

**Title:** A prospective study on the link between weight-related self-stigma and binge eating: Role of food addiction and psychological distress

**Running title:** Food addiction and distress in weight-related self-stigma and eating

**Authors:** Daniel Kwasi Ahorsu <sup>1</sup>, Chung-Ying Lin <sup>1</sup>, Vida Imani <sup>2</sup>, Mark D. Griffiths <sup>3</sup>, Janet D. Latner <sup>4</sup>, Rachel D. Marshall <sup>4</sup>, Amir H Pakpour <sup>5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, the Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Hong Kong.

<sup>2</sup> Pediatric Health Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

<sup>3</sup> Nottingham Trent University, International Gaming Research Unit, Psychology Department, Nottingham, UK

<sup>4</sup> Department of Psychology, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Hawaii, United States

<sup>5</sup> Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Qazvin, Iran.

<sup>6</sup> Department of Nursing, School of Health and Welfare, Jönköping University, Jönköping, Sweden

(Correspondence) Amir H. Pakpour; Social Determinants of Health Research Center (SDH), Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Shahid Bahonar Blvd, Qazvin 3419759811, Iran; Phone: +98 28 33239259; Fax: +98 28 33239259; E-mails: pakpour\_amir@yahoo.com , apakpour@qums.ac.ir

**Word count for Abstract:** 202

**Word count for main text:** 3,651

## 1 **ABSTRACT**

2 **Objectives:** This prospective study investigated the link between weight-related self-stigma  
3 and binge eating by (i) examining the temporal association between weight-related self-  
4 stigma and binge eating; (ii) investigating the mediating role of food addiction in the  
5 association between weight-related self-stigma and binge eating; and (iii) examining the  
6 mediating role of psychological distress in the association between weight-related self-stigma  
7 and binge eating.

8 **Method:** Participants comprised 1,497 adolescents (mean=15.1 years; SD=6.0). At baseline,  
9 three months, and six months, demographics, body mass index, weight bias, psychological  
10 distress (i.e., depression, anxiety, stress), food addiction, and binge eating were assessed. The  
11 mediation model was analyzed using Model 4 in the PROCESS macro for SPSS with 10,000  
12 bootstrapping resamples.

13 **Results:** There was no significant direct association between weight-related self-stigma and  
14 binge eating. However, food addiction and psychological distress significantly mediated the  
15 association between weight-related self-stigma and binge eating.

16 **Discussion:** These findings highlight the indirect association between weight-related self-  
17 stigma and binge eating via food addiction and psychological distress. Consequently,  
18 intervention programs targeting food addiction and psychological distress among adolescents  
19 may have significant positive effects on outcomes for weight-related self-stigma and binge  
20 eating. The findings will be beneficial to researchers and healthcare professionals working  
21 with adolescents during this critical developmental period.

22

23 **Keywords:** adolescents; binge eating; food addiction; psychological distress; weight-related  
24 self-stigma

25

26

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Eating disorders among adolescents are of public health concern due to their prevalence (Erskine et al., 2017; Michaud & Fombonne, 2005), early onset (Erskine et al., 2017; Kessler et al., 2007), and impact on educational achievements (Patel, Flisher, Hetrick, & McGorry, 2007), families (Patel et al., 2007), and communities (Patel et al., 2007). Indeed, eating disorders account for 1.2% (0.9%–1.5%) disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), with the highest proportion of total DALYs occurring among individuals aged 10–29 years (Whiteford et al., 2013). Of increasing interest to researchers is binge eating, which has higher lifetime and global prevalence estimates than both anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa (Erskine & Whiteford, 2018; Swanson, Crow, Le Grange, Swendsen, & Merikangas, 2011). There is also a high prevalence of binge eating among adolescents. Recent epidemiological research examining binge eating among adolescents reported that approximately 1%–5% of adolescents experience binge eating, and onset peaks at ages 16–17 years (Marzilli, Cerniglia, & Cimino, 2018).

Individuals with binge eating often suffer physical, social, and psychological challenges. With regard to physical challenges, individuals with binge eating often have overweight or obesity, conditions that are associated with serious health problems such as cardiovascular disease and type II diabetes (Kumar & Kelly, 2017; Raj & Kumar, 2010). With regard to social challenges, individuals with binge eating who are overweight may experience isolation, being teased, and/or being bullied (Y-C Lin, Latner, Fung, & Lin, 2018). Concerning psychological challenges, individuals with binge eating may have high risk of low self-esteem, negative body image, and high levels of psychological distress, including depression, anxiety, and stress (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; M. Y. Cheng et al., 2018). These challenges hinder the holistic biopsychosocial development of an adolescent

1 and highlight the need to understand the factors that contribute to binge eating. The factors  
2 contributing to binge eating remain understudied, especially in comparison to anorexia  
3 nervosa and bulimia nervosa (Erskine & Whiteford, 2018). A better etiological understanding  
4 of the psychopathology underlying and contributing to binge eating may improve prevention  
5 and treatment efforts.

6  
7 Weight-related self-stigma is the extent to which an individual who has weight concerns  
8 perceives, endorses, accepts, and internalizes discrimination, prejudice, and stereotypes  
9 toward obesity or being overweight (Lin et al., accepted; Wong et al., 2019). After accepting  
10 and endorsing these negative attitudes toward obesity or being overweight, individuals may  
11 feel hopeless and distressed about their weight and use maladaptive coping strategies such as  
12 eating and avoiding social interaction (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; Puhl & Suh,  
13 2015; Wong et al., 2019). It has been reported that weight-related self-stigma may increase  
14 body shame, and subsequently deteriorate psychological wellbeing (Tylka et al., 2014). This  
15 may create a vicious cycle, whereby individuals with high weight-related self-stigma may  
16 become physically inactive and gain weight (O. Y. Cheng et al., 2019). Moreover, with high  
17 levels of weight-related self-stigma, individuals have greater levels of eating disturbances  
18 including binge eating (Carels et al., 2010; Durso et al., 2012; Roberto et al., 2012). Thus,  
19 weight-related self-stigma is likely to be one of the contributors to binge eating (M. Y. Cheng  
20 et al., 2018; O. Y. Cheng et al., 2019; C-Y Lin, Strong et al., 2019).

