 $CTX.M-65$ $IS2 b/dCTX.M.14^{-15}503B$ 1NA4.3IncHI2236,068MN533017.1 $CTX.M-14^{-15}903B$ 3NA4.3IncHI2236,068MN533017.1 $CTX.M-14^{-15}903B$ 3NA6IncN85,943CP047128.1 $CTX.M-13^{-15}903B$ 11NA7IncH91,411MH430883.1 $CTX.M-13^{-15}903B$ 11NA8Tinsposon8.993MN619286.1 $CTX.M-13^{-15}903B$ 1NA9.1Chomosome1NANANA9.1Chomosome1NANA9.1Chomosome1NANA9.2NNANANA9.3NANANANA9.1Chomosome1NAN	Type	Plasmid type	Size	Reference no.	β-lactamase	Genetic structure	No.	Conjugation efficiency
2.1 IncFli 18,499 CP04757.1 CTX-M-65 <i>blaCTX-M-65-1503B-126</i> 1 1.31 × 10° - 9.23 × 1 2.2 IncFli 96,413 CP080258.1 CMY-2 151294 <i>blaCmX-14-1503B</i> 2 NA 3 IncHli 244,597 AP020333.1 CTX-M-14 15 <i>Ep1-blaCmX-14-1503B</i> 2 NA 4.1 IncHli 244,597 AP020333.1 CTX-M-14 15 <i>Eb1aCmX-14-1503B</i> 2 NA 4.1 IncHli 244,597 CP035918.1 CTX-M-14 15 <i>Eb1aCmX-14-1503B</i> 1 NA 4.2 IncHli 236,068 MN539017.1 CTX-M-14 15 <i>Eb1aCmX-1-15-15Ep1-blaCmX-1-5-5 orf-1m2-152-am571-1526</i> 3 NA 4.3 IncHl 85,943 CP047128.1 CTX-M-15 15 <i>Ep1-blaCmX-1-15-15Ep1-blaCmX-1-5-5 orf-1m2-152-am571-1526</i> 3 NA 5 IncN 85,943 CTX-M-13 18 <i>Ep1-blaCmX-1-5-15Ep1-blaCmX-1-5-152-am571-1526</i> 3 NA 6 IncN 85,943 CTX-M-13 15 <i>Eb1-blaCmX-1-15-152-am57-152-3-6m57-152-3-6m57-1526</i> 3 <td>-</td> <td>IncFIB/I1</td> <td>202,750</td> <td>MH430881.1</td> <td>CTX-M-130</td> <td>ISEcp1-blacTX-M-130-IS903B</td> <td></td> <td>NA</td>	-	IncFIB/I1	202,750	MH430881.1	CTX-M-130	ISEcp1-blacTX-M-130-IS903B		NA
2.2 IncHil 96,413 CP080258.1 CMY-2 15/294-bla_CMY-2 2 NA 3 IncHil 244,597 AP020333.1 CTX-M-14 15/294-bla_CTX-M-14-15903B 1 1 NA 4.1 IncHil 244,597 AP020333.1 CTX-M-14 15/26-bla_CTX-M-14-15903B 1 1 NA 4.2 IncHil 236,063 CP033591.1 CTX-M-14 15/26-bla_CTX-M-14-16503B 17 8.44 × 10 ⁻⁵ - 1.09 × 11 4.3 IncHil 236,068 MN539017.1 CTX-M-15 15/26-bla_CTX-M-14-1503B 17 8.44 × 10 ⁻⁵ - 1.09 × 10 5 IncHil 85,943 CP047128.1 CTX-M-14 15/26-bla_CTX-M-14-1503B 17 8.44 × 10 ⁻⁵ - 1.09 × 10 6 IncHil 85,943 CP047128.1 CTX-M-14 15/26-bla_CTX-M-15102B 1 1 NA 7 IncHil 85,943 CTX-M-14 15/26-bla_CTX-M-2503B 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </td <td>2.1</td> <td>IncFII</td> <td>186,499</td> <td>CP047572.1</td> <td>CTX-M-65</td> <td>blacTX-M-65-IS903B-IS26</td> <td>-</td> <td>$1.31 \times 10^{-6} - 9.23 \times 10^{-5}$</td>	2.1	IncFII	186,499	CP047572.1	CTX-M-65	blacTX-M-65-IS903B-IS26	-	$1.31 \times 10^{-6} - 9.23 \times 10^{-5}$
3 IncHil 24,597 AP020333.1 CTX-M-14 ISE <i>p1-blaCTX-M-14-15903B</i> I NA 4.1 IncHil2 24,507 AP020333.1 CTX-M-14 ISE <i>p1-blaCTX-M-14-15903B</i> 1 NA 4.2 IncHil2 24,507 CP033918.1 CTX-M-14 IS2 <i>b-blaCTX-M-15-656</i> 20 149×10 ⁻⁵ -985×11 4.3 IncHil2 236,068 MN539017.1 CTX-M-55 IS2 <i>b-blaCTX-M-15-15Ecp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-152-qn51-1526</i> 3 NA 5 IncN 85,943 CP043128.1 CTX-M-14 IS2 <i>b-blaCTX-M-5-15603B</i> 3 NA 6 IncN 85,943 CP047128.1 CTX-M-14 IS2 <i>b-blaCTX-M-5-15603B</i> 3 NA 7 IncI 91,411 MH430883.1 CTX-M-13 IS2 <i>b-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-152-qn51-1526-qn51-1526-qn51-1566-166-160-17-166-166-166-166-17-166-166-166-166-1</i>	2.2	IncFII	96,413	CP080258.1	CMY-2	IS1294-bla _{CMY-2}	2	NA
