1	
2	Mode Excitability and Selectivity for Enhancing
3	Scanning Guided Wave-based Characterization of
4	Subwavelength Defect
5	
6 7 8	Kai Wang ^{a,b*} , Ruiqi Guan ^c , Yaozhong Liao ^{a,b} , Zhongqing Su ^{a,b}
9	^a Department of Mechanical Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University,
10	Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR
11	^b The Hong Kong Polytechnic University Shenzhen Research Institute, Shenzhen
12	518057, P.R. China
13	^c Interdisciplinary Division of Aeronautical and Aviation Engineering, The Hong
14	Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Submitted to NDT & E International
20	(Initial submission on 26th Lune 2020; Deviced and re-submitted and 10th October
21 22	(Initial submission on 20 June 2020; Kevised and re-submitted on 10 October 2020)
22	2020)

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: +852-6576-4811; Email: <u>kai-qf.wang@polyu.edu.hk</u> (Kai WANG, *PhD*);

24 Abstract

The scanning guided ultrasonic waves (GUWs) have been exploited intensively for 25 characterization of defects or material anomalies at their early stage. Yet, the 26 characterization of defects in a subwavelength scale, which induces indiscernible 27 disturbance to the linear and nonlinear features of GUWs, remains a daunting task for 28 methods using the scanning GUWs owning to their limitation in terms of sensitivity 29 and applicability. Based on the investigation of effect of defect on the excitability of 30 31 GUW modes, we establish a framework to distinguish the optimal GUW mode with 32 which the characterization of the small defect with subwavelength size using scanning GUWs can be enhanced. In this framework, the excitation transducer is scanned across 33 the surface of the specimen to generate probing GUWs. The excitability of each GUW 34 35 mode is analyzed, and the theoretical interpretation of effect of defect on excitation of each GUW mode is interrogated using a reciprocity theorem and Born approximation. 36 In conjunction with the analysis of group velocity of GUW modes, the candidate GUW 37 mode, *i.e.* mode S₁ at a frequency-thickness product of 3.58 MHz·mm, which features 38 39 an excitability with optimal sensitivity to defect and high practical applicability is selected. A damage index that calibrates the extent of defect-induced variation in the 40 waveform of the selected GUW mode is proposed, with which the subwavelength 41 defects can be characterized accurately and reliably. Both numerical and experimental 42 validations are performed, in which the surface and subsurface defects of 43 44 subwavelength scales are identified and visualized using an imaging algorithm. The results corroborate the effectiveness of the proposed framework for enhancing the 45 characterization of subwavelength defects using modally selective scanning GUWs. 46

47

48 Keywords: subwavelength defect; guided ultrasonic waves; mode excitability;
49 nondestructive evaluation

50 **1. Introduction**

51 Early detection of defects (e.g. embryonic fatigue cracks, pitting corrosions, small scale voids), which is of great importance for safety-critical structures, entails high sensitivity 52 of nondestructive evaluation approaches. This requirement is of paramount priority to 53 warrant the integrity of components working in harsh environments and subjected to 54 55 intense loading, in which defects can develop and expand rapidly. Driven by this concern, approaches that utilize transducers, in a contact [1-3] or non-contact manner 56 [4-6], to scan inspected regions are numerous and have been widely applied. Among 57 58 these approaches, conventional techniques, typified by those using the bulk wave analysis (e.g. C-scan), are confronted with multifold bottlenecks: i) limited 59 effectiveness for structures of small thickness; ii) difficulty in resolving echoes from 60 61 near-surface defects, particularly for defects of subwavelength size; and iii) challenge in resolving individual plies-induced reflections from the subwavelength defect-62 induced reflection in composite laminates [7, 8]. These concerns are also stressed for 63 methods using noncontact laser-generated ultrasound, which are prevailing in specific 64 applications requiring noncontact scanning. Therefore, the methods based on the 65 scanning guided ultrasonic waves (GUWs), which can circumvent the above 66 deficiencies, have been attracting intense research efforts. Via analyzing the scanning 67 GUWs, the detection of diverse material anomalies can thereby be fulfilled with high 68 sensitivity, owning to the rich information acquired from each wave excitation/sensing 69 70 in the scanned region.

71

Exploiting the defect-induced disturbance in linear features and nonlinear features of
 probing GUWs, one can diagnose diverse material anomalies and evaluate the structural

74 health condition qualitatively and quantitatively [9-12]. Nevertheless, the further improvement of the sensitivity of existing methods (linear or nonlinear) are limited by 75 diverse factors related with the intrinsic features of GUWs. Using the features of defect-76 77 induced wave scattering that are of the same frequency with the incident waves, the prevailing linear GUW-based methods might overlook the defect with a scale smaller 78 79 than half the wavelength of probing waves [13, 14], because the wave scattering caused 80 by the defect is small. This deficiency can be tackled to some extent by increasing the incident frequency, but this incurs the difficulty in signal interpretation, owning to the 81 82 co-existence of multimodal waves and high dispersion and attenuation of GUWs in the 83 high frequency range. To detect small defect that does not induce great wave scattering, methods based on nonlinear features of GUWs, typified by the second harmonic 84 85 generation[15-19], vibration-acoustic modulation[20, 21] and amplitude modulation phenomenon[22], have been intensively attempted. In previous investigations[15, 16, 86 23], we analyzed the defect-induced nonlinear features of GUWs and proposed methods 87 88 to exploit them for the quantitative evaluation of small damages in two-dimensional and three dimensional scenarios and orienting the propagation direction of fatigue 89 90 cracks. Despite the effectiveness of these nonlinear methods in laboratory environments, the practical measurement and calibration of the nonlinear features in probing GUWs 91 92 remain a challenging task, because of their weak nature and susceptibility to 93 interference induced by other nonlinear sources (e.g. systems and instruments) [24-27]. More importantly, material defects of certain types do not induce the material acoustic 94 nonlinearity. For example, the pitting defects and voids in materials generated by 95 96 manufacturing glitches only cause discontinuities of materials without altering material properties, inducing no nonlinear features in probing waves. Therefore, owning to the 97 limitations in terms of sensitivity for linear methods and practical applicability for 98

99 nonlinear methods, the characterization of subwavelength defects remains a daunting100 task.

