

Diagnostic Analysis of the Effects of Weather Condition on Pedestrian Crash Severity

Xiaoqi ZHAI¹, Helai HUANG¹, N.N. SZE^{2*}, Ziqi SONG³, Kai Kwong HON⁴

¹ Urban Transport Research Center, School of Traffic and Transportation Engineering, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, 410075 P.R. China

² Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering,

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Hong Kong

*Corresponding author: tony.nn.sze@polyu.edu.hk

³ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering,

Utah State University, Logan, UT, United States

⁴ Aviation Weather Services Branch,

Hong Kong Observatory, Tsim Sha Tsui, Hong Kong

Abstract

Pedestrians are vulnerable to severe injury and mortality in road crashes. Numerous studies have attempted to identify factors contributing to crashes and pedestrian injury risks. As an active transport mode, the act of walking is sensitive to changes in weather conditions. However, comprehensive real-time weather data are often unavailable for road safety analysis. In this study, we used a geographical information system approach to integrate high-resolution weather data, as well as their corresponding temporal and spatial distributions, with crash data. Then, we established a mixed logit model to determine the association between pedestrian crash severity and possible risk factors. The results indicate that high temperature and the presence of rain were associated with a higher likelihood of Killed and Severe Injury (KSI) crashes. Also, we found the interaction effects of weather condition (hot weather and presence of rain) on the association between pedestrian crash severity and pedestrian and driver behaviors to be significant. For instance, the effects of jaywalking and risky driving behavior on crash severity were more prevalent under rainy conditions. In addition, the effects of driver inattention and reckless crossing were more significant in hot weather conditions. This has critical policy implications for the development and implementation of proactive traffic management systems. For instance, real-time weather and traffic data should be incorporated into dynamic message signs and in-vehicle warning systems. Doing so will enhance the levels of safety awareness of drivers and pedestrians, especially in adverse weather conditions. As a result, pedestrian safety can be improved over the long term.

Keywords: Pedestrian crash, Weather condition, Injury severity, Random-parameter logistic regression

1. Introduction

Walking not only alleviates the problems of traffic emissions and congestion, but also improves human well-being. This is particularly true in compact cities like Hong Kong. In Hong Kong, 89% of trips are made by bus and metro, and walking has been the primary means for accessing public transport services. The walkability of a city can be characterized by its accessibility, environment, connectivity, and safety. Pedestrians are vulnerable to severe injury and mortality in road crashes (Haleem et al., 2015). In Hong Kong, 17% of the 20,381 road casualties in 2015 were pedestrians. However, of the people killed on the roads, 66% were pedestrians (Transport Department, 2015). Taking into account the travel distance, the fatality rate (per kilometer traveled) of pedestrians has been found to be 1.4 times and 23 times that of motorcyclists and vehicle occupants, respectively (Pucher and Dijkstra, 2003). As such, it is imperative to identify the factors contributing to the high crash and injury risk of pedestrians. Numerous studies have indicated that the road environment, vehicular speed, crash circumstances, traffic control, and driver and pedestrian behaviors affect pedestrian safety (Sze and Wong, 2007; Cambon de Lavalette et al., 2009; Jian et al., 2005; Dai, 2012; Gårder, 2004; Aziz, et al. 2013; Zajac and Ivan, 2003).

As an active transport mode, walking is sensitive to adverse weather conditions. In this era of climate change, with the increasing variations in air temperature and precipitation, extreme weather events including heat waves, storms, and heavy rainfall are occurring more frequently. The weather condition has been recognized as one of the major environmental factors contributing to pedestrian crashes (Kim et al., 2010). Mariana et al. (2017) found the crash likelihood of pedestrian to be 77% lower than that of cyclists under favorable weather conditions. Increases in the frequency and intensity of rainfall are reported to be associated with poor visibility and low pavement friction, and thus increased crash risk (Maze et al. 2004; Mohamed et al., 2013, Theofilatos and Yannis, 2014). Furthermore, weather conditions may have interaction effects on the association between the severity of pedestrian injury and possible risk factors. Li et al. (2017) found that the light conditions could affect pedestrian injury severity in good weather conditions, but no evidence was established for an association in adverse weather conditions. It is therefore necessary to examine the effects of weather and its extremes on pedestrian injury risk.

In conventional road safety analysis, weather information is obtained from police crash reports. However, the reliability of the weather information recorded depends on the judgment of the attending police officers and is not often rigorously assessed (Naik et al. 2016). For an effective assessment, it is essential to obtain real-time weather data from local weather agencies and incorporate it into crash prediction models. Researchers have attempted to evaluate the crash severity of single-vehicle (Jung et al., 2010), multi-vehicle (Jung et al., 2012), and truck-related (Naik et al., 2016) crashes, as well as crashes on a mountainous freeway (Yu and Abdel-Aty, 2014) based on real-time weather data, and have obtained enhanced prediction performances. The results of a study of Wisconsin interstate highways indicated that increased rainfall intensity 15 minutes prior to a crash was correlated with an increase in the likelihood of more severe single-vehicle crashes, whereas increased wind speed was associated with a reduction in the likelihood of more severe single-vehicle and multivehicle crashes (Jung et al., 2010; 2012). Reduction in temperature has also been attributed to an increase in the likelihood of more severe crashes on a mountainous freeway (Yu and Abdel-Aty, 2014). For vehicular

1 crash analysis, the weather attributes typically considered have been air temperature, humidity,
2 visibility, wind speed, and the amount of precipitation (Naik et al., 2016). However, the effect of
3 weather conditions on the severity level of pedestrian crashes has rarely been attempted using
4 comprehensive real-time weather data.

5
6 Our objective in this paper is twofold: (1) to examine the effects of weather conditions on the severity
7 level of pedestrian crashes, using high-resolution weather data and its temporal and spatial variations;
8 and (2) to identify possible factors contributing to pedestrian crash severity and the interaction effects
9 of weather conditions on this association.

10
11 Changes in weather conditions can moderate travel behavior, and thus the risks of road crash and injury.
12 In Hong Kong, the mean daily maximum temperature is 26 °C. However, the average annual number
13 of extremely hot days (with a daily maximum temperature higher than 33 °C) exceeded 10 during the
14 period from 1981 to 2010. Also, the average annual number of rainy days and days with strong
15 monsoonal wind were 116 and 35, respectively (Hong Kong Observatory, 2015). In this study, we
16 devoted considerable effort to the collection and aggregation of high-quality regional weather records
17 from a selection of 18 automatic weather stations (AWS) operated by the Hong Kong Observatory
18 (HKO) for various districts of the Hong Kong territory. Conventional meteorological variables
19 including air temperature, wind speed, humidity, and rainfall are available at 1-min intervals (the
20 highest frequency used in similar analyses). We used a geographic information system (GIS) approach
21 to integrate the crash and real-time weather data with respect to crash location and time. We considered
22 meteorological variables, as well as driver and pedestrian attributes, road environments, and traffic
23 characteristics. To incorporate the effects of unobserved heterogeneity, we used a mixed logit approach.
24 In the remainder of this paper, we first describe our data collection and aggregation method. We discuss
25 our analysis method in section 3. In section 4, we present our results, and in sections 5, we discuss
26 these results and consider their implications. Finally, we make our concluding remarks and
27 recommendations for future research in section 6.

28 29 **2. Data**

30
31 For this study, we extracted 2015 Hong Kong crash data from the Traffic Information System (TIS)
32 maintained by the Hong Kong Police Force and Transport Department. The TIS has two components:
33 (i) a casualty profile and (ii) a vehicle profile, both of which contain information related to crash
34 attributes, including the precise crash time and location, number of vehicles and casualties involved,
35 road type, traffic control, and crash circumstances. In the casualty profile, there is information about
36 the role (driver, passenger, and pedestrian), demographics, injury characteristics, locations during crash,
37 and behavior of each casualty involved. In the vehicle profile, there is information about the driver age
38 and gender, vehicle class, and risky driver behavior. Despite the presence of information about the
39 weather conditions (i.e., clear, dull, fog/mist, strong wind, not raining, light rain, and heavy rain) in
40 the TIS, it was descriptive only.

41
42 We obtained high-frequency regional weather data from the Hong Kong Observatory, which is the
43 official weather agency of Hong Kong. We used records from a selection of 18 AWSs that span the
44 entire Hong Kong territory, which has a total land area of 1,100 km². Variations in the weather

1 conditions with respect to time and space are considerable in Hong Kong. The high-density of the
 2 AWSs provide very precise weather information for determining the association between crash severity,
 3 road environment, traffic attributes, and weather conditions. The raw weather data, including wind
 4 direction, wind speed, gust speed, air temperature, humidity, and precipitation, have a high temporal
 5 resolution (1-min intervals). We are unaware of any previous traffic safety research that incorporated
 6 such high-frequency weather data. We matched each crash with its corresponding weather data from
 7 the nearest AWS using the GIS approach (with the software ArcGIS 10.0).

8
 9 Prior to statistical analysis, we integrated the vehicle and casualty profiles. In 2015, there were 3,218
 10 road crashes involving pedestrians. As the proposed analysis aimed to identify possible vehicle and
 11 pedestrian characteristics that had contributed to crash severity, we excluded crashes involving more
 12 than one pedestrian and/or more than one vehicle (which constituted 5.4% of total crashes). Also, we
 13 excluded cases with incomplete or missing data. Therefore, the number of observations in the final
 14 dataset totaled 2,794. In Hong Kong, crash severity is divided into three categories: fatal, serious, and
 15 slight (Sze and Wong, 2007). Of the selected crashes, only 81 (2.90%) were fatal. Therefore, we
 16 combined fatal and severe injury crashes to generate the category killed and severe injury (KSI) crashes
 17 (Haleem et al., 2015; Sze and Wong, 2007). Possible factors considered were pedestrian characteristics
 18 (age, gender, pedestrian location, pedestrian action, special circumstance, and pedestrian contributing
 19 factors), driver characteristics (driver age, gender, and contributing factors), vehicle class, road
 20 geometry, traffic control type, weather conditions (wind speed, guest speed, air temperature, humidity,
 21 rainfall) and crash circumstance (time of the day, day of the week, and geographical region). Table 1
 22 presents summary statistics of the information considered. As shown in the table, we considered both
 23 the general distributions (mean, standard deviation and range) as well as the distributions by category
 24 of weather condition. We established the weather condition classifications according to their physical
 25 meaning. For instance, we classified wind and gust speeds according to the wind rating scale, and
 26 humidity according to the humidity rating scale (Jung et al., 2014). Prior to determining the association,
 27 we conducted a multi-collinearity test to ensure that the variables considered were not highly correlated.

28
 29 **Table 1. Summary Statistics of Variables**

Factor	Attribute	Count(proportion)	Factor	Attribute	Count(proportion)
Injury severity	Killed or severe injury (KSI)	702 (25.1%)	Pedestrian contributor	Pedestrian jay walking	536 (19.2%)
	Slight injury	2092 (74.9%)		Pedestrian inattentiveness	497 (17.8%)
District	New territory	1026 (36.7%)	Driver age (years)	No pedestrian factor	1674 (59.9%)
	Kowloon	1101 (39.4%)		Other pedestrian factors*	87 (3.1%)
	Hong Kong island*	667 (23.9%)		Young (≤ 30) *	303 (10.8%)
Time of the day	7:00-9:59	447 (16.0%)	Driver gender	Younger-middle (31-45)	803 (28.7%)
	10:00-15:59	1101 (39.4%)		Older-middle (46-65)	1350 (48.3%)
	16:00-18:59	604 (21.6%)		Old (66)	338 (12.1%)
	19:00-6:59*	642 (23.0%)		Male	2475 (92.0%)
Day of week	Weekday	2114 (75.7%)	Driver contributor	Female*	214 (8%)
	Weekends*	680 (24.3%)		Driving negligently	445 (15.9%)
Within 20m of junction	Yes	814 (29.1%)	No driver factor	Driving inattentively	996 (35.6%)
	No*	1980 (70.9%)		No driver factor	1086 (38.9%)
Junction control	Signalized	410 (14.7%)	Others*	267 (9.6%)	

	Not at junction	2229 (79.8%)	Class of vehicle	Private car	1105 (39.5%)
	Other control type*	155 (5.5%)		Goods vehicle	503 (18%)
Road type	One way	1505 (59.3%)		Bus	310 (11.1%)
	Two-way	1218 (43.6%)		Taxi	594 (21.3%)
	Multi-/dual carriageway*	71 (2.5%)		Others*	282 (10.1%)
Pedestrian age (years)	Child (≤ 11)*	174 (6.3%)	Wind speed (m/s)	Calm (<0.2) *	250(9.0%)
	Young (12–25)	335 (12.1%)		Light air (0.2-1.6)	581 (20.8%)
	Younger-middle (26–45)	665 (24.0%)		Light breeze (1.6-3.4)	1193 (42.7%)
	Older-middle (46–65)	937 (33.8%)		Gentle breeze (3.4-5.5)	628 (22.5%)
	Younger-old (66–80)	425 (15.3%)		More than breeze (>5.5)	141 (50.0%)
	Older-old (81)	235 (8.5%)	Gust speed (m/s)	Calm (<0.2) *	179(6.4%)
Pedestrian gender	Male	1454 (52.1%)		Light air (0.2-1.6)	300 (10.7%)
	Female*	1337 (47.9%)		Light breeze (1.6-3.4)	926 (33.1%)
Pedestrian location	Footpath or verge	842 (30.1%)		Gentle breeze (>5.5)	937 (33.5%)
	On or within 15M of controlled crossing	526 (18.8%)		Moderate breeze (5.5-8.0)	370 (13.2%)
	On carriageway (no crossing control)	1180 (42.2%)		More than moderate breeze (>8.0)	81(3.0%)
	Others*	246 (8.8%)	Air temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Cold (≤ 20) *	631 (22.6%)
Pedestrian action	Walking back to traffic	410 (14.7%)		Cool (20-25)	538 (19.2%)
	Walking facing traffic	1078 (38.6%)		Warm (25-30)	1020 (36.5%)
	Crossing from near side	692 (24.8%)		Hot (> 30)	466 (16.7%)
	Crossing from off side	335 (12.0%)	Humidity (%)	Extremely dry (<30) *	15(0.6%)
	Others*	279 (10.0%)		Dry (30-40)	51 (1.8%)
Pedestrian special circumstance	Overcrowded footpath	190 (6.8%)		Less comfortable (40-60)	281 (10.1%)
	Ran onto the road	1251 (44.8%)		Most comfortable (60-80)	1447 (51.8%)
	No special circumstance	1283 (45.9%)		Moist (>80)	999 (35.7%)
	Others*	70 (2.5%)	Rainfall (mm)	No raining (none)*	2710 (97.0%)
				Raining (0-15)	84 (3.0%)
		Mean	S.d.	Max	Min
	Wind speed (m/s)	2.60	1.662	10.80	0.00
	Gust speed (m/s)	3.59	2.106	12.20	0.00
	Air temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	24.40	5.533	36.10	9.10
	Humidity (%)	74.90	0.137	100	21
	Rainfall (mm)	0.26	2.289	70.00	0.00

1 Note 1: * represents the variable attributes treated as control.

2 Note 2: Descriptive terms of weather conditions pertain only to this paper and differ from the conventions used in
3 weather reporting by HKO in Hong Kong (http://www.hko.gov.hk/wxinfo/currwx/flw_description/flw_e.htm)

4

5 3. Methodology

6

7 Our aim in this study was to measure the association between the severity of pedestrian crashes, road
8 environment, vehicle attributes, pedestrian behaviors, and weather conditions. Traditionally, crash
9 severity is modeled using binary logit or Probit approaches (Sze and Wong, 2007; Tarko and Azam,
10 2011), ordered logit or Probit approaches (Lee et al., 2018; Zahabi et al., 2011), a generalized logit
11 approach (Clifton et al., 2009), a multinomial logit approach (Tay et al., 2011), a latent class approach

(Yu et al., 2017), or a mixed (random parameter) logit approach (Chang et al., 2016; Haleem et al., 2015; Islam and Jones, 2014; Kim et al., 2010). These analyses were disaggregated, as they modeled the likelihood of incurring more severe injury upon a crash. They allowed the characteristics of an individual crash and the vehicle and pedestrian involved to be retained. Random parameters and latent classes are among the most advanced methodological approaches currently used in crash-injury severity analysis (Behnood and Mannering, 2016). In this study, we applied a mixed logit approach, which can estimate unobserved effects by allowing the parameters of observed attributes to vary across observations. Its performance is superior since a number of factors are considered, including physical fitness, height, weight, and mental well-being, which can affect crash severity and are often not measured.

The dependent variable of the proposed model is dichotomous (KSI crash versus slight injury crash), therefore we established binary logistic regression and mixed logit regression models to measure the association between crash severity, possible risk factors, and weather conditions. We formulated the models as follows.

Suppose the probabilities of a crash being KSI ($y = 1$) or slight injury ($y = 0$) are p and $1-p$, respectively, then:

$$y \sim \text{Binomial}(p)$$

$$\log \text{it}(p) = \log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_i, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{X} is the vector of explanatory variables, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the vector of corresponding coefficients (i.e., $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_i$), and ε_i is the random error term assumed to be identically and independently distributed.

One restriction of the above basic model is that it assumes that the effects of individual variables are fixed across observations. This assumption ignores the effects of possible unobserved heterogeneity (i.e., physical health) on the association between crash severity, crash circumstances, and pedestrian demographics. To this end, we applied a mixed logit model. In particular, the coefficients are assumed to be randomly distributed with the formulation of the random parameter β_i as follows:

$$\beta_i = \beta + \varphi_i, \quad (2)$$

where φ_i is normally distributed with a mean of zero and variance of σ^2 .

We established a random parameter model using conditional probability, as follows:

$$\text{Prob}[y_i = 1 | x_i, \beta_i] = F(\beta_i' x_i). \quad (3)$$

We estimated the parameters of the proposed mixed logit model using the maximum likelihood approach with NLOGIT5 software (Greene, 2012). In this study, we assumed the parameters to be

1 normally distributed as this provided the best statistical fit (Christoforou et al., 2010; Milton et al.,
 2 2008). For individual parameter estimates, we considered a parameter to be random if the
 3 corresponding standard error of the assumed distribution was different from zero at the 10% level of
 4 significance; otherwise, we considered it to be fixed. We generated results from 200 Halton draws. For
 5 details of this modeling approach and Halton draws, the reader may refer to the paper by Train (2003).
 6 In addition, we used a stepwise iterative process to determine whether a parameter was fixed or random
 7 (see Islam and Jones (2014)).

