

Perception of walkability, walking time and functional mobility

1 **Associations among perceived walkability of neighborhood environment, walking time, and functional**
2 **mobility by older adults: An exploratory investigation**

3

4 Chester K.L. Lui, B.Sc.^{1*} and Thomson W.L. Wong, Ph.D., RPT^{1,2}

5

6 ¹ School of Public Health, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR,
7 China.

8 ² Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, Faculty of Health and Social Sciences, The Hong Kong Polytechnic
9 University, Hong Kong SAR, China.

10

11 *Corresponding author:

12 Chester LUI

13 School of Public Health,

14 Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine,

15 The University of Hong Kong,

16 3/F, The Hong Kong Jockey Club Building for Interdisciplinary Research,

17 5 Sassoon Road, Pokfulam, Hong Kong SAR.

18 E-mail: luikl@connect.hku.hk

19

20 Running Head: Perception of walkability, walking time, and functional mobility

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

Abstract

This study explored the associations between the perceived walkability of neighborhood environment, walking time, and functional mobility by community-dwelling older adults in Hong Kong SAR, China. Seventy community-dwelling older adults (Mean age = 78.00 ± 7.40 years) participated in this study. Their perceived walkability of neighborhood environment was evaluated by the Chinese abbreviated version of Neighborhood Environment Walkability Survey (NEWS-A) and their walking time within a week was self-reported. The 10-Meter Walk Test and Tinetti Performance-Oriented Mobility Assessment (POMA) were used to assess the functional mobility of the participants, regarding their gait speed, balance, and gait performance, respectively. Results suggest that the perceived walkability of neighborhood environment (Aesthetics subscale) positively associated with the gait speed ($r_s = .35, p = .003$), scores of the POMA-Balance ($r_s = .389, p = .001$) and POMA-Gait ($r_s = .343, p = .004$). This exploratory analysis augmented our contemporary understanding that older adults who could walk faster and with better balance and gait performance are associated with more positive perceived walkability of their neighborhood environment. It provides useful insight that could inform future high-impact investigations.

Keywords: Older adults; Perceived walkability; Neighborhood environment; Balance; Gait

1 **Associations among perceived walkability of neighborhood environment, walking time, and functional**
2 **mobility by older adults: An exploratory investigation**

3

4

1. **Introduction**

5 The population of older adults increases drastically in many urban cities. Consequently, many studies have
6 focused on investigating the associations among the neighborhood environment, active lifestyle, and health in
7 the older population. Researchers have also prioritized their investigations in various high impact issues. For
8 example, many of them have been focusing on how different environmental attributes could affect walking and
9 behaviors of physical activities (Barnett, Cerin, Zhang, Sit, Johnston, Cheung, & Lee, 2016; Owen, Humpel,
10 Leslie, Bauman, & Sallis, 2004; Saelens & Handy, 2008; Satariano, Kurtovich, Kealey, Hubbard, Bayles, &
11 Prohaska, 2010; van Cauwenberg, De Bourdeaudhuij, De Meester, van Dyck, Salmon, Clarys, & Deforche,
12 2011; Witten, Blakely, Bagheri, Badland, Ivory, Pearce, & Schofield, 2012; Yu, Cheung, Lau, & Woo, 2017).
13 Indeed, benefits of walking and participating in physical activity to older adults, such as decreasing mortality
14 and preventing cancers, stroke, high blood pressure, and fall incidents have been well-evidenced (Vogel, Brechat,
15 Leprêtre, Kaltenbach, Berthel, & Lonsdorfer, 2009).

16 Older adults are usually spending more time in their residential neighborhood environment than young
17 adults. Thus, the influence of neighborhood environment on the older population is worth to be investigated

1 (Gallagher, Gretebeck, Robinson, Torres, Murphy, & Martyn, 2010). The environmental hazard could account
2 for more than twenty-five percent of older adults' falls (Rao, 2005; Rubenstein & Josephson, 2002).
3 Conversely, a neighborhood design with preferable walkability could promote walking (Kelsey, Procter-Gray,
4 Hannan, & Li, 2012; Michael, Green, & Farquhar, 2006). Subsequently, it could help prevent falls and
5 improve the residents' physical and mental health effectively (Kerr, Rosenberg, & Frank, 2012; Yen, Michael, &
6 Perdue, 2009). As a result, this has informed many researchers to further investigate the age-friendly built
7 environment for residents in many aging cities.

8 Previous researchers have reviewed the associations among the perceived walkability of the environment,
9 objectively-assessed walkability of the environment, and walking time by older adults (Cerin, Nathan, van
10 Cauwenberg, Barnett, & Barnett, 2017). The objectively assessed walkability of the environment has been
11 usually assessed by the Geographic Information System (GIS) and the available publicity data. Some research
12 teams also conducted a specific field audit to identify the specific attributes in the built environment (Ball,
13 Bauman, Leslie, & Owen, 2001). On the other hand, the perceived walkability of the environment was usually
14 evaluated by questionnaires or interviews on different domains (e.g., density, connectivity, aesthetics, and the
15 availability of the walking facilities). This methodology has been widely adopted in studying environment and
16 health, and geography (Cerin et al., 2017; Duncan, Spence, & Mummery, 2005; Renalds, Smith, & Hale, 2010;

