The following publication Mun, S. G., & Woo, L. (2021). The effects of the minimum wage on the operating performance of hotels in the US. Tourism Management, 82, 104205 is available at https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2020.104205.

## 1 The effects of the minimum wage on the operating performance of hotels in the U.S.

# 2 Abstract

3 The economic effects of the minimum wage have been the focus of ongoing contradictory debates among policymakers and researchers. This study finds a positive effect of the minimum 4 5 wage on the operating profitability of hotels in the U.S. However, the pricing practices of fullservice hotels are dissimilar to those of limited-service hotels. Although the burden of the 6 7 minimum wage is substantial, full-service hotels can spread the weight onto other departments, while limited-service hotels mainly rely on rooms revenue. Thus, the effects of the minimum 8 9 wage on room price (average daily rate; ADR) are more substantial at limited-service hotels than at full-service hotels even though operating profitability (gross operating profit per available 10 11 room; GOPPAR) is not substantially different between them. Eventually, increased minimum wage can play a beneficial role not only for the hotel industry but also for local society, since 12 minimum wage employees take home a larger salary. 13

14 Keywords: minimum wage; ADR; GOPPAR; full-service hotels; limited-service hotels

15

# 16 1. Introduction

Despite the unquestionable purpose of the minimum wage to protect employees against
unduly low pay, the contradictory debates on its economic effects are ongoing among
policymakers and researchers. The opponents argue that high minimum wage causes a financial
burden for employers, which forces them to significantly decrease the number of jobs available
for low-skilled employees and ultimately leads to low employment (e.g., Brown, 1999; Neumark
& Nizalova, 2007; Neumark & Wascher, 2007). On the other hand, the supporters of minimum

wage contend that there is no such substantial negative impact of high minimum wage on 23 employment because higher wages result in low-paid employees having higher motivation in 24 their jobs, which sometimes even leads to positive economic effects (e.g., Card & Krueger, 1995; 25 Dickens, Machin, & Manning, 1999; Dube, Lester, & Reich, 2010; Jardim, Long, Plotnick, Van 26 Inwegen, Vigdor, & Wething, 2017; Metcalf, 2008). However, it is important to note that no 27 28 study directly examines the impact of the minimum wage on the business aspects of employers (i.e., financial burdens or operating performance) even though they lead different business 29 decisions in response to the increase in the minimum wage (i.e., lay-off employees or cut back 30 31 on hiring).

The hospitality industry plays an essential role in clarifying and justifying the arguments. 32 This is because the hospitality industry, including restaurants and hotels, is a labor-intensive 33 industry and hires a relatively larger proportion of low-wage employees than other industries 34 (e.g., Adam-Smith, Norris, & Williams, 2003; Aaronson, French, & MacDonald, 2008; Kim & 35 Jang, 2020; MaCurdy, 2015). Consequently, the impact of the minimum wage on the economic 36 conditions of the hospitality industry is likely to be more substantial than on those of other 37 industries whose businesses rely less on minimum wage workers (Aronson et al., 2008; 38 39 MaCurdy, 2015). To understand the effect of the minimum wage on hotel performance, this study specifically focuses on hotels at the property level and explores several possible ways that 40 41 hotels may sustain high labor costs prompted by increased minimum wage. The first possibility 42 is that a hotel can shift the extra costs to customers (both domestic and international tourists) by increasing the price of their products and/or services. The other option is that a hotel can absorb 43 44 the increased expenses within the company by sacrificing the margins and enduring lower

profits. Otherwise, the company would need to reduce labor costs and try to improve efficiencyby laying off low-skilled employees or eliminating managerial slack.

47

In the U.S., each state has a different level of minimum wage requirements. For example, 47 the minimum wage in California, New York, Florida, Texas, and Illinois was different in 2019 48 (\$12, \$11.10, \$8.46, \$7.25, and \$8.25 per hour, respectively) although the federal minimum 49 50 wage was \$7.25 in 2019. In addition, the state minimum wage has been changed at a different rate among different states over the years. However, following Card and Krueger (1994), the 51 majority of recent studies, used a difference-in-differences method (Callaway & Sant'Anna, 52 53 2018; Dube, 2019; Meer & West, 2016) and focused on the differences in greatly aggregated outcomes (i.e., employment or wages) between a control group (firms or people that are less 54 likely to be influenced by the minimum wage) and a treated group (firms or people that are more 55 likely to be influenced by the minimum wage) in a few specific years when they showed large 56 jumps in minimum wage (Aaronson, French, Sorkin, & To, 2018; Cuong, 2017; Draca, Machin, 57 & Van Reenen, 2011; Harasztosi & Lindner, 2019; Metcalf, 2008). 58

This quasi-experimental approach would be appropriate for determining whether 59 increased minimum wