This is the Pre-Published Version.

1 2

Thickness-dependent fracture of amorphous carbon coating on SnO₂ nanowire electrodes

3 Qianqian $Li^{a,\dagger}$, Weiqun $Li^{b,\dagger}$, Qiong Feng^a, Peng Wang^a, Minmin Mao^c, Jiabin Liu^d, Limin

^a Institute of Applied Mechanics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

⁶ ^b Department of Mechanical Engineering, the Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung

7 Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong

^c Department of Materials Science and Engineering, State Key Laboratory of Silicon

9 Materials, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

^d College of Electrical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

11

12 ABSTRACT Carbon-coated SnO₂ nanowires (NWs) were fabricated and applied as electrode to study the lithiation process using *in situ* transmission electron microscopy. A 13 critical coating thickness (~9 nm) was found, above which the carbon coating is able to 14 constrain the lithiation-induced expansion of SnO_2 core without failure. Theoretical 15 16 modeling and numerical simulation were performed and revealed that such thickness-dependent fracture can be attributed to the thickness-dependent maximum 17 stress developed in the carbon coating during the lithiation of SnO_2 core. Our work 18 provides direct evidence of the mechanical robustness of thick carbon coating and offers 19 20 a minimum thickness of carbon coating for constraining the deformation of anode 21 materials with large lithiation-induced volume change.

KEYWORDS: lithium ion battery \cdot size effect \cdot fracture mechanics \cdot lithiation \cdot in situ

23 TEM

⁴ Zhou^b, Hongtao Wang^{a,*}, Haimin Yao^{b,*}

^{*} Corresponding authors. E-mail: <u>htw@zju.edu.cn</u> (H. Wang), <u>mmhyao@polyu.edu.hk</u> (H. Yao)

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

24 **1. Introduction**

To meet the increasing demands from the industries of portable electronics and electric 25 vehicles, materials with higher specific capacity and energy density have been studied 26 intensively as the substitutes of the prevailing graphite-based anodes in lithium ion 27 batteries (LIBs). Especially, tin-based oxides, IV-group element anodes, and transition 28 29 metal oxides attracted much attention. Among them, tin-based composite oxides were first commercialized as anode active materials by the Fuji Film Co. in 1995 [1, 2]. Tin 30 dioxide (SnO_2) offers theoretical capacity value as high as 781 mAh/g and low potential 31 of lithium ion intercalation [2]. However, large volume changes during charging and 32 discharging process cause cracking and pulverization, resulting in poor cyclability[3, 4]. 33 Carbon coating was demonstrated capable of improving the cycle life due to its constraint 34 35 to the large volume expansion [5-8]. This nano-structuring strategy can increase the cycle life of SnO₂ anode up to dozens of cycles with 98% capacity retention, although this is 36 37 still far away from what we expect [9]. The SnO_2 electrode coated with carbon showed good electrical conductivity and fast lithium ion (Li⁺) diffusivity [10, 11]. For example, 38 the lithiation rates of SnO₂ nanowires(NWs) with carbon coating was about 3-12 times 39 faster than that of the uncoated ones. Meanwhile, carbon coating was found to be able to 40 improve the conductivity of the nanowires by 3-4 orders of magnitude [5]. Furthermore, 41 carbon coating also contributes to the stability of solid-electrolyte-interface (SEI) formed 42 by electrolyte decomposition, which is another critical factor affecting the cycle life [12]. 43 However, carbon coating promotes the durability of anodes actually at the expense of 44

45 capacity as carbon has much lower capacity (372 mAh/g) in comparison to SnO₂ [13-17].
46 From the capacity point of view, carbon should be used as less as possible. Therefore,
47 how to promote the life cycle and conductivity of anode materials by using minimum
48 amount of carbon turns to be a crucial problem for LIBs.