101

The investigation of excitability of GUW modes can potentially offer a novel avenue 102 for the characterization of subwavelength defects, in light of the fact that the excitability 103 of each GUW mode can be disturbed by the defect. Investigations on the excitability of 104 GUW modes, from theoretical and experimental perspectives, are numerous [28-34]. 105 In some investigations, the energy enhancement when the laser pulses are in the vicinity 106 107 of defects is used for the defect detection [35, 36], and its effectiveness has been further 108 demonstrated in FEA simulations and experiment tests [37-40]. Despite the 109 effectiveness in detection of small scale crack [40], the detection of defects whose dimensions (i.e. length, width, depth) are all subwavelength has rarely been reported. 110 111 In these investigations, the energy enhancement phenomenon is attributed to the defectinduced reflections, generation of non-propagating modes, mode conversions and wave 112 diffraction. Nevertheless, the aspect of mode excitability has not been scrutinized, and 113 further explicit and quantitative interpretation of defect-altered mode excitability is 114 almost absent. Up to date, investigations dedicated to the analysis of excitability of 115 116 GUW mode are only conducted in an intact waveguide, and the study and application of effect of defect on the excitation of GUW modes are rare. 117

118

In this backdrop, we develop a method based on the effect of defect on excitability of GUW modes which enhances the sensitivity and practical applicability for the characterization of defects with subwavelength sizes using scanning GUWs. The scanning GUWs are fulfilled using a scanning excitation transducer and a sensor immobilized on the specimen. This method is underpinned by the fact that for certain GUW modes, the defect-induced disturbance in excitability can be obvious at some specific frequency-thickness combinations, thereby rendering a capacity of identifying subwavelength defects. To exploit this effect to advance the evaluation of defects, an analytical interpretation of the influence of defect on the excitability of GUW modes is required, which serves as the theoretical cornerstone.

129

Apart from the analytical investigation on the mechanism of GUW excitability under 130 the influence of defect, the selection of GUW mode with high applicability is also of 131 practical significance, considering the multimodal and dispersive properties of GUWs. 132 133 The selection criteria include the following two aspects. First, the selected modefrequency combination of GUW features easy separation from other possibly excited 134 modes. Second, the extent of defect-induced variation in the excitability shall be great, 135 136 featuring an outstanding sensitivity to defects. In addition, the candidate modes in the low frequency range are preferred since they feature relatively less attenuation. 137

138

Addressing the above concerns, a framework is constructed in this investigation which 139 is capable of selecting specific GUW modes that can significantly advance the GUW-140 141 based methods in terms of sensitivity and applicability, and thereby defects of subwavelength size can be identified. In this approach, the excitability of each GUW 142 143 mode is analytically interpreted, and the defect-altered GUW excitation is investigated 144 using the reciprocity theorem. Meanwhile, to facilitate the separation of selected GUW mode from other excited modes, the examination of group velocity is incorporated in 145 the framework to warrant the isolation of selected GUW mode. Using this framework, 146 the GUW mode with practical feasibility and optimal sensitivity to defects can be 147 148 selected. On this basis, an index based on the proximity decorrelation is proposed to 149 quantify the defect-induced variations in the waveforms of excited GUWs, with which the severity of the defect can be quantitatively evaluated and intuitively visualized. 150

151 2. Analytical Framework for GUW Mode Selection

152 **2.1 Problem Description**

153 Considering that the mechanism behind the defect-induced disturbance is essentially similar regardless of the locations of defects (e.g., surface or subsurface), the wave 154 excitation in a plate-like waveguide bearing a surface defect is investigated without 155 156 losing generality, as schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. The waves can be excited via diverse fashions, and the out-of-plane loading applied on the surface of the waveguide 157 158 is considered in this study, which can be generated using piezoelectric transducers or laser pulses. In the waveguide, the incident GUWs take the modality of Lamb waves, 159 which encompass multiple wave modes including symmetric and antisymmetric modes. 160 161 A defect of subwavelength size is located on the top surface of the waveguide, 162 representing a local thinning flaw which is a common concern for manufacturing industries. To improve the sensitivity and to enhance the reliability of the GUW-based 163 164 defect detection, several key points should be addressed from both theoretical and practical perspectives. Theoretically, interpretation of the excitability of each GUW 165 mode in the intact waveguide and the defect-induced disturbance in excitation of GUW 166 modes provides a basis for the selection of preferred GUW mode which features high 167 sensitivity to the defect. Practically, as multiple GUW modes co-exist in the waveguide, 168 169 the precise isolation and extraction of the preferably selected GUW mode is critical for 170 the precision and reliability of the quantitative evaluation of defect. To address a stepto-step solution to all these issues, a framework is proposed, as illustrated in the 171 172 flowchart in Fig. 2. This flowchart provides an overall view of the analysis and the position of each step (Section 2.2-2.4) in the whole procedure. Via the proposed 173 framework, the GUW modes with the capacity of identifying the subwavelength defect 174

are selected, which feature high sensitivity to defect and appropriate group velocities.

Each key step in the flowchart and each criterion are elaborated in the followingsections.



184

185 **2.2 Excitability of GUW Modes in Intact Waveguide**

The propagation of Lamb waves can be analytically depicted using the Rayleigh-Lamb equation, and by solving the equation via numerical methods, the phase velocity dispersion curves can be obtained. On this basis, the group velocity and the wave structure of each GUW mode can be explicitly derived. Recalling the elasto-dynamic method, a quantitative description of waves excited by the out-of-plane loading on the surface of the waveguide[30, 41], can be given as follows,

192
$$\mathbf{v}(x_1, x_2) = \sum_n a_n(x_1) \mathbf{v}_n(x_2) \propto \sum_n \frac{v_{2,n}(x_2)}{4P_{nn}} \mathbf{v}_n(x_2), \qquad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{v}(x_1, x_2)$ is the velocity vector superposed from all the *n* possibly excited 193 GUW modes, $v_{2,n}$ is the component of velocity vector in the out-of-plane loading 194 direction for mode *n*. Here and hereafter, the time factor $e^{-i\omega t}$ is omitted, in which 195 196 ω and t are the angular frequency and time, respectively. $a_n(x_1)$ is the amplitude. P_{nn} is the power flux of the mode *n* along x_1 direction, as defined in [30], and 197 $\mathbf{v}_n(x_2)$ is the velocity vector corresponding to the P_{nn} . Provided a normalized power 198 flux P_{nn} , the calculated value of $v_{2,n}$, a representation of mode relative excitability, at 199 the top surface of an aluminum plate whose properties are listed in Table 1 is displayed 200 201 in Fig. 3.