4.1 IncHl2 247,705 CP035918.1 CTX-M-14 IS26-blaCTX-M-14-fo5A-IS26 20 1.49×10 ⁻⁵ - 9.85×10 4.2 IncHl2 228,062 CP043951.1 CTX-M-65 IS26-blaCTX-M-65-IS2603B 17 8.44×10 ⁻⁵ - 1.09×10 4.3 IncHl2 236,068 MN539017.1 CTX-M-55 IS26-blaCTX-M-14-IS903B 3 NA 5 IncHl2 236,068 MN539017.1 CTX-M-55 IS26-blaCTX-M-14-IS903B 3 NA 6 IncP 62,620 OP328419 CTX-M-14 IS26-blaCTX-M-14-IS903B 4 NA 7 IncP 62,620 OP328419 CTX-M-13 IS26-blaCTX-M-14-IS903B 1 6.79×10 ⁻⁵ -9.6×10 7 IncP 62,620 OP328419 CTX-M-13 IS26-blaCTX-M-15/S03B 1 1 NA 7 Incl 91,411 MH430883.1 CTX-M-13 IS26-ISEcp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Th2-IS2-qm51-IS26 1 1 NA 8 Transposon 8,993 MN619286.1 CTX-M-15 IS26-ISEcp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Th2-IS2-qm51-IS26 16 NA 9.1 Chromosome <t< td=""><td>e</td><td>IncHI1</td><td>244,597</td><td>AP020333.1</td><td>CTX-M-14</td><td>ISEcp1-blacTX-M-14-IS903B</td><td>-</td><td>NA</td></t<>	e	IncHI1	244,597	AP020333.1	CTX-M-14	ISEcp1-blacTX-M-14-IS903B	-	NA
4.2 IncHi2 228,062 CP043951.1 CTX-M-65 IS26-blaCTX-M-65-15903B 17 8.44 × 10 ⁻⁵ - 1.09 × 10 4.3 IncHi2 236,068 MN539017.1 CTX-M-55 IS26-blaCTX-M-15-Th2-ISEcp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Th2-IS2-qnr51-IS26 3 NA 5 IncN 85,943 CP047128.1 CTX-M-14 IS26-blaCTX-M-14-IS903B 4 NA 6 IncP 62,620 OP328419 CTX-M-130 IS26-blaCTX-M-1503B 4 NA 7 IncP 62,620 OP328419 CTX-M-130 IS26-blaCTX-M-1503B 1 6.79×10 ⁻⁶ - 9.6×10 7 Incl 91,411 MH430883.1 CTX-M-130 IS26-FlaCTX-M-55-orf-Th2-IS2-qnr51-IS26 1 6.79×10 ⁻⁶ - 9.6×10 ⁻⁶ - 9.6×10 ⁻⁶ 7 Incl 91,411 MH430883.1 CTX-M-130 blaCTX-M-130-IS03B 1 NA 8 Transposon 8,993 MN619286.1 CTX-M-15 IS26-IS6cp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Th2-IS2-qnr51-IS26 16 NA 9.1 Chromosome R-4440 CTX-M-16 ICTX-M-15 ICTX-M-55-orf-Th2-IS2-qnr51-IS26 16 NA 9.1 Chr	4.1	IncHI2	247,705	CP035918.1	CTX-M-14	IS26-blacTX-M-14-fosA-IS26	20	$1.49 \times 10^{-5} - 9.85 \times 10^{-5}$
4.3 IncHi2 236,068 MN539017.1 CTX-M-55 IS26-bla_LAP-2-bla_TEM-1b-Th2-ISEcp1-bla_CTX-M-55-orf-Th2-IS2-qnr51-IS26 3 NA 5 IncN 85,943 CP047128.1 CTX-M-14 IS26-bla_CTX-M-1b-Th2-IS2-qnr51-IS26 3 NA 6 IncN 85,943 CP047128.1 CTX-M-14 IS26-bla_CTX-M-2/5003B 4 NA 7 Incl 91,411 MH430883.1 CTX-M-130 bla_CTX-M-130-IS003B 1 6.79×10 ⁻⁶ - 9.6×10 7 Incl 91,411 MH430883.1 CTX-M-130 bla_CTX-M-150-IS003B 1 NA 8 Transposon 8,993 MN619286.1 CTX-M-130 bla_CTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-qnr51-IS26 16 NA 9.1 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISEcp1-bla_CTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-qnr51-IS26 2 NA 9.2 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISEcp1-bla_CTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-qnr51-IS26 2 NA 9.1 Chromosome Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISEcp1-bla_CTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-qnr51-IS26 2 NA 9.2 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISEcp1-bla_CTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-Gnr51-IS26 <td>4.2</td> <td>IncHI2</td> <td>228,062</td> <td>CP043951.1</td> <td>CTX-M-65</td> <td>IS26-<i>bla</i>cTX-M-65-IS903B</td> <td>17</td> <td>$8.44 \times 10^{-5} - 1.09 \times 10^{-4}$</td>	4.2	IncHI2	228,062	CP043951.1	CTX-M-65	IS26- <i>bla</i> cTX-M-65-IS903B	17	$8.44 \times 10^{-5} - 1.09 \times 10^{-4}$