21  
22 Fully understanding the factors that affect binge eating and potential mediators in its  
23 relationship with weight-related self-stigma may help clinicians foster healthier eating habits.  
24 Two tentatively modifiable mediators in the relationship between weight-related self-stigma  
25 and binge eating are psychological distress and food addiction (Figure 1).

1

2 Closely related to weight-related self-stigma and binge eating is food addiction, which is  
3 reported to be an important factor in both obesity and binge eating (American Psychiatric  
4 Association, 2013; Pacanowski et al., 2018). Food addiction is positively associated with  
5 weight-related self-stigma (Cassin et al., 2019; Gearhardt et al., 2012; Lee, Hall, Lucke,  
6 Forlini, & Carter, 2014) because patients with greater severity of food addiction have greater  
7 internalized weight bias and body shame (Burmeister, Hinman, Koball, Hoffmann, & Carels,  
8 2013; Cassin et al., 2019). Additionally, food addiction is reported to be positively associated  
9 with binge eating (Burrows, Skinner, McKenna, & Rollo, 2017; Gearhardt, Corbin, &  
10 Brownell, 2009; Gearhardt et al., 2012; Gearhardt, White, & Potenza, 2011), and was the  
11 strongest predictive factor of binge eating (57%) in one study (Gearhardt et al., 2012).  
12 Consequently, food addiction may be considered as a potential mediator of the relationship  
13 between weight-related self-stigma and binge eating (American Psychiatric Association,  
14 2013; Swanson et al., 2011).

15

16 Weight-related self-stigma has been found to increase mood problems, such as depression,  
17 anxiety, and psychological distress, symptoms which significantly relate to weight-related  
18 self-stigma and binge eating (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; Marzilli et al., 2018;  
19 Swanson et al., 2011). That is, although there is no known study of psychological distress  
20 mediating the relationship between weight-related self-stigma and binge eating, it can be  
21 deduced from previous studies that psychological distress serves as a common factor with  
22 respect to the relationship between weight-related self-stigma and binge eating (Marzilli et  
23 al., 2018; Swanson et al., 2011). Further supporting this proposition, a similar study reported  
24 that psychological distress mediated the relationship between weight stigma and disordered  
25 eating behavior (emotional eating, uncontrolled eating, and loss-of-control eating; O'Brien et

1 al., 2016). Therefore, psychological distress may also be a potential mediator of the  
2 relationship between weight-related self-stigma and binge eating. An enhanced understanding  
3 of the direction of these associations and their mediators could benefit future research and  
4 treatment.

5

6 The present study extends previous literature on factors associated with binge eating (e.g.,  
7 Gearhardt et al., 2012; Marzilli et al., 2018; Pacanowski et al., 2018; Swanson et al., 2011) by  
8 examining two variables hypothesized to mediate the relationship between weight-related  
9 self-stigma and binge eating. Specifically, this prospective study examines the link between  
10 weight-related self-stigma and binge eating by (i) examining the temporal association  
11 between weight-related self-stigma and binge eating; (ii) investigating the mediating role of  
12 food addiction in the association between weight-related self-stigma and binge eating; and  
13 (iii) examining the mediating role of psychological distress in the association between  
14 weight-related self-stigma and binge eating.

15

## 16 **2. METHODS**

### 17 **2.1 Participants**

18 A total of 1,810 adolescents were recruited from 16 high schools from 78,850 students from  
19 98 high schools in Qazvin (Iran) for this prospective study from September 2018 to May  
20 2019. A total of 313 participants were excluded due to unavailability of data. Therefore,  
21 1,497 participants comprised the final sample used for this study. Participants were within the  
22 age range of 13-18 years and had an overweight or obese body mass index (BMI) greater than  
23 the 85th percentile for age and gender. The study design and procedure were approved by the  
24 ethics committee of Qazvin University of Medical Sciences (IR.QUMS.REC.1397.325), and

1 informed consent was obtained from all participants and their guardians before completing  
2 the survey.

3

## 4 **2.2 Instruments**

5 **2.2.1 Demographics:** Demographic data (which included age, gender, smoking status of the  
6 participants, and the education level of the participants' parents) were gathered using a  
7 background information sheet.

8

9 **2.2.2 Weight Bias Internalization Scale (WBIS):** The WBIS was used to assess weight-  
10 related self-stigma (i.e., the extent to which individuals endorse and apply weight-based  
11 stereotypes to themselves) (Pearl & Puhl, 2014). With 11 items rated on a five-point Likert  
12 scale, a higher score on the WBIS indicates higher levels of weight-related self-stigma (Durso  
13 & Latner, 2008). The psychometric properties of the WBIS have been found to be excellent  
14 ( $\alpha = .90$ ) (Durso & Latner, 2008; Wong et al., 2019). The Persian version was found to have  
15 excellent internal consistency ( $\alpha=0.90$ ), good test-retest reliability over a two-week interval  
16 (Pearson's  $r=0.78$ ), and an empirically supported one-factor structure (as evidenced by the fit  
17 indices in the confirmatory factor analysis) on adolescents (C-Y Lin, Imani, Cheung, &  
18 Pakpour, 2019).

19

20 **2.2.3 Body mass index (BMI) and z-BMI:** BMI ( $\text{kg/m}^2$ ) was used to identify individuals  
21 with overweight and obesity. Height (to the nearest cm) and weight (to the nearest 0.1 kg)  
22 were measured using a stadiometer (Seca Model 207, Seca, Hamburg, Germany) without  
23 wearing shoes and with minimum clothing. The BMI values were transformed into BMI z-  
24 scores using the World Health Organization (WHO) BMI-for-age reference values (WHO

1 Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group, 2006). Anthropometric data were collected by  
2 two trained assistants in a classroom.

3

4 **2.2.4 Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale-21 (DASS-21):** The DASS-21 was used to  
5 assess psychological distress. It comprises three subtypes of psychological distress  
6 (depression, anxiety, and stress), assessed via its three 7-item subscales. A four-point Likert  
7 scale (0=*did not apply to me at all, never*; 3=*applied to me very much, or most of the time,*  
8 *almost always*) is applied to all the DASS-21 items, and a total score ranging between 0 and  
9 63 is calculated by summing all the responses. A higher level of the psychological distress is  
10 indicated by a higher DASS-21 score. The Persian DASS-21 version has been translated and  
11 validated with very good to excellent internal consistency ( $\alpha=0.84$  to  $0.91$ ; Asghari, Saed, &  
12 Dibajnia, 2008; C-Y Lin, Broström, Nilsen, Griffiths, & Pakpour, 2017) and promising  
13 convergent validity ( $r=0.4$  to  $0.7$  with the Four Systems Anxiety Questionnaire and Beck  
14 Depression Inventory; Asghari et al., 2008). Also, the DASS-21 has been validated among  
15 adolescents (Shaw, Campbell, Runions, & Zubrick, 2017; Silva et al., 2016).

16

17 **2.2.5 Yale Food Addiction Scale for Children (YFAS-C):** The YFAS-C was used to assess  
18 food addiction. The YFAS-C is modified from the adult version (i.e., Yale Food Addiction  
19 Scale; YFAS; Gearhardt et al., 2009), and contains 25 items that assess seven criteria based  
20 on substance-used disorders listed in the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental*  
21 *Disorders 4th edition, Text revision* (DSM-IV-TR; American Psychiatric Association, 2000).  
22 A five-point Likert scale (0=*never*; 4=*always*) is applied to the first 18 YFAS-C items, and a  
23 dichotomous (*yes/no*) scale is used for the last seven items. Following this, all the items are  
24 converted dichotomously (0=*no*; 1=*yes*) according to specific scoring thresholds for each  
25 item. Using the converted dichotomous scores, a symptom count scoring version (ranging



1 between 0 and 7) and a diagnostic scoring version (having three or more criteria met plus  
2 having clinically significant impairment or distress) can be generated. In the present study,  
3 the symptom count scoring version was used for analyses. The YFAS-C has very good  
4 internal consistency (KR-20=0.82), and good construct validity supported by confirmatory  
5 factor analysis (Magyar et al., 2018). The Persian YFAS-C version has good internal  
6 consistency (KR20=0.81), test-retest reliability (intraclass correlation coefficient=0.83),  
7 separation reliability (person separation reliability=0.77; item separation reliability=0.98),  
8 and separation index (person separation index=2.04; item separation index=8.01) (C-Y Lin,  
9 Imani, Griffiths, & Pakpour, 2019).

10

11 **2.2.6 Binge Eating Scale (BES):** The BES was used to assess binge eating, and is a 16-item  
12 scale that assesses both behavioral manifestations (e.g., eating large amounts of food) and  
13 feeling/cognitions surrounding a binge episode (e.g., guilt, fear of being unable to stop  
14 eating) (Gormally, Black, Daston, & Rardin, 1982). Each of the 16 items has three or four  
15 statements. Participants were asked to select the statement which describes them best. The  
16 total score of BES varies from 0 to 46. According to BES scores, individuals can be classified  
17 into three categories: (i) those who score 17 or less are defined as “non-binge eaters”; (ii)  
18 those who score 18 to 26 are “moderate binge eaters”; and (iii) those who score 27 or more  
19 are considered as “severe binge eaters” (Gormally et al., 1982; Marcus, Wing, & Hopkins,  
20 1988; Mootabi, Moloodi, Dezhkam, & Omidvar, 2009). The Persian version of the BES  
21 showed a sensitivity of 84.6% and specificity of 80.8% in identification of binge-eating  
22 disorder. The test-retest reliability and internal consistency of BES were 0.71 and 0.85,  
23 respectively (Mootabi et al., 2009). Also, the BES has been validated among adolescents  
24 (Cuzzocrea, Costa, Larcan, & Toffle, 2015; Gan, Mohamad, & Law, 2018).

25

### 1 **2.3 Procedure**

2 A list of all high schools in the Iranian city of Qazvin (located 150 km northwest of Tehran,  
3 population 566,773, based on 2011 census figures) was obtained from the Organization for  
4 Education. Sixteen high schools were randomly selected from 98 high schools in Qazvin.  
5 Informed consent was obtained from the school authorities before the commencement of this  
6 survey. A meeting was held in the schools for participants and their parents to explain the  
7 study's aims. The research assistants determined participants' eligibility by assessing the  
8 anthropometric parameters among all students and their parents. The adolescents completed  
9 the study measures in a classroom at each school at baseline (BMI and WBIS), three months  
10 (DASS and YFAS-C), and six months after the baseline assessment (BES).

11

### 12 **2.4 Data analysis**

13 Pearson correlations were conducted to examine the relationship between the studied  
14 variables (psychological distress, binge eating, food addiction, and weight stigma). In terms  
15 of the mediation model, binge eating (assessed using BES) was the dependent variable;  
16 weight-related self-stigma (assessed using WBIS) was the independent variable; and food  
17 addiction (assessed using YFAS), and psychological distress (assessed using DASS-21) were  
18 the mediators. Additionally, age, gender, and parents' BMI, and baseline z-BMI were  
19 controlled for in the mediation model. The mediation model was assessed using Model 4 in  
20 the PROCESS macro for SPSS with 10,000 bootstrapping resamples (Model 4, Process  
21 Macro) (Hayes, 2018).

22

## 23 **3. RESULTS**

24 Among the 1,497 adolescents, less than half were males ( $n=684$ ; 45.7%). In addition, the  
25 mean age of the participants was 15.1 years ( $SD=6.0$ ) years with 284 participants being

1 current cigarette smokers (19.0%). Additional demographics and scores for the DASS-21,  
2 WBIS, YFAS, and BES are shown in Table 1.

3

4 (Insert Table 1 here)

5

6 Table 2 demonstrates the correlations between psychological distress, binge eating, food  
7 addiction, and weight-related self-stigma. All correlations were significant ( $p < 0.01$ , range =  
8 0.10 to 0.27). Mediation analysis was used to examine whether food addiction or  
9 psychological distress were significant mediators in the association between weight-related  
10 self-stigma and binge eating. The 95% CIs of food addiction (unstandardized  
11 coefficient=0.38; LLCI=0.26; ULCI=0.52) and psychological distress (unstandardized  
12 coefficient=0.20; LLCI=0.10; ULCI=0.31) did not include zero. Therefore, both variables  
13 were significant mediators. Additionally, the total indirect effect on the association between  
14 weight-related self-stigma and binge eating was 0.58 (LLCI=0.41; ULCI=0.77). The  
15 mediation model also demonstrated significant direct effects of weight-related self-stigma on  
16 mediators but not the dependent variable, binge eating (unstandardized coefficient of 0.17;  
17 SE=0.22;  $p=0.44$ ). The unstandardized coefficient was 1.58 for psychological distress  
18 (SE=0.31;  $p < 0.001$ ) and 0.33 for food addiction (SE=0.04;  $p < 0.001$ ). Weight-related self-  
19 stigma had a significant total effect of 0.75 (SE=0.23;  $p < 0.001$ ) on binge eating (Table 3).

20

21 (Insert Tables 2 and 3 here)

22

#### 23 **4. DISCUSSION**

24 This study used a prospective research design to examine the temporal association between  
25 weight-related self-stigma and binge eating as well as two potential mediators (i.e.,

1 psychological distress and food addiction). The results showed that there was no direct  
2 temporal association between weight-related self-stigma and binge eating although they were  
3 positively related. This suggests that weight-related self-stigma does not directly influence  
4 binge eating, though it may have a direct effect on other mental health problems (M. Y.  
5 Cheng et al., 2018; Ratcliffe & Ellison, 2015; Tylka et al., 2014). Therefore, this suggests the  
6 existence of mediators in the association between weight-related self-stigma and binge eating.  
7 The results of present study demonstrate that food addiction and psychological distress are  
8 two of the mediators in such an association.

9

10 The mediation analysis indicated that the strongest mediated effects appeared for food  
11 addiction (unstandardized coefficient=0.38) followed by psychological distress  
12 (unstandardized coefficient=0.20). This suggests that weight-related self-stigma indirectly  
13 predicts binge eating via food addiction. It is not surprising that food addiction was the  
14 strongest mediator between weight-related self-stigma and binge eating because individuals  
15 with obesity have higher weight-related self-stigma (Chan et al., 2019). It has also been  
16 reported that individuals with food addiction are typically overweight or obese (Barry,  
17 Brescoll, Brownell, & Schlesinger, 2009; Gearhardt et al., 2009; Gearhardt et al., 2011).  
18 Consequently, food addiction seems to be the strongest of the mediators in the relationship  
19 between weight-related self-stigma and binge eating. Hence, future research should examine  
20 several mediators in the relationship between weight-related self-stigma and binge eating to  
21 ascertain the magnitude of the various mediators. This will further inform researchers and  
22 clinicians on possible interventional studies.

23

24 Psychological distress also acted as another pathway that mediated the association between  
25 weight-related self-stigma and binge eating. The relationship between weight-related self-

1 stigma and psychological distress is consistent with previous research (M. Y. Cheng et al.,  
2 2018; C-Y Lin, Strong et al., 2019). Additionally, previous studies have indicated that higher  
3 psychological distress is associated with higher risk of eating disturbances (Isomaa, Isomaa,  
4 Marttunen, Kaltiala-Heino, & Björkqvist, 2010). The mediating role of psychological distress  
5 found in the present study supports previous literature (American Psychiatric Association,  
6 2013; Fairburn, Cooper, & Shafran, 2003; Pacanowski et al., 2018). This novel study  
7 indicates that factors that mediated the association between weight-related self-stigma and  
8 binge eating were food addiction and psychological distress. These results add to the  
9 literature on weight-related self-stigma and binge eating.

10

#### 11 **4.1 Limitations and strengths**

12 There are some limitations in the present study. First, the findings of the present study cannot  
13 be generalized to other countries because all the participants were Iranian adolescents. That  
14 is, differences in Eastern and Western cultures have been reported to influence response  
15 styles and attitudes toward overweight and eating habits (Hamamura, Heine, & Paulhaus,  
16 2008; Johnson, Shavitt, & Holbrook, 2011; Westenhofer et al., 2018). Therefore, future  
17 replication of this study is warranted to examine whether the proposed mediation model  
18 presented here can be extended to other countries and Western culture. Second, most of the  
19 data collected in the study were self-report in nature. Therefore, biases such as social  
20 desirability and memory recall cannot be excluded. However, the strong and robust  
21 psychometric properties established for these measures (Asghari et al., 2008; C-Y Lin et al.,  
22 2017; C-Y Lin, Imani, et al., 2019; Magyar et al., 2018; Mootabi et al., 2009) suggest that  
23 these instruments are valid and trustworthy. Third, although the prospective design supports a  
24 potential causal effect among the factors of weight-related self-stigma, food addiction,  
25 psychological distress and binge eating, stronger evidence should be examined using

1 randomized controlled trials. For example, future RCT studies could examine the efficacy of  
2 treatment programs for food addiction and psychological challenges and identify whether  
3 reductions in food addiction and psychological distress potentially lower the prevalence of  
4 binge eating. Fourth, even though a prospective design was used in this study, the intervals  
5 between the assessment time points were relatively close. Therefore, whether a *real* change  
6 can be observed in such a short period is questionable. Moreover, the temporal association is  
7 only one criterion to determine causality. Following this, the present study did not ask the  
8 participants to complete all the measures at each assessment point. Hence, the strong  
9 evidence of causality cannot be examined using advanced statistical analyses, such as cross-  
10 lagged models. Future studies are thus warranted to collect all data at every time point to  
11 provide strong causality evidence.

12

13 The strengths of this study include the relatively large sample size (nearly 1,500 adolescents)  
14 and the robust statistical testing. The large sample size decreases the chance that the findings  
15 are biased by any outliers, and the robust statistical testing suggests high internal validity.  
16 Consequently, these strengths improve the generalizability of the results to Iranian  
17 adolescents. Additionally, this study is one of the first to adopt a prospective design to better  
18 understand whether food addiction and psychological distress are mediators in the association  
19 between weight stigma and binge eating. The present study's design allowed us to understand  
20 the patterns in these variables over time during a critical developmental period.