- 202
- 203

Table 1 Mechanical properties of the aluminum plate

Density [kg/m ³]	Elastic modulus [GPa]	Poisson's ratio	c_L [m/s]	$c_T [\text{m/s}]$
2660	71.8	0.33	6324	3185

204





207

Fig. 3 Relative excitability of each Lamb mode in an intact aluminum plate

Note that, for the considered loading (out-of-plane loading on the surface), the 208 209 excitability of each GUW mode varies dramatically against the incident frequency, and 210 particularly, at some specific frequencies, the excitability of certain GUW modes is zero. This variation arises from the changes in the wave structure of each GUW mode against 211 212 the incident frequency. For example, Fig. 4 representatively shows the wave structure of particulate displacement for a normalized power flux at different frequencies, from 213 which it is clearly observed that at 4.5 MHz·mm, the out-of-plane displacement at the 214 surface is moderately large, while at 3.58 MHz·mm, the corresponding displacement is 215 zero. This indicates that at this specific frequency-thickness product, the out-of-plane 216 217 loading exerted on the waveguide surface does not perform work on the S₁ mode. Therefore, the excitability of mode S_1 at 3.58 MHz mm by the out-of-plane loading is 218 minimal. 219



Fig. 4 Wave structure of the S₁ mode at the frequency-thickness product of (a) 3.58 MHz·mm and (b) 4.5 MHz·mm in an aluminum plate

226 **2.3 Defect-induced Disturbance in Excitability of GUW Modes**

The defect-modulated excitation problem is schematically illustrated in Fig. 5. An 227 infinite homogeneous isotropic elastic plate measuring 2h in thickness bears a defect 228 229 with the width w and depth d which is located on the top surface of the plate. The intact top and bottom surfaces are denoted as S^+ and S^- , respectively, and the surface of defect 230 as S and the original intact surface at the defect site as S'. $S^+ \setminus S'$ denotes the top 231 surface when part S' is removed. The volume of defect area bounded by S and S' is 232 233 denoted as V and the region of an intact plate is denoted by **D**. The out-of-plane loading in the intact case is a pressure of Q on the surface S', and when the loading is applied 234 on the defect, a pressure of Q is exerted on the surface S. 235



236

223

224 225

Page 11 of 31

237 238

In accordance with the reciprocal theorem, two distinct elasto-dynamic states of the same body can be related via the reciprocal identity [42, 43]. For two distinct timeharmonic states, labeled by superscripts *A* and *B*, the reciprocal identity for the region *D* bounded by the surfaces $S^+ \setminus S' + S + S^- + I + II$ reads

243
$$\int_{D} \left(f_{i}^{A} u_{i}^{B} - f_{i}^{B} u_{i}^{A} \right) dv = \int_{S^{+} \setminus S^{+} + S^{-} + I + II} \left(u_{i}^{A} \sigma_{ij}^{B} - u_{i}^{B} \sigma_{ij}^{A} \right) \eta_{j} ds.$$
(2)

In the above, f_i^A and f_i^B are the body forces, u_i^A and u_i^B are the displacement fields, σ_{ij}^A and σ_{ij}^B are the stress fields, η_j are the components of the outward normal.

247

255

256

For the time-harmonic state denoted by A, we choose a Lamb wave mode of unit power flux (denoted as $u^{inc} = u_n(x_2)e^{ik_nx_1}$) propagating from the left side to the right side, and after traversing the surface defect, reflected and transmitted wave modes are generated, as shown in Fig. 6. For the other state denoted by B, we choose the solution when the loading is applied on the defect, generating Lamb waves of multiple modes, whose displacement field can be depicted using

 $\boldsymbol{u}^{B} = \sum \boldsymbol{a}_{m} \boldsymbol{u}_{m}(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}) \, \boldsymbol{e}^{i\boldsymbol{k}_{m}\boldsymbol{x}_{1}}.$

For these two chosen states, the body forces are zero, and therefore the reciprocal identity reads

(3)

257
$$\int_{S^+ \setminus S' + S - F^- + I + II} \left(u_i^A \sigma_{ij}^B - u_i^B \sigma_{ij}^A \right) \eta_j ds = 0.$$
(4)



258

262

Fig. 6 Illustration of elasto-dynamic state A: a Lamb wave mode propagating from the left side to the right side; and state B: waves excited when the loading is applied at the location of defect

Considering the traction free boundary conditions for both states, the integrals over surfaces $S^+ \setminus S' + S^-$ in Eq. (4) are zero, remaining only S + I + II for the Eq.(4), and thus Eq. (4) can be rewritten as

$$\int_{S} \left(u_{i}^{A} \sigma_{ij}^{B} \right) \eta_{j} ds + \int_{I+II} \left(u_{i}^{A} \sigma_{ij}^{B} - u_{i}^{B} \sigma_{ij}^{A} \right) \eta_{j} ds = 0.$$
⁽⁵⁾

268 Recalling the orthogonality between different Lamb modes, for the second term in Eq.269 (5), we have

270
$$\int_{I+II} \left(u_i^A \sigma_{ij}^B - u_i^B \sigma_{ij}^A \right) \eta_j ds = \int_I \left(u_i^A \sigma_{ij}^B - u_i^B \sigma_{ij}^A \right) \eta_j ds = 4a_n P_{nn} / (-i\omega).$$
(6)

It is worth noting that the defect is fairly small compared with the wavelength of probing waves, leading to a negligible reflection. According to Born approximation, the wave field in state A that encompasses incident waves, reflected waves and transmitted waves, can be approximately replaced by the incident wave fields if the reflection is small enough [44]. Therefore, after some mathematical manipulations, the first term in Eq. (6) can be rewritten as

277

$$\int_{S} \left(u_{i}^{A} \sigma_{ij}^{B} \right) \eta_{j} ds$$

$$= \int_{S} \left[Q u_{i}^{A} \eta_{i} \right] ds$$

$$= \int_{S} \left[Q u_{i}^{inc} \eta_{i} \right] ds$$

$$= \int_{S+S'} \left[Q u_{i}^{inc} \eta_{i} \right] ds - \int_{S'} \left[Q u_{i}^{inc} \eta_{i} \right] ds.$$
(7)

278 Substituting Eqs.(6) and (7) into Eq. (5), one can get

279
$$\frac{-i\omega}{4P_{nn}}\int_{S+S'}\left[Qu_{i}^{inc}\eta_{i}\right]ds = a_{n} - \frac{-i\omega}{4P_{nn}}\int_{S'}\left[Qu_{i}^{inc}\eta_{i}\right]ds.$$
(8)

Noticing that the second term on the right side of Eq. (8) is the amplitude of the wave mode excited by the loading of Q on the surface S' of the intact waveguide, and thus

282
$$a_n^{intact} = \frac{-i\omega}{4P_{nn}} \int_{S'} \left[Q u_i^{inc} \eta_i \right] ds.$$
(9)