5 IncN 85,943 CP047128.1 CTX-M-14 IS26-blaCTX-M-14-IS903B 4 NA 6 IncP 62,620 OP328419 CTX-M-27 ISEcp1-blaCTX-M-27-IS903B 1 6.79×10 ⁻⁶ - 9.6×10 7 Incli 91,411 MH430883.1 CTX-M-130 blaCTX-M-130 ⁻¹ S903B 1 NA 8 Transposon 8,993 MN619286.1 CTX-M-55 IS26-ISEcp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-anr51-IS26 16 NA 9.1 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISEcp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-anr51-IS26 16 NA 9.2 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISEcp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-anr51-IS26 2 NA 9.3 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISEcp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-anr51-IS26 2 NA 9.2 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISEcp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-anr51-IS26 2 NA 9.3 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISEcp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-anr51-IS26 9 NA 9.3 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISEcp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-Anr51-I	4.3	IncHI2	236,068	MN539017.1	CTX-M-55	IS26-bla _{LAP-2} -bla _{TEM-1b} -Tn2-ISEcp1-bla _{CTX} .M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-qnrS1-IS26	e	NA
6 IncP 62,620 OP328419 CTX-M-27 ISE <i>cp1-blaCTX.M-37</i> IS903B 1 6.79×10 ⁻⁶ - 9.6×10 7 Incli 91,411 MH430883.1 CTX-M-130 <i>blaCTX.M-37-15903B</i> 1 NA 8 Transposon 8,993 MN619286.1 CTX-M-55 IS26-ISE <i>cp1-blaCTX.M-55-orF-Th2-IS20nr51-IS26</i> 16 NA 9.1 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISE <i>cp1-blaCTX.M-55-orF-Th2-IS2-qnr51-IS26</i> 16 NA 9.1 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISE <i>cp1-blaCTX.M-55-orF-Th2-IS2-qnr51-IS26</i> 2 NA 9.1 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISE <i>cp1-blaCTX.M-55-orF-Th2-IS2-qnr51-IS26</i> 2 NA 9.2 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISE <i>cp1-blaCTX.M-55-orF-Th2-IS2-qnr51-IS26</i> 9 NA 9.3 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISE <i>cp1-blaCTX.M-55-orF-Th2-IS2-qnr51-IS26</i> 9 NA	5	IncN	85,943	CP047128.1	CTX-M-14	IS26-blacTX-M-14-IS903B	4	NA
7 Incli 91,411 MH430883.1 CTX-M-130 <i>blaCTX-M-130-IS03B</i> 1 NA 8 Transposon 8,993 MN619286.1 CTX-M-55 IS26-ISE <i>cp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-qnr51-IS26</i> 16 NA 9.1 Chromosome CTX-M-14 2 NA 2 NA 9.2 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISE <i>cp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-qnr51-IS26</i> 9 NA 9.3 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISE <i>cp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-qnr51-IS26</i> 9 NA 9.3 Chromosome CTX-M-55 IS26-ISE <i>cp1-blaCTX-M-55-orf-Tn2-IS2-qnr51-IS26</i> 9 NA	9	IncP	62,620	OP328419	CTX-M-27	ISEcp1-bla _{CTX-M-27} -IS903B	-	$6.79 \times 10^{-6} - 9.6 \times 10^{-5}$
8 Transposon 8,993 MN619286.1 CTX-M-55 IS26-ISEcp1-blac_TX-M-55 Orf-Tn.2-IS2-qnr51-IS26 16 NA 9.1 Chromosome CTX-M-14 CTX-M-15 2 NA 9.2 Chromosome CTX-M-55 CTX-M-55 9 NA 9.3 Chromosome CTX-M-65 TX-M-65 1 NA	7	Incll	91,411	MH430883.1	CTX-M-130	blacTX-M-130 ⁻ IS <i>903B</i>	-	NA
9.1 Chromosome CTX-M-14 2 NA 9.2 Chromosome CTX-M-55 9 NA 9.3 Chromosome CTX-M-65 1 NA	8	Transposon	8,993	MN619286.1	CTX-M-55	IS26-ISEcp1-bla _{CTX-M-55} -orf-Tn2-IS2-qnr51-IS26	16	NA
9.2 Chromosome CTX-M-55 9 NA 9.3 Chromosome 1 NA 1 NA <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> 1</th1<></th1<></th1<>	9.1	Chromosome			CTX-M-14		2	NA
9.3 Chromosome CTX-M-65 1 NA	9.2	Chromosome			CTX-M-55		6	NA
	9.3	Chromosome			CTX-M-65		-	NA