8
 9 To assess the prediction performance of candidate models, we estimated their Akaike information
 10 criterion (AIC), which considers both the goodness-of-fit and model complexity. The AIC can be
 11 specified as follows:

$$12 \quad AIC = -2\ln(L) + 2K, \tag{4}$$

13 where L is the maximum likelihood function and K is the number of parameters in the model.

14
 15 **4. Results**

16
 17 We utilized the basic binary logit model and mixed logit model to identify the risk factors associated
 18 with crash severity. First, we separately estimated the weather and other potential contributing factors
 19 (basic model). Then, we considered the interaction effects of significant weather variables on the
 20 association with pedestrian and driver characteristics (refined model). Therefore, four models were
 21 ultimately calibrated. We screened all possible candidate factors, and included in the final model only
 22 those factors contributing to crash severity, at the 10% level of significance. To present the effects on
 23 crash outcome by individual factor attributes, we estimated the odds ratios.

24
 25 Table 2 presents the goodness-of-fit measures of the proposed models. Compared with the binary logit
 26 models, the mixed logit models were superior in terms of their lower AIC values and likelihood-ratio
 27 test results. Therefore, in the following section, we present the parameter estimation results obtained
 28 from the mixed logit models.

29
 30 **Table 2. Goodness-of-fit Measures for Binary and Mixed models**

	Basic Model		Refined Model	
	Binary Logit	Mixed Logit	Binary Logit	Mixed Logit
AIC	2907.6	2890.0	2903.0	2884.5
Log likelihood at zero, LL(0)	-1575.00	-1575.00	-1575.00	-1575.00
Log likelihood at convergence, LL(β)	-1435.82	-1421.11	-1431.49	-1417.27
Number of parameters, K	18	24	20	25
$X^2 = -2[LL(\beta) - LL(0)]$		14.711		14.220
Degree of freedom		6		5
p-value		0.023		0.014

31
 32
 33

Table 3. Parameter Estimation Results of Basic and Refined Models

Factor	Attributes	Basic Model				Refined Model			
		Coefficient	Odds ratio	S.d.	Z-value	Coefficient	Odds ratio	S.d.	Z-value
Constant		-1.684***	0.19	0.1652	-10.19	-1.538***	0.21	0.1514	-10.16
District	Kowloon	0.529***	1.70	0.0910	5.82	0.463***	1.59	0.0874	5.30
	<i>s.d. Kowloon</i>	<i>0.906***</i>	--	<i>0.1019</i>	<i>8.90</i>	<i>0.729***</i>	--	<i>0.0954</i>	<i>7.64</i>
Road type	One way	-0.275***	0.76	0.0836	-3.28	-0.243***	0.78	0.0807	-3.01
Time of the day	10:00-16:00	-0.337***	0.71	0.0877	-3.84	-0.319***	0.73	0.0840	-3.80
Casualty age	46-65	0.426***	1.53	0.0946	4.51	0.385***	1.47	0.0925	4.16
	66-80	1.242***	3.46	0.1185	10.48	1.228***	3.41	0.1127	10.90
	<i>s.d. 66-80</i>	<i>0.971***</i>	--	<i>0.1416</i>	<i>6.86</i>	--	--	--	--
	>80	0.683***	1.98	0.1876	3.64	0.737***	2.09	0.1729	4.26
<i>s.d. >80</i>	<i>3.338***</i>	--	<i>0.3716</i>	<i>8.98</i>	<i>2.735***</i>	--	<i>0.3180</i>	<i>8.60</i>	
Pedestrian location	On carriageway	-0.888***	0.41	0.1078	-8.23	-0.851***	0.43	0.1043	-8.16
	<i>s.d. On carriageway</i>	<i>2.467***</i>	--	<i>0.1517</i>	<i>16.26</i>	<i>2.352***</i>	--	<i>0.1459</i>	<i>16.12</i>
Pedestrian action	Walking facing to traffic	0.189*	1.21	0.1079	1.75	--	--	--	--
	Crossing from near side	0.459***	1.58	0.1185	3.87	0.359***	1.43	0.0932	3.85
	<i>s.d. Crossing from near side</i>	<i>1.128***</i>	--	<i>0.1257</i>	<i>8.98</i>	<i>0.904**</i>	--	<i>0.1162</i>	<i>7.78</i>
	Crossing from offside	0.521***	1.68	0.1416	3.68	0.357***	1.43	0.1204	2.97
Driver Contributor	Negligently Driving	0.561***	1.75	0.1266	4.43	0.627***	1.87	0.1344	4.66
Vehicle class	Private car	0.372**	1.45	0.1496	2.49	0.378***	1.46	0.1464	2.58
	Goods vehicle	0.994***	2.70	0.1631	6.09	0.950***	2.59	0.1588	5.98
	Bus	1.346***	3.84	0.1829	7.36	1.310***	3.71	0.1745	7.51
	<i>s.d. Bus</i>	<i>2.355**</i>	--	<i>0.2442</i>	<i>9.65</i>	<i>1.833***</i>	--	<i>0.2102</i>	<i>8.72</i>
	Taxi	0.647***	1.91	0.1578	4.10	0.619***	1.86	0.1540	4.02
Temperature	Above 30 °C	0.297***	1.35	0.1104	2.69	--	--	--	--
Rain	Raining	0.638***	1.89	0.2062	3.10	--	--	--	--
Weather interactions	Raining & pedestrian jay walking	--	--	--	--	0.680**	1.97	0.2649	2.57
	Raining & driver contributor	--	--	--	--	0.434***	1.54	0.1666	2.61
	Raining & Footpath overcrowded	--	--	--	--	-1.699**	0.18	0.6809	-2.50
	Above 30 °C & driver inattention	--	--	--	--	0.578*	1.78	0.2958	1.95
	Above 30 °C & pedestrian run onto the road	--	--	--	--	0.385***	1.47	0.1455	2.64

2 Notes:

3 *, **, and *** denote the statistical significance at 10%, 5% and 1% levels, respectively.