21

## 22 **4.2 Implication for research and practice**

23 The findings of this study demonstrated that weight stigma impacted binge eating indirectly  
24 via the mediators of food addiction and psychological distress. The findings indicate that  
25 weight-related self-stigma remains an important mental health challenge among adolescents,

1 one that may significantly affect the biopsychosocial state of adolescents. It was not  
2 surprising that weight-related self-stigma indirectly affected binge eating via the mediators of  
3 food addiction and psychological distress. However, there may be other mental health factors  
4 that directly predict binge eating, and future research should continue to explore these.  
5 Additionally, these findings are also beneficial to healthcare professionals because they  
6 indicate how healthcare professionals may better tackle binge eating among adolescents.  
7 From the study's findings, healthcare professionals may explore the management of binge  
8 eating among adolescents by designing interventions that help to reduce their weight-related  
9 self-stigma, food addiction, and psychological distress. Effective psychoeducational  
10 programs can be developed and implemented to help inform adolescents about binge eating  
11 and the role of stigma in influencing it. Furthermore, healthcare professionals can establish  
12 mental health peer mentorship and training programs to train adolescents in mental health  
13 issues as well as help them deal with these psychological challenges if they manifest. Finally,  
14 teachers, family members, and friends should be educated on the effects of stigma on the  
15 mental health of adolescents as well as how they can intervene during mental health crises.

16

## 17 **5. CONCLUSION**

18 The present study demonstrated that food addiction and psychological distress are important  
19 mediators in the temporal association between weight stigma and binge eating. Healthcare  
20 providers may use current findings to develop appropriate and effective intervention  
21 programs to prevent binge eating among adolescents. For example, healthcare providers may  
22 be able to help adolescents tackle the underlying problems (weight stigma) or the mediators  
23 (food addiction and psychological distress) to prevent binge eating by utilizing mental health  
24 peer mentorship and training programs. A previous study that trained people in mental health  
25 first aid for eating disorders reported significant increases in problem recognition, knowledge

1 of appropriate mental health first aid strategies, and assistance to individuals with a suspected  
2 eating disorder (Hart, Jorm, & Paxton, 2012). Such programs would inform adolescents on  
3 how to handle mental health issues individually and utilize supportive networks during crises.  
4 This would help prevent internalization of weight-related stigma and alleviate related mental  
5 health challenges, such as food addiction, distress, and binge eating. Adolescence is a critical  
6 developmental period (Tsai, Hsieh, Strong, & Lin, 2015; Tsai, Strong, & Lin, 2015), and  
7 given the negative effects of weight self-stigma in adolescence (Roberto et al., 2012), the  
8 present findings may benefit researchers and healthcare professionals in reducing weight bias  
9 internalization and eating disturbances.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



1 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

2 We would like to warmly thank all adolescents and their parents who participated in this  
3 research and to everyone who worked on this project and made it possible.

4

5 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

6 The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

7

8 **Data availability statement**

9 The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the  
10 corresponding author.

## 1 **References**

- 2 American Psychiatric Association, D. S. M. T. F. (2000). *Diagnostic and statistical manual*  
3 *of mental disorders: DSM-IV-TR* (4th ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric  
4 Association.
- 5 American Psychiatric Association, D. S. M. T. F. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual*  
6 *of mental disorders: DSM-5* (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric  
7 Association.
- 8 Asghari, A., Saed, F., & Dibajnia, P. (2008). Psychometric properties of the Depression  
9 Anxiety Stress Scales-21 (DASS-21) in a non-clinical Iranian sample. *International*  
10 *Journal of Psychology*, 2(2), 82-102.
- 11 Barry, C. L., Brescoll, V. L., Brownell, K. D., & Schlesinger, M. (2009). Obesity metaphors:  
12 How beliefs about the causes of obesity affect support for public policy. *The Milbank*  
13 *Quarterly*, 87(1), 7-47. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0009.2009.00546.x>
- 14 Burmeister, J. M., Hinman, N., Koball, A., Hoffmann, D. A., & Carels, R. A. (2013). Food  
15 addiction in adults seeking weight loss treatment. Implications for psychosocial health  
16 and weight loss. *Appetite*, 60, 103-110.  
17 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2012.09.013>
- 18 Burrows, T., Skinner, J., McKenna, R., & Rollo, M. (2017). Food addiction, binge eating  
19 disorder, and obesity: Is there a relationship? *Behavioral Sciences*, 7(3), 54.  
20 doi:10.3390/bs7030054
- 21 Carels, R. A., Wott, C. B., Young, K. M., Gumble, A., Koball, A., & Oehlhof, M. W. (2010).  
22 Implicit, explicit, and internalized weight bias and psychosocial maladjustment  
23 among treatment-seeking adults. *Eating Behaviors*, 11(3), 180–185. doi:  
24 10.1016/j.eatbeh.2010.03.002