 TABLE 4
 The brief of mobile genetic elements containing cefotaxime resistance genes



FIG 3 Alignment of pSA535-CTX-M-27 recovered from foodborne *Salmonella* strains in this study with structurally similar plasmids by BRIG and Easyfig. (A) Plasmid pSA535-CTX-M-27 exhibits the highest degree of similarity (98% coverage and 99.37% identity) to plasmid pS163-2.1 (GenBank accession number CP058710.1) in the NCBI database. (B) Alignment of plasmid pSA535-CTX-M-27 with plasmid pS163-2.1 by Easyfig. Brown arrows represent antibiotic-resistant genes; green depicts IS elements; and blue arrows are responsible for conjugative transfer genes.

Conjugative transmission of mobile genetic elements containing cefotaxime resistance genes in *Salmonella*

Upon screening all the cefotaxime-resistant *Salmonella* by performing the conjugation experiments, we found that four of eight plasmid replicons were transferrable and could confer cefotaxime resistance to *E. coli J53*. The transferrable plasmid types were IncHI2 plasmid harboring $bla_{CTX-M-14}$ with a conjugation frequency of 1.49×10^{-5} to 9.85×10^{-5} , IncP plasmid containing $bla_{CTX-M-27}$ with a frequency 6.79×10^{-6} to 9.6×10^{-5} , and $bla_{CTX-M-65}$ bearing IncHI2 and IncFII plasmids with a frequency 8.44×10^{-5} to 1.09×10^{-4} and 1.31×10^{-6} to 9.23×10^{-5} , respectively. The cefotaxime MIC of the recipient strain *J53* increased from $\leq 0.0015 \mu g/mL$ to $\geq 16 \mu g/mL$ after acquiring these plasmids; 33.3% (9/27) of $bla_{CTX-M-55}$, 7.4% (2/27) of the $bla_{CTX-M-14}$, and 5.3% (1/19) of $bla_{CTX-M-65}$ exhibited high-level sequence identity to the corresponding chromosomal elements recorded in the NCBI database. These genes were not transferable in conjugation experiments, further confirming that they were located in the chromosome.

DISCUSSION

Cefotaxime resistance in *Salmonella* has become a serious public health issue as the choice of antibiotics in the treatment of *Salmonella* infection in cases where the



FIG 4 Genetic context of cefotaxime resistance genes located in plasmids and transposon. Yellow region represents CTX-M-1 group, green region represents the CTX-M-9 group, and gray region represents CMY-2. Brown arrows represent cefotaxime resistance genes, green arrows represent mobile genetic elements, and black arrows represent unrelated genes encoding

FIG 4 (Continued)

unknown function. Plasmid replicon types and number, and accession numbers of the most similar plasmids of various gene arrays are depicted on the right.

organism invade beyond the gastrointestinal tract has become severely limited. It is necessary to conduct surveillance on the prevalence of cefotaxime-resistant foodborne *Salmonella* strains and investigate the underlying resistance and transmission mechanisms of such strains in order to devise effective measures to control the problem. In this study, we investigated the prevalence, antibiotic resistance phenotypes, and resistance and transmission mechanisms of cefotaxime-resistant *Salmonella* strains recovered from retail meat products in Shenzhen, China, during 2014–2017.