1 Wood, 1970). However, previous researches suggested inconsistent evidence on the association between the
2 environment and population health (Cerin et al., 2017; Cunningham & Michael, 2004; van Cauwenberg et al.,
3 2011). Hovell, Sallis, Hofstetter, Spry, Faucher, and Caspersen (1989) proposed the association between the
4 neighborhood environment and the walking for exercise. Researchers examined the association among different
5 environmental attributes, such as street connectivity, aesthetics, land-use mix, access to services, safety, walking
6 for transportation, and leisure purpose, in the succeeding thirty years. Van Cauwenberg et al. (2011), for
7 example, conducted a review and stated that most of the reported environmental characteristics were not
8 significantly associated with physical activity or walking. They believed that the result was affected by the
9 studies' potential methodological problems that they had reviewed. Afterward, Cerin et al. (2017) reported
10 different viewpoints in their meta-analysis. They found a strong link between the physical environment and the
11 older adult's active lifestyle and different moderators, such as age, sex, living arrangement, individual
12 psychological factors, and personal capability.

13 Although increasing numbers of studies, which investigated the association between the environment and
14 older adults' health behavior, have taken different moderators into account, only a limited number of studies
15 have explicitly examined the relationship between the environment and functional mobility of older adults.
16 The older adults' ability in functional mobility could indeed reflect their walking difficulty in the community.

Perception of walkability, walking time and functional mobility

1 Therefore, it could affect their perception of the motivators and barriers to walking in their neighborhood
2 environment. To the best of our knowledge, only two research teams have explicitly examined the relationship
3 between perceived walkability of the neighborhood environment and different functional mobility tests, such as
4 the gait speed test, Berg Balance Scale, and Short Performance Physical Battery test (Shumway-Cook, Patla,
5 Stewart, Ferrucci, Ciol & Guralnik, 2002; van Holle et al., 2016). Results from Shumway-Cook et al. (2002)
6 suggested that those older adults who performed worse in the balance tests would prefer to choose a less
7 complicated route for their outdoor walking, for example, the route with fewer stairs and obstacles. The finding
8 implies that older adults with different functional abilities might perceive the neighborhood environment
9 differently.

10 Additionally, van Holle et al. (2016) found that the neighborhood environment could mediate the
11 association between physical functioning and the amount of physical activity. These studies supported the
12 ecological model of active living, in which the model proposed that different levels of ecological factors, from
13 the social, environment, to individual level, could affect behaviors, such as walking (Sallis et al., 2006; Sallis,
14 Owen, & Fisher, 2008). Although previous research suggested that older adults' functional mobility could affect
15 the association between the neighborhood environment and physical activity behavior, none of them examined
16 the association between the functional mobility of the older adults and the perceived walkability of the

Perception of walkability, walking time and functional mobility

1 neighborhood environment in an ultra-dense city.

2 Hong Kong is one of the densest and most walkable Asian cities (Adams et al., 2014). Different from the
3 Western cities where most of the previously mentioned researches were conducted, Asian cities have a
4 significantly different neighborhood design and pattern, the urbanization process, social culture, and
5 characteristics of the older population (Garin et al., 2014; Parai & Dutt, 1994). In Hong Kong, some researchers
6 have examined the association between the environment and older adults' walking (Cerin, Johnston et al., 2013;
7 Yu et al., 2017). They suggested that the amount of walking could be positively associated with perceived
8 walkability among older adults in Hong Kong. To be specific, walking for transport was significantly associated
9 with land use's heterogeneity, access to services, human and motorized traffic, crime, and ease of access to the
10 residential entrance (Cerin, Johnston et al., 2013). Walking for recreation was also significantly associated with
11 access to services, physical barriers to walking, street connectivity, human and motorized traffic, indoor places
12 for walking, aesthetics, and bridge/overpass connecting to services (Cerin, Johnston et al., 2013). The
13 association of the overall walking with the neighborhood and perceived walkability, which took land use
14 mix-access, street connectivity, infrastructure, and safety for walking, aesthetics, traffic safety, and safety from
15 crime attributes into account, was also reported (Yu et al., 2017). Although the association of walking time and
16 the perceived environment was examined, none of them took the functional mobility of an individual into

1 specific consideration.

2 Therefore, this study attempted to fill the research gap by exploring the associations among the perceived
3 walkability of neighborhood environment (i.e., 1. Aesthetics; 2. Infrastructure and safety for walking), walking
4 time, and functional mobility by community-dwelling older adults in Hong Kong SAR, China. Two assessed
5 aspects of perceived walkability of neighborhood environment were chosen (i.e., 1. Aesthetics; 2. Infrastructure
6 and safety for walking) in this exploratory study because it is mostly believed that the functional mobility could
7 be predominately affected by the image of the neighborhood environment in these two aspects. It was
8 hypothesized that older adults who have better functional mobility could have a more positive perceived
9 walkability of neighborhood environment as they should have fewer walking difficulties (hypothesis 1). It was
10 also hypothesized that functional mobility would moderate the association between the perceived walkability of
11 neighborhood environment and the total walking time (hypothesis 2).

12

13

2. Methods

14 *2.1. Participants*

15 Eligible participants were older adults aged 65 or above living in the Hong Kong SAR, China, and were
16 capable of walking independently. They communicated clearly and cognitively healthy, with a score of 24 or

1 above in the Cantonese version of Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE-C; Chiu, Lee, Chung, & Kwong,
2 1994).