Therefore the term on the left side of the Eq. (8) represents the deviation of the amplitude of mode n excited in the defect scenario from that in an intact scenario. In light of the Gauss's divergence theorem, the term on the left side of Eq. (8) can be further defined as

287
$$\frac{-i\omega}{4P_{nn}}\int_{s+s'}\left[Qu_i^{inc}\eta_i\right]ds = \frac{Q\cdot(-i\omega)}{4P_{nn}}\int_V\frac{\partial u_1^{inc}}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_2^{inc}}{\partial x_2}dv.$$
(10)

Recalling that the defect is fairly small compared with the wavelength of probing waves, the integrand can be approximated by the integrand at the surface of the plate, and therefore Eq.(10) can be rewritten as

291
$$\frac{-i\omega}{4P_{nn}}\int_{S+S}\left[Qu^{inc}\eta_i\right]ds = \frac{Q\cdot(-i\omega)}{4P_{nn}}\left(ik\cdot u_1^{inc} + \frac{\partial u_2^{inc}}{\partial x_2}\right)\Big|_{x_2=h}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}h(x_1)e^{ikx_1}dx_1.$$
 (11)

292 Substituting Eqs. (9) and (11) into Eq.(8) and denoting $\frac{Q \cdot (-i\omega)}{4P_{nn}} \left(ik \cdot u_1^{inc} + \frac{\partial u_2^{inc}}{\partial x_2} \right) \Big|_{x_2 = h}$

293 as g, one has

294
$$a_n - a_n^{intact} = g \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(x_1) e^{ikx_1} dx_1.$$
(12)

In the above, the term g reflects the extent of the defect-induced variation in the mode excitability, and the term $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(x_1) e^{ikx_1} dx_1$ represents the influence of the geometry of the defect on the mode excitability. With the term g in Eq.(12), the defect-induced variation in wave mode excited by the loading can be obtained, and its changes againstthe incident frequency is displayed in Fig. 7.



303 2.4 Mode Selection

To exploit the proposed GUW-based approach, it is required that the selected GUW mode features a large extent of defect-induced variation in the excitability, guaranteeing a conspicuous change in the acquired wave signals and a high sensitivity to the defect. This feature can be represented by the ratio of defect-related changes of mode excitability to the correspondent in the intact waveguide, and it can be depicted using the following

310
$$R = \frac{\left(a_n - a_n^{intact}\right)}{a_n^{intact}} \propto \frac{g}{a_n^{intact}}.$$
 (13)

With Eq.(13), the trend of the ratio against incident frequency for each GUW mode can be obtained, see Fig. 8. It is clearly observed that at several specific frequencies, the ratio is drastically larger than those for other frequencies, and thus they can serve as candidate modes with practical application potential.

315

Considering that multiple modes can possibly be co-excited accompanying the selected mode, group velocities of these candidate modes are to be scrutinized in the proposed framework to facilitate the practical implementation of the approach. To make the selected mode easily discernable and conveniently isolated from other modes, two requirements shall be satisfied, (1) a higher and stable group velocity in the excited frequency band and (2) the GUW with specific mode-frequency combination shall be well separated from other possibly excited GUW modes in the time domain.

323

324 Fig. 8 displays the group velocity of each GUW mode, integrated with the ratio acquired using Eq.(13). It can be clearly observed that the mode S_1 at frequency-thickness 325 product of 3.58 MHz·mm and mode S₂ at 7.16 MHz·mm features a higher group 326 327 velocity than other possibly excited modes, and the corresponding defect-related variations in excited waves are conspicuous. From the above analysis, it can be 328 observed that these conspicuous variations arise from the wave structure features of the 329 330 two modes (S₁ at 3.58 MHz·mm and S₂ at 7.16 MHz·mm) and the defect-induced variations in the in-plane load. Accommodating the requirements of sensitivity and 331 applicability, mode S₁ at 3.58 MHz·mm and mode S₂ at 7.16 MHz·mm offer desirable 332 selections for the GUW-based defect characterization, which enables the enhanced 333 characterization of subwavelength defects. In addition, the mode S1 at 3.58 MHz mm 334 335 features a lower incident frequency that is conducive to a larger inspection region. Thus it provides a preferable choice for the defect characterization and will be used in the 336 numerical and experimental examinations. Note that the defect induces disturbance in 337 338 the mode excitability in a similar mechanism regardless of the location of the defect, and thus the above analysis of mode selectivity and the preferred candidate modes also 339 apply for the subsurface and interior defects. 340



Fig. 8 Candidate GUW modes which feature easy isolation and excitability of high
sensitivity to defect and: S₁ at 3.58 MHz·mm and S₂ at 7.16 MHz·mm in an
aluminum plate

346 3. Numerical Analysis Proof-of-concept

347 **3.1 Finite Element Model Set-up**

 $\frac{341}{342}$

For proof of concept, a time domain finite element analysis (FEA) is performed with 348 349 ABAQUS®/Explicit. A two-dimensional plate measuring 5 mm in thickness and 1 m in length is constructed using a plane strain model, see Fig. 9, with key material 350 properties listed in Table 1. The defect is modeled with a V-shape notch on the surface 351 of the plate (width 2 mm, depth 0.5 mm). A global mesh of 0.1 mm size and a time step 352 of $1x10^{-8}$ s are set to warrant the accuracy of the simulation results. In the FEA model, 353 an out-of-plane loading (pressure load) is applied on the surface of the plate (within a 354 circular area of 2 mm diameter) to excite the probing waves, which is consistent with 355 the loading condition in the experiment. To outstand the defect-induced variation in 356 excitability of GUW mode, the FEA analysis is performed for scenarios when the waves 357

are excited from the intact region and locations of defects. The in-plane displacement

of a sensing point on the waveguide surface with a distance of 200 mm from the loading

360 is recorded.



361

362

Fig. 9 FE model for validation

A one-cycle Hanning windowed toneburst with a central frequency of 0.9 MHz is first used to excite GUWs from the intact region and the defect location, respectively. This allows the examination of the excitability of the each GUW mode in both cases in a broadband low-frequency range. On this basis, the mode-frequency combinations that feature high sensitivity and high group velocity, which is predicted to be the S₁ mode at 0.716 MHz as analyzed above, can be selected for further examination of effect of defect on GUW mode excitation.

370

A ten-cycle Hanning windowed toneburst with the selected central frequency (predicted to be 0.716 MHz) is then applied to test the GUW mode excitation in the intact region and at the defect location, respectively. Results from both cases will be contrasted to outstand the defect-induced variations in selected GUW modes (i.e. S₁ mode).