Here solvent-thermal method was applied to coat amorphous carbon on SnO₂ NWs grown by CVD method based on the vapor-liquid-solid (VLS) mechanism. The lithiation process of the carbon coated SnO₂ NWs was studied *in situ* using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) equipped with a Nanofactory® STM-TEM holder [18-21]. This work reveals the existence of a critical thickness of carbon coating layer for the SnO₂ NW anode, which may provide a guideline in optimizing the capacity and the cyclability.

55

56 2. Experimental

57 2.1. Synthesis of SnO_2/C NWs

58 The carbon coated SnO₂ nanowires (SnO₂/C NWs) were synthesized by hydrothermal templating method with glucose used as the carbon source. The as-synthesized SnO_2 59 NWs [22, 23] and glucose were mixed with ethanol solution (25 vol%). The suspension 60 was sonicated for 30 min and then was heated at 190 °C for 12 h in a sealed Teflon-lined 61 62 stainless steel autoclave. After cooling down, the dark precipitate was filtrated and rinsed with distilled water. A uniform carbon coating was then formed on SnO₂ NWs after 63 annealing in air at 450 °C for 2 h (Fig. 1a, b). The thickness of the fabricated carbon 64 coating is controllable by changing the glucose concentration, heating temperature and 65



Fig. 1 -(a) The TEM image of a SnO₂/C NW; (b) The high resolution image shows the amorphicity of the carbon coating; (c) The schematic setup of a nano half-cell LIB inside TEM; (d) A contact was established between a single SnO₂/C NW and the Li metal; (e) The lithiation of the NW in (d).

72

67

73 2.2. In situ TEM characterization

The *in situ* electrochemical experiments were conducted in a JEOL 2100 TEM equipped
with a Nanofactory® STM-TEM holder. Fig. 1c shows the schematic setup of the *in situ*

half-cell LIB. The SnO₂/ α -C NW anodes were adhered to a flat-ended gold rod (200 μ m 76 in diameter) using silver paste. A piezo-driven tungsten probe, which was covered with Li 77 metals, was used as counter electrode. Since the Li metal was unavoidably exposed to air 78 during handling, the surface layer was oxidized to Li₂O, serving as solid electrolyte for 79 the half-cell LIB configuration. With the aid of TEM observation, a nano contact can be 80 made between the Li metal and a single NW, as shown in Fig. 1d. An external bias (-2 V)81 was then applied to initiate lithiation (Fig. 1e), leading to long-range diffusion of lithium 82 ions, as followed by solid state amorphization of the crystalline nanowire, nucleation of 83 Sn particles and finally the alloying of the Sn particles into $Li_rSn[5]$. 84

85

3. Results and discussion

86 *3.1. Experimental observation*

87 Fig. 1a shows a typical synthesized SnO₂/C NW. The high resolution TEM image (Fig. 1b) reveals that the carbon coating is fully amorphous in contrast to the single crystal 88 SnO₂ core. Lithiation experiments were performed on the fabricated NWs with different 89 core diameters and/or coating thicknesses. Fig. 2a and b show the snapshots of the 90 lithiation process for two SnO_2/C NWs, which have comparable SnO_2 core diameter (51) 91 nm in (a) and 59 nm in (b)) but distinct coating thickness (8 nm in (a) and 11 nm in (b)). 92 The lithiation-induced expansions in diameter are 55% and 59% in (a) and (b) 93 respectively, showing similar mechanical constraint of the coatings to the SnO_2 cores. 94 However, the coating in Fig. 2a was fractured near the lithiation front, where the coating 95 was bent due to the diameter transition between the lithiated and the pristine NW. Ring 96

97 cracks can be observed with the advance of the reaction front, as indicated by the arrow 98 heads. In contrast, in Fig. 2b the carbon coating deformed conformally with the lithiated 99 SnO_2 core and retained its continuity during the whole lithiation process. In both cases no 100 noticeable change in the thickness of carbon coating and no delamination at the SnO_2/C 101 interface was observed during lithiation.