3 Seventy-six older adults were recruited from an older adult community center in Hong Kong, and six of
4 them were excluded due to the MMSE-C score < 24. All older adults were recruited from an older adult
5 community center, which is located on the Hill Road of the Western District at Hong Kong Island, Hong Kong
6 SAR, China. The Walk Score of the community center is 97 and it was retrieved from Walk Score™ website
7 (www.walkscore.com). The score represents the overall high walkability of its surrounding neighborhood
8 environment. Previous researches showed that the Walk Score was positively correlated with the objective
9 measures of neighborhood walkability by the GIS, such as the intersection density, street density total
10 population and access to public transit provisions (Carr, Dunsiger, & Marcus, 2010; Carr, Dunsiger & Marcus,
11 2011).

12 **2.2. Procedures**

13 The research protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong /
14 Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster (HKU/HA HKW IRB; UW 16-514), and written consents were
15 received prior to any experimental procedures.

16 **2.3. Measures**

Perception of walkability, walking time and functional mobility

1 **Socio-demographics.** The demographic information, including age, sex, usual walking aid (responses were
2 dichotomized into 'with aid' or 'without aid'), exercise habit (responses were dichotomized into 'doing exercise
3 regularly' or 'not doing exercise regularly'), the self-reported health status and the history of falling (responses
4 were dichotomized into 'yes' or 'no'). They also rated their perceived health status on a six-point Likert Scale.

5 **The walking time.** All participants were required to recall their duration of walking (walking time) in
6 minute(s), within the past seven days regardless of their walking purposes.

7 **Self-reported perceived walkability.** All participants were invited to answer all questions, extracted from
8 the “Aesthetics”, and the “Infrastructure and Safety for Walking subscales” of the Chinese abbreviated version
9 of the Neighborhood Environment Walkability Survey (NEWS-A). These two subscales were chosen because
10 the aesthetics attribute of the environment could directly associate with the interest of walking. The
11 infrastructure and safety for walking could associate with the availability of the pedestrian-friendly environment.
12 Questions are about the responders' perception of the attractiveness of the surrounding neighborhood
13 environment. The perception of aesthetics was measured by asking questions on the perception of the
14 sufficiency of trees along the streets, the interesting things, the natural sights, and attractive buildings in the
15 neighborhood. On the other hand, some questions are related to the availability and condition of the
16 neighborhood environment's walking infrastructure. For example, they were asked about whether they agree

Perception of walkability, walking time and functional mobility

1 with "There are sidewalks on most of the streets in my neighborhood" or "My neighborhood is well lit at night."
2 All participants were asked to consider to the level using a four-point Likert Scale ranging from 'Strongly
3 disagree', 'Somewhat disagree', 'Somewhat agree' to 'Strongly agree'. The NEWS-A has been proved as a valid
4 and reliable questionnaire in measuring the perceived walkability of neighborhood environment in Hong Kong's
5 older adults (Cerin, Macfarlane, Ko, & Chan, 2007; Cerin et al., 2010).

6 **Functional mobility tests.** The 10-Meter Walk Test (Graham, Ostir, Fisher, & Ottenbacher, 2008) and the
7 Tinetti Performance-Oriented Mobility Assessment (POMA; Tinetti, 1986) were used to evaluate the functional
8 mobility of the older adults. POMA has been widely-used clinically and was found to be associated with risk of
9 falling in older adults (Raïche, Hébert, Prince, & Corriveau, 2000), together with excellent inter-rater reliability
10 (Cipriany-Dacko, Innerst, Johannsen, & Rude, 1997) and concurrent reliability (Tinetti, 1986). POMA
11 includes both Balance (POMA-Balance) and Gait (POMA-Gait) subscales. There are nine items in the
12 POMA-Balance. Participants were required to sit, to rise from a chair, to attempt to rise, to stand for a particular
13 second, to stand with closed eyes, to be nudged at the standing position, to turn 360 degrees, and to sit. It
14 utilizes a 3-point ordinal scale from 0 to 2 with a total score of 16. In the POMA-Gait, participants walked on a
15 straight line for few times, and the experimenter evaluated the gait pattern on eight items, including the gait
16 initiation, step length, foot clearance, step symmetry and continuity, path, trunk, and the heels. A scoring system,

1 with scores from 0-12, was used to score the gait performance. For the 10-Meter Walk Test, participants were
2 invited to walk on a 6-meter indoor walking course (with 2 meter acceleration and 2 meter deceleration) at a
3 comfortable speed for three walking trials. A trained experimenter started the timing when the toes of the foot
4 crossed the 2-meter mark and stopped the timing when the toes of the leading foot crossed the 8 meter mark.
5 The 10-Meter Walk Test is a valid measurement that reflects the overall health status and has been shown to
6 have excellent test-retest reliability for measuring gait speed for older adults (Peters, Fritz, & Krotish, 2013).

7 **2.4. Statistical analysis**

8 All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical package (version 25). The skewness test
9 was conducted to check the normality of data. The median and the interquartile range of the non-normally
10 distributed variable, and the mean and standard deviation of the normally distributed data were calculated. Since
11 our data could not fulfill the requirement of utilizing the parametric tests, Spearman's rho correlation tests were
12 conducted in our exploratory analysis to examine the associations among the perceived walkability of
13 neighborhood environment, walking time, and functional mobility by community-dwelling older adults.

