

Towards high-level Theoretical Studies of Large Biodiesel Molecules: An ONIOM [QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] Study of the Reactions between Unsaturated Methyl Esters ($C_nH_{2n-1}COOCH_3$) and Hydrogen Radical

Lidong Zhang¹, Qinghui Meng^{1,2}, Yicheng Chi² and Peng Zhang^{2,*}

1. National Laboratory of Synchrotron, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China

2. Department of Mechanical Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Hong Kong

Abstract: A two-layer ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] method was proposed for the high-level single-point energy calculations of large biodiesel molecules and was validated for the hydrogen abstraction reactions of unsaturated methyl esters that are important components of real biodiesel. The reactions under investigation include all the reactions on the potential energy surface of $C_nH_{2n-1}COOCH_3$ ($n=2-5, 17$) + H, including the hydrogen abstraction, the hydrogen addition, the isomerization (intramolecular hydrogen shift), and the β -scission reactions. By virtue of the introduced concept of chemically active center (CAC), a unified specification of chemically active portion (CAP) for the ONIOM method was proposed to account for the additional influence of C=C double bond. The predicted energy barriers and heat of reactions by using the ONIOM method are in very good agreement with those obtained by using the widely accepted high-level QCISD(T)/CBS theory, as verified by that the computational deviations are less than 0.15 kcal/mol, for almost all the reaction pathways under investigation. The method provides a computationally accurate and affordable approach to combustion chemists for high-level theoretical chemical kinetics of large biodiesel molecules.

Key words: biodiesel, unsaturated ester, energy barrier, heat of reaction, ONIOM

* Corresponding author: pengzhang.zhang@polyu.edu.hk (P. Zhang)

1. Introduction

Ever-increasing concerns about global energy security, environmental protection and climate change have urged contemporary combustion researchers to study alternative fuels that are renewable and sustainable. Biodiesel is a promising alternative fuel owing to its many advantages such as renewability, domestic production, and lower pollutant emissions¹. Its derivation from chemically reactive lipids (e.g. vegetable oil, soybean oil, and animal fat) with an alcohol through the trans-esterification process²⁻³ leads to a mixture of saturated and unsaturated, methyl and ethyl esters. The molecule of these esters usually contains twelve or more carbon atoms⁴⁻⁵.

Motivated by establishing high-fidelity, quantitatively accurate, detailed reaction mechanisms to promote the computer-aided design and optimization of practical combustion energy conversion devices, numerous studies have been conducted on the chemical kinetics of biodiesel combustion in the past decade⁶⁻¹¹. The mechanism development of biodiesel, which contains diverse constituent molecules of large size, is however challenging, because biodiesel combustion produces a formidably complex reaction system involving about thousands of species and ten times more reactions. As a result, surrogate fuels of smaller molecular sizes were extensively studied in recent years in the hope of imitating the combustion characteristics of real biodiesel. Small saturated methyl esters, particularly methyl butanoate, have been the focus of many experimental and theoretical studies¹²⁻¹⁶.

In consideration of that the major components of biodiesel are unsaturated alkyl esters with mono- and multi- C=C bonds, and that the presence of double bond(s) has a great influence on the ignition delay and the Cetane number¹⁷, chemical kinetics of unsaturated biodiesel surrogate molecules have come to the attention of researchers recently. For small unsaturated esters, Sarathy et al.¹⁸ compared methyl butanoate [CH₃(CH₂)₂COOCH₃] and methyl crotonoate [CH₃CH=CHCOOCH₃], and experimentally found that both molecules have similar reactivity but the unsaturated one has a greater sooting tendency. Gail et al.¹⁹ proposed a detailed reaction mechanism for methyl crotonoate oxidation based on the mechanisms developed for methyl butanoate. The experimental study on a blend of n-decane

and methyl oleate [$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}=\text{CH}(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3$] was conducted by Bax et al.²⁰ in a jet-stirred reactor and over a temperature range of 550-1100K. Attributing to the presence of C=C double bond, a slightly lower reactivity was observed for the blend compared with that of the blend of n-decane and methyl palmitate [$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{14}\text{COOCH}_3$]. Based on the reaction mechanism for methyl decanoate oxidation, Herbinet et al.²¹ developed detailed chemical kinetics mechanisms for methyl-5-decenoate [$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{CH}=\text{CH}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{COOCH}_3$] and methyl-9-decenoate [$\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3$], and validated the mechanisms against the jet-stirred reactor experiments for rapeseed oil methyl esters oxidation. For developing the reaction mechanism for methyl oleate [$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}=\text{CH}(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3$], Naik et al.²² adopted the existing reaction classes and the semi-empirical rules for reaction rate constant, and supplemented the reaction classes associated with methyl esters. On the basis of the auto-ignition database of small unsaturated esters²³⁻²⁶, extensive studies have been also conducted to investigate the effects of C=C double bonds on the reactivity and products of medium-sized unsaturated esters²⁷⁻³⁰.

In spite of these worthy studies, we noted that few theoretical chemical kinetics studies have been performed for unsaturated large ester molecules. Evidently, accurate theoretical prediction of energy barriers and heat of reactions for key reaction pathways is crucial for the construction of detailed reaction mechanism, whose uncertainty is critically influenced by the rate constants and thermodynamics data³¹. Several high-level Post-Hartree-Fock methods together with large basis sets have been sufficiently demonstrated for their computational accuracy and affordability for relatively small molecular systems¹¹. For example, the coupled cluster theory, with single and double excitations, and a quasi-perturbative treatment of connected triple excitations [CCSD(T)], with an extrapolation to complete basis set (CBS), yields the predictions of barrier height and reaction energy with uncertainties less than 1.0 kcal/mol³¹ in the systems without strong multireference characteristics; otherwise the post-CCSD(T) methods such as CCSDT(Q) may be needed. The quadratic configuration interaction with singles, doubles and perturbative inclusion of triples, and with an extrapolation to complete basis set [QCISD(T)/CBS] usually has uncertainties around 1.0

kcal/mol, which can further be reduced to 0.6 kcal/mol with a bond additivity correction³². These high-level methods are however computationally infeasible for large molecules containing more than 9 or 10 carbon atoms.

Recognizing that few attempts have been made to produce high-level thermochemical and kinetic data for larger esters, and urged by the need to develop methodologies for high-level chemical kinetics of larger biodiesel molecules, Zhang and Zhang¹¹ proposed a two-layer ONIOM method³³, in which the QCISD(T)/CBS method is used for the high layer and the B3LYP-favor density functional theory (DFT) method for the low layer. The ONIOM [QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] method was systematically validated by calculating the energy barriers and the heats of reaction of the hydrogen abstraction reactions of saturated alkyl esters, $C_nH_{2n+1}COOC_mH_{2m+1}$ ($n = 1-5$, $m = 1$ or 2), by a hydrogen radical, which are among the key reactions in combustion of alkyl esters. The calculated energies were found to be almost identical to those QCISD(T)/CBS energies, with the discrepancies being less than 0.1 kcal/mol for all the tested cases. The ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] method was subsequently applied to larger systems, such as methyl decanoate (MD, $n = 9$, $m = 1$) and methyl heptadecanoate ($n = 15$, $m = 1$), and used to examine the widely-used Evans-Polanyi relations, which are however insufficiently accurate for high-level chemical kinetics because of the large uncertainty of ± 2.0 kcal/mol.

In the present study, we further developed and validated the ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] method for the reactions between hydrogen radical and unsaturated methyl esters, $C_nH_{2n-1}COOCH_3$ ($n=2-5$), and subsequently applied it to methyl oleate ($n=17$). This study is considered as a significant extension and complement of Zhang and Zhang's previous work¹¹ for the following reasons. First, the ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] method would not be considered practically useful until its applicability is verified for not only saturated esters but also unsaturated esters, given that the latter are important components of real biodiesel. Second, the specification of chemically active portion (CAP) for the ONIOM method needs additional theoretical consideration to account for the influence of C=C double bond. Third, the energetically favored reactions between hydrogen radical and $C_nH_{2n-1}COOCH_3$ are not

limited to hydrogen abstraction reactions. Instead, hydrogen radical can be added to the double bond to form a radical $C_nH_{2n}COOCH_3$, which subsequently undergoes isomerization (intramolecular hydrogen shift) reactions and β -scission reactions. The emergence of these reactions substantially increases the theoretical and computational complexity of the present study, as shall be expatiated shortly in the following sections.

2. Computational methodology

2.1. Potential Energy Surfaces and ONIOM Energies

Following the previous study by Zhang and Zhang¹¹, the Becke three-parameter functional and the Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional (B3LYP) with the 6-311++G(d,p) basis set³⁴⁻³⁵ was used in the geometry optimization and the calculation of vibrational frequencies for all stationary points on the potential energy surfaces of $C_nH_{2n-1}COOCH_3 + H$ ($n=2-5, 17$). In order to confirm the identified transition state, the intrinsic reaction path (IRC) was used to examine the connection of each local maxima to its local minima. Zero-point energy (ZPE) corrections were obtained from the B3LYP/6-311++G(d, p) vibrational frequencies.

To validate the calculated single-point energies by using the ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] method, we employed the QCISD(T)/CBS energies, which are constructed by using the QCISD(T) energies with cc-pVDZ and cc-pVTZ basis sets, and the energies from the second-order Møller-Plesset theory correction (MP2) with cc-pVDZ, cc-pVTZ and cc-pVQZ basis sets. These energies were extrapolated to the complete basis set by using the following equation³²:

$$E[\text{QCISD(T)/CBS}] = E[\text{QCISD(T)/CBS}]_{\text{DZ} \rightarrow \text{TZ}} + \{E[\text{MP2/CBS}]_{\text{TZ} \rightarrow \text{QZ}} - E[\text{MP2/CBS}]_{\text{DZ} \rightarrow \text{TZ}}\} \quad (1)$$

where

$$E[\text{QCISD(T)/CBS}]_{\text{DZ} \rightarrow \text{TZ}} = E[\text{QCISD(T)/TZ}] + \{E[\text{QCISD(T)/TZ}] - E[\text{QCISD(T)/DZ}]\} \times 0.4629 \quad (2)$$

$$E[\text{MP2/CBS}]_{\text{TZ} \rightarrow \text{QZ}} = E[\text{MP2/QZ}] + \{E[\text{MP2/QZ}] - E[\text{MP2/TZ}]\} \times 0.6938 \quad (3)$$

$$E[\text{MP2/CBS}]_{\text{DZ} \rightarrow \text{TZ}} = E[\text{MP2/TZ}] + \{E[\text{MP2/TZ}] - E[\text{MP2/DZ}]\} \times 0.4629 \quad (4)$$

In the previous studies on the isomerization and decomposition reactions of hydroxybutyl and butoxy radicals (C₄H₉O), the hydrogen abstraction and addition reactions of methyl butanoate [CH₃(CH₂)₂COOCH₃] by H and OH radicals²⁷, and the hydrogen abstraction reaction of C_nH_{2n+1}COOC_mH_{2m+1} (n = 0–2, m = 1 or 2) by H radical, the method (1) has been validated by comparing with the widely used the [QCISD(T)/CBS]_{TZ→QZ} method given by

$$E[\text{QCISD(T)/CBS}]_{\text{TZ} \rightarrow \text{QZ}} = E[\text{QCISD(T)/QZ}] + \{E[\text{QCISD(T)/QZ}] - E[\text{QCISD(T)/TZ}]\} \times 0.6938 \quad (5)$$

With the errors being less than 0.1 kcal/mol in all the tested cases, the QCISD(T)/CBS method (1) avoids the computationally expensive QCISD(T)/QZ calculations and thereby can be used in the present system up to n=5, beyond which the method becomes computational formidable and should be replaced by the ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] method.

The ONIOM energy of the whole system was obtained by using the low-level energy of the system with the available correction for the energy difference of CAP between the high level and the low level:

$$E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{High: Low}] = E^{\text{Low}}(\text{R}) + E^{\text{High}}(\text{CAP}) - E^{\text{Low}}(\text{CAP}) \quad (6)$$

Using the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) method for the low level and the QCISD(T)/CBS method (1) for the high level, we have the ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] energy given by

$$E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{QCISD(T)CBS: DFT}] = E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{QCISD(T)/CBS: DFT}]_{\text{DZ} \rightarrow \text{TZ}} + \{E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{MP2/CBS: DFT}]_{\text{TZ} \rightarrow \text{QZ}} - E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{MP2/CBS: DFT}]_{\text{DZ} \rightarrow \text{TZ}}\} \quad (7)$$

where

$$E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{QCISD(T)/CBS: DFT}]_{\text{DZ} \rightarrow \text{TZ}} = E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{QCISD(T)/TZ: DFT}] + \{E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{QCISD(T)/TZ: DFT}] - E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{QCISD(T)/DZ: DFT}]\} \times 0.4629 \quad (8)$$

$$E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{MP2/CBS: DFT}]_{\text{TZ} \rightarrow \text{QZ}} = E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{MP2/QZ: DFT}] + \{E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{MP2/QZ: DFT}] - E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{MP2/TZ: DFT}]\} \times 0.6938 \quad (9)$$

$$E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{MP2/CBS: DFT}]_{\text{DZ} \rightarrow \text{TZ}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{MP2/TZ:DFT}] \\
&+ \{E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{MP2/TZ:DFT}] - E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{MP2/DZ:DFT}]\} \times 0.4629 \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

The ZPE-corrected energy barrier (referred to as EB hereinafter) of a reaction is the difference between the energies ($E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT}] + \text{ZPE}$) of the reactant(s) and the transition state:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{EB} &= (E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT}] + \text{ZPE})_{\text{TS}} \\
&- (E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT}] + \text{ZPE})_{\text{reactants}} \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

The ZPE-corrected heats of reaction (referred to as HR hereinafter) of a reaction is the change between the energy ($E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT}] + \text{ZPE}$) of the reactant(s) and the product(s):

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{HR} &= (E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT}] + \text{ZPE})_{\text{products}} \\
&- (E^{\text{ONIOM}}[\text{QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT}] + \text{ZPE})_{\text{reactants}} \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

All the calculations in the present study were performed by the Gaussian program³⁶.

2.2. Chemically Active Portion (CAP)

2.2.1. General Description

The present ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] method divides a reaction system into two layers, which are treated at different theoretical levels. The high-level layer, also known as the chemically active portion (denoted by CAP hereinafter), is treated at the QCISD(T)/CBS level while the low-level layer at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d, p) level. The method uses hydrogen atoms as link atoms to saturate the dangling bonds and functional groups are always included in the same layer. The accuracy of the choice of link atoms has been substantiated for saturated alkyl ester systems¹¹ and will be further verified for unsaturated methyl ester systems in the present study.

A crucial issue of employing an ONIOM method is to specify the CAP to balance the computational accuracy and cost. In the previous study on the hydrogen abstraction reactions of saturated alkyl esters by hydrogen radical, the CAP consists of the CH₂ (or CH₃) group under hydrogen attack, and the neighboring CH₂ (or CH₃, C=O, C-O) groups. To determine the minimally required CAP with satisfactory calculation accuracy, Zhang and Zhang

¹¹specified a CAP by two integers, N_1 and N_2 , which are the numbers of the main-chain non-hydrogen atoms on each side of the CH_2 (or CH_3) under hydrogen attack. Consequently, the total number of non-hydrogen atoms included in the $\text{CAP}(N_1, N_2)$ is generally $N_1 + N_2 + 1$, which dominantly determines the computational cost of the ONIOM method.

In the previous study, systematical tests for all the possible combinations of (N_1, N_2) were conducted on the systems of $\text{C}_5\text{H}_{11}\text{COOCH}_3 + \text{H}$ and $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{20} + \text{H}$, the largest systems that were computationally affordable by the authors for the validation at the QCISD(T)/CBS level. The test results suggest that $\text{CAP}(2,2)$ is minimally required for the ONIOM method to accurately predict EB and HR with the errors being less than 0.1 kcal/mol compared with the QCISD(T)/CBS method. Furthermore, the specification of $\text{CAP}(2,2)$ must be done to maintain the integrity of the functional group: the carbonyl C atom, the alkoxy O atom and the carbonyl O atom must be all included in $\text{CAP}(2,2)$ if any one of them is included. Consequently, we believed that $\text{CAP}(2,2)$ is sufficiently large for the present unsaturated methyl ester systems. As will be seen in the present calculations, $\text{CAP}(2,2)$ indeed retains as a satisfactory choice for the unsaturated methyl ester reaction systems under consideration.