102

Fig. 2 -Snapshots of morphology evolution during lithiation of SnO₂/C NWs with different coating thicknesses: (a) 8 nm and, (b) 11 nm. The diameters of the SnO₂ cores are comparable in both (a) and (b). The advancing speed of lithiation front is estimated to be around 10 nm/s.

107 To shed light on the factors leading to the fracture in carbon coating, Fig. 3 108 summarizes the lithiation consequences for all the SnO_2/C NWs we examined. It can be 109 seen that the fracture of carbon coating exhibits more significant dependence on the 110 coating thickness than on the diameter. Thinner coatings are prone to fracture while the 111 thicker ones can retain the continuity during the lithiation. The marginal range of coating 112 thickness for cracked and continuous cases, as highlighted in Fig. 3, is rather narrow, 113 indicating the existence of a threshold thickness of ~9 nm. We also conducted the 114 lithiation-delithiation cycling experiments (3 cycles) with one carbon coated SnO_2 115 nanowire. It is noted that the carbon coating remains continuous if it can survive from the 116 first cycle.



117

Fig. 3 -The dependence of the fracture occurrence of SnO₂/C NWs during lithiation on both
the NW diameters and the coating thickness. The highlighted region indicates the narrow
(~3 nm) marginal range of coating thickness.

121 *3.2. Theoretical modelling*

To obtain a deeper insight into the thickness-dependent fracture of carbon coating observed in our experiments, theoretical modeling was carried out. There are two major mechanisms driving the Li⁺ diffusion, including the external electrical field and the 125 gradient of Li^+ concentration. The diffusion flux of Li^+ along the axial 126 direction(*x*-direction) then is given by[24]

127
$$J = \frac{DCe}{k_b T} E - D \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}$$
(1)

where *D* is the diffusion coefficient of lithium ion in the carbon-coated SnO_2 nanowire which is assumed constant in our model, *C* denotes the concentration of lithium ion, *e* is the charge of one lithium ion, *E* is the electric field strength, k_b refers to the Boltzmann constant, and *T* stands for the absolute temperature. In Eq. (1) the effect of the possible stress field on the diffusion of Li⁺ is neglected. Taking the derivative of Eq. (1) with respect to *x* leads to

134
$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial x} = \frac{DEe}{k_b T} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} - D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2}$$
(2)

135 On the other hand, conservation of matter implies that

136
$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}$$
(3)

137 Combination of Eqs. (2) and (3) gives rise to

138
$$D\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - \frac{DEe}{k_b T}\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}$$
(4)

139 which can be further normalized to be

140
$$\frac{\partial^2 \overline{C}}{\partial \overline{x}^2} - \frac{\partial \overline{C}}{\partial \overline{x}} = \frac{\partial \overline{C}}{\partial \overline{t}}$$
(5)

141 where
$$\overline{x} = x/l_0$$
, $\overline{t} = t/t_0$, $\overline{C} = C/C_s$ with $l_0 = \frac{k_b T}{Ee}$, $t_0 = \frac{1}{D} \left(\frac{k_b T}{Ee}\right)^2$ and C_s

142 being the concentration of Li⁺ at saturation of lithiation. As l_0 and t_0 denote the

143 characteristic length and time scales of the system respectively, Eq. (5) allows us to solve

144 the problem in a dimensionless time-space domain.