14

15

3. Results

16 **3.1. Descriptive analysis**

1 The older adults were aged from 65 to 94. Twenty of them were male. Forty-three participants reported that they
2 did not usually use walking aids when walking outdoor. Most of the participants reported that they walked 5
3 hours or more per week. Details of all the descriptive statistics are illustrated in **Table 1**.

4 *Table 1 near here*

5 *3.2. Correlational analysis*

6 Multiple Spearman's rho correlation tests were computed to establish a correlational matrix among the
7 perceived walkability of neighborhood environment, walking time, and functional mobility by
8 community-dwelling older adults (**Table 2**). The main results suggest that the perceived walkability of
9 neighborhood environment (Aesthetics subscale) positively associated with the gait speed ($r_s = .35, p < .01$),
10 scores of the POMA-Balance ($r_s = .39, p < .01$) and POMA-Gait ($r_s = .34, p < .01$). While there are significant
11 and positive associations among the perceived walkability (Aesthetics subscale) and functional mobility, no
12 statistically significant association between the perceived walkability (Infrastructure and safety for walking
13 subscale) and functional mobility could be discovered.

14 The total walking time was found to be associated with functional mobility, but not the perceived
15 walkability. Statistically significant, moderate, and positive associations were found among the total walking
16 time and the individuals' gait performance (i.e., POMA-Gait; $r_s = .41, p < .01$), and gait speed ($r_s = .43, p < .01$).

1 Since the correlation between the perceived walkability of the neighborhood environment and total walking time
2 was not statistically significant, the potential moderation effect by functional mobility between the perceived
3 walkability of neighborhood environment and the total walking time, that we hypothesized (hypothesis 2), is not
4 evidenced.

5 *Table 2 near here*

7 **4. Discussion**

8 In the present study, associations among the perceived walkability of neighborhood environment, walking
9 time, and functional mobility by community-dwelling older adults in Hong Kong SAR, China were explored.
10 It is one of the few studies that examined these specific associations in an ultra-dense Asian city by interview
11 and functional mobility tests. We hypothesized that older adults who have better functional mobility could have
12 a more positive perceived walkability of neighborhood environment as they should have fewer walking
13 difficulties (hypothesis 1). It was also hypothesized that functional mobility would moderate the association
14 between the perceived walkability of neighborhood environment and the total walking time (hypothesis 2).

15 Most of the older adults reported that they walked 5 hours or more in a week. The walking time of
16 participants in the current study is comparable with a previous Hong Kong study, in which the older adults in

Perception of walkability, walking time and functional mobility

1 Hong Kong reported on average 569 and 254 minutes per week of overall and within-neighborhood walking for
2 transport respectively (Cerin, Lee et al., 2013). The high walking time of older adults may be contributed by the
3 urban design which is favourable for walking. As Adams et al. (2014), Hong Kong is one of the cities with the
4 highest walkability. Hong Kong has been stipulated a comprehensive and integrated approach to pedestrian
5 planning for many years (Tan & Xue, 2014). In this transit-oriented cities, Hong Kong people do usually walk
6 for their daily activity nearby and the public transport is the most popular way of travel (Siu, 2019). While the
7 older adults spend most of their time interacting with the neighborhood by walking, the personal experience and
8 the ability to walk may contribute to their perception of the neighborhood environment.

9 Results suggest that the perceived walkability of neighborhood environment (aesthetics subscale)
10 positively associated with the gait speed, scores of POMA-Balance, and POMA-Gait. It implies that older
11 adults, who could walk faster and with better balance and gait performance, are associated with more positive
12 perceived walkability of their neighborhood environment. It supports our first hypothesis. However, since
13 the correlation between the perceived walkability of the neighborhood environment and total walking time was
14 not statistically significant, the hypothesized moderation effect by functional mobility between the perceived
15 walkability of neighborhood environment and the total walking time (hypothesis 2) is not evidenced and the
16 hypothesis 2 is rejected.

1 Our findings align with a previous study conducted in Portland (USA) in which the association between
2 the neighborhood environment and the gait speed of older adults was demonstrated (Nagel, 2012). One of the
3 potential explanations of this finding is that older adults with better functional mobility could enjoy the scenery
4 more feasibly, since they should have fewer walking difficulties during outdoor ambulation (Huh et al., 2011;
5 Volkers & Scherder, 2014). Better physical health is usually associated with better mental health and, therefore,
6 could also contribute to a more positive perception of the surrounding environment (Chippendale & Boltz, 2014;
7 Cooper et al., 2011). However, due to the nature of the cross-sectional studies, the causal relation cannot be
8 concluded and the exact mechanism of this association remains unclear.

9 Previous research suggested that the perceived walkability of the neighborhood environment is an
10 independent variable that could affect walking time, which contributed to the health of an individual (Kerr et al.,
11 2012). However, the causal relationship could be in a reverse direction. For example, a study illustrated that
12 perception of the environment could change with the improvement of physical capability after exercise
13 interventions (Humpel, Marshall, Leslie, Bauman, & Owen, 2004). It well illustrated that the change of
14 personal health condition might affect the perception of the environment of an individual.

15 It is worth noted that the perceived walkability was used frequently to evaluate the neighborhood
16 environment when attempting to investigate the association between the environment and health behavior.