The overall isolation rate of cefotaxime-resistant foodborne Salmonella was 7.6%, and the rate recorded in individual year displayed an increasing trend from 2014 to 2017, which is similar to the previous studies (29-32). As in the case of previous studies, pork and chicken were the main sources of cefotaxime-resistant Salmonella (33, 34). Unlike other reports in China, S. Typhimurium was the most prevalent serovar among the cefotaxime-resistant Salmonella strains tested in this study (32, 35). The other serovars, including S. Indiana, S. Rissen, and S. Kentucky, were much less common than S. Typhimurium. One important finding in this study is that all cefotaxime-resistant Salmonella strains exhibited multidrug resistance (>3 antimicrobial drugs). In particular, 24.05% and 10.13% of the cefotaxime-resistant Salmonella strains exhibited resistance to ciprofloxacin and azithromycin, respectively. These two agents are also commonly used to treat Salmonella infection. As much as 7.59% of the cefotaxime-resistant Salmonella strains were resistant to both ciprofloxacin and azithromycin. Infections caused by such strains would have very limited treatment options. Co-carriage of different antibiotic resistance elements in one plasmid enables multi-resistant Salmonella strains to be readily selected upon exposure to only a single antibiotic, resulting in rapid expansion in population size of such strains.

In this work, sequencing analysis shows that the CTX-M genes are the key genetic elements that encode cefotaxime resistance in Salmonella and that AmpC β-lactamase gene also plays a partial role. The two most prevalent CTX-M genes were bla_{CTX-M-55} and *bla*_{CTX-M-14}, respectively, and this observation is consistent with the previous reports on Salmonella recovered from patients, animals, and food samples in China (6, 36). CTX-M-65 was rarely detected in meat products in China but was found to be harbored by 22.78% of cefotaxime-resistant strains in our study (37). Besides, CTX-M-65 usually existed in Indiana and Infantis but became popular among the Typhimurium strains and those of other serovars in our work, including 4,[5],12:i:-, London, Rissen, and Weltevreden. Notably, a strain was found to contain the rare combination of *bla*_{CTX-M-55} and *bla*_{CTX-M-65}; this is the first time such gene combination is detectable in foodborne Salmonella strains in China, where contamination of food samples by cefotaxime-resistant Salmonella strains is common. AmpC β -lactamase gene bla_{CMY-2} was previously popular in many countries while relatively rarely found in China, which existed in human, animal, and food (8, 38, 39). CMY-2 was also detectable among the test strains. Recovery of a wide range of resistance genes among foodborne Salmonella strains suggests that multiple development routes are responsible for the development of cefotaxime resistance in such strains.

Sequencing analysis in this work revealed the genetic location and environment of ESBL genes in the foodborne *Salmonella* strains. Consistent with previous studies, our findings show that most of the CTX-M genes are located in various plasmids and a chromosomal transposon and that such genes are often structurally accompanied with other antibiotic resistance genes. The most prevalent plasmid replicon that contained *bla*_{CTX-M-14} and *bla*_{CTX-M-65} was lncHl2, whereas the majority of the *bla*_{CTX-M-55} were located in a chromosomal IS26-mediated composite transposon. The structure IS26-ISEcp1-bla_{CTX-M-55}-orf-Tn2-IS2-qnrS1-IS26 in chromosomal composite transposon

(Continued on next page)

was previously found in a chromosome of Salmonella Typhimurium strain S441, which was isolated from a human in Hangzhou, China (GenBank accession no. CP061122.1). Interestingly, all the strains that carried a transposon in this study were Salmonella Typhimurium. In view of the fact that the resistance gene was not transferable, we speculate that the transposon is located in the chromosome. The genetic structures of various CTX-M genes detected in this study were different from those described in previous reports. In this study, most of the bla_{CTX-M-55} were flanked by two IS26 elements. Such structure was different from the ISEcp1-blaCTX-M-55-IS903B structure observed in a previous study in Shanghai, China (36). The blaCTX-M-14 was located in various genetic structures and various types of plasmids; the predominant structure IS26-bla_{CTX-M-14}-fosA-IS26 was located in the IncHI2 plasmid, which was different from the ISEcp1-bla_{CTX-M-14} structure reported in previous studies (40). The most prevalent structures of the most common cefotaxime genes, $bla_{CTX-M-55}$ and $bla_{CTX-M-14}$, were the same as that of the IS26-bla_{CTX-Ms}-IS26 structure. The IS26-bla_{CTX-M-55}-IS26 structure is located in 16 chromosomal transposons and 3 IncHI2 plasmids; the IS26-bla_{CTX-M-14}-IS26 structure was located in 20 IncHI2 plasmid. A previous study reported that a central fragment can constitute a translocatable unit (TU) by acquiring one adjacent IS, which confers the ability to undergo excision and transmission of antibiotic resistance genes from one plasmid to another or to the chromosome, and vice versa (41). Therefore, the IS26-bla_{CTX-Ms}-IS26 structure is supposed to be responsible for causing an increase in the prevalence of CTX-M-55 and CTX-M-14. Apart from the prevalent structure IS26-blaCTX-M-65-IS903B, bla_{CTX-M-65} was also found to be located downstream of IS903B and linked to the IS26 element. The genetic structure of *bla*_{CTX-M-27} and *bla*_{CTX-M-130} was also the same as that described in previous findings, in which the genes were flanked by ISEcp1 and IS903B elements. The prevalent mobile elements linked to CTX-M genes were structurally different from those described in the previous reports, indicating that a wild range of mechanisms were responsible for the transmission of cefotaxime resistanceencoding elements among the foodborne Salmonella strains.

In summary, this study provides comprehensive insight into factors underlying the increasing prevalence of cefotaxime-resistant foodborne *Salmonella* strains in recent years. Acquisition of various ESBLs genes flanked by different mobile elements was found to be the major cause of increased prevalence of cefotaxime resistance in foodborne *Salmonella* strains. IncHI2 plasmids carrying the CTX-M-14 and CTX-M-65 genes and transposons harboring the CTX-M-55 gene were the dominant mobile genetic elements, whereas IS26-ESBLs-IS26 and IS26-ESBLs-IS903B were the most common genetic structures. Importantly, all CTX-M-bearing plasmids were found to contain other antimicrobial resistance genes. To prevent the development of cefotaxime resistance, overuse and misuse of antimicrobial drugs that would select cefotaxime-resistant bacteria should be avoided to minimize selection and propagation of multidrug-resistant organisms. Surveillance of cefotaxime prescription criteria and treatment outcome should be performed to facilitate design of more appropriate cefotaxime usage guidelines to enhance treatment effectiveness and minimize resistance selection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research was supported by Shenzhen Key Project for Basic Research (JCYJ20200109143220716) and the NSFC/RGC grant (NSFC-RGC, N_PolyU521/18) from the National Natural Science Fund in China and Research Grant Council of the Government of Hong Kong SAR, respectively.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

¹Department of Infectious Diseases and Public Health, Jockey Club College of Veterinary Medicine and Life Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong ²State Key Lab of Chemical Biology and Drug Discovery and the Department of Food Science and Nutrition, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, China ³Shenzhen Key Lab for Biological Safety Control, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University Shenzhen Research Institute, Shenzhen, China

AUTHOR ORCIDs

Chen Yang (1) http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9876-724X

FUNDING

Funder	Grant(s)	Author(s)
Shenzhen Key Project for Basic Research	JCYJ20200109143220716	Sheng Chen
National Natural Science Fund in China	NSFC-RGC	Sheng Chen
Research Grants Council, University Grants Committee (研究資助局)	N_PolyU521/18	Sheng Chen

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Chen Yang, Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft | Kaichao Chen, Data curation, Methodology | Lianwei Ye, Data curation, Software | Heng Heng, Data curation, Software | Xuemei Yang, Methodology, Software | Sheng Chen, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review and editing.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All 79 cefotaxime-resistant Salmonella sequencing data have been deposited in GenBank under BioProject accession number PRJNA682289, and accession numbers have been listed in supplemental table S1. GenBank accession number OP328419 has been assigned to pSA535-CTX-M-27.

ADDITIONAL FILES

The following material is available online.

Supplemental Material

Supplementary figures and tables (Spectrum04886-22-s0001.docx). Supplementary figures and tables