Compared with the hydrogen abstraction reactions of saturated alkyl esters, the specification of CAP for the reactions between hydrogen radical and unsaturated methyl esters ($\text{C}_n\text{H}_{2n-1}\text{COOCH}_3$) is more complex due to the presence of C=C double bond and therefore requires specific discussion according to the reaction type. However, it will be seen shortly that a generalization of $\text{CAP}(2,2)$ —consistent to its previous version—can be obtained by introducing a concept of chemically active center (CAC) to account for the conjugation influence of C=C double bond.

Methyl oleate [$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}=\text{CH}(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3$] with one double bond located in the middle of the long carbon chain is one of most common components of rapeseed biodiesel with a mass ratio being about 59.9%^{22,37}. It will be used as a representative unsaturated methyl ester for illustrating the specifications of CAP in the following subsections.

2.2.2. CAP (2,2) for Hydrogen Addition Reactions

For methyl oleate as shown in Fig.1, the indices 1-16 denote the CH₂ (or CH) groups from the one adjacent to the ester group to the one at the far end of the alkyl chain. The double bond C=C is located between the No. 8 and No. 9 CH groups. Me1 denotes the methyl group in the alkyl chain and Me2 the one connected to the ester group. As a representative example, a hydrogen atom is added to the No. 9 CH group, resulting in the reaction $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}=\text{CH}(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3 + \text{H}\cdot \rightarrow \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_8\text{CH}\cdot(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3$. For the addition reaction of a hydrogen atom to the No. 8 CH group, the specification of CAP(2,2) is similar and straightforward.

Similar to that in the previous study for hydrogen abstraction reactions, the CAP(2,2) for the hydrogen addition reaction consists of three parts: the No. 9 CH group under the hydrogen attack, the No. 10 and No. 11 CH₂ groups on the one side of the No. 9 CH group, and the No. 8 CH group and the No. 7 CH₂ group on the other side of the No. 9 CH group. For the consistency and conciseness of the present theoretical description, we define the No. 9 CH group under hydrogen attack as the chemically active center (referred to as CAC hereinafter) of the CAP for the reason to be manifested shortly. Consequently, the CAP(2,2) can be simply specified as to include the CAC and two CH₂ (or CH) groups on its each side.

2.2.3. CAP(2,2) for Hydrogen Abstraction Reactions

For the hydrogen abstraction reactions of methyl oleate, the CAP(2,2) must be specified according to the site of CH₂ (or CH₃) group under hydrogen attack. If the abstracted hydrogen atom is from neither No. 7 nor No. 10 CH₂ groups, the CAC is the CH₂ (or CH₃) group under attack and the corresponding CAP(2,2) is similar to that specified in the previous study.

As the CH₂ groups of No.7 or No.10 are located at the β position of the C=C double bond, the electrons in a sigma orbital interact with those in an adjacent π orbital, producing an extended molecular orbital. Unsaturated methyl esters with C=C bond are stabilized with the increased electron delocalization associated with the hyperconjugation effect. Moreover, ally radicals with strong conjugation effect are produced when hydrogen abstraction reactions occur at either No.7 or No.10 CH₂ group that locates at the β sites of the C=C bond. Thus, the

CAC must be enlarged to include the C=C double bond because of the conjugation effect of the double bond. Fig. 2 shows the hydrogen abstraction from the No. 7 CH₂ group, $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}=\text{CH}(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3 + \text{H}\cdot \rightarrow \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}=\text{CHCH}\cdot(\text{CH}_2)_6\text{COOCH}_3 + \text{H}_2$, as a representative case. The CAC consists of the No. 7 CH₂ group under hydrogen attack and the adjacent C=C double bond (i.e. the No. 8 and No. 9 CH groups). Consequently, the CAP(2,2) consists of the CAC, the No. 5 and No. 6 CH₂ groups on the one side of the CAC, and No. 10 and No. 11 CH₂ groups on the other side of the CAC.

Evidently, by virtue of the defined CAC, the CAP(2,2) for all the hydrogen abstraction reactions can be consistently specified as consisting of the CAC and two CH₂ (or CH, CH₃) groups on each side of the CAC.

2.2.4. CAP(2,2) for Isomerization Reactions

On the PES of $\text{C}_n\text{H}_{2n-1}\text{COOCH}_3+\text{H}$, the hydrogen addition reactions are followed by the isomerization and β -scission reactions. These isomerization reactions are characterized by the intramolecular hydrogen shift from a CH₂ (or CH₃) group to a CH group. Apparently, both groups between which a hydrogen atom shifts must be considered chemically active in such a reaction. Consequently, the CAP should contain two CACs: one is the CH₂ (or CH₃) group yielding the hydrogen atom and the other the CH group receiving the hydrogen atom. As a result, the CAP(2,2) is enlarged to include the two CACs and the two CH₂ (or CH, CH₃) groups on the each side of each CAC, yielding to totally 10 non-hydrogen atoms in a non-degenerate case.

Fig. 3 illustrates a representative isomerization reaction of the methyl oleate radical (the product shown in Fig. 1), $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_8\text{CH}\cdot(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{13}\text{CH}\cdot(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{COOCH}_3$, where a hydrogen atom of the No. 3 CH₂ group shifts to the No. 8 CH group through a 7-member-ring transition state. The indices 1-16 denote the CH₂ (or CH) groups from the one adjacent to the ester group to the one at the far end of the alkyl chain. Me1 denotes the methyl group in the alkyl chain and Me2 denotes the one connected to the ester group. The chemically active centers (CAC) are the No. 3 CH₂ group yielding the hydrogen atom and the No. 8 CH group receiving the hydrogen atom. The CAP(2,2) consists

of the CACs, the four neighboring groups (No. 1 and No. 2, No. 4 and No. 5) of the No. 3 CH₂ group (the first CAC), and the four neighboring groups (No. 6 and No. 7, No. 9 and No. 10) of the No. 8 CH group (the second CAC).

For the case shown in Fig. 3, the CAP(2,2) containing 10 non-hydrogen atoms coincidentally includes all the 6 carbon atoms within the 7-member-ring transition state structure. For the isomerization reactions considered in the present investigation, this is the largest CAP(2,2) whose ring-structure transition state can be computed at the level of QCISD(T)/CBS. For any other cases where the transition state forms a larger multiple-member-ring structure, the transition state has to be computed at different levels of theory. This may cause a relatively larger computational error, but beyond the present computational capability for validation.

2.2.5. CAP(2,2) for β -scission Reactions

In general, the CAC for a β -scission reaction consists of the radical site and its adjacent α and β groups. The corresponding CAP(2,2) consists of the CAC and two neighboring groups on each side of the CAC. Fig. 4 illustrates a representative β -scission reaction of the methyl oleate radical (the product of the reaction shown in Fig. 3), $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{15}\text{CH}\cdot\text{COOCH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{13}\text{CH}_2\cdot + \text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{CHCOOCH}_3$, which is one of the most energetically favorable reaction channels on the PES of $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{33}\text{COOCH}_3+\text{H}$. The indices 1-16 denote the CH₂ (or CH) groups from the one adjacent to the ester group to the one at the far end of the alkyl chain. Me1 denotes the methyl group in the alkyl chain and Me2 the one connected to the ester group. The CAC consists of the No. 1 CH group and the No. 2 and No. 3 CH₂ groups involved in the β -scission. The CAP(2,2) is composed of the CAC, the No. 3 and No. 4 CH₂ groups on the one side of the CAC, and the ester group on the other side of the CAC.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Validation of ONIOM Energies of $\text{C}_n\text{H}_{2n-1}\text{COOCH}_3 + \text{H}$ (n=2-5)

3.1.1. Hydrogen Addition and Abstraction Reactions

To validate the present ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] method with the defined CAP (2,2), the calculated EB and HR for the addition and abstraction reactions of $\text{C}_n\text{H}_{2n-1}\text{COOCH}_3+\text{H}$ (n=2-5) are presented in Table 1. All the possible locations of the C=C double bond and the

hydrogen attack sites were considered for a systematical and comprehensive validation. The notation l (=1-4) denotes the location of double bond from the closest to the farthest to the ester group, and k (=1-5, Me1 and Me2) denotes the group under H attack. Me1 denotes the CH₃ in the alkyl chain and Me2 denotes the CH₃ connected to the alkoxy O atom. The empty cells in Table 1 correspond to those non-existent reactions.