1 Various researchers have suggested that the rating of aesthetics attributes by an individual might not be
2 consistent with the objectively-measured walkability (Ball, Bauman, Leslie, & Owen, 2001; Koohsari, Badland,
3 Sugiyama, Mavoa, Christian, & Giles-Corti, 2015; Leslie et al., 2005). Older adults who are actively
4 participating in physical activities may also have different perceptions of their surrounding environment (Parra,
5 Gomez, Sarmiento, Buchner, Brownson, Schimd, & Lobelo, 2010). Besides, it is also worth noted that our
6 present results suggested that the total walking time is not significantly associated with the perceived
7 walkability of either the aesthetics subscale or infrastructure and safety for walking subscale in the NEWS-A.
8 Cerin et al. (2013) found that the association between the perceived walkability (Aesthetics subscale) and the
9 walking for transport was not significant, but the perceived walkability (aesthetics) was significantly associated
10 with walking with recreation. Since our present study measured the walking time regardless of purpose, it is
11 not surprising that no significant association between the total walking time and perceived walkability could be
12 discovered.

13 The associations between the perceived walkability (infrastructure and safety for walking subscale) and
14 total walking time or functional mobility were not significant. It echoes with the result of a previous study,
15 suggesting that not all elements of perceived walkability are related to the older adult's walking behavior (Owen

1 et al., 2004). The perceived infrastructure and safety for walking did not determine the recreational walking of
2 older adults in Hong Kong (Cerin, Johnston et al., 2013).

3 The study results also suggest that the functional mobility is significantly associated with the perceived
4 walkability of the neighborhood environment. It is a reasonable illustration that may inform the difference
5 between the objectively assessed walkability and the perceived walkability, given that all participants of our
6 present study were living in the same neighborhood environment. Thus, the variety of perceived walkability
7 should be based on individual factors predominately.

8 Some limitations are necessary to be considered when interpreting the research findings. Firstly, because
9 of the cross-sectional nature of the study, the findings could not concrete the causal relationship among the
10 perception of neighborhood, functional mobility, and walking time. Furthermore, the participants were recruited
11 by convenience sampling in the community center, contributing to the selection bias. The older adults are
12 usually being encouraged to be active by the social workers in the centers. Thus, the results of the current study
13 could only provide the exploratory information of the association. In future studies, the sampling to recruit the
14 representable participants could be utilized to further investigate the association.

15 Researchers, urban planners, and health care providers should be aware of the contribution by an
16 individual's functional mobility of older adults when interpreting perceived walkability as a measurement of the

1 neighborhood environment. Personal factors could indeed primarily affect perceived walkability. More
2 importantly, future studies should be conducted to examine different potential confounders and moderators for
3 the association between perceived walkability and functional mobility in the older population. Functional
4 mobility may be one of the many factors associated with the perceived walkability of neighborhood
5 environment. Different economic or social status, which could affect the resources for preserving health and
6 well-being and the affordability of better living conditions, may also be the confounding factors on perceived
7 walkability and functional mobility by older adults.

8

9

Declaration of Competing Interest

10 All authors reported no potential conflicts of interest. This research did not receive any specific grant
11 from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

12

13

Acknowledgments

14 The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Hong Kong Sheng Kung Hui Western District
15 Older Adult Community Centre for their assistance in recruiting participants.

1 **References**

- 2 Adams, M. A., Frank, L. D., Schipperijn, J., Smith, G., Chapman, J., Christiansen, L. B., ... Sallis, J. F. (2014).
3 International variation in neighborhood walkability, transit, and recreation environments using
4 geographic information systems: The IPEN adult study. *International Journal of Health Geographics*,
5 *13*(1), 43. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-072X-13-43>
- 6 Ball, K., Bauman, A., Leslie, E., & Owen, N. (2001). Perceived Environmental Aesthetics and Convenience and
7 Company Are Associated with Walking for Exercise among Australian Adults. *Preventive Medicine*,
8 *33*(5), 434–440. <https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.2001.0912>
- 9 Barnett, A., Cerin, E., Zhang, C. J. P., Sit, C. H. P., Johnston, J. M., Cheung, M. M. C., & Lee, R. S. Y. (2016).
10 Associations between the neighbourhood environment characteristics and physical activity in older
11 adults with specific types of chronic conditions: The ALECS cross-sectional study. *International*
12 *Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, *13*(1), 53.
13 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-016-0377-7>
- 14 Carr, L. J., Dunsiger, S. I., & Marcus, B. H. (2010). Walk Score™ As a Global Estimate of Neighborhood
15 Walkability. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, *39*(5), 460–463.
16 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2010.07.007>
- 17 Carr, L. J., Dunsiger, S. I., & Marcus, B. H. (2011). Validation of Walk Score for estimating access to walkable
18 amenities. *Br J Sports Med*, *45*(14), 1144–1148. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjism.2009.069609>
- 19 Cerin, E., Johnston, J. M., Chou, K. L., Chan, W. M., Cheung, M. C., & Ho, K. S. (2013). Effects of built
20 environment on walking among Hong Kong older adults. *Hong Kong Medical Journal*, *19*(3,
21 Supplement.4), S39–S41. Retrieved from <https://www.hkmj.org/>