REFERENCES

- LeFrock JL, Prince RA, Leff RD. 1982. Mechanism of action, antimicrobial activity, pharmacology, adverse effects, and clinical efficacy of cefotaxime. Pharmacotherapy 2:174–184. https://doi.org/10.1002/j. 1875-9114.1982.tb03185.x
- Plosker GL, Foster RH, Benfield P. 1998. Cefotaxime. A pharmacoeconomic review of its use in the treatment of infections. Pharmacoeconomics 13:91–106. https://doi.org/10.2165/00019053-199813010-00009
- Chlebicz A, Śliżewska K. 2018. Campylobacteriosis, salmonellosis, yersiniosis, and listeriosis as zoonotic foodborne diseases: a review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 15:863. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15050863
- Castanheira M, Simner PJ, Bradford PA. 2021. Extended-spectrum βlactamases: an update on their characteristics, epidemiology and detection. JAC Antimicrob Resist 3:dlab092. https://doi.org/10.1093/ jacamr/dlab092
- Carattoli A. 2008. Animal reservoirs for extended spectrum β-lactamase producers. Clin Microbiol Infect 14 Suppl 1:117–123. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1469-0691.2007.01851.x
- Bevan ER, Jones AM, Hawkey PM. 2017. Global epidemiology of CTX-M β-lactamases: temporal and geographical shifts in genotype. J Antimicrob Chemother 72:2145–2155. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/ dkx146
- Aarestrup FM, Hasman H, Olsen I, Sørensen G. 2004. International spread of bla_(CMY-2)-mediated cephalosporin resistance in a multiresistant

Salmonella enterica serovar heidelberg isolate stemming from the importation of a boar by Denmark from Canada. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48:1916–1917. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.5.1916-1917. 2004

- Li R, Lai J, Wang Y, Liu S, Li Y, Liu K, Shen J, Wu C. 2013. Prevalence and characterization of *Salmonella* species isolated from pigs, ducks and chickens in sichuan province, China. Int J Food Microbiol 163:14–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.01.020
- Lin D, Chen K, Wai-Chi Chan E, Chen S. 2015. Increasing prevalence of ciprofloxacin-resistant food-borne *Salmonella* strains harboring multiple PMQR elements but not target gene mutations. Sci Rep 5:14754. https:// doi.org/10.1038/srep14754
- Grimont PA, Weill F-X. 2007. Antigenic formulae of the Salmonella Serovars, p 1–166. In WHO collaborating centre for reference and research on Salmonella.
- 11. Institute CaLS. 2019. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: M100-S29
- 12. Testing. TECoAS. 2019. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of mics and zone diameters, version 9.
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
- 14. Nurk S, Bankevich A, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Korobeynikov A, Lapidus A, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin A, Sirotkin A, Sirotkin Y, Stepanauskas R,

Clingenpeel SR, Woyke T, McLean JS, Lasken R, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2013. Assembling single-cell genomes and mini-metagenomes from chimeric MDA products. J Comput Biol 20:714–737. https:// doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2013.0084

- Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S, Vestergaard M, Rasmussen S, Lund O, Aarestrup FM, Larsen MV. 2012. Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. J Antimicrob Chemother 67:2640–2644. https://doi. org/10.1093/jac/dks261
- Carattoli A, Zankari E, García-Fernández A, Voldby Larsen M, Lund O, Villa L, Møller Aarestrup F, Hasman H. 2014. *In silico* detection and typing of plasmids using plasmidfinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:3895–3903. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AAC.02412-14
- Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. 2017. Unicycler: resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. PLoS Comput Biol 13:e1005595. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595
- Brettin T, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Overbeek R, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Shukla M, Thomason JA, Stevens R, Vonstein V, Wattam AR, Xia F. 2015. RASTtk: a modular and extensible implementation of the RAST algorithm for building custom annotation pipelines and annotating batches of genomes. Sci Rep 5:8365. https:// doi.org/10.1038/srep08365
- Siguier P, Perochon J, Lestrade L, Mahillon J, Chandler M. 2006. ISfinder: the reference centre for bacterial insertion sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 34:D32–6. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkj014
- Alikhan N-F, Petty NK, Ben Zakour NL, Beatson SA. 2011. BLAST ring image generator (BRIG): simple prokaryote genome comparisons. BMC Genomics 12:402. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-12-402
- Sullivan MJ, Petty NK, Beatson SA. 2011. Easyfig: a genome comparison visualizer. Bioinformatics 27:1009–1010. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/btr039
- Kwong JC, Mercoulia K, Tomita T, Easton MLiHYBulach DM, Stinear TP, Seemann T, Howden BP. 2016. Prospective whole-genome sequencing enhances national surveillance of *Listeria monocytogenes*. J Clin Microbiol 54:333–342. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02344-15
- Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. 2009. Fasttree: computing large minimum evolution trees with profiles instead of a distance matrix. Mol Biol Evol 26:1641–1650. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp077
- Letunic I, Bork P. 2021. Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res 49:W293– W296. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab301
- Li R, Lin D, Chen K, Wong MHY, Chen S. 2015. First detection of AmpC βlactamase bla_(CMY-2) on a conjugative IncA/C plasmid in a *Vibrio* parahaemolyticus isolate of food origin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:4106–4111. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.05008-14
- Wong MHY, Yan M, Chan EWC, Biao K, Chen S. 2014. Emergence of clinical Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium isolates with concurrent resistance to ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, and azithromycin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:3752–3756. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AAC.02770-13
- Li L, Olsen RH, Song A, Xiao J, Wang C, Meng H, Shi L. 2021. First report of a foodborne *Salmonella enterica* serovar gloucester (4:i:l,w) ST34 strain harboring *bla*_{CTX-M-55} and qnrS genes located in IS26-mediated composite transposon. Front Microbiol 12:646101. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fmicb.2021.646101
- Chen KC, Chan EWC, Chen S. 2019. Evolution and transmission of a conjugative plasmid encoding both ciprofloxacin and ceftriaxone resistance in *Salmonella*. Emerg Microbes Infect 8:396–403. https://doi. org/10.1080/22221751.2019.1585965