It is seen from Table 1 that the predicted energies using the ONIOM and the QCISD(T)/CBS methods are in very good agreement. The EBs of hydrogen addition reactions are substantially smaller than those of H abstraction reactions as expected. For the hydrogen abstraction reactions at saturated sites, EBs of unsaturated esters are almost identical with that of saturated esters obtained previously¹¹, while for the hydrogen abstraction at α sites of C=C, EBs are smaller due to the conjugation effect.

To facilitate the comparison between the ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] and QCISD(T)/CBS energies for better perception, the differences (in absolute value) between EB[ONIOM] (or HR[ONIOM]) and EB[QCISD(T)/CBS] (or HR[QCISD(T)/CBS]) are depicted in Fig. 5. It is seen that almost all the differences are less than 0.15 kcal/mol and that the majority of them are actually less than 0.1 kcal/mol, substantiating the accuracy of the present ONIOM method.

The only exceptional case is the EB for the hydrogen addition reaction ($n=5, l=2, k=3$),



where the EB difference is 0.17 kcal/mol. The slightly larger difference may be caused by the exclusion of the methoxy group from the CAP(2,2). According to the specification rules discussed in the preceding section, the CAP(2,2) for (13) includes three CH₂ groups and the carbonyl group. An extension of the CAP to include the whole ester group will diminish the difference.

3.1.2. Isomerization Reactions


The predicted energies by using the ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] and QCISD(T)/CBS methods for the isomerization reactions of $C_nH_{2n}COOCH_3$ ($n=2-5$) are presented in Table 2. All the possible active sites of the radical locations in the reactants and products were considered for a systematical and comprehensive validation. The notation p ($=1-4$, Me1, and Me2) denotes the radical location in the reactant and q ($=1-4$, Me1, Me2 and O) in the product. Me1 denotes the CH_3 in the alkyl chain and Me2 denotes the CH_3 connected the alkoxy O atom (denoted by O). The empty cells in Table 2 correspond to those non-existent reactions.

All the calculated EB[ONIOM] (or HR[ONIOM]) are in excellent agreement with EB[QCISD(T)/CBS] (or HR[QCISD(T)/CBS]) with the absolute differences being all less than 0.10 kcal/mol, as shown in Fig. 6 for illustration. It should be noted that the CAP(2,2) for these isomerization reactions include all the atoms within the ring-structure of transition state. For those larger methyl esters such as methyl oleate to be discussed in Section 5, the transition states may have to be dealt with at different levels and larger discrepancies may be therefore caused.


3.1.3. β -scission Reactions

The predicted energies by using the ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] and QCISD(T)/CBS methods for the β -scission reactions of $C_nH_{2n}COOCH_3$ ($n=2-5$) are presented in Table 3. All the possible radical locations in the reactants were considered for a systematical and comprehensive validation. The notation p ($=1-4$, Me1, Me2 and O) denotes the possible radical location from the closest to the farthest to the ester group. Me1 denotes the CH_3 in the alkyl chain and Me2 denotes the CH_3 connected to the alkoxy O atom (denoted by O). The empty cells in Table 3 correspond to the non-existent reactions.

As shown in Fig. 7, almost all the absolute differences are less than 0.15 kcal/mol, which again substantiates the accuracy of the present ONIOM method. There exist two exceptional cases: the EBs for ($n=4$, $p=3$)



and (n=5, p=3),



which have slightly larger differences than 0.15 kcal/mol by 0.01 kcal/mol and 0.02 kcal/mol, respectively. In both cases, the CAP(2,2) excludes the methyl group connected to the ester group. Slightly increasing the CAP to include the methyl group will vanish the differences.

3.2. PES and ONIOM Energies of $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}=\text{CH}(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3 + \text{H}$


The present ONIOM method was applied to a substantially larger and practically useful system: the reactions between methyl oleate with a hydrogen radical, $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}=\text{CH}(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3 + \text{H}$. Methyl oleate has only one C=C double bond (between No. 8 and No. 9 CH groups) in the molecule. According to the present notation, the reaction system corresponds to the case of $\text{C}_n\text{H}_{2n-1}\text{COOCH}_3 + \text{H}$ (n=17, l=8). The PES of $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}=\text{CH}(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3 + \text{H}$ was studied at the level of ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT]/B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p). For clarify and simplicity, only energetically favorable pathways, whose EBs are lower than 5 kcal/mol for hydrogen abstraction reactions and lower than 30 kcal/mol for isomerization reactions, are shown in Fig. 8.

The predicted ONIOM energies for all the possible hydrogen abstraction and addition reactions are presented in Table 4. Several observations can be made from these results. First, the hydrogen abstraction reactions from two methyl groups (i.e. k=Me and 17) have the highest energy barriers, which are about 10 kcal/mol and consistent with those similar reactions for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{31}\text{COOCH}_3 + \text{H}$. Second, the hydrogen abstraction reactions from a CH_2 group (i.e. k=1-6 and 11-16) other than the two immediately adjacent to the C=C double bond have energy barriers of around 7 kcal/mol, which are again consistent with those reactions for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{31}\text{COOCH}_3 + \text{H}$.

The hydrogen abstraction reactions from the No. 7 and No. 10 CH_2 groups, which are close to the C=C double bond, are as follows




(16)




(17)

whose energy barriers of 4.85 kcal/mol and 4.73 kcal/mol are lower than other hydrogen abstraction channels due to the conjugation effect of the C=C double bond.

The hydrogen addition reactions to the C=C double bond (i.e. to either No. 8 or No. 9 CH group) are as follows




(18)



(19)


whose energy barriers of 2.28 kcal/mol and 2.46 kcal/mol are the lowest on the PES so that their subsequent reactions should be taken into account.

The complex W1 can isomerize to W3 via a transition state that has an eight-member-ring structure:



(20)


The CAP(2,2) for the isomerization reaction (20) consists of 11 non-hydrogen atoms. The product of reaction (20), namely the complex W3, can subsequently undergo β -scission as follows,




(21)

which has the lowest energy barrier among all the β -scission reactions under consideration because the conjugation effect in the W4, which makes the biomolecular products more stable and the reaction more energetically favorable.

The complex W1 can also isomerize to W4 via a transition state that has a 10-member-ring structure





The CAP(2,2) for the reaction consists of 12 non-hydrogen atoms. The product of reaction (22), namely the complex W4, can undergo β -scission as follows,



which has the energy barrier slightly higher than that for (21) and constitutes another energetically favorable reaction pathway.

Similarly, the complex W2 undergoes isomerization reactions to form W3 or W4 as follows:



There are no thermochemical data obtained by high-level theoretical methods for these large unsaturated esters in the literature. Therefore, the direct validation of these energies predications is unavailable up to now. The corresponding energies shown in Table 4 are believed to be reasonable and accurate since the proposed ONIOM method has been extensively validated.

It is noted that the conformational flexibility of large molecules increases at high temperatures, which may lead to the emergence of other reaction channels by the mechanisms such as “well merging” and “well skipping”^{38,39} on the PES. However, in the present study, we focused on comparing different electronic structure methods for high-level single-point energy calculations, and the chemical kinetics of the studied reactions will be considered in our future work. The proposed ONIOM method can be used to provide accurate energies,

which are key parameters for a chemical kinetics study. So the present conclusions are not affected by the possible emergence of other reaction channels.