- 1 Cassin, S. E., Buchman, D. Z., Leung, S. E., Kantarovich, K., Hawa, A., Carter, A., &  
2 Sockalingam, S. (2019). Ethical, stigma, and policy implications of food addiction: A  
3 scoping review. *Nutrients*, *11*(4), 710. doi:10.3390/nu11040710
- 4 Chan, K. L., Lee, C. S., Cheng, C. M., Hui, L. Y., So, W. T., Yu, T. S., & Lin, C.-Y. (2019).  
5 Investigating the relationship between weight-related self-stigma and mental health  
6 for overweight/obese children in Hong Kong. *Journal of Nervous and Mental*  
7 *Disease*, *207*(8), 637-641.
- 8 Cheng, M. Y., Wang, S.-M., Lam, Y. Y., Luk, H. T., Man, Y. C., & Lin, C.-Y. (2018). The  
9 relationships between weight bias, perceived weight stigma, eating behavior, and  
10 psychological distress among undergraduate students in Hong Kong. *Journal of*  
11 *Nervous and Mental Disease*, *206*(9), 705-710.
- 12 Cheng, O. Y., Yam, C. L. Y., Cheung, N. S., Lee, P. L. P., Ngai, M. C., & Lin, C.-Y. (2019).  
13 Extended Theory of Planned Behavior on eating and physical activity. *American*  
14 *Journal of Health Behavior*, *43*(3), 569-581.  
15 doi:<https://doi.org/10.5993/AJHB.43.3.11>
- 16 Cuzzocrea, F., Costa, S., Larcana, R., & Toffle, M. E. (2015). Differences between adolescents  
17 exhibiting moderate bingeing and non-bingeing eating behaviors. *SpringerPlus*, *4*(1),  
18 593. doi:10.1186/s40064-015-1372-1
- 19 Durso, L. E., & Latner, J. D. (2008). Understanding self-directed stigma: Development of the  
20 weight bias internalization scale. *Obesity*, *16*(S2), S80-S86.
- 21 Durso, L. E., Latner, J. D., White, M. A., Masheb, R. M., Blomquist, K. K., Morgan, P. T., &  
22 Grilo, C. M. (2012). Internalized weight bias in obese patients with binge eating  
23 disorder: Associations with eating disturbances and psychological  
24 functioning. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, *45*(3), 423–427. doi:  
25 10.1002/eat.20933

- 1 Erskine, H. E., Baxter, A. J., Patton, G., Moffitt, T. E., Patel, V., Whiteford, H. A., & Scott, J.  
2 G. (2017). The global coverage of prevalence data for mental disorders in children  
3 and adolescents. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, *26*(4), 395-402.
- 4 Erskine, H. E., & Whiteford, H. A. (2018). Epidemiology of binge eating disorder. *Current  
5 Opinion in Psychiatry*, *31*(6), 462-470. doi:10.1097/ycp.0000000000000449
- 6 Fairburn, C. G., Cooper, Z., & Shafran, R. (2003). Cognitive behaviour therapy for eating  
7 disorders: a “transdiagnostic” theory and treatment. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*,  
8 *41*(5), 509-528. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967(02)00088-8
- 9 Gan, W., Mohamad, N., & Law, L. (2018). Factors associated with binge eating behavior  
10 among Malaysian adolescents. *Nutrients*, *10*(1), 66. doi:10.3390/nu10010066
- 11 Gearhardt, A. N., Corbin, W. R., & Brownell, K. D. (2009). Preliminary validation of the  
12 Yale food addiction scale. *Appetite*, *52*(2), 430-436.  
13 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2008.12.003
- 14 Gearhardt, A. N., White, M. A., Masheb, R. M., Morgan, P. T., Crosby, R. D., & Grilo, C. M.  
15 (2012). An examination of the food addiction construct in obese patients with binge  
16 eating disorder. *The International Journal of Eating Disorders*, *45*(5), 657-663.  
17 doi:10.1002/eat.20957
- 18 Gearhardt, A. N., White, M. A., & Potenza, M. N. (2011). Binge eating disorder and food  
19 addiction. *Current Drug Abuse Reviews*, *4*(3), 201-207.
- 20 Gormally, J., Black, S., Daston, S., & Rardin, D. (1982). The assessment of binge eating  
21 severity among obese persons. *Addictive Behaviors*, *7*(1), 47-55.
- 22 Hamamura, T., Heine, S. J., & Paulhus, D. L. (2008). Cultural differences in response styles:  
23 The role of dialectical thinking. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *44*(4), 932-  
24 942.

- 1 Hart, L. M., Jorm, A. F., & Paxton, S. J. (2012). Mental health first aid for eating disorders:  
2 pilot evaluation of a training program for the public. *BMC Psychiatry, 12*(1), 98.  
3 doi:10.1186/1471-244X-12-98
- 4 Hayes, A. F. (2018). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process*  
5 *analysis: A regression-based approach* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford Publications.
- 6 Isomaa, R., Isomaa, A. L., Marttunen, M., Kaltiala-Heino, R., & Björkqvist, K. (2010).  
7 Psychological distress and risk for eating disorders in subgroups of dieters. *European*  
8 *Eating Disorders Review, 18*(4), 296-303. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/erv.1004>
- 9 Johnson, T. P., Shavitt, S., & Holbrook, A. L. (2011). Survey response styles across cultures.  
10 In D. Matsumoto & F. J. R. van de Vijver (Eds.), *Culture and psychology: Cross-*  
11 *cultural research methods in psychology* (pp. 130-175). New York, NY, US:  
12 Cambridge University Press.
- 13 Kessler, R. C., Amminger, G. P., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alonso, J., Lee, S., & Ustün, T. B.  
14 (2007). Age of onset of mental disorders: A review of recent literature. *Current*  
15 *Opinion in Psychiatry, 20*(4), 359-364. doi:10.1097/YCO.0b013e32816ebc8c
- 16 Kumar, S., & Kelly, A. S. (2017). Review of childhood obesity: From epidemiology,  
17 etiology, and comorbidities to clinical assessment and treatment. *Mayo Clinic*  
18 *Proceedings, 92*(2), 251-265. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2016.09.017
- 19 Lee, N. M., Hall, W. D., Lucke, J., Forlini, C., & Carter, A. (2014). Food addiction and its  
20 impact on weight-based stigma and the treatment of obese individuals in the U.S. and  
21 Australia. *Nutrients, 6*(11), 5312-5326. doi:10.3390/nu6115312
- 22 Lin, C-Y., Broström, A., Nilsen, P., Griffiths, M. D., & Pakpour, A. H. (2017). Psychometric  
23 validation of the Persian Bergen Social Media Addiction Scale using classic test  
24 theory and Rasch models. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions, 6*(4), 620-629.