375 **3.2 Results: Wideband Excitation**

By way of illustration, the results obtained when the excitation transducers are on the intact region and the location of a surface defect, respectively, when the one-cycle toneburst (see Fig. 10(a)) is applied, are displayed in Fig. 10(b), clearly showing the 379 conspicuous defect-induced changes in the acquired signals. In order to evaluate the excitability of each GUW mode, the short time Fourier transform (STFT) is performed, 380 and the spectra in the time-frequency domain is shown in Fig. 11, integrated with the 381 382 dispersion curves of GUW modes. The parameters of STFT (window size 10µs and window gap 0.1µs) are prudently chosen so that sufficient details of in time and 383 frequency domains can be retained. It is obvious that the amplitude of S_1 mode at 384 frequency of 0.716 MHz excited in the intact case is phenomenally lower than the 385 adjacent components, as highlighted by the circle in Fig. 11(a), arguing the above 386 387 analysis that the excitability of S_1 mode at this frequency is minimal. Compared with 388 the intact case, the S₁ mode at 0.716 MHz excited when the loading is applied on the defect is dramatically increased, as displayed in Fig. 11(b). To outstand the defect-389 390 induced changes in the excitation of each GUW mode, the spectrogram from the defect 391 case are subtracted from that obtained from intact case, with results shown in Fig. 11(c), to observe that the defect-induced changes is drastic for S1 mode at 0.716 MHz and 392 393 mode S₂ at 1.43 MHz. A two-dimensional fast Fourier transform (2D-FFT) is performed on the signals acquired from a set of 200 points, and the difference between the spectra 394 395 from the defect case and that from the intact case is shown in Fig. 11(d), further validating the above observation. This coincides well with the analytical results shown 396 in Fig. 8, corroborating the assertion in section 2 that the extent of defect-induced 397 398 variations in excitation of mode S_1 at 0.716 MHz is the greatest for the plate of 5 mm thickness, justifying its superiority for the defect evaluation in the following analysis. 399





402 Fig. 10 (a) The toneburst used for the wideband wave excitation and its spectrum and
403 (b) the signals acquired from the sensing point



Fig. 11 The STFT spectrogram of signals acquired when waves are excited from (a)
intact region and (b) a defect location; (c) the result obtained by subtracting
spectrogram in (b) by that in (a); (d) the result obtained by subtracting the 2D-FFT
spectrogram of signals of waves excited from a defect location by that from the intact
region

413

414 **3.3 Results: Narrowband Harmonic Excitation**

415 To examine the extent of the defect-induced variation in the excitation of selected mode,

416 i.e. S₁ mode at 0.716 MHz, the ten-cycle tone burst with an identical central frequency 417 (see Fig. 12(a)) are applied in the FEA model to excite GUWs in a narrow frequency 418 band, as shown in Fig. 12(b). The results obtained when waves are excited from the 419 intact region and the location of a surface defect are compared in Fig. 13(a). According 420 to the velocity of each wave packets, it is clearly known that the first arrival 421 wavepackets (denoted by the time window in Fig. 13(a)) are of S_1 mode, and it can be 422 observed that in the intact case, the amplitude of S_1 mode is relatively low, while in the defect case, the corresponding amplitude is clearly increased. 423



425

424

427 428

429

To analyze the amplitude of each GUW mode, a 2D Fourier transform is performed on 430 the signals acquired from an array of sensing points on the surface of the waveguide, 431 432 with results from the intact case and defect case shown in Fig. 13(b) and (c), respectively. The comparison clearly indicates the dramatic intensification by the defect 433 434 in the excitation of S_1 mode. Subtracting the spectrogram for the intact case from that for the defect case gives the defect-induced variations in the spectral energy of each 435 wave mode, with results shown in Fig. 13(d). The result agrees well with the theoretical 436 analysis and the results from the wideband excitation case, validating that the 437 438 excitability of selected mode features an outstanding sensitivity to the defect. The

highest velocity of the S_1 mode reflects its great extractability. Note that, with the narrowband harmonic excitation, the energy of selected S_1 mode at the optimal frequency can be enhanced, thus leading to a more significant difference between the signals from cases with and without damage.

443



Fig. 13(a) The signals acquired when waves are excited from intact region and the
location of a defect; the 2D-FFT spectrogram of signals of waves excited from (b)
intact region and (c) the defect location; (d) the result obtained by subtracting
spectrogram in (c) by that in (b)

Using the theoretical analysis and the FEA, the defect-induced variations in excitation of each GUW mode by the out-of-plane loading is investigated, on which basis the candidates of mode-frequency combination are selected for practical implementation. This provides the basis for the characterization of subwavelength defects with high sensitivity and practical applicability. It is noteworthy that in conventional methods, the defect is evaluated using the changes in the amplitude of GUWs, whose measurements are prone to the contaminations from diverse noises. On the contrary, the proposed method can detect the defect using the changes in the waveform of GUWs using the
selected mode, as exhibited in Fig. 13(a), providing higher reliability and enhanced
accuracy.

463 4. Experimental Validation

464 4.1 Experimental Set-up

An aluminum plate (Aluminum 6061-T6) measuring 5 mm in thickness whose properties are listed in Table 1 is examined experimentally. Defects with different sizes are produced on the surface of the plate using a drill. An illustration of the specimen and defects is shown in Fig. 14(a), and the diameters of the defects D1, D2, D3 and D4 are 0.5 mm, 1.5 mm, 2 mm and 0.7 mm, respectively. The depths of these defects are lower than 0.8mm.

471

A computer controlled system (Ritec® 5000 SNAP) is used to generate excitation 472 signals, which are then fed into a piston piezoelectric transducer (diameter 2 mm) with 473 474 central frequency of 1 MHz, as shown in Fig. 14(b), serving as an actuator to excite GUWs in the specimen. Couplant (Olympus® Glycerin) is utilized to ensure a 475 476 consistent coupling between the transducer and the waveguide, via which the out-ofplane loading is transmitted onto the surface. A one-cycle Hanning-window modulated 477 sinusoidal toneburst is used to excite waves in the plate to examine the excitability of 478 479 each GUW mode in a wide frequency range. A ten-cycle Hanning-window modulated sinusoidal tone burst is then used to excite waves when the transducer scans over the 480 inspected area covering the defects to investigate the defect-induced disturbance in the 481 482 selected GUW mode, as schematically displayed in Fig. 14(b). The scanning path is