4 *s.d.* denotes the abbreviation for standard deviation.5 *Random parameters were highlighted using italics.*6 *Basic model*

7 First part of Table 3 shows the results of the basic model, in which the factors, district area, time of
8 day, road type, casualty age, pedestrian location, pedestrian action, driver contributory factor, vehicle
9 class, temperature, and presence of rain, all contributed to the pedestrian crash severity. In particular,
10 crashes in Kowloon (odds ratio = 1.70) involving pedestrians older than 46 years (ages 46 – 65 years
11 (1.53), ages 66–80 years (3.46), and age 80 years or above (1.98)) that involved pedestrian walking

1 while facing traffic (1.21); crossing from the near side (1.58) and from the off side (1.68); negligent
2 driving (1.75); crashes involving a private car (1.45), goods vehicle (2.70), bus (3.84), or taxi (1.91);
3 air temperature of 30 °C or above (1.35); and the presence of rain (1.89) all had a higher likelihood of
4 KSI. In contrast, crashes during the period between 10:00 am and 4:00 pm (0.71) on one-way roads
5 (0.80), and involving a pedestrian being on the carriageway (0.41) all had lower likelihood of KSI.
6 Also, the effects of factor attributes including Kowloon (mean = 0.529, s.d. = 0.906), pedestrian age
7 of 66–80 years (mean = 1.242, s.d. = 0.971), pedestrian age above 80 years (mean = 0.683, s.d. =
8 3.338), pedestrian on the carriageway (mean = -0.89, s.d. = 2.47), and pedestrian crossing from the
9 near side (mean = 0.459, s.d. = 1.128) on crash severity were found to vary across observations.

10 *Refined model*

11 We added terms denoting interactions between weather conditions (significant weather variables
12 revealed in the basic model, i.e., air temperature and rain) and possible risk factors (i.e., road type,
13 pedestrian demographics, pedestrian location, pedestrian behavior, vehicle class, and driver
14 contributory factor) into the refined model. We included interaction terms that had significantly
15 contributed to crash severity at the 10% level in the final model. The second part of Table 3 presents
16 the parameter estimation results for the refined model. Since the effects of most of the risk factors were
17 consistent with that of the basic model, here, we discuss only the intervention effects of weather
18 conditions in the refined model. Interactions between (i) raining and jaywalking behavior (odds ratio
19 = 1.97), (ii) raining and driver contributing factor (1.54), (iii) raining and overcrowded footpath (0.18),
20 (iv) temperature above 30 °C and driver inattention (1.78), and (v) temperature above 30 °C and
21 pedestrian running onto the road (1.47) all significantly contributed to crash severity at the 10% level.

22 **5. Discussion**

23 *Pedestrian Characteristics*

24 Crashes involving pedestrians older than 45 years had a higher likelihood of KSI, especially those
25 involving elderly pedestrians aged 66–80 years, which could be due to vulnerabilities associated with
26 their physiological conditions and the poor safety perceptions of elderly pedestrians. Also, elderly
27 pedestrians may take longer to respond to hazardous situations. This is consistent with the findings of
28 previous studies (Abay, 2013; Xu et al., 2016). Also, the parameters of pedestrian aged 66–80 years
29 and older than 80 years were normally distributed. We found that the probabilities of incurring KSI
30 were 90.0% and 58.1% for pedestrian aged 66 – 80 years and older than 80 years, respectively. This
31 echoes findings that the physiological conditions of people in the same age group can vary significantly
32 (Islam and Jones, 2014). This is an alarming issue for traffic management and control and road-user
33 education. As in other modern societies, the ageing population is a matter of increasing concern in
34 Hong Kong. The proportion of the population older than 65 years is projected to increase from 16% in
35 2016 to over 25% in 2035. To improve road safety, special attention should be paid to elderly
36 pedestrians. The safety awareness of this vulnerable pedestrian group could be enhanced by education
37 and promotional campaigns, especially for areas with high levels of pedestrian activities, vehicle –
38 pedestrian interactions, and attraction points for elderly citizens (i.e., hospital, clinic, and recreation
39 and welfare services). Other possible remedial measures are improving sidewalk design and installing
40

1 pedestrian signals that emphasize the needs of the elderly.

2

3 *Vehicle and Driver Attributes*

4 It is not surprising that negligent driving behavior is correlated with a higher likelihood of KSI crashes.
5 Negligent driving behaviors include reversing, U-turning, overtaking, and turning recklessly. To tackle
6 these problems, enforcements and penalties could be enhanced to combat risky driving behavior. Also,
7 targeted safety campaigns could be implemented to emphasize the hazards associated with aggressive
8 driving and unexpected vehicle maneuvers (Sze and Wong, 2007).

9

10 With respect to vehicle class, crashes involving private cars, goods vehicles, buses, and taxis all had
11 higher likelihoods of KSI, compared to those involving bicycles and motorcycles. This finding is
12 expected considering the differences in mass, speed, and energy dissipated for different crash types
13 (Haleem et al., 2015). These results indicate the importance of better traffic control and management,
14 and in particular, the use of warning signs at locations that serve as access points to public transport
15 interchanges and loading/unloading areas, where interactions between pedestrians, buses, taxis, and
16 goods vehicles are frequent (Sze and Wong, 2007).

17

18 *Road Environment and Traffic Control*

19 We found pedestrian crashes that occur on one-way roads and roads with no crossing control to have
20 lower likelihood of KSI. This could be attributed to lower vehicular speed on one-way roads and higher
21 driver and/or pedestrian awareness due to frequent roadside activities and unexpected crossings,
22 therefore reducing the risk of more severe pedestrian crashes (Tulu et al., 2015). Indeed, the crash
23 location parameter was normally distributed. The probability of slight injury crashes on roads with no
24 crossing control was 64.1%. This indicates a significant variation in traffic condition and vehicular
25 speed, and thus the varying effect of road environment on crash severity (Pei et al. 2012).

26

27 Pedestrian crashes at crosswalks have tended to have higher risk of fatality or serious injury (Sarkar et
28 al., 2011; Brosseau et al., 2013). Consistently, the results of this study indicate that a number of
29 pedestrian actions, including crossing from the off side or near side of the road and walking while
30 facing traffic were all correlated with a higher likelihood of KSI. It is essential to combat risky
31 pedestrian and driver behaviors such as jaywalking, speeding, and driving under the influence by
32 increasing traffic surveillance and penalty levels (Sze et al., 2011). Also, the installation of barriers
33 and warning signs to drivers regarding the presence of pedestrians could enhance pedestrian safety at
34 locations with high levels of pedestrian activity.