- Zhang C-Z, Ding X-M, Lin X-L, Sun R-Y, Lu Y-W, Cai R-M, Webber MA, Ding H-Z, Jiang H-X. 2019. The emergence of chromosomally located bla_(CTX-M-55) in Salmonella from foodborne animals in China. Front. Microbiol 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01268
- Qiao J, Zhang Q, Alali WQ, Wang J, Meng L, Xiao Y, Yang H, Chen S, Cui S, Yang B. 2017. Characterization of extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs)-producing *Salmonella* in retail raw chicken carcasses. Int J Food Microbiol 248:72–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2017.02.016
- XuZHWang M, Zhou CYGuGMLiang JZ, Hou XJ, Wang ML, Wei P. 2020. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of retail-meat-borne Salmonella in southern China during the years 2009-2016: the diversity of contamination and the resistance evolution of multidrug-resistant isolates. Int J Food Microbiol 333:108790. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijfoodmicro.2020.108790
- Yang X, Wu Q, Zhang J, Huang J, Chen L, Wu S, Zeng H, Wang J, Chen M, Wu H, Gu Q, Wei X. 2019. Prevalence, bacterial load, and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* serovars isolated from retail meat and meat products in China. Front Microbiol 10:2121. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2019.02121
- Shen W, Chen H, Geng J, Wu RA, Wang X, Ding T. 2022. Prevalence, serovar distribution, and antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* spp. isolated from pork in China: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Food Microbiol 361:109473. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2021. 109473
- Monte DF, Lincopan N, Fedorka-Cray PJ, Landgraf M. 2019. Current insights on high priority antibiotic-resistant *Salmonella enterica* in food and foodstuffs: a review. Current Opinion in Food Science 26:35–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2019.03.004
- Zhang LN, Fu Y, Xiong ZYMaYBWei YHQuXYZhang HX, Zhang JM, Liao M. 2018. Highly prevalent multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* from chicken and pork meat at retail markets in Guangdong, China. Front Microbiol 9:2104. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02104
- 36. Cao C, Niu Q, Chen J, Xu X, Sheng H, Cui S, Liu B, Yang B. 2021. Epidemiology and characterization of CTX-M-55-type extendedspectrum β-lactamase-producing *Salmonella enterica* serovar enteritidis isolated from patients in Shanghai, China. Microorganisms 9:260. https:// doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9020260
- Zhou MLiXFHou WF, Wang HX, Paoli GC, Shi XM. 2019. Incidence and characterization of *Salmonella* isolates from raw meat products sold at small markets in Hubei province, China. Front Microbiol 10:2265. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02265
- Arlet G, Barrett TJ, Butaye P, Cloeckaert A, Mulvey MR, White DG. 2006. Salmonella resistant to extended-spectrum cephalosporins: prevalence and epidemiology. Microbes Infect 8:1945–1954. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.micinf.2005.12.029
- Wu H, Wang Y, Wu Y, Qiao J, Li H, Zheng S, Xia X, Cui S, Wang X, Xi M, Meng J, Yang B. 2015. Emergence of β-lactamases and extendedspectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) producing *Salmonella* in retail raw chicken in China. Foodborne Pathog Dis 12:228–234. https://doi.org/10. 1089/fpd.2014.1859
- Bevan ER, Jones AM, Hawkey PM. 2017. Global epidemiology of CTX-M β-lactamases: temporal and geographical shifts in genotype. J Antimicrob Chemother 72:2145–2155. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/ dkx146
- 41. Harmer CJ, Pong CH, Hall RM. 2020. Structures bounded by directlyoriented members of the IS26 family are pseudo-compound transposons. Plasmid 111:102530. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2020.102530