145 Assuming lithiation starts from t = 0, the initial condition of normalized Li⁺

146 concentration can be written as

147
$$\overline{C}(\overline{t}=0) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\overline{x}=0) \\ 0 & (\overline{x}>0) \end{cases}$$

148 Solution to Eq. (5) is given by

149
$$\overline{C}(\overline{x},\overline{t}) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\overline{x} < \overline{t}) \\ 1 - \operatorname{Erf}(\frac{\overline{x} - \overline{t}}{2\sqrt{\overline{t}}}) & (\overline{x} \ge \overline{t}) \end{cases}$$
(6)

150 where $\operatorname{Erf}(z)$ is the error function defined by $\operatorname{Erf}(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^z e^{-y^2} dy$. Fig. 4 shows the

distribution of Li⁺ concentration along the axial direction at different moments of time. 151 According to the Li⁺ concentration, the NW can be divided into three parts. In the region 152 of $\bar{x} \leq \bar{t}$ (or $x \leq l_0 t/t_0$), Li⁺ concentration is uniform and equal to C_s . This part 153 therefore can be termed as the "saturation region" with front located at $x = l_0 t / t_0$, 154 suggesting that the advancing speed of the front is l_0/t_0 . On the other hand, in the 155 region that is unreachable for Li^+ in time t, Li^+ is deficient. This region is termed as the 156 "unlithiated region". Taking 1% C_s as the cut-off of zero Li⁺ concentration, the lower 157 bound of the unlithiated region can be estimated, from Eq. (6), to be 158 $x = l_0 \left(t / t_0 + 4.6 \sqrt{t / t_0} \right)$. In the transition region between $x = l_0 t / t_0$ 159 and $x = l_0 (t/t_0 + 4.6\sqrt{t/t_0})$, Li⁺ concentration decays from C_s to 1% C_s . Fig. 4 indicates that 160 with lithiation proceeding the saturation region extends at constant speed of l_0/t_0 and 161

meanwhile the transition region widens at speed of $2.3\sqrt{l_0^2/t_0t}$. The expansion of saturation region is essentially attributed to the diffusion driven by the electrical field, while the widening of transition region is due to the Li⁺ diffusion caused by concentration gradient.



Fig. 4 -Distribution of Li⁺ concentration at different moments predicted by
 theoretical modeling

166

169

With the knowledge of the distribution of Li⁺ concentration obtained above, now we 170 are able to predict the volume expansion of the SnO₂ NW as well as the resulting stress 171 field developed in the SnO_2/C system. Assuming that the volume expansion of SnO_2 due 172 to lithiation is proportional to the Li^+ concentration, the stress in the SnO₂/C system 173 resulting from lithiation-induced expansion of SnO₂ is analogous to "thermal" stress 174 caused by a "temperature" increment numerically equal to \overline{C} with the thermal 175 expansion coefficient being the strain of unconstrained SnO₂ at full lithiation. Such 176 "thermal" stress problem can be readily solved by finite element analysis (FEA). 177 Fig. 5a shows the FEA model we established, in which the carbon coating was 178

179 assumed as elastic material with Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio being 300 GPa and 0.25 [25, 26]. In our model, lithiation of the carbon coating was not taken into account for 180 two reasons. First, lithiation of carbon causes only 12% volume expansion 181 (corresponding to strain < 4%) [27], which is much smaller than that of the SnO₂. 182 Secondly, it was reported that lithiation has little impact on the tensile stiffness of carbon 183 fibers and results in ~20% drop in its tensile strength [28, 29]. Considering that SnO₂ 184 core would turn to be amorphous Li₂O, Sn and Li_xSn after lithiation [30], the NW core 185 was assumed as elastic-perfectly-plastic material with Young's modulus, yielding stress 186 and Poisson's ratio being 150 GPa, 7 GPa and 0.3 [31]. From the in situ TEM observation, 187 it can be seen that the radial expansion of the nanowire is around 60%, resulting in 188 comparable expansion in circumference. Such high strain definitely cannot be sustained 189 190 by the amorphous carbon whose fracture strain is less than 15% [26]. Therefore, most probably fracture happens and cracks form along the longitudinal direction due to the 191 192 high hoop stress/strain even though they cannot be observed in our in situ TEM observation due to the limitation of experimental setup. Suppose longitudinal cracks 193 occur. The hoop stress in the carbon coating would be released greatly. In that case, it is 194 appropriate to simplify the problem to be a two-dimensional plane stress problem as we 195 196 did in our simulation. Two-dimensional 4-node plane stress thermally coupled quadrilateral bilinear displacement-temperature element (CPS4T in ABAOUS, Dassault 197 Systèmes) was adopted for both core and coating even though the expansion of the 198 coating was neglected in our simulation [20, 27]. The "thermal" expansion coefficient of 199