483 denoted by the line with a distance of 2 mm between adjacent wave excitation points. A PZT wafer (2 mm x 2 mm) that measures the in-plane strain is mounted on the surface 484 of the plate to capture the excited GUWs. To further examine the capacity of the 485 486 proposed approach for the characterization of defects on the inaccessible surfaces, the ultrasonic scan with the same set-up are performed when the defects are located on the 487 bottom surface of the plate, representing subsurface defects. Wave signals acquired 488 from 256 measurements are averaged to suppress the measurement noises. The acquired 489 signals are processed using the STFT to obtain the spectra. 490



Fig. 14 Four defects of subwavelength size on the surface of an aluminum plate; (b)
illustration of the set-up for the scanning test in which the defects and the
transducer/sensor are on the top surface (inset: the defects are on the bottom surface)

500 4.2 Results and Discussions

501 The results when a one-cycle tone burst is used are shown in Fig. 15(a), and the

502 corresponding spectra are displayed in Fig. 15(b) and (c). The S₁ mode at 0.716 MHz 503 is observed to be barely excited in the intact region (see Fig. 15(b)) which agrees well 504 with the theoretical analysis and FE analysis (see Fig. 11), and the amplitude of the 505 excited S₁ mode at 0.716 MHz is dramatically increased when the wave excitation is 506 altered by the defect, as shown in the Fig. 15 (c) and (d).



Fig. 15 (a) The signals acquired when the waves are excited from the intact region and
the defect location; the STFT spectrogram of signals of waves excited from (b) intact
region and (c) defect location; (d) the result obtained by subtracting spectrogram in
(c) by that in (b)

516

As a representation, Fig. 16 shows the typical signals acquired when the ten-cycle tone burst of selected frequency (i.e. 0.716 MHz) is applied on the intact region and two of the defect locations (diameter 2 mm and 0.7 mm). It is clearly observed that the amplitude of the S₁ mode (see the signals in the denoted time window in Fig. 16) is increased by the defect, corroborating the above theoretical and FE investigations, verifying the optimal sensitivity of excitability of S₁ mode at 3.58 MHz·mm to defects. More importantly, the waveforms of S_1 mode are dramtically changed due to the nonuniform increasing in the components at the selected frequency (i.e. 0.716 MHz in the plate of 5mm thickness) and closely adjacent frequencies. This provide the basis for the accurate and reliable imaging of the defects.



527

Fig. 16 The signals acquired when the waves are excited from the intact region and
the defect location when the ten-cycle tone burst is applied

530 5. Defects Characterization and Imaging Using Damage Indices

531 From the above numerical and experimental investigations, it is observed that the defect induces obvious changes in the waveforms of selected wave mode, and the waves 532 generated from spatially adjacent points in the absence of defect are highly coherent. 533 Therefore, the defects can inversely be identified and characterized by measuring the 534 535 de-coherence between signal waveforms of waves excited from adjacent points. 536 Inspired by the stimulus to visualize and quantify the small defects, an imaging algorithm is proposed. In this algorithm, an index, based on the decorrelation between 537 signals in specific time windows (corresponding to the S₁ mode) and generated from 538 adjacent excitations, is developed to reflect the extent of defect-induced variations, 539 which can be depicted as follows 540

541
$$DI_{n}^{i} = 1 - \max_{\tau} \frac{\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} X_{n}(t) \cdot Y_{i}(t+\tau) dt}{\sqrt{\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} X_{n}(t)^{2} dt \cdot \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} Y_{i}(t+\tau)^{2} dt}}.$$
 (14)

In the above, $X_n(t)$ is the signal of waves generated from the n^{th} exciting point, and 542 $Y_i(t+\tau)$ is the signal of waves generated from the i^{th} adjacent point of the n^{th} exciting 543 point, see Fig. 17. t_1 and t_2 denote the beginning and end of the time window for the 544 wave packets of S₁ mode, respectively. Considering the difference in the propagation 545 time between waves excited from the exciting point and its adjacent points, a time delay 546 τ is applied to accommodate this difference. The indices DI_n^i represent normalized 547 coefficients indicating the level of de-correlation in the signal waveforms of waves 548 generated from the exciting point and the points in its proximity. Except the points at 549 the boundary of the scanning area, a total of 8 adjacent points are used for the 550 calculation of DI_n^i (*i*=1, 2, ..., 8) for each exciting point, and the mean value of these 551 DI_n^i is defined as the damage index for the n^{th} exciting point, which can be obtained 552 using 553

554
$$\overline{DI}_n = \frac{\sum_i DI_n^i}{8}$$
(15)



555

Fig. 17 Illustration of the imaging algorithm and the calculation of damage index.

In accordance with Eq.(15), the image of the entire scanned area can be constructed,

and the points with outstandingly high values of \overline{DI} indicate the locations of defects, 559 which can also reflect the severity of the defect. Figure 18(a) displays the imaging 560 results obtained using the narrowband excitation when the transducer scans over the 561 top surface of the plate. It can be observed that the defect with a diameter not less than 562 0.7 mm (i.e. D2, D3 and D4) can be clearly identified, while the defect D1 with an 563 approximated size of 0.5 mm can be barely discerned. The imaging results obtained 564 when the defects are located on the bottom surface of the plate are shown in Fig. 18(b), 565 to observe that the defects D2 and D3 can be detected. Nevertheless, the defects D1 and 566 D4 cannot be discerned due to the fact that the disturbance of mode excitability induced 567 by the defect on the bottom surface of the plate is relatively weak, making it prone to 568 569 the contamination of measurement noises.

570

The experimental results validate the enhanced sensitivity of the proposed approach 571 towards the characterization of subwavelength defects using scanning GUWs. 572 Compared with conventional methods which evaluate the subtle changes in the 573 574 amplitude or phase of specific modes (e.g. C-scan, energy enhancement methods) [33, 45], the proposed approach can identify the defect of a subwavelength size by 575 evaluating the variation in the waveform of acquired signals. This endows the proposed 576 577 approach a higher immunity to measurement uncertainty caused by diverse factors (e.g. couplant), thereby rendering an improved reliability. The proposed scanning GUW-578 based approach can also be adopted for the damage characterization in scenarios 579 including defect in a thin plate, near-surface defects and defect in composite laminates, 580 581 showing superior detectability than the conventional methods. In addition, all the signals for imaging are obtained in the current status without the need to acquire any 582 prior knowledge, which features a baseline-free fashion, further facilitating the 583

584 applicability of the proposed damage indices for characterization of defects. To further improve the sensitivity and the spatial resolution, different techniques for the wave 585 excitation and acquisition (e.g. laser ultrasonic technique) can be exploited. For 586 587 example, with the exploitation of acousto-optic modulator [35, 46], laser-generated GUWs of optimal mode can be selectively excited with a concentrated loading and thus 588 the uncertainty related with diverse practical factors (e.g. couplant) can be eliminated. 589 It is worth noting that the proposed method is applicable for various defects (e.g. pitting 590 damage and shallow corrosion) regardless of their shapes and types. 591



592

Fig. 18 The imaging results of damage index (a) when defects are located on the top
surface and (b) when defects are located on the bottom surface.