- 1 Cerin, E., Lee, K. Y., Barnett, A., Sit, C. H., Cheung, M. C., Chan, W. M., & Johnston, J. M. (2013). Walking for
2 transportation in Hong Kong Chinese urban elders: a cross-sectional study on what destinations matter
3 and when. *International journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity*, *10*(1), 78.
4 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-10-78>
- 5 Cerin, E., Macfarlane, D. J., Ko, H.-H., & Chan, K.-C. A. (2007). Measuring perceived neighbourhood
6 walkability in Hong Kong. *Cities*, *24*(3), 209–217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2006.12.002>
- 7 Cerin, E., Nathan, A., van Cauwenberg, J., Barnett, D. W., & Barnett, A. (2017). The neighbourhood physical
8 environment and active travel in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The International*
9 *Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, *14*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-017-0471-5>
- 10 Cerin, E., Sit, C. H., Cheung, M., Ho, S., Lee, L. J., & Chan, W. (2010). Reliable and valid NEWS for Chinese
11 seniors: Measuring perceived neighborhood attributes related to walking. *International Journal of*
12 *Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, *7*(1), 84. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-7-84>
- 13 Chippendale, T., & Boltz, M. (2014). The Neighborhood Environment: Perceived Fall Risk, Resources, and
14 Strategies for Fall Prevention. *The Gerontologist*, *55*(4), 575–583.
15 <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnu019>
- 16 Chiu, H. F. K., Lee, H. C., Chung, W. S., & Kwong, P. K. (1994). Reliability and Validity of the Cantonese
17 Version of Mini-Mental State Examination-A Preliminary Study. *Hong Kong Journal of Psychiatry*,
18 *4*(2), 25. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1041610209008588>
- 19 Cipriany-Dacko, L. M., Innerst, D., Johannsen, J., & Rude, V. (1997). Interrater reliability of the Tinetti Balance
20 Scores in novice and experienced physical therapy clinicians. *Archives of Physical Medicine and*
21 *Rehabilitation*, *78*(10), 1160–1164. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0003-9993\(97\)90145-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0003-9993(97)90145-3)
- 22 Cooper, R., Kuh, D., Cooper, C., Gale, C. R., Lawlor, D. A., Matthews, F., ... FALCon and HALCyon Study

- 1 Teams. (2011). Objective measures of physical capability and subsequent health: A systematic review.
2 *Age and Ageing*, 40(1), 14–23. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afq117>
- 3 Cunningham, G. O., & Michael, Y. L. (2004). Concepts Guiding the Study of the Impact of the Built
4 Environment on Physical Activity for Older Adults: A Review of the Literature. *American Journal of*
5 *Health Promotion*, 18(6), 435–443. <https://doi.org/10.4278/0890-1171-18.6.435>
- 6 Duncan, M. J., Spence, J. C., & Mummery, W. K. (2005). Perceived environment and physical activity: A
7 meta-analysis of selected environmental characteristics. *The International Journal of Behavioral*
8 *Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 2, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-2-11>
- 9 Gallagher, N. A., Gretebeck, K. A., Robinson, J. C., Torres, E. R., Murphy, S. L., & Martyn, K. K. (2010).
10 Neighborhood Factors Relevant for Walking in Older, Urban, African American Adults. *Journal of*
11 *Aging and Physical Activity*, 18(1), 99–115. <https://doi.org/10.1123/japa.18.1.99>
- 12 Garin, N., Olaya, B., Miret, M., Ayuso-Mateos, J. L., Power, M., Bucciarelli, P., & Haro, J. M. (2014). Built
13 Environment and Elderly Population Health: A Comprehensive Literature Review. *Clinical Practice &*
14 *Epidemiology in Mental Health*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.2174/1745017901410010103>
- 15 Graham, J. E., Ostir, G. V., Fisher, S. R., & Ottenbacher, K. J. (2008). Assessing walking speed in clinical
16 research: A systematic review. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 14(4), 552–562.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2753.2007.00917.x>
- 18 Hovell, M. F., Sallis, J. F., Hofstetter, C. R., Spry, V. M., Faucher, P., & Caspersen, C. J. (1989). Identifying
19 correlates of walking for exercise: An epidemiologic prerequisite for physical activity promotion.
20 *Preventive Medicine*, 18(6), 856–866. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0091-7435\(89\)90021-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0091-7435(89)90021-2)
- 21 Huh, Y., Yang, E. J., Lee, S. A., Lim, J.-Y., Kim, K. W., & Paik, N.-J. (2011). Association between executive
22 function and physical performance in older Korean adults: Findings from the Korean Longitudinal