35

36 *Time and Space Distribution*

37 With respect to temporal distribution, we found that crashes occurring between 10:00 am and 4:00 pm
38 (non-peak period) had lower likelihood of KSI, compared to those occurring at night. Sze and Wong
39 (2007) found better visual conditions and increased awareness of drivers to be correlated with lower
40 injury risk. With respect to geographical distribution, crashes that occurred in Kowloon (densely
41 developed urban area) had a higher likelihood of KSI. These results are consistent with those of a

1 previous study. The number of points of attraction, i.e., restaurant, recreation, and shopping mall both
2 for local residents and tourists, was high in Kowloon, and roadside and loading/unloading activities
3 were very frequent. These factors may all have increased the crash and injury risks (Wong et al., 2007).
4 Noland and Quddus (2005) found dense urban areas with a higher population density, road density,
5 and pedestrian activity near public transportation stations to be positively associated with mortality
6 and severe injury, especially during congested periods. Also, the 'Kowloon' parameter variable was
7 normally distributed, with a 72% probability that injury risk in Kowloon was higher than that on Hong
8 Kong Island and in the New Territories. Variations in the association between crash severity and
9 geographical distribution could be attributed to the regular (day-to-day variation) and irregular (traffic
10 incident) fluctuations in traffic conditions.

12 *Weather Condition*

13 As also shown in Table 3, crashes occurring when the air temperature was higher than 30 °C and in
14 rainy conditions tended to have higher likelihood of KSI. This finding is consistent with those of
15 previous studies, which found that pedestrians and drivers tended to be less patient and more likely to
16 violate traffic rules in undesirable environmental conditions (Li and Fernie, 2010; Naik et al., 2016).
17 Indeed, rain has long been recognized as a common factor contributing to increases in crashes and
18 injury risk (Eisenberg, 2004; Caliendo et al., 2007; Khorashadi et al., 2005; Qiu and Nixon, 2008;
19 Theofilatos et al., 2012).

21 When other factors are unchanged, weather conditions could affect driver and pedestrian behaviors.
22 Therefore, the association between crash severity and possible risk factors may be moderated by
23 weather conditions. In particular, in hot weather conditions, crashes attributed to driver inattention and
24 reckless crossing by pedestrians had higher likelihoods of KSI. This could be attributed to an increased
25 sensitivity to risky pedestrian and driver behaviors to changes in traffic conditions in hot weather. Also,
26 the capabilities of drivers and pedestrians to execute a defensive response to an emergency situation
27 could be reduced in poor weather conditions. Moreover, increases in tire pressure might result in the
28 tire bursting in continuously extreme hot weather conditions. All these factors have adverse impacts
29 on crash and injury risk. Perhaps the higher risk of road injury in hot weather could be emphasized in
30 promotional campaigns for pedestrians.

32 Similarly, in rainy conditions, crashes attributable to jaywalking and risky driver behavior may have a
33 higher likelihood of KSI, but those occurring when the footpath was overcrowded had a lower
34 likelihood of KSI. This could be attributed to reductions in visibility and surface friction in the presence
35 of rain, and a corresponding increase in the sensitivities of risky driver and pedestrian crossing
36 behaviors to crash and injury risk. Indeed, a longer braking distance and response time would be
37 required when visibility is reduced and the pavement surface condition is poor (Theofilatos and Yannis,
38 2014; Mondal et al., 2011). Also, cognitive performance with respect to road signs, road environment,
39 car-following, and approaching traffic and/or pedestrians has been found to be impaired when visibility
40 is reduced, based on the results of a driving simulator experiment and eye tracking (Chapman and
41 Crundall, 2010). The authors reported an expectation that driver and pedestrian awareness in
42 undesirable weather conditions could be enhanced by the use of variable messages and warning signs,
43 thereby enhancing pedestrian safety. Last but not least, under rainy condition, crashes that occurred

1 when the footpath was overcrowded had a lower likelihood of KSI. This finding is consistent with that
2 of a previous study (Xu et al., 2016), and could be because a protective barrier was often provided
3 when pedestrian volume was high.

4
5 Nevertheless, rarely has the association between crash severity, possible risk factors, and weather
6 conditions been measured using real-time weather data. Therefore, the remedial measures proposed to
7 date have been relatively inelastic and unresponsive to the weather conditions. As revealed in this study,
8 the interaction effects of weather conditions on the association between crash severity and risky driver
9 and pedestrian behaviors (e.g., jaywalking, driving under the influence) are significant. Therefore, real-
10 time weather data must be incorporated with traffic data in any proactive traffic management system.
11 For instance, messages presented by variable message signs and in-vehicle driver assistance systems
12 could both be responsive to real-time weather conditions, especially in extreme hot weather and heavy
13 rainstorms. This would enhance the safety awareness of drivers and pedestrians, and reduce the
14 corresponding crash and injury risks in adverse weather conditions. Also, targeted road-user education
15 and road-safety publicity could be implemented during the summer period, in which extreme hot
16 weather, typhoons, and rainstorms are prevalent.

17 18 **6. Conclusions**

19
20 Pedestrians are vulnerable to severe injury and mortality in road crashes, and pedestrian behavior and
21 injury risk are sensitive to the weather conditions. In this era of climate change, occurrences of extreme
22 weather events including heat waves and rainstorms are more frequent. It is essential to identify the
23 factors contributing to high injury risk on roads and the moderating effects of weather condition on
24 this association. In this study, we integrated high-resolution weather data, and the corresponding
25 temporal and spatial distributions, with crash and traffic data. In particular, we obtained information
26 on wind speed, gust speed, air temperature, humidity, and rainfall at 1-minute intervals from eighteen
27 automatic weather stations across Hong Kong (a compact city with land area of 1,100 sq. kilometer).
28 We then established a mixed logit regression model, allowing for variation in parameters across
29 observations. Our results indicate that hot weather and the presence of rain both contributed to a higher
30 likelihood of more severe crashes. Also, we examined the interaction effects of weather on the
31 association between crash severity and driver and pedestrian behaviors to identify risky behaviors
32 under adverse weather conditions. For instance, we found the effects of jaywalking and risky driver
33 behavior on crash severity to be more prevalent in rainy conditions. In addition, we found the effects
34 of driver inattention and reckless crossing on crash severity to be more significant in hot weather
35 conditions. This indicates a need to develop and implement a proactive traffic management system that
36 integrates both real-time weather and traffic data. By doing so, the safety awareness of drivers and
37 pedestrians can be enhanced in adverse weather conditions.