- 1 Lin, C-Y., Imani, V., Cheung, P., & Pakpour, A. H. (2019). Psychometric testing on two  
2 weight stigma instruments in Iran: Weight Self-Stigma Questionnaire and Weight  
3 Bias Internalized Scale. *Eating and Weight Disorders-Studies on Anorexia, Bulimia  
4 and Obesity*, 1-13. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-019-00699-4>
- 5 Lin, C-Y., Imani, V., Griffiths, M. D., & Pakpour, A. H. (2019). Further psychometric testing  
6 of the Yale Food Addiction Scale for Children (YFAS-C): Classical test theory and  
7 Rasch analysis of the Persian YFAS-C. *Manuscript under review*.
- 8 Lin, C-Y., Strong, C., Latner, J. D., Lin, Y.-C., Tsai, M.-C., & Cheung, P. (2019). Mediated  
9 effects of eating disturbances in the association of perceived weight stigma and  
10 emotional distress. *Eating and Weight Disorders-Studies on Anorexia, Bulimia and  
11 Obesity*, 1-10. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-019-00641-8>
- 12 Lin, C.-Y., Tsai, M.-C., Liu, C.-H., Lin, Y.-C., Hsieh, Y.-P., & Strong, C. (accepted).  
13 Psychological pathway from obesity-related stigma to depression via internalized  
14 stigma and self-esteem among adolescents in Taiwan. *International Journal of  
15 Environmental Research and Public Health*.
- 16 Lin, Y-C., Latner, J. D., Fung, X. C. C., & Lin, C.-Y. (2018). Poor health and experiences of  
17 being bullied in adolescents: Self-perceived overweight and frustration with  
18 appearance matter. *Obesity*, 26(2), 397-404. doi:10.1002/oby.22041
- 19 Magyar, E. E., Tenyi, D., Gearhardt, A., Jeges, S., Abaliget, G., Toth, A. L., . . . Csábi, G.  
20 (2018). Adaptation and validation of the Hungarian version of the Yale Food  
21 Addiction Scale for Children. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 7(1), 181-188.
- 22 Marcus, M. D., Wing, R. R., & Hopkins, J. (1988). Obese binge eaters: Affect, cognitions,  
23 and response to behavioral weight control. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical  
24 Psychology*, 56(3), 433-439.

- 1 Marzilli, E., Cerniglia, L., & Cimino, S. (2018). A narrative review of binge eating disorder  
2 in adolescence: prevalence, impact, and psychological treatment strategies.  
3 *Adolescent Health, Medicine and Therapeutics*, 9, 17-30.  
4 doi:10.2147/AHMT.S148050
- 5 Michaud, P.-A., & Fombonne, E. (2005). Common mental health problems. *BMJ (Clinical  
6 research ed.)*, 330(7495), 835-838. doi:10.1136/bmj.330.7495.835
- 7 Mootabi, F., Moloodi, R., Dezhkam, M., & Omidvar, N. (2009). Standardization of the Binge  
8 Eating Scale among Iranian obese population. *Iranian Journal of Psychiatry*, 4(4),  
9 143-146.
- 10 O'Brien, K. S., Latner, J. D., Puhl, R. M., Vartanian, L. R., Giles, C., Griva, K., & Carter, A.  
11 (2016). The relationship between weight stigma and eating behavior is explained by  
12 weight bias internalization and psychological distress. *Appetite*, 102, 70-76. doi:  
13 [10.1016/j.appet.2016.02.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.02.032)
- 14 Pacanowski, C. R., Mason, T. B., Crosby, R. D., Mitchell, J. E., Crow, S. J., Wonderlich, S.  
15 A., & Peterson, C. B. (2018). Weight change over the course of binge eating disorder  
16 treatment: Relationship to binge episodes and psychological factors. *Obesity*, 26(5),  
17 838-844. doi:10.1002/oby.22149
- 18 Patel, V., Flisher, A. J., Hetrick, S., & McGorry, P. (2007). Mental health of young people: a  
19 global public-health challenge. *The Lancet*, 369(9569), 1302-1313.
- 20 Pearl, R. L., & Puhl, R. M. (2014). Measuring internalized weight attitudes across body  
21 weight categories: validation of the modified weight bias internalization scale. *Body  
22 Image*, 11(1), 89-92.
- 23 Puhl, R., & Suh, Y. (2015). Health consequences of weight stigma: implications for obesity  
24 prevention and treatment. *Current Obesity Reports*, 4(2), 182-190.

- 1 Raj, M., & Kumar, R. K. (2010). Obesity in children and adolescents. *The Indian Journal of*  
2 *Medical Research, 132*(5), 598-607.
- 3 Ratcliffe, D., & Ellison, N. (2015). Obesity and internalized weight stigma: A formulation  
4 model for an emerging psychological problem. *Behavioural and Cognitive*  
5 *Psychotherapy, 43*(2), 239-252.
- 6 Roberto, C. A., Sysko, R. , Bush, J. , Pearl, R. , Puhl, R. M., Schvey, N. A. and Dovidio, J. F.  
7 (2012), Clinical correlates of the weight bias internalization scale in a sample of obese  
8 adolescents seeking bariatric surgery. *Obesity, 20*: 533-539.  
9 doi:[10.1038/oby.2011.123](https://doi.org/10.1038/oby.2011.123)
- 10 Shaw, T., Campbell, M. A., Runions, K. C., & Zubrick, S. R. (2017). Properties of the  
11 DASS-21 in an Australian Community Adolescent Population. *Journal of Clinical*  
12 *Psychology, 73*(7), 879-892. doi:[10.1002/jclp.22376](https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.22376)
- 13 Silva, H. A., Passos, M. H. P. D., Oliveira, V. M. A., Palmeira, A. C., Pitangui, A. C. R., &  
14 Araújo, R. C. (2016). Short version of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale-21: is it  
15 valid for Brazilian adolescents? *Einstein (Sao Paulo, Brazil), 14*(4), 486-493.  
16 doi:[10.1590/S1679-45082016AO3732](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1679-45082016AO3732)
- 17 Swanson, S. A., Crow, S. J., Le Grange, D., Swendsen, J., & Merikangas, K. R. (2011).  
18 Prevalence and correlates of eating disorders in adolescents. Results from the national  
19 comorbidity survey replication adolescent supplement. *Archives of General*  
20 *Psychiatry, 68*(7), 714-723. doi:[10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.22](https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.22)
- 21 Tsai, M.-C., Hsieh, Y.-P., Strong, C., & Lin, C.-Y. (2015). Effects of pubertal timing on  
22 alcohol and tobacco use in the early adulthood: A longitudinal cohort study in  
23 Taiwan. *Research in Developmental Disabilities, 36*, 376-383.