As an important issue of the present study, we have compared the computational costs of the ONIOM method and the QCISD(T)/CBS method for representative cases, as shown in Table S1 in the Supplementary Material. It is seen that most of the computation time for each case was spent on the QCISD(T)/cc-pVTZ calculation. In the present ONIOM calculations with CAP(2,2), the number of non-hydrogen atoms included in the high layer is always 5–7 and does not increase with the size of molecules. Consequently, the computational load of the present ONIOM method remains to be equivalent to that of QCISD(T)/cc-pVTZ for a system containing 5–7 non-hydrogen atoms. Overall, the ONIOM method can reduce the computational load by about an order of magnitude for the present reaction systems.

4. Concluding Remarks

An ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] method was proposed and systematically validated in the present computational work for the hydrogen abstraction, the hydrogen addition, the isomerization and the β -scission reactions between unsaturated methyl esters $C_nH_{2n-1}COOCH_3$ ($n=2-5, 17$) and a hydrogen radical. This study further substantiates the computational accuracy and efficiency of the proposed ONIOM method, which has already been sufficiently verified in the previous study on the hydrogen abstraction reactions between saturated alkyl esters and hydrogen radical.

Because of the conjugation effect of the C=C double bond, the specification of CAP(2,2), which has been verified as the minimally required chemically active portion for the ONIOM method, for various types of reaction can be summarized in the following rules:

Rule (i): CAP(2, 2) is uniformly composed of the chemically active centers, referred to as CAC(s), and the two adjacent groups on each sides of the CAC(s). The components of the CAC(s) vary for different types of reaction.

Rule (ii): For the hydrogen addition reactions, The CAC is the CH group under attack by the hydrogen atom.

Rule (iii): For the hydrogen abstraction reactions from the CH₂ (or CH₃) group that are not immediately adjacent to the C=C double bond, the specification of the CAC is as the same as that for C_nH_{2n+1}COOCH₃+H—the CAC is the CH₂ (or CH₃) group under attack by the hydrogen atom.

Rule (iv): For the hydrogen abstraction reactions from the CH₂ (or CH₃) group that are immediately adjacent to the C=C double bond, the CAC should include the double bond to account for the conjugation effect.

Rule (v): For the isomerization reactions of the radical products from the reactions concerned in Rules (ii)-(iv), two CACs are needed, with one being the CH₂ (CH₃) yielding the hydrogen atom and the other being the CH group receiving the hydrogen atom.

Rule (vi): For the β-scission reactions of the radical products from the reactions concerned in Rules (ii)-(iv), the CAC consists of the radical site and its adjacent α and β groups.

Rule (vii): In general, a CAP(2,2) includes only two neighboring groups from each side of its CAC. Functional groups are always included in the CAP if any of these neighboring groups are a part of the functional groups.

The accuracy of calculated ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] energies have been validated by comparing with the QCISD(T)/CBS energies, and almost all the energy differences between these two methods are less than 0.15 kcal/mol. In addition, the ONIOM method was used to identify the energetically favored reaction pathways on the PES of CH₃(CH₂)₇CH=CH(CH₂)₇COOCH₃ + H. The energy barriers of H addition reactions are lower than H abstraction reactions with mean difference about 5 kcal/mol. Overall, the present ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] method provides a computationally accurate and affordable approach to study the large unsaturated alkyl esters that are of great interest to combustion chemistry.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: pengzhang.zhang@polyu.edu.hk

Fax: (852)23654703, Tel: (852)27666664.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting information: Optimized geometries at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level for all the stationary points on the potential energy surfaces.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported partly by NSFC (91641105) and partly by the Hong Kong RGC/ECS (PolyU 5380/13E) and SRFDP & GRC ERG Joint Research Scheme (M-PolyU509/13). Lidong Zhang was additionally supported by National Key Scientific Instruments and Equipment Development Program of China (2012YQ22011305) and NSFC (51676176).

REFERENCES

1. Lin, L.; Cunshan, Z.; Vittayapadung, S.; Xiangqian, S.; Mingdong, D., Opportunities and challenges for biodiesel fuel. *Appl. Energy* **2011**, *88* (4), 1020-1031.
2. Demirbas, A., Importance of biodiesel as transportation fuel. *Energ. Policy* **2007**, *35* (9), 4661-4670.
3. Pienkos, P. T.; Darzins, A., The promise and challenges of microalgal - derived biofuels. *Biofuel. Bioprod. Bior.* **2009**, *3* (4), 431-440.
4. Ma, F.; Hanna, M. A., Biodiesel production: a review. *Bioresour. Technol.* **1999**, *70* (1), 1-15.
5. Bounaceur, R.; Warth, V.; Sirjean, B.; Glaude, P.-A.; Fournet, R.; Battin-Leclerc, F., Influence of the position of the double bond on the autoignition of linear alkenes at low temperature. *P. Combust. Inst.* **2009**, *32* (1), 387-394.
6. Coniglio, L.; Bennadji, H.; Glaude, P. A.; Herbinet, O.; Billaud, F., Combustion chemical kinetics of biodiesel and related compounds (methyl and ethyl esters): Experiments and modeling—Advances and future refinements. *Prog. Energ. Combust.* **2013**, *39* (4), 340-382.
7. Lai, J. Y.; Lin, K. C.; Violi, A., Biodiesel combustion: advances in chemical kinetic modeling. *Prog. Energ. Combust.* **2011**, *37* (1), 1-14.

8. Li, X.; You, X.; Law, C.; Truhlar, D. G., Kinetics and Branching Fractions of the Hydrogen Abstraction Reaction from Methyl Butenoates by H Atom. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2017**.
9. Tan, T.; Yang, X.; Ju, Y.; Carter, E. A., Ab initio pressure-dependent reaction kinetics of methyl propanoate radicals. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17* (46), 31061-31072.
10. Thion, S.; Zaras, A. M.; Szori, M.; Dagaut, P., Theoretical kinetic study for methyl levulinate: oxidation by OH and CH₃ radicals and further unimolecular decomposition pathways. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17* (36), 23384-23391.
11. Zhang, L.; Zhang, P., Towards high-level theoretical studies of large biodiesel molecules: an ONIOM [QCISD (T)/CBS: DFT] study of hydrogen abstraction reactions of C_nH_{2n+1}COOC_mH_{2m+1}+H. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17* (1), 200-208.
12. Dooley, S.; Curran, H. J.; Simmie, J. M., Autoignition measurements and a validated kinetic model for the biodiesel surrogate, methyl butanoate. *Combust. Flame* **2008**, *153* (1), 2-32.
13. Fisher, E. M.; Pitz, W. J.; Curran, H. J.; Westbrook, C. K., Detailed chemical kinetic mechanisms for combustion of oxygenated fuels. *P. Combust. Inst.* **2000**, *28* (2), 1579-1586.
14. Gail, S.; Thomson, M. J.; Sarathy, S. M.; Syed, S. A.; Dagaut, P.; Diévert, P.; Marchese, A. J.; Dryer, F. L., A wide-ranging kinetic modeling study of methyl butanoate combustion. *P. Combust. Inst.* **2007**, *31* (1), 305-311.
15. Hakka, M. H.; Bennadji, H.; Biet, J.; Yahyaoui, M.; Sirjean, B.; Warth, V.; Coniglio, L.; Herbinet, O.; Glaude, P.-A.; Billaud, F., Oxidation of methyl and ethyl butanoates. *Int J Chem Kinet* **2010**, *42* (4), 226-252.
16. Zhang, L.; Chen, Q.; Zhang, P., A theoretical kinetics study of the reactions of methylbutanoate with hydrogen and hydroxyl radicals. *P. Combust. Inst.* **2015**, *35* (1), 481-489.
17. Westbrook, C. K.; Naik, C. V.; Herbinet, O.; Pitz, W. J.; Mehl, M.; Sarathy, S. M.; Curran, H. J., Detailed chemical kinetic reaction mechanisms for soy and rapeseed biodiesel fuels. *Combust. Flame* **2011**, *158* (4), 742-755.
18. Sarathy, S. M.; Gail, S.; Syed, S. A.; Thomson, M. J.; Dagaut, P., A comparison of saturated and unsaturated C-4 fatty acid methyl esters in an opposed flow diffusion flame and a jet stirred reactor. *P. Combust. Inst.* **2007**, *31*, 1015-1022.
19. Gail, S.; Sarathy, S. M.; Thomson, M. J.; Diévert, P.; Dagaut, P., Experimental and chemical kinetic modeling study of small methyl esters oxidation: Methyl (E)-2-butenoate and methyl butanoate. *Combust. Flame* **2008**, *155* (4), 635-650.
20. Bax, S.; Hakka, M. H.; Glaude, P. A.; Herbinet, O.; Battin-Leclerc, F., Experimental study of the oxidation of methyl oleate in a jet-stirred reactor. *Combust. Flame* **2010**, *157* (6), 1220-1229.
21. Herbinet, O.; Pitz, W. J.; Westbrook, C. K., Detailed chemical kinetic mechanism for the oxidation of biodiesel fuels blend surrogate. *Combust. Flame* **2010**, *157* (5), 893-908.
22. Naik, C. V.; Westbrook, C. K.; Herbinet, O.; Pitz, W. J.; Mehl, M., Detailed chemical kinetic reaction mechanism for biodiesel components methyl stearate and methyl oleate. *P. Combust. Inst.* **2011**, *33*, 383-389.
23. Bennadji, H.; Coniglio, L.; Billaud, F.; Bounaceur, R.; Warth, V.; Glaude, P. A.; Battin-Leclerc, F., Oxidation of Small Unsaturated Methyl and Ethyl Esters. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* **2011**, *43* (4), 204-218.
24. Yang, B.; Westbrook, C. K.; Cool, T. A.; Hansen, N.; Kohse-Hoinghaus, K., Photoionization mass spectrometry and modeling study of premixed flames of three unsaturated C₅H₈O₂ esters. *P. Combust. Inst.* **2013**, *34*, 443-451.