NW core was constantly taken as 0.5 per unit degree of "temperature" increment, corresponding to 50% expansion at full lithiation. To shed light on the effect of coating thickness on the stress developed by lithiation, coating thicknesses of 6, 9 and 12 nm were considered.

Since our solution to the Li⁺ concentration distribution in Eq. (6) is given in terms of normalized coordinate, in order to apply it to the FEA model as the analogous "temperature" increment, knowledge of the characteristic length scale l_0 is needed. Considering that the length of NW used in our experiment was ~1 µm and the magnitude of the applied voltage was ~2 V, the electrical field intensity, which equals the gradient of electrical potential, can be estimated to be around $E = 2 \times 10^6$ V/m. Taking temperature T = 293 K, $e = 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$ C and $k_b = 1.38 \times 10^{-23}$ J/K, the characteristic length scale

211 $l_0 = \frac{k_b T}{Ee}$ then is estimated to be around 12.8 nm. Dividing l_0 by the advancing speed of 212 the saturation region, which from the TEM observation was estimated to be around 10 213 nm/s, the characteristic time scale t_0 in our problem is estimated to be 1.28 s.



214





Fig. 5 -(a) Schematics of finite element model and, (b) Calculated axial stress in the SnO₂/C NW due to lithiation-induced volume expansion at $t = 5t_0$.

218

Upon the application of analogous "temperature" increment, the displacement and 219 220 stress field were immediately calculated. For example, Fig. 5b shows the axial stress field at $t = 5t_0$ for the case with coating thickness equal to 6 nm. The calculated expansion in 221 diameter in the saturation region is 56-71%, which agrees well with the experimental 222 observation. As expected, the axial stress induced by lithiation is tensile in the carbon 223 224 coating while compressive in the SnO_2 core. The maximum axial stress in the carbon coating occurs near the lithiation front of SnO₂, where the deformed profile exhibits 225 significant curvature resulting in substantial bending stress in addition to the membrane 226 227 one. It is noticed that the magnitude of the maximum axial stress is not constant but 228 varies with time. Fig. 6 shows the evolution of the maximum axial stress as a function of time for carbon coatings with different thicknesses. It can be seen that during lithiation 229 the maximum axial stress in the carbon coating initially increases with the time. When 230 $t \approx 5t_0$, it reaches the peak value and then decreases. More importantly, the axial stress in 231 the carbon coating exhibits strong dependence on its thickness. The larger the thickness, 232 233 the lower the stress. This result agrees well with the thickness-dependent fracture (ring cracking) of carbon coating observed in our in situ TEM observation. Recalling that the 234 critical thickness of carbon for facture was around 9 nm as shown by Fig.3, the fracture 235

strength of the carbon which, according to the maximum-tensile-stress criterion (also known as Rankine criterion) [32] of fracture, can be equated to the peak stress experienced by the carbon coating with the critical thickness, is estimated from Fig. 6 to be around $0.1E_{carbon} = 30$ GPa, which agrees well with the calculated result reported in literature [26, 28, 29].



241

Fig. 6 -Evolution of the maximum stress in carbon coatings of different thicknesses.

244 **4.** Conclusions

In summary, in this paper we fabricated carbon-coated SnO_2 NWs and used them as electrodes for *in situ* TEM study of lithiation. It was found that carbon coatings with thickness less than 9 nm will fracture during lithiation, resulting in ring cracks. Such critical coating thickness then was elucidated by theoretical modeling, which indicated that the maximum axial stress developed in the carbon coating during the lithiation of SnO₂ was dependent on the coating thickness. The thicker the coating, the lower the stress. 251 Our results provide a minimum thickness of carbon coating for electrode materials with

large volume expansion so as to achieve higher durability and higher capacity at the same

253 time.