38
39 In this study, we obtained information about driver and pedestrian behaviors and crash circumstance
40 from police crash records. These records are subject to the judgment of and details provided by the
41 attending police officer at the crash. Also, it is crucial to understand the relationship between weather
42 condition, pedestrian and driver behaviors, and personal characteristics, including demographics and
43 socio-economic characteristics. In future research, it will be worthwhile to explore the association
44 between real-time weather condition (e.g., storm and fog), traffic conditions, personal characteristics,

1 and driver and pedestrian behaviors when comprehensive information is available for an empirical
2 survey and a pedestrian/driving simulator experiment.

3 4 **Acknowledgements**

5
6 We gratefully acknowledge Hong Kong Observatory for providing the weather data, for the research
7 work that is reported in this paper. The views expressed are those of the authors and do not represent
8 those of the HKSAR government. This work was jointly supported by: 1) the Joint Research Scheme
9 of National Natural Science Foundation of China/Research Grants Council of Hong Kong (Project No.
10 71561167001 & N_HKU707/15), 2) National Key Research and Development Plan (No.
11 SQ2018YFB120181), 3) the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong (Project No. 25203717), and 4)
12 the Research Committee of the Hong Kong Polytechnic University (Project No. 1-ZE5V).

13 14 **References**

- 15
16 Abay KA., 2013. Examining pedestrian-injury severity using alternative disaggregate models.
17 *Research in Transportation Economics*, 43(1): 123–136.
- 18 Aziz, H. M., Ukkusuri, S. V., & Hasan, S., 2013. Exploring the determinants of pedestrian-vehicle
19 crash severity in New York City. *Accid Anal Prev*, 50, 1298-1309.
- 20 Behnood, A., & Mannering, F. L., 2016. An empirical assessment of the effects of economic recessions
21 on pedestrian-injury crashes using mixed and latent-class models. *Anal Meth in Accid Res*, 12, 1-
22 17.
- 23 Brosseau, M., Zangenehpour, S., Saunier, N., Miranda-Moreno, L., 2013. The impact of waiting time
24 and other factors on dangerous pedestrian crossings and violations at signalized intersections: A
25 case study in Montreal. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 21,
26 159-172.
- 27 Caliendo, C., Guida, M., Parisi, A., 2007. A crash-prediction model for multilane roads. *Accid Anal*
28 *Prev* 39, 657-670.
- 29 Cambon de Lavalette, B., Tijus, C., Poitrenaud, S., Leproux, C., Bergeron, J., Thouez, J.-P., 2009.
30 Pedestrian crossing decision-making: A situational and behavioral approach. *Safety Science* 47,
31 1248-1253.
- 32 Chang, F., Li, M., Xu, P., Zhou, H., Haque, M.M., Huang, H., 2016. Injury Severity of Motorcycle
33 Riders Involved in Traffic Crashes in Hunan, China: A Mixed Ordered Logit Approach.
34 *International Journal of Environmental Research & Public Health*, 2016, 13(7):714.
- 35 Christoforou, Z., Cohen, S., Karlaftis, M.G., 2010. Vehicle occupant injury severity on highways: an
36 empirical investigation. *Accid Anal Prev* 42, 1606-1620.
- 37 Clifton, K.J., Burnier, C.V., Akar, G., 2009. Severity of injury resulting from pedestrian-vehicle
38 crashes: What can we learn from examining the built environment? *Transportation Research Part*
39 *D: Transport and Environment* 14, 425-436.
- 40 Dai. 2012. Identifying clusters and risk factors of injuries in pedestrian-vehicle crashes in a GIS
41 environment. *J. of Transport Geography*, 24, 206-214.
- 42 Eisenberg. 2004. The mixed effects of precipitation on traffic crashes. *Accid Anal Prev*, 36(4), 637-
43 647.
- 44 Greene, W. H., 2012. NLOGIT 5: Reference guide. Econometric Software, Inc.

- 1 Gårder. 2004. The impact of speed and other variables on pedestrian safety in Maine. *AAP*, 36(4), 533-
2 542.
- 3 Train, K.E. *Discrete Choice: Methods with Simulation*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK.
- 4 Haleem, K., Alluri, P., Gan, A., 2015. Analyzing pedestrian crash injury severity at signalized and non-
5 signalized locations. *Accid Anal Prev* 81, 14-23.
- 6 Hong Kong Observatory, 2015. *Monthly Meteorological Normals for Hong Kong between 1981-2010*.
7 Hong Kong Government, March, Hong Kong.
- 8 Islam, S., Jones, S.L., 2014. Pedestrian at-fault crashes on rural and urban roadways in Alabama. *Accid*
9 *Anal Prev* 72, 267-276.
- 10 Jian, L., Lizhong, Y., Daoliang, Z., 2005. Simulation of bi-direction pedestrian movement in corridor.
11 *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 354, 619-628.
- 12 Jung, S., Qin, X., Noyce, D.A., 2010. Rainfall effect on single-vehicle crash severities using
13 polychotomous response models. *Accid Anal Prev* 42, 213-224.
- 14 Jung, S., Qin, X., Noyce, D.A., 2012. Injury Severity of Multivehicle Crash in Rainy Weather. *Journal*
15 *of Transportation Engineering* 138, 50-59.
- 16 Khorashadi, A., Niemeier, D., Shankar, V., Mannering, F., 2005. Differences in rural and urban driver-
17 injury severities in accidents involving large-trucks: an exploratory analysis. *Accid Anal Prev* 37,
18 910-921.
- 19 Kim, J.K., Ulfarsson, G.F., Shankar, V.N., Mannering, F.L., 2010. A note on modeling pedestrian-
20 injury severity in motor-vehicle crashes with the mixed logit model. *Accid Anal Prev* 42, 1751-
21 1758.
- 22 Konstantopoulos P, Chapman P, Crundall D., 2010. Driver's visual attention as a function of driving
23 experience and visibility. Using a driving simulator to explore drivers' eye movements in day,
24 night and rain driving. *Accid Anal Prev* 42(3):827-834.
- 25 Lee, J., Chae, J., Yoon, T., Yang, H., 2018. Traffic accident severity analysis with rain-related factors
26 using structural equation modeling - A case study of Seoul City. *Accid Anal Prev* 112, 1-10.
- 27 Li, D., Ranjitkar, P., Zhao, Y., Yi, H., Rashidi, S., 2017. Analyzing pedestrian crash injury severity
28 under different weather conditions. *Traffic Inj Prev* 18, 427-430.
- 29 Li, Y., Fernie, G., 2010. Pedestrian behavior and safety on a two-stage crossing with a center refuge
30 island and the effect of winter weather on pedestrian compliance rate. *Accid Anal Prev* 42, 1156-
31 1163.
- 32 Maze et al. 2004. Whether Weather Matters to Traffic Demand, Traffic Safety, and Traffic Operations
33 and Flow. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*,
34 1948(1), 170-176.
- 35 Milton, J.C., Shankar, V.N., Mannering, F.L., 2008. Highway accident severities and the mixed logit
36 model: an exploratory empirical analysis. *Accid Anal Prev* 40, 260-266.
- 37 Mondal, P., Sharma, N., Kumar, A., Bhangale, U. et al., 2011. "Effect of Rainfall and Wet Road
38 Condition on Road Crashes : A Critical Analysis," *SAE Technical Paper* 2011-26-0104,
39 <https://doi.org/10.4271/2011-26-0104>.
- 40 Mohamed, M.G., Saunier, N., Miranda-Moreno, L.F., Ukkusuri, S.V., 2013. A clustering regression
41 approach: A comprehensive injury severity analysis of pedestrian-vehicle crashes in New York,
42 US and Montreal, Canada. *Safety Science* 54, 27-37.
- 43 M Vilaça, N Silva, MC Coelho., 2017. Statistical Analysis of the Occurrence and Severity of Crashes
44 Involving Vulnerable Road Users. *Transportation Research Procedia* 27, 1113-1120.