595 **6.** Conclusions

Targeting enhancing the sensitivity of the scanning GUW-based method, a framework is constructed by making use of the effect of defect on the excitability of GUW modes, on which basis the GUW mode with optimal sensitivity and high applicability can be selected, enabling the characterization of subwavelength defect. In this framework, the 600 excitability of each GUW mode is analyzed, and the effect of defect on the excitability is analytically investigated using the reciprocity theorem and the Born approximation. 601 In conjunction with the examination of group velocity of selected candidate modes, the 602 mode exhibiting high sensitivity and practical applicability is selected (i.e. mode S1 at 603 3.58 MHz·mm). Both numerical and experimental validations are performed, in which 604 the surface and subsurface defects of subwavelength scales are identified using the 605 modally selective scanning GUWs and visualized using an imaging algorithm. The 606 results presented here clearly demonstrate the capability of the proposed approach to 607 608 characterize the defect of subwavelength size. This approach advances the scanning GUW-based methods to a highly sensitive and practically applicable modality with the 609 potential to provide sensitivity to previously undetectable small defects. 610

611 Acknowledgments

The work was supported by a General Project (No. 51875492) and a Key Project (No.

613 51635008) received from the National Natural Science Foundation of China. Z Su

acknowledges the support from the Hong Kong Research Grants Council via General

615 Research Funds (Nos. 15204419 and 15212417).

616 **References**

E. Jasiūnienė, R. Raišutis, R. Šliteris, A. Voleišis, and M. Jakas, "Ultrasonic NDT of wind
turbine blades using contact pulse-echo immersion testing with moving water container," *Ultragarsas" Ultrasound"*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 28-32, 2008.

- W. Harizi, S. Chaki, G. Bourse, and M. Ourak, "Mechanical damage characterization of glass
 fiber-reinforced polymer laminates by ultrasonic maps," *Composites Part B: Engineering*, vol.
 70, pp. 131-137, 2015.
- [3] R. Růžek, R. Lohonka, and J. Jironč, "Ultrasonic C-Scan and shearography NDI techniques
 evaluation of impact defects identification," *NDT & E International*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 132-142,
 2006.

T. Hayashi, M. Murase, and M. N. Salim, "Rapid thickness measurements using guided waves 626 [4] 627 from a scanning laser source," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, vol. 126, no. 3, pp. 1101-1106, 2009. 628 629 S. Yashiro, J. Takatsubo, H. Miyauchi, and N. Toyama, "A novel technique for visualizing [5] 630 ultrasonic waves in general solid media by pulsed laser scan," NDT & E International, vol. 41, 631 no. 2, pp. 137-144, 2008. 632 L. Mallet, B. Lee, W. Staszewski, and F. Scarpa, "Structural health monitoring using scanning [6] 633 laser vibrometry: II. Lamb waves for damage detection," Smart Materials and Structures, vol. 634 13, no. 2, p. 261, 2004. 635 [7] K. S. Tan, N. Guo, B. S. Wong, and C. G. Tui, "Comparison of Lamb waves and pulse echo in 636 detection of near-surface defects in laminate plates," NDT & E International, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 637 215-223, 1995. 638 [8] J. Dong, B. Kim, A. Locquet, P. McKeon, N. Declercq, and D. Citrin, "Nondestructive 639 evaluation of forced delamination in glass fiber-reinforced composites by terahertz and 640 ultrasonic waves," Composites Part B: Engineering, vol. 79, pp. 667-675, 2015. J. Rao, A. Saini, J. Yang, M. Ratassepp, and Z. Fan, "Ultrasonic imaging of irregularly shaped 641 [9] 642 notches based on elastic reverse time migration," NDT & E International, vol. 107, p. 102135, 643 2019. 644 [10] P. Ray, X. Yu, Z. Fan, B. Srinivasan, and P. Rajagopal, "Fiber bragg grating based detection of 645 part-thickness cracks in bent composite laminates using feature-guided waves," Smart Materials 646 and Structures, vol. 28, no. 8, p. 085026, 2019. 647 [11] M. Yeasin Bhuiyan, Y. Shen, and V. Giurgiutiu, "Interaction of Lamb waves with rivet hole 648 cracks from multiple directions," Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part 649 C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science, vol. 231, no. 16, pp. 2974-2987, 2017. 650 [12] C. Peng, L. Bai, J. Zhang, and B. W. Drinkwater, "The sizing of small surface-breaking fatigue cracks using ultrasonic arrays," NDT & E International, vol. 99, pp. 64-71, 2018. 651 652 B. Masserey and P. Fromme, "In-situ monitoring of fatigue crack growth using high frequency [13] 653 guided waves," NDT & E International, vol. 71, pp. 1-7, 2015. P. Fromme, M. Lowe, P. Cawley, and P. Wilcox, "On the sensitivity of corrosion and fatigue 654 [14] 655 damage detection using guided ultrasonic waves," in IEEE Ultrasonics Symposium, 2004, 2004, 656 vol. 2: IEEE, pp. 1203-1206. 657 [15] K. Wang, M. Liu, Z. Su, S. Yuan, and Z. Fan, "Analytical insight into "breathing" crack-induced 658 acoustic nonlinearity with an application to quantitative evaluation of contact cracks," 659 Ultrasonics, vol. 88, pp. 157-167, 2018. 660 [16] K. Wang, Y. Li, Z. Su, R. Guan, Y. Lu, and S. Yuan, "Nonlinear aspects of "breathing" crack-661 disturbed plate waves: 3-D analytical modeling with experimental validation," International 662 Journal of Mechanical Sciences, vol. 159, pp. 140-150, 2019. 663 K. H. Matlack, J.-Y. Kim, L. J. Jacobs, and J. Qu, "Review of second harmonic generation [17] measurement techniques for material state determination in metals," Journal of Nondestructive 664 665 Evaluation, vol. 34, no. 1, p. 273, 2015. 666 [18] Y. Shen, J. Wang, and W. Xu, "Nonlinear features of guided wave scattering from rivet hole 667 nucleated fatigue cracks considering the rough contact surface condition," Smart Materials and 668 Structures, vol. 27, no. 10, p. 105044, 2018. 669 [19] Y. Shen, M. Cen, and W. Xu, "Scanning laser vibrometry imaging of fatigue cracks via