254

255 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the General Research Fund from Hong Kong RGC (Grant No. 529313). HW acknowledges the financial support from the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11322219), National Program for Special Support of Top-Notch Young Professionals and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2014XZZX003-19). HY acknowledges the stimulating discussions with

- 261 Prof. San Qiang SHI from the Hong Kong Polytechnic University on diffusion theory.
- 262

263 **References**

[1] Li H, Wang Z, Chen L, Huang X. Research on advanced materials for Li-ion
batteries. Advanced Materials. 2009;21(45):4593-607.

[2] Idota Y, Kubota T, Matsufuji A, Maekawa Y, Miyasaka T. Tin-based amorphous
oxide: a high-capacity lithium-ion-storage material. Science. 1997;276(5317):1395-7.

[3] Fan J, Wang T, Yu C, Tu B, Jiang Z, Zhao D. Ordered, nanostructured tin-based
oxides/carbon composite as the negative-electrode material for lithium-ion batteries.
Advanced Materials. 2004;16(16):1432-6.

[4] Han S, Jang B, Kim T, Oh SM, Hyeon T. Simple synthesis of hollow tin dioxide
microspheres and their application to lithium-ion battery anodes. Advanced Functional
Materials. 2005;15(11):1845-50.

[5] Zhang LQ, Liu X, Liu Y, Huang S, Zhu T, Gui L, et al. Controlling the lithiation
induced strain and charging rate in nanowire electrodes by coating. Acs Nano.
276 2011:4800-9.

- [6] Deshpande R, Cheng Y-T, Verbrugge MW. Modeling diffusion-induced stress in nanowire electrode structures. Journal of Power Sources. 2010;195(15):5081-8.
- 279 [7] Verbrugge MW, Cheng Y-T. Stress and strain-energy distributions within

- diffusion-controlled insertion-electrode particles subjected to periodic potential
 excitations. Journal of the Electrochemical Society. 2009;156(11):A927-A37.
- [8] Cheng Y-T, Verbrugge MW. The influence of surface mechanics on diffusion induced
 stresses within spherical nanoparticles. Journal of Applied Physics. 2008;104(8):083521.
- [9] Kim H, Cho J. Hard templating synthesis of mesoporous and nanowire SnO₂ lithium
 battery anode materials. J Mater Chem. 2008;18(7):771-5.
- [10]Zhao N, Yang L, Zhang P, Wang G, Wang B, Yao B, et al. Polycrystalline SnO₂
 nanowires coated with amorphous carbon nanotube as anode material for lithium ion
 batteries. Materials Letters. 2010;64(8):972-5.
- [11] Ji X, Huang X, Liu J, Jiang J, Li X, Ding R, et al. Carbon-coated SnO₂ nanorod array
 for lithium-ion battery anode material. Nanoscale Research Letters. 2010;5(3):649-53.
- [12] Chan CK, Patel RN, O'Connell MJ, Korgel BA, Cui Y. Solution-grown silicon
 nanowires for lithium-ion battery anodes. Acs Nano. 2010;4(3):1443-50.
- [13]Sun X, Liu J, Li Y. Oxides@C core-shell nanostructures: one-pot synthesis, rational
 conversion, and Li storage property. Chemistry of Materials. 2006;18(15):3486-94.
- [14] Wen Z, Wang Q, Zhang Q, Li J. In Situ Growth of Mesoporous SnO₂ on multiwalled
 carbon nanotubes: a novel composite with porous-tube structure as anode for lithium
 batteries. Advanced Functional Materials. 2007;17(15):2772-8.
- [15] Wang C, Zhou Y, Ge M, Xu X, Zhang Z, Jiang J. Large-scale synthesis of SnO₂
 nanosheets with high lithium storage capacity. Journal of the American Chemical Society.
 2009;132(1):46-7.
- [16] Chen G, Wang Z, Xia D. One-pot synthesis of carbon nanotube@ SnO₂-Au coaxial
 nanocable for lithium-ion batteries with high rate capability. Chemistry of Materials.
 2008;20(22):6951-6.
- [17] Chen JS, Cheah YL, Chen YT, Jayaprakash N, Madhavi S, Yang YH, et al. SnO₂
 nanoparticles with controlled carbon nanocoating as high-capacity anode materials for
 lithium-ion batteries. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C. 2009;113(47):20504-8.
- [18] Liu XH, Huang JY. In situ TEM electrochemistry of anode materials in lithium ion
 batteries. Energy Environ Sci. 2011;4(10):3844-60.
- [19]Huang JY, Zhong L, Wang CM, Sullivan JP, Xu W, Zhang LQ, et al. In situ
 observation of the electrochemical lithiation of a single SnO₂ nanowire electrode. Science.
 2010;330(6010):1515-20.