- 1 Tsai, M.-C., Strong, C., & Lin, C.-Y. (2015). Effects of pubertal timing on deviant behaviors  
2 in Taiwan: A longitudinal analysis of 7th-to 12th-grade adolescents. *Journal of*  
3 *Adolescence*, 42, 87-97.
- 4 Tylka, T. L., Annunziato, R. A., Burgard, D., Daniélsdóttir, S., Shuman, E., Davis, C., &  
5 Calogero, R. M. (2014). The weight-inclusive versus weight-normative approach to  
6 health: Evaluating the evidence for prioritizing well-being over weight loss. *Journal*  
7 *of Obesity*, 2014. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/983495>
- 8 Westenhoefer, J., von Katzler, R., Jensen, H-J., Zyriax, B-C., Jagemann, B., Harth, V., &  
9 Oldenburg, M. (2018). Cultural differences in food and shape related attitudes and  
10 eating behavior are associated with differences of Body Mass Index in the same food  
11 environment: cross-sectional results from the Seafarer Nutrition Study of Kiribati and  
12 European seafarers on merchant ships. *BMC obesity*, 5, 1-1. doi:10.1186/s40608-018-  
13 0180-x
- 14 Whiteford, H. A., Degenhardt, L., Rehm, J., Baxter, A. J., Ferrari, A. J., Erskine, H. E., . . .  
15 Johns, N. (2013). Global burden of disease attributable to mental and substance use  
16 disorders: Findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *The Lancet*,  
17 382(9904), 1575-1586.
- 18 WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group. (2006). WHO Child Growth Standards  
19 based on length/height, weight and age. *Acta Paediatrica (Oslo, Norway: 1992).*  
20 *Supplement*, 450, 76-85.
- 21 Wong, P. C., Hsieh, Y.-P., Ng, H. H., Kong, S. F., Chan, K. L., Au, T. Y. A., . . . Fung, X. C.  
22 (2019). Investigating the self-stigma and quality of life for overweight/obese children  
23 in Hong Kong: A preliminary study. *Child Indicators Research*, 12(3), 1065-1082.  
24 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12187-018-9573-0>

1 Table 1 Characteristics of the study participants (N=1,497)

	Mean ( $\pm$ SD) or n (%)
Age (Year)	15.1 ( $\pm$ 6.0)
Gender (Male)	684 (45.7)
Fathers' educational year	9.3 ( $\pm$ 4.5)
Mothers' educational year	6.9 ( $\pm$ 4.1)
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) at baseline	31.8 ( $\pm$ 5.4)
z-BMI at baseline	2.2 ( $\pm$ 0.5)
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) at six-month follow-up	33.8 ( $\pm$ 5.1)
z-BMI at six-month follow-up	2.4 ( $\pm$ 0.7)
Mothers' BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	34.3 ( $\pm$ 6.7)
Fathers' BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	33.6 ( $\pm$ 5.3)
Current smoker (Yes)	284 (19.0%)
Psychological distress <sup>a</sup> at three-month follow-up	22.5 ( $\pm$ 11.2)
Binge eating scale at six-month follow-up	11.3 ( $\pm$ 8.1)
Food addiction symptom count <sup>b</sup> at three-month follow-up	2.7 ( $\pm$ 1.6)
Weight stigma at baseline	3.8 ( $\pm$ 0.97)

2 <sup>a</sup> Assessed using Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale-21.3 <sup>b</sup> Assessed using symptom counts on Yale Food Addiction Scale for Children

4

5

Table 2. Pearson correlation matrix of the variables of interest

	Psychological distress at three-month follow-up <sup>a</sup>	Binge eating at six-month follow-up <sup>b</sup>	Food addiction at three-month follow-up <sup>c</sup>	Weight stigma at baseline <sup>d</sup>
Psychological distress at three-month follow-up	—	0.22**	0.21**	0.15**
Binge eating at six-month follow-up		—	0.27**	0.10**
Food addiction at three-month follow-up			—	0.21**
Weight stigma at baseline				—

<sup>a</sup> Assessed using Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale-21.

<sup>b</sup> Assessed using Binge Eating Scale (BES)

<sup>c</sup> Assessed using symptom counts on Yale Food Addiction Scale for Children

<sup>d</sup> Assessed using Weight Bias Internalization Scale for Children

\*\*p-values < 0.01

1 Table 3. Models of the effect of adolescents' weight stigma on binge-eating disorder with  
 2 mediators of food addiction and psychological distress

	Unstand. Coeff.	SE or (Bootstrapping SE)	t-value or (Bootstrapping LLCI)	p-value or (Bootstrapping ULCI)
Total effect of WBIS on Binge eating disorder	0.75	0.23	3.29	0.001
Direct effect of WBIS on Binge eating disorder	0.17	0.22	0.76	0.44
Direct effect of WBIS on mediators				
Psychological distress	1.58	0.31	5.07	<0.001
Food addiction	0.33	0.04	7.60	<0.001
Indirect effect of WBIS on Binge eating disorder				
Total indirect effect	0.58	0.09	(0.41)	(0.77)
Through Food addiction	0.38	0.07	(0.26)	(0.52)
Through psychological distress	0.20	0.05	(0.10)	(0.31)

3 Note: Age, gender, parents' BMI and baseline z-BMI were adjusted for the model.

4 Psychological distress was assessed using Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale-21; weight  
 5 stigma using Weight Bias Internalization Scale; food addiction using Yale Food Addiction Scale  
 6 for Children.

7 Unstand. Coeff.=unstandardized coefficient

8 LLCI=lower limit in 95% confidence interval

9 ULCI=upper limit in 95% confidence interval

10

11


12

13

14

15

1



2

3

4 Figure 1: Theoretical Mediation Model (adjusted for zBMI, age, and gender)

5

6

7