- 1 Study on Health and Aging (KLoSHA). *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 52(3), e156-161.
2 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2010.10.018>
- 3 Humpel, N., Marshall, A. L., Leslie, E., Bauman, A., & Owen, N. (2004). Changes in neighborhood walking are
4 related to changes in perceptions of environmental attributes. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine: A
5 Publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine*, 27(1), 60–67.
6 https://doi.org/10.1207/s15324796abm2701_8
- 7 Kelsey, J. L., Procter-Gray, E., Hannan, M. T., & Li, W. (2012). Heterogeneity of falls among older adults:
8 Implications for public health prevention. *American Journal of Public Health*, 102(11), 2149–2156.
9 <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2012.300677>
- 10 Kerr, J., Rosenberg, D., & Frank, L. (2012). The Role of the Built Environment in Healthy Aging: Community
11 Design, Physical Activity, and Health among Older Adults. *Journal of Planning Literature*, 27(1), 43–
12 60. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0885412211415283>
- 13 Koohsari, M. J., Badland, H., Sugiyama, T., Mavoa, S., Christian, H., & Giles-Corti, B. (2015). Mismatch
14 between Perceived and Objectively Measured Land Use Mix and Street Connectivity: Associations
15 with Neighborhood Walking. *Journal of Urban Health : Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine*,
16 92(2), 242–252. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11524-014-9928-x>
- 17 Leslie, E., Saelens, B., Frank, L., Owen, N., Bauman, A., Coffee, N., & Hugo, G. (2005). Residents' perceptions
18 of walkability attributes in objectively different neighbourhoods: A pilot study. *Health & Place*, 11(3),
19 227–236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2004.05.005>
- 20 Michael, Y. L., Green, M. K., & Farquhar, S. A. (2006). Neighborhood design and active aging. *Health & Place*,
21 12(4), 734–740. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2005.08.002>
- 22 Nagel, C. L. (2012). *Neighborhood built environment and trajectory of lower extremity function among older*

- 1 women. Retrieved from <https://scholararchive.ohsu.edu/concern/etds/ww72bb552?locale=en>
- 2 Owen, N., Humpel, N., Leslie, E., Bauman, A., & Sallis, J. F. (2004). Understanding environmental influences
3 on walking: Review and research agenda. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 27(1), 67–76.
4 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2004.03.006>
- 5 Parai, A., & Dutt, A. K. (1994). Perspectives on Asian Urbanization: An East-West Comparison. In A. K. Dutt, F.
6 J. Costa, S. Aggarwal, & A. G. Noble (Eds.), *The Asian City: Processes of Development,*
7 *Characteristics and Planning* (pp. 369–392). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-1002-0_24
- 8 Parra, D. C., Gomez, L. F., Sarmiento, O. L., Buchner, D., Brownson, R., Schimd, T., ... Lobelo, F. (2010).
9 Perceived and objective neighborhood environment attributes and health related quality of life among
10 the elderly in Bogotá, Colombia. *Social Science & Medicine* (1982), 70(7), 1070–1076.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2009.12.024>
- 12 Peters, D. M., Fritz, S. L., & Krotish, D. E. (2013). Assessing the Reliability and Validity of a Shorter Walk Test
13 Compared With the 10-Meter Walk Test for Measurements of Gait Speed in Healthy, Older Adults.
14 *Journal of Geriatric Physical Therapy*, 36(1), 24. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JPT.0b013e318248e20d>
- 15 Raïche, M., Hébert, R., Prince, F., & Corriveau, H. (2000). Screening older adults at risk of falling with the
16 Tinetti balance scale. *The Lancet*, 356(9234), 1001–1002.
17 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(00\)02695-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(00)02695-7)
- 18 Rao, S. S. (2005). Prevention of falls in older patients. *American Family Physician*, 72(1), 81–88. Retrieved
19 from www.aafp.org/afp
- 20 Renalds, A., Smith, T. H., & Hale, P. J. (2010). A Systematic Review of Built Environment and Health: *Family*
21 *& Community Health*, 33(1), 68–78. <https://doi.org/10.1097/FCH.0b013e3181c4e2e5>
- 22 Rubenstein, L. Z., & Josephson, K. R. (2002). The epidemiology of falls and syncope. *Clinics in Geriatric*

- 1 *Medicine*, 18(2), 141–158. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-0690\(02\)00002-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-0690(02)00002-2)
- 2 Saelens, B. E., & Handy, S. L. (2008). Built Environment Correlates of Walking: A Review. *Medicine and*
3 *Science in Sports and Exercise*, 40(7 Suppl), S550–S566.
4 <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e31817c67a4>
- 5 Sallis, J. F., Cervero, R. B., Ascher, W., Henderson, K. A., Kraft, M. K., & Kerr, J. (2006). An Ecological
6 Approach to Creating Active Living Communities. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 27(1), 297–322.
7 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.27.021405.102100>
- 8 Sallis, J. F., Owen, N., & Fisher, E. B. (2008). Ecological models of health behavior. In *Health behavior and*
9 *health education: Theory, research, and practice, 4th ed* (pp. 465–485). San Francisco, CA, US:
10 Jossey-Bass.
- 11 Satariano, W. A., Ivey, S. L., Kurtovich, E., Kealey, M., Hubbard, A. E., Bayles, C. M., ... Prohaska, T. R.
12 (2010). Lower-Body Function, Neighborhoods, and Walking in an Older Population. *American Journal*
13 *of Preventive Medicine*, 38(4), 419–428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2009.12.031>
- 14 Shumway-Cook, A., Patla, A. E., Stewart, A., Ferrucci, L., Ciol, M. A., & Guralnik, J. M. (2002).
15 Environmental Demands Associated With Community Mobility in Older Adults With and Without
16 Mobility Disabilities. *Physical Therapy*, 82(7), 670–681. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/82.7.670>
- 17 Siu, B. W. (2019). Assessment of physical environment factors for mobility of older adults: A case study in
18 Hong Kong. *Research in Transportation Business & Management*, 30, 100370.
19 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rtbm.2019.100370>
- 20 Tan, Z., & Xue, C. (2014). Walking as a planned activity: Elevated pedestrian network and urban design
21 regulation in Hong Kong. *Journal of Urban Design*, 19(5), 722-744.
22 <https://doi-org.eproxy.lib.hku.hk/10.1080/13574809.2014.946895>