25. Zhang, Y.; Boehman, A. L., Autoignition of binary fuel blends of n-heptane and C-7 esters in a motored engine. *Combust. Flame* **2012**, *159* (4), 1619-1630.
26. Westbrook, C. K.; Pitz, W. J.; Mehl, M.; Glaude, P. A.; Herbinet, O.; Bax, S.; Battin-Leclerc, F.; Mathieu, O.; Petersen, E. L.; Bugler, J.; Curran, H. J., Experimental and Kinetic Modeling Study of 2-Methyl-2-Butene: Allylic Hydrocarbon Kinetics. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2015**, *119* (28), 7462-7480.
27. Chang, Y. C.; Jia, M.; Li, Y. P.; Zhang, Y. Z.; Xie, M. Z.; Wang, H.; Reitz, R. D., Development of a skeletal oxidation mechanism for biodiesel surrogate. *P. Combust. Inst.* **2015**, *35*, 3037-3044.
28. Wang, Q. D.; Zhang, W. D., Influence of the double bond on the hydrogen abstraction reactions of methyl esters with hydrogen radical: an ab initio and chemical kinetic study. *Rsc. Adv.* **2015**, *5* (84), 68314-68325.
29. Westbrook, C. K.; Pitz, W. J.; Curran, H. J., Chemical kinetic modeling study of the effects of oxygenated hydrocarbons on soot emissions from diesel engines. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2006**, *110* (21), 6912-6922.
30. Zhang, K. W.; Togbe, C.; Dayma, G.; Dagaut, P., Experimental and kinetic modeling study of trans-methyl-3-hexenoate oxidation in JSR and the role of C=C double bond. *Combust. Flame* **2014**, *161* (3), 818-825.
31. Papajak, E.; Truhlar, D. G., What are the most efficient basis set strategies for correlated wave function calculations of reaction energies and barrier heights? *J. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *137* (6), 064110.
32. Zhang, P.; Klippenstein, S. J.; Law, C. K. In *Ab Initio Kinetics for the Decomposition of α -Hydroxybutyl Radicals of n-Butanol*, Fall Technical Meeting of the Eastern States Section of the Combustion Institute, 2011.
33. Lundberg, M.; Kawatsu, T.; Vreven, T.; Frisch, M. J.; Morokuma, K., Transition States in a Protein Environment – ONIOM QM:MM Modeling of Isopenicillin N Synthesis. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2009**, *5* (1), 222-234.
34. Livshits, E.; Baer, R., A well-tempered density functional theory of electrons in molecules. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *9* (23), 2932-2941.
35. Sung, D. D.; Koo, I. S.; Yang, K.; Lee, I., DFT studies on the structure and stability of zwitterionic tetrahedral intermediate in the aminolysis of esters. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2006**, *426* (4), 280-284.
36. M. J. Frisch; G. W. Trucks; H. B. Schlegel; G. E. Scuseria; M. A. Robb; J. R. Cheeseman; G. Scalmani; V. Barone; B. Mennucci; G. A. Petersson; H. Nakatsuji; M. Caricato; X. Li; H. P. Hratchian; A. F. Izmaylov; J. Bloino; G. Zheng; J. L. Sonnenberg; M. Hada; M. Ehara; K. Toyota; R. Fukuda; J. Hasegawa; M. Ishida; T. Nakajima; Y. Honda; O. Kitao; H. Nakai; T. Vreven; J. J. A. Montgomery; J. E. Peralta; F. Ogliaro; M. Bearpark; J. J. Heyd; E. Brothers; K. N. Kudin; V. N. Staroverov; T. Keith; R. Kobayashi; J. Normand; K. Raghavachari; A. Rendell; J. C. Burant; S. S. Iyengar; J. Tomasi; M. Cossi; N. Rega; J. M. Millam; M. Klene; J. E. Knox; J. B. Cross; V. Bakken; C. Adamo; J. Jaramillo; R. Gomperts; R. E. Stratmann; O. Yazyev; A. J. Austin; R. Cammi; C. Pomelli; J. W. Ochterski; R. L. Martin; K. Morokuma; V. G. Zakrzewski; G. A. Voth; P. Salvador; J. J. Dannenberg; S. Dapprich; A. D. Daniels; O. Farkas; J. B. Foresman; J. V. Ortiz; J. Cioslowski; D. J. Fox, Gaussian 09, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.
37. Van Gerpen, J.; Shanks, B.; Pruszko, R.; Clements, D.; Knothe, G., Biodiesel production technology, subcontractor report pirated for the US Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy by Midwest Research Institute, National Renewable Energy Laboratory NREL. *Midwest Research Institute, National Renewable Energy Laboratory NREL/SR-510-36244, Battelle July 2004*.
38. Miller, J. A., Klippenstein, S. J., Master equation methods in gas phase chemical kinetics. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2006**, *110* (36), 10528-10544

39. Georgievskii, Y., Miller, J. A., Burke, M. P., Klippenstein, S. J., Reformulation and solution of the master equation for multiple-well chemical reactions. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2013, 117 (46), 12146-12154.




Fig. 1. Illustration of the ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT]/CAP(2,2) method for a representative hydrogen addition reaction of methyl oleate, $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}=\text{CH}(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3 + \text{H}\cdot \rightarrow \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_8\text{CH}\cdot(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3$. The indices 1-16 denote CH_2 (or CH) groups from the one adjacent to the ester group to the one at the far end of the alkyl chain. The double bond $\text{C}=\text{C}$ is located between the No. 8 and No. 9 CH groups. Me1 denotes the methyl group in the alkyl chain and Me2 the one connected to the ester group. Chemically active center (CAC) consists of the No. 9 CH group under the attack of an H atom. CAP(2,2) represents the chemically active portion (CAP) consisting of the CAC, the No. 10 and No. 11 CH_2 groups on the one side of the CAC, and the No. 8 CH group and the No. 7 CH_2 group on the other side of the CAC.