- [20] Liu Y, Zheng H, Liu XH, Huang S, Zhu T, Wang J, et al. Lithiation induced
 embrittlement of multi-walled carbon nanotubes. Acs Nano. 2011;5(9):7245-53.
- [21] Liu XH, Liu Y, Kushima A, Zhang SL, Zhu T, Li J, et al. In situ TEM experiments of
 electrochemical lithiation and delithiation of individual nanostructures. Advanced Energy
 Materials. 2012;2(7):722-41.
- [22]Nie AM, Liu JB, Li QQ, Cheng Y, Dong CZ, Zhou W, et al. Epitaxial TiO₂/SnO₂
 core-shell heterostructure by atomic layer deposition. J Mater Chem. 2012;22:10665-71.
- [23] Li QQ, Dong CZ, Nie AM, Liu JB, Zhou W, Wang HT. Microstructure-dependent
 conformal atomic layer deposition on 3D nanotopography. Langmuir.
 2012;28(45):15809-15.
- 322 [24] Shewmon P. Diffusion in Solids, 2nd. Ed, TMS, Warrendale, PA. 1989:107.

323 [25]Suk JW, Murali S, An J, Ruoff RS. Mechanical measurements of ultra-thin
amorphous carbon membranes using scanning atomic force microscopy. Carbon.
325 2012;50(6):2220-5.

[26] Remediakis IN, Fyta MG, Mathioudakis C, Kopidakis G, Kelires PC. Structure,
elastic properties and strength of amorphous and nanocomposite carbon. Diamond and
Related Materials. 2007;16(10):1835-40.

- [27]Zhang W-J. A review of the electrochemical performance of alloy anodes for
 lithium-ion batteries. Journal of Power Sources. 2011;196(1):13-24.
- [28] Jacques E, Kjell MH, Zenkert D, Lindbergh G, Behm M, Willgert M. Impact of
 electrochemical cycling on the tensile properties of carbon fibres for structural
 lithium-ion composite batteries. Composites Science and Technology. 2012;72(7):792-8.
- [29] Jacques E, H Kjell M, Zenkert D, Lindbergh G. The effect of lithium-intercalation on
 the mechanical properties of carbon fibres. Carbon. 2014;68:725-33.
- [30] Courtney IA, Dahn J. Electrochemical and In situ X-Ray diffraction studies of the
 reaction of lithium with tin oxide composites. Journal of the Electrochemical Society.
 1997;144(6):2045-52.
- [31]Goel P, Choudhury N, Chaplot SL. Superionic behavior of lithium oxide Li_2O : a lattice dynamics and molecular dynamics study. Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics. 2004;70(17):1-8.
- [32] Meyers MA, Chawla KK. Mechanical behavior of materials. New York: CambridgeUniversity Press; 2008.