- 1 Tinetti, M. E. (1986). Performance-oriented assessment of mobility problems in elderly patients. *Journal of the*
2 *American Geriatrics Society*, 34(2), 119–126. [https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1532-5415.1986.tb05480.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.1986.tb05480.x).
- 3 Van Cauwenberg, J., De Bourdeaudhuij, I., De Meester, F., Van Dyck, D., Salmon, J., Clarys, P., & Deforche, B.
4 (2011). Relationship between the physical environment and physical activity in older adults: A
5 systematic review. *Health & Place*, 17(2), 458–469. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2010.11.010>
- 6 Van Holle, V., Van Cauwenberg, J., Gheysen, F., Van Dyck, D., Deforche, B., Van de Weghe, N., & De
7 Bourdeaudhuij, I. (2016). The Association between Belgian Older Adults' Physical Functioning and
8 Physical Activity: What Is the Moderating Role of the Physical Environment? *PLoS ONE*, 11(2).
9 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0148398>
- 10 Vogel, T., Brechat, P.-H., Leprêtre, P.-M., Kaltenbach, G., Berthel, M., & Lonsdorfer, J. (2009). Health benefits
11 of physical activity in older patients: A review. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*, 63(2), 303–
12 320. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-1241.2008.01957.x>
- 13 Volkers, K. M., & Scherder, E. J. A. (2014). Physical Performance Is Associated with Working Memory in Older
14 People with Mild to Severe Cognitive Impairment. *BioMed Research International*, 2014.
15 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/762986>
- 16 Witten, K., Blakely, T., Bagheri, N., Badland, H., Ivory, V., Pearce, J., ... Schofield, G. (2012). Neighborhood
17 built environment and transport and leisure physical activity: Findings using objective exposure and
18 outcome measures in New Zealand. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 120(7), 971–977.
19 <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104584>
- 20 Wood, L. J. (1970). Perception Studies in Geography. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, (50),
21 129–142. <https://doi.org/10.2307/621350>
- 22 Yen, I. H., Michael, Y. L., & Perdue, L. (2009). Neighborhood environment in studies of health of older adults: a

Perception of walkability, walking time and functional mobility

- 1 systematic review. *American journal of preventive medicine*, 37(5), 455-463.
- 2 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2009.06.022>
- 3 Yu, R., Cheung, O., Lau, K., & Woo, J. (2017). Associations between Perceived Neighborhood Walkability and
- 4 Walking Time, Wellbeing, and Loneliness in Community-Dwelling Older Chinese People in Hong
- 5 Kong. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 14(10), 1199.
- 6 <http://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14101199>

Table 1 Descriptive analysis of different variables

Variables	<i>N</i>	
Age (<i>M; SD</i>)	70	78.00 (7.40)
Number of previous falls (<i>Mdn; IQR</i>)	66	1 (0-2)
MMSE-C (<i>M; SD</i>)	70	27.56 (1.83)
NEWS-A (Aesthetics subscale; <i>M; SD</i>)	70	2.37 (0.79)
NEWS-A (Infrastructure and safety for walking subscale; <i>M; SD</i>)	70	2.93 (0.36)
POMA (Balance subscale; <i>Mdn; IQR</i>)	70	16 (15-16)
POMA (Gait subscale; <i>Mdn; IQR</i>)	70	12 (11-12)
POMA (<i>Mdn; IQR</i>)	70	27 (25-28)
Gait speed (meter(s)/second; <i>M; SD</i>)	70	0.91 (0.29)
Total walking time (minute(s)/week; <i>Mdn; IQR</i>)	61	300 (140-420)

- 1 Note: *N* = Sample size; *M* = Mean; *Mdn* = Median; *SD* = Standard deviation; *IQR* = Interquartile Range
- 2 MMSE-C = Cantonese version of the Mini-Mental State Examination; NEWS-A = The Chinese abbreviated
- 3 version of Neighborhood Environmental Walkability Survey; POMA = Tinetti Performance-Oriented Mobility
- 4 Assessment
- 5

1 **Table 2** Correlation matrix of the main outcome variables

Spearman's rho correlation (<i>r_s</i>)	1. Walking time	2. POMA- Balance	3. POMA- Gait	4. Walking Speed (m/s)	5. Self- Reported Health Status	6. NEWS- A (I)	7. NEWS- A (A)
1.	-	.24	.41**	.43**	.10	-.04	.22
2.		-	.44**	.43**	.14	-.02	.39**
3.			-	.59**	-.06	-.10	.34**
4.				-	.14	-.04	.33**
5.					-	-.11	-.04
6.						-	.19

- 2 Note: POMA = Tinetti Performance-Oriented Mobility Assessment; NEWS-A (I) = The Chinese abbreviated
3 version of Neighborhood Environmental Walkability Survey (Infrastructure and safety for walking subscale);
4 NEWS-A (A) = The Chinese abbreviated version of Neighborhood Environmental Walkability Survey
5 (Aesthetic subscale); *r_s* = Spearman's rho correlation coefficient;
6 ** *p* < .01