Fig. 2. Illustration of the ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT]/CAP(2,2) method for a representative hydrogen abstraction reaction of methyl oleate, $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}=\text{CH}(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3 + \text{H}\cdot \rightarrow \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}=\text{CHCH}\cdot(\text{CH}_2)_6\text{COOCH}_3 + \text{H}_2$. The indices 1-16 denote the CH_2 (or CH) groups from the one adjacent to the ester group to the one at the far end of the alkyl chain. The double bond $\text{C}=\text{C}$ is located between the No. 8 and No. 9 CH groups. Me1 denotes the methyl group in the alkyl chain and Me2 denotes the one connected to the ester group. Chemically active center (CAC) consists of the $\text{C}=\text{C}$ double bond (i.e. the No. 8 and No. 9 CH groups) and the adjacent No. 7 CH_2 group under hydrogen attack. CAP(2,2) represents the chemically active portion (CAP) consisting the CAC, the No. 5 and No. 6 CH_2 groups on the one side of the CAC, and No. 10 and No. 11 CH_2 groups on the other side of the CAC.




Fig. 3. Illustration of the ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT]/CAP(2,2) method for a representative isomerization (intramolecular hydrogen-shift) reaction of the methyl oleate radical (the product shown in Fig. 1), $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_8\text{CH}\cdot(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{13}\text{CH}\cdot(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{COOCH}_3$. The indices 1-16 denote the CH_2 (or CH) groups from the one adjacent to the ester group to the one at the far end of the alkyl chain. Me1 denotes the methyl group in the alkyl chain and Me2 the one connected to the ester group. Chemically active centers (CAC) consist of the No. 3 CH_2 group yielding the hydrogen atom and the No. 8 CH group receiving the hydrogen atom. CAP(2,2) represents the chemically active portion (CAP) consisting of the CACs and the neighboring CH groups, which coincidentally include all the atoms within the 10-member ring.




Fig. 4. Illustration of the ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT]/CAP(2,2) method for a representative β -scission reaction of the methyl oleate radical (the product shown in Fig. 3), $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{15}\text{CH}\cdot\text{COOCH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{13}\text{CH}_2\cdot + \text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{CHCOOCH}_3$. The indices 1-16 denote the CH_2 (or CH) groups from the one adjacent to the ester group to the one at the far end of the alkyl chain. Me1 denotes the methyl group in the alkyl chain and Me2 the one connected to the ester group. The chemically active center (CAC) consists of the No. 1 CH group and the No. 2 and No. 3 CH_2 groups involved in the β -scission. CAP(2,2) represents the chemically active portion (CAP) consisting of the CAC, the No. 4 and No. 5 CH_2 groups on the one side of the CAC, and the ester group on the other side of the CAC.




Fig. 5. The difference (in absolute value) of the calculated energy barriers, $EB[ONIOM] - EB[QCISD(T)/CBS]$ (denoted by the solid symbols), and that of the calculated heat of reactions, $HR[ONIOM] - HR[QCISD(T)/CBS]$ (denoted by the open symbols), for the H attack reactions of $C_nH_{2n-1}COOCH_3 + H$ ($n=2-5$). The notation l ($=1-4$) denotes the location of double bond from the closest to the farthest to the ester group, and k ($=1-5, Me1$ and $Me2$) denotes the group under hydrogen attack. Me1 denotes the CH_3 in the alkyl chain and Me2 denotes the CH_3 connected the alkoxy O atom.




Fig. 6. The difference (in absolute value) of the calculated energy barriers, $EB[ONIOM] - EB[QCISD(T)/CBS]$ (denoted by the solid symbols), and that of the calculated heat of reactions, $HR[ONIOM] - HR[QCISD(T)/CBS]$ (denoted by the open symbols), for the isomerization reactions of $C_nH_{2n}COOCH_3$ ($n=2-5$). The notation p ($=1-4, Me1, \text{ and } Me2$) denotes the possible radical location in the reactant and q ($=1-4, Me1, Me2 \text{ and } O$) denotes the possible radical location in the product. Me1 denotes the CH_3 in the alkyl chain and Me2 denotes the CH_3 connected to the alkoxy O atom (denoted by O).




Fig. 7. The difference (in absolute value) of the calculated energy barriers, $EB[ONIOM] - EB[QCISD(T)/CBS]$ (denoted by the solid symbols), and that of the calculated heat of reactions, $HR[ONIOM] - HR[QCISD(T)/CBS]$ (denoted by the open symbols), for the β -scission reactions of $C_nH_{2n}COOCH_3$ ($n=2-5$). The notation p ($=1-4, Me1, Me2$ and O) denotes the radical location in the reactant from the closest to the farthest to the ester group. $Me1$ denotes the CH_3 in the alkyl chain and $Me2$ denotes the CH_3 connected to the alkoxy O atom (denoted by O).




Fig. 8. Energetically favorable pathways on the PES of $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}=\text{CH}(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3$ at the ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT]/B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level.

Table 1. The calculated EB and HR for $C_nH_{2n-1}COOCH_3 + H$ ($n=2-5$) with the ONIOM[QCISD(T)/CBS:DFT] and QCISD(T)/CBS methods.

	k=Me2	k=1	k=2	k=3	k=Me1	k=4	k=5
n=2, l=1	10.50(10.50)/ -5.30(-5.30)	3.67(3.69)/ -32.49(-32.43)	1.43(1.47)/ -40.13(-40.16)				
n=3, l=1	10.31(10.32)/ -5.45(-5.52)	3.15(3.19)/ -31.38(-31.38)	2.89(2.95)/ -35.65(-35.63)		7.42(7.47)/ -17.95(-17.92)		
n=3, l=2	10.56(10.50)/ -5.17(-5.26)	4.85(4.90)/ -22.24(-22.28)	3.67(3.70)/ -33.29(-33.28)	1.41(1.36)/ -35.84(-35.74)			
n=4, l=1	10.27(10.28)/ -5.49(-5.56)	3.21(3.22)/ -31.62(-31.52)	2.75(2.81)/ -36.25(-36.23)	5.28(5.35)/ -21.89(-21.91)	10.57(10.64)/ -2.91(-2.83)		
n=4, l=2	10.52(10.43)/ -5.29(-5.39)	4.44(4.49)/ -23.07(-23.16)	2.56(2.60)/ -33.45(-33.44)	2.84(2.77)/ -32.83(-32.77)	7.15(7.23)/ -16.73(-16.65)		
n=4, l=3	10.45(10.41)/ -5.37(-5.43)	7.35(7.32)/ -9.99(-10.01)	6.03(6.12)/ -18.52(-18.44)	3.70(3.69)/ -32.40(-32.37)		1.24(1.17)/ -35.27(-35.22)	
n=5, l=1	10.22(10.23)/ -5.56(-5.64)	3.29(3.18)/ -31.50(-31.39)	3.20(3.20)/ -36.16(-36.13)	5.32(5.38)/ -21.32(-21.35)	10.38(10.29)/ -3.50(-3.47)	8.27(8.24)/ -5.90(-5.82)	
n=5, l=2	10.55(10.48)/ -5.56(-5.64)	4.46(4.49)/ -23.00(-23.09)	2.96(2.94)/ -33.43(-33.47)	2.99(2.82)/ -33.20(-33.13)	10.35(10.41)/ -3.39(-3.33)	5.24(5.31)/ -19.73(-19.69)	
n=5, l=3	10.41(10.37)/ -5.42(-5.49)	7.37(7.37)/ -9.87(-9.85)	5.65(5.73)/ -18.65(-18.59)	2.69(2.99)/ -32.66(-32.64)	7.16(7.16)/ -16.82(-16.79)	2.93(2.83)/ -32.39(-32.37)	
n=5, l=4	10.45(10.41)/ -5.34(-5.41)	7.19(7.12)/ -10.28(-10.32)	8.45(8.51)/ -5.30(-5.30)	5.35(5.42)/ -19.26(-19.33)		3.52(3.55)/ -32.60(-32.53)	1.21(1.19)/ -35.14(-35.12)

The notation l ($=1-4$) denotes the possible location of C=C double bond from the closest to the farthest to the ester group, and k ($=1-5, Me1, Me2$) denotes the group under hydrogen attack, where Me1 denotes the CH_3 in the alkyl chain and Me2 denotes the CH_3 connected to the alkoxy O atom. Each item in the table reads as EB by the ONIOM method (EB by the QCISD(T)/CBS method) / HR by the ONIOM method (HR by the QCISD(T)/CBS method). Empty cells correspond to those non-existent reactions.

Table 2. The calculated EB and HR for isomerization reactions of $C_nH_{2n}COOCH_3$ ($n=2-5$) with the ONIOM and QCISD(T)/CBS methods.

	q=Me2	q=Me1	q=O	q=2	q=3	q=4
C_3 (n=2, p=1)	31.68(31.68)/ 5.36(5.36)	40.17(40.14)/ 7.64(7.73)	41.59(41.59)/ 19.60(19.60)			
C_3 (n=2, p=Me1)	23.66(23.66)/ -2.38(-2.38)		64.72(64.72)/ 11.87(11.87)			
C_4 (n=3, p=1)	31.22(31.22)/ 4.76(4.76)	41.85(41.81)/ 6.65(6.71)	39.82(39.82)/ 17.22(17.22)	37.55(37.51)/ 4.16(4.25)		
C_4 (n=3, p=2)	24.44(24.44)/ 0.52(0.52)	41.10(41.12)/ 2.49(2.46)	60.64(60.64)/ 12.97(12.97)			
C_4 (n=3, p=Me1)	26.63(26.63)/ 1.95(1.95)					
C_5 (n=4, p=1)	31.20(31.20)/ 4.86(4.90)	26.59(26.55)/ 6.83(6.89)	42.31(42.31)/ 17.70(17.70)	37.73(37.68)/ 4.63(4.71)	39.62(39.55) /3.98(4.04)	
C_5 (n=4, p=2)	23.55 (23.55)/ 0.19(0.19)	41.41(41.40)/ 2.20(2.18)	60.57(60.57)/ 12.99(12.99)		39.38(39.40) /-0.64(-0.67)	
C_5 (n=4, p=3)	25.43(25.43)/ 0.86(0.86)	40.79(40.81)/ 2.90(2.85)				
C_5 (n=4, p=Me1)	23.79(23.79)/ -1.99(-1.99)					
C_6 (n=5, p=1)	31.20(31.18)/ 4.89(4.93)	19.73(19.66)/ 6.66(6.72)	42.32(42.28)/ 17.63(17.61)	37.69(37.61) /4.67(4.74)	39.27(39.19) /4.35(4.40)	24.00(23.90) /4.08(4.13)
C_6 (n=5, p=2)	23.84(23.80)/ 0.19(0.19)	25.41(25.39)/ 2.00(1.98)	60.54(60.54)/ 12.92(12.87)		39.36(39.38) /-0.31(-0.34)	39.95(39.93) /-0.58(-0.61)
C_6 (n=5, p=3)	24.91(24.91)/ 0.53(0.53)	40.89(40.85)/ 2.35(2.31)				38.93(38.97) /-0.24(-0.27)
C_6 (n=5, p=4)	25.59(25.59)/ 0.80(0.80)	40.74(40.74)/ 2.58(2.58)				
C_6 (n=5, p=Me1)	25.12(25.12)/ -1.79(-1.79)					

The notion p (=1-4) denotes the possible radical location in the reactant and q (=1-4) denotes the possible location in the product from the closest to the farthest to the ester group. Me1 denotes the CH_3 in the alkyl chain and Me2 denotes the CH_3 connected the alkoxy O atom (denoted by O). Each item reads as EB by the ONIOM method (EB by the QCISD(T)/CBS method) / HR by the ONIOM method (HR by the QCISD(T)/CBS method). Empty cells correspond to those non-existent reactions.

Table 3: The calculated EB and HR for β -scission reactions of $C_nH_{2n}COOCH_3$ ($n=2-5$) with the ONIOM and QCISD(T)/CBS methods.

	p=Me2	p=Me1	p=O	p=1	p=2	p=3	p=4
C_3 (n=2)	32.16(32.16)/ 21.32(21.32)	31.97(31.97)/ 26.93(26.93)	22.57(22.57)/ -1.31(-1.31)	52.11(52.11)/ 50.14(50.14)			
C_4 (n=3)	32.18(32.24)/ 21.24(21.30)	26.28(26.16)/ 19.56(19.63)	21.07(21.07)/ -3.53(-3.53)	51.37(51.37)/ 49.39(49.39)			
C_4 (n=3)	32.18(32.24)/ 21.24(21.30)	26.28(26.16)/ 19.56(19.63)	21.07(21.07)/ -3.53(-3.53)	32.44(32.46)/ 26.98(27.04)			
C_5 (n=4)	31.80(31.90)/ 20.78(20.84)	30.73(30.75)/ 23.46(23.50)	21.08(21.08)/ -3.41(-3.41)	51.18(51.24)/ 49.35(49.36)	31.30(31.30)/ 27.49(27.49)	25.72(25.56)/ 19.94(20.03)	
C_5 (n=4)	31.80(31.90)/ 20.78(20.84)	30.73(30.75)/ 23.46(23.50)	37.06(36.96)/ 30.02(30.07)	31.09(31.08)/ 26.53(26.61)	31.16(31.18)/ 23.08(23.06)	25.72(25.56)/ 19.94(20.03)	
C_6 (n=5)	31.87(31.95)/ 20.82(20.88)	30.35(30.30)/ 22.62(22.61)	20.89(21.02)/ -3.47(-3.43)	51.22(51.26)/ 49.46(49.46)	31.19(31.16)/ 27.48(27.47)	30.84(30.80)/ 22.61(22.54)	30.66(30.66)/ 23.82(23.87)
C_6 (n=5)	31.87(31.95)/ 20.82(20.88)	30.35(30.30)/ 22.62(22.61)	35.67(35.67)/ 29.62(29.62)	31.68(31.67)/ 27.62(27.70)	30.17(30.19)/ 22.51(22.50)	25.83(25.66)/ 20.16(20.25)	30.66(30.66)/ 23.82(23.87)

The notion $p(=1-4)$ denote the possible radical location in the reactant from the closest to the farthest to the ester group. Me1 denotes the CH_3 in the alkyl chain and Me2 denotes the CH_3 connected to the alkoxy O atom (denoted by O). Each item reads as EB by the ONIOM method (EB by the QCISD(T)/CBS method) / HR by the ONIOM method (HR by the QCISD(T)/CBS method). Empty cells correspond to those non-existent reactions.

Table 4: The calculated EB and HR for the energetically favorable reactions between $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{CH}=\text{CH}(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{COOCH}_3$ and hydrogen radical with the ONIOM method.

H attack reaction	k=Me1	k=Me2	k=1	k=2	k=3	k=4	k=5	k= 6	k= 7
C_{18} (n=17, l=8)	10.03/ -3.73	10.39/ -5.53	7.07/ -10.56	8.10/ -5.72	7.36/ -6.00	7.60/ -6.08	7.31/ -6.10	7.72/ -5.87	4.73/ -19.28
	k=8	k=9	k=10	k=11	k=12	k=13	k=14	k=15	k=16
C_{18} (n=17, l=8)	2.46/ -32.45	2.28/ -32.57	4.85/ -19.33	7.45/ -5.80	7.39/ -6.09	7.26/ -6.11	7.27/ -6.06	7.25/ -6.17	7.40/ -6.42
isomerization	q=1	q=3		q=1	q=3				
C_{18} (n=17, p=8)	20.49/ -4.38	16.05/ 0.15	C_{18} (n=17, p=9)	22.86/ -4.50	21.03/ 0.03				
β -scission	q=1	q=3							
C_{18} (n=17, p=3)	25.71/ 20.13	31.52/ 27.54							

The notion $p(=1-4)$ denotes the radical location in the reactant and $q=1-4$ denote the location in the product from the closest to the farthest to the ester group. Me1 denotes the CH_3 in the alkyl chain and Me2 denotes the CH_3 connected the alkoxy O atom (denoted by O). The notation $l = 8$ denotes the location of the double bond from the ester group, k (=1-16, Me1, Me2) denotes the group under hydrogen attack, $p=3, 8, 9$ the radical location in the reactant and $q=1, 3$ denote the location in the product. Me1 denotes the CH_3 in the alkyl chain and Me2 denotes the CH_3 connected to the alkoxy O atom.