- 1 Naik, B., Tung, L.W., Zhao, S., Khattak, A.J., 2016. Weather impacts on single-vehicle truck crash
2 injury severity. *J Safety Res* 58, 57-65.
- 3 Noland, R.B., Quddus, M.A., 2005. Congestion and safety: a spatial analysis of London. *Transport.*
4 *Res. A* 39, 737–754.
- 5 Qiu and Nixon, W. A, 2008. Effects of Adverse Weather on Traffic Crashes Systematic Review and
6 Meta-Analysis. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*,
7 2055(1), 139-146
- 8 Pei, X., Wong, S.C., Sze, N.N., 2012. The roles of exposure and speed in road safety analysis. *Accid*
9 *Anal Prev* 48, 464-471
- 10 Pucher and Dijkstra, J. Pucher, L. Dijkstra., 2003. Promoting safe walking and cycling to improve
11 public health: lessons from the Netherlands and Germany, *Am. J. Public Health*, 93 (9), 1509-
12 1516
- 13 Sarkar, S., Tay, R., & Hunt, J. D., 2012. Logistic regression model of risk of fatality in vehicle-
14 pedestrian crashes on national highways in bangladesh. *Transportation Research Record Journal*
15 *of the Transportation Research Board*, 2264(-1), 128-137.
- 16 Sze, N.N., Wong, S.C., 2007. Diagnostic analysis of the logistic model for pedestrian injury severity
17 in traffic crashes. *Accid Anal Prev* 39, 1267-1278.
- 18 Sze, N.N., Wong, S.C., Pei, X., Choi, P.W. and Lo, Y.K., 2011. Is a combined enforcement and penalty
19 strategy effective in combating red light violations? An aggregate model of violation behavior in
20 Hong Kong. *Accid Anal Prev* 43, 265-271.
- 21 Tarko, A., Azam, M.S., 2011. Pedestrian injury analysis with consideration of the selectivity bias in
22 linked police-hospital data. *Accid Anal Prev* 43, 1689-1695.
- 23 Tay, R., Choi, J., Kattan, L., Khan, A., 2011. A Multinomial Logit Model of Pedestrian–Vehicle Crash
24 Severity. *International Journal of Sustainable Transportation* 5, 233-249.
- 25 Theofilatos, A., Graham, D., Yannis, G., 2012. Factors affecting accident severity inside and outside
26 urban areas in Greece. *Traffic Inj Prev* 13, 458-467.
- 27 Theofilatos, A., Yannis, G., 2014. A review of the effect of traffic and weather characteristics on road safety.
28 *Accid Anal Prev* 72, 244-256.
- 29 Transport Department, 2015. Road Traffic Accident Statistics, 2014. [http://www.td.gov.hk/en/road](http://www.td.gov.hk/en/road-safety/road-traffic-accident-statistics/index.html)
30 [safety/road traffic accident statistics/index.html](http://www.td.gov.hk/en/road-safety/road-traffic-accident-statistics/index.html)
- 31 Tulu, G. S., Washington, S., Haque, M. M., & King, M. J. (2015). Investigation of pedestrian crashes
32 on two-way two-lane rural roads in ethiopia. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 78, 118-126.
- 33 Wong, S.C., Sze, N.N., Li, Y.C., 2007. Contributory factors to traffic crashes at signalized intersections
34 in Hong Kong. *Accid Anal Prev* 39, 1107-1113.
- 35 Xu, X., Xie, S., Wong, S.C., Xu, P., Huang, H., Pei, X., 2016. Severity of pedestrian injuries due to
36 traffic crashes at signalized intersections in Hong Kong: a Bayesian spatial logit model. *Journal*
37 *of Advanced Transportation* 50, 2015-2028.
- 38 Yu, R., Abdel-Aty, M., 2014. Analyzing crash injury severity for a mountainous freeway incorporating
39 real-time traffic and weather data. *Safety Science* 63, 50-56.
- 40 Zahabi, S., Strauss, J., Manaugh, K., Miranda-Moreno, L., 2011. Estimating potential effect of speed
41 limits, built environment, and other factors on severity of pedestrian and cyclist injuries in crashes.
42 *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board* 2247, 81–90.
- 43 Zajac and Ivan. 2003. Factors influencing injury severity of motor vehicle-crossing pedestrian crashes
44 in rural Connecticut. *Accid Anal Prev*, 35(3), 369-379.