670 nonlinear ultrasonic guided wave scattering and mode conversion," in Health Monitoring of 671 Structural and Biological Systems XIII, 2019, vol. 10972: International Society for Optics and Photonics, p. 109721I. 672 M. Sun, Y. Xiang, M. Deng, J. Xu, and F.-Z. Xuan, "Scanning non-collinear wave mixing for 673 [20] 674 nonlinear ultrasonic detection and localization of plasticity," NDT & E International, vol. 93, 675 pp. 1-6, 2018. P. Liu, H. Sohn, T. Kundu, and S. Yang, "Noncontact detection of fatigue cracks by laser 676 [21] nonlinear wave modulation spectroscopy (LNWMS)," NDT & E International, vol. 66, pp. 106-677 678 116, 2014. 679 [22] J. Cheng, J. N. Potter, and B. W. Drinkwater, "The parallel-sequential field subtraction technique 680 for coherent nonlinear ultrasonic imaging," Smart Materials and Structures, vol. 27, no. 6, p. 681 065002, 2018. 682 [23] K. Wang and Z. Su, "Analytical modeling of contact acoustic nonlinearity of guided waves 683 and its application to evaluating severity of fatigue damage," in Health Monitoring of Structural 684 and Biological Systems 2016, 2016, vol. 9805: International Society for Optics and Photonics, 685 p. 98050L. 686 [24] K. Wang, W. Cao, M. Liu, Y. Li, P. Zhou, and Z. Su, "Advancing elastic wave imaging using 687 thermal susceptibility of acoustic nonlinearity," International Journal of Mechanical Sciences, 688 vol. 175, p. 105509, 2020. 689 S. Liu, A. J. Croxford, S. A. Neild, and Z. Zhou, "Effects of experimental variables on the [25] 690 nonlinear harmonic generation technique," IEEE transactions on ultrasonics, ferroelectrics, and 691 frequency control, vol. 58, no. 7, pp. 1442-1451, 2011. 692 [26] S. Roy, K. Lonkar, V. Janapati, and F.-K. Chang, "A novel physics-based temperature 693 compensation model for structural health monitoring using ultrasonic guided waves," Structural 694 Health Monitoring, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 321-342, 2014. 695 [27] K.-Y. Jhang, C. J. Lissenden, I. Solodov, Y. Ohara, and V. Gusev, "Measurement of Nonlinear 696 Ultrasonic Characteristics," ed: Springer. 697 P. Wilcox, "Modeling the excitation of Lamb and SH waves by point and line sources," in AIP [28] 698 Conference Proceedings, 2004, vol. 700, no. 1: American Institute of Physics, pp. 206-213. 699 [29] Y. Shen and V. Giurgiutiu, "Excitability of guided waves in composites with PWAS 700 transducers," in AIP Conference Proceedings, 2015, vol. 1650, no. 1: American Institute of 701 Physics, pp. 658-667. 702 J. L. Rose, Ultrasonic guided waves in solid media. New York: Cambridge University Press, [30] 703 2014. 704 [31] L. Satyarnarayan, J. Chandrasekaran, B. Maxfield, and K. Balasubramaniam, "Circumferential 705 higher order guided wave modes for the detection and sizing of cracks and pinholes in pipe 706 support regions," NDT & E International, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 32-43, 2008. 707 [32] D. Ratnam, K. Balasubramaniam, and B. W. Maxfield, "Generation and detection of higher-708 order mode clusters of guided waves (HOMC-GW) using meander-coil EMATs," IEEE 709 transactions on ultrasonics, ferroelectrics, and frequency control, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 727-737, 710 2012. 711 [33] P. Khalili and P. Cawley, "The choice of ultrasonic inspection method for the detection of 712 corrosion at inaccessible locations," NDT & E International, vol. 99, pp. 80-92, 2018. 713 [34] P. Khalili and P. Cawley, "Excitation of single-mode Lamb waves at high-frequency-thickness

715 2, pp. 303-312, 2015. 716 [35] R. Pierce, C. Ume, and J. Jarzynski, "Temporal modulation of a laser source for the generation 717 of ultrasonic waves," Ultrasonics, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 133-137, 1995. 718 Y. Sohn and S. Krishnaswamy, "A near-field scanning laser source technique and a [36] 719 microcantilever ultrasound receiver for detection of surface-breaking defects," Measurement 720 Science and Technology, vol. 17, no. 4, p. 809, 2006. 721 A. Clough and R. Edwards, "Characterisation of hidden defects using the near-field ultrasonic [37] 722 enhancement of Lamb waves," Ultrasonics, vol. 59, pp. 64-71, 2015. 723 [38] A. Clough and R. Edwards, "Scanning laser source Lamb wave enhancements for defect 724 characterisation," NDT & E International, vol. 62, pp. 99-105, 2014. 725 S. Dixon, B. Cann, D. L. Carroll, Y. Fan, and R. S. Edwards, "Non-linear enhancement of laser [39] 726 generated ultrasonic Rayleigh waves by cracks," Nondestructive Testing and Evaluation, vol. 727 23, no. 1, pp. 25-34, 2008. 728 [40] T. Hayashi and M. Fukuyama, "Vibration energy analysis of a plate for defect imaging with a 729 scanning laser source technique," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, vol. 140, 730 no. 4, pp. 2427-2436, 2016. 731 B. A. Auld, Acoustic fields and waves in solids. Wiley, 1973. [41] 732 [42] L. W. Schmerr, Fundamentals of ultrasonic nondestructive evaluation. Springer, 2016. 733 J. D. Achenbach and Y. Xu, "Wave motion in an isotropic elastic layer generated by a time-[43] 734 harmonic point load of arbitrary direction," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 735 vol. 106, no. 1, pp. 83-90, 1999. 736 [44] Y. Da, G. Dong, B. Wang, D. Liu, and Z. Qian, "A novel approach to surface defect detection," 737 International Journal of Engineering Science, vol. 133, pp. 181-195, 2018. 738 [45] Z. Su and L. Ye, "Lamb Wave Propagation-based Damage Identification for Quasi-isotropic 739 CF/EP Composite Laminates Using Artificial Neural Algorithm: Part II - Implementation and 740 Validation," Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 113-125, 741 2005. 742 [46]

products," IEEE transactions on ultrasonics, ferroelectrics, and frequency control, vol. 63, no.

[46] S. Mezil, N. Chigarev, V. Tournat, and V. Gusev, "Two dimensional nonlinear frequency-mixing
photo-acoustic imaging of a crack and observation of crack phantoms," *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 114, no. 17, p. 174901, 2013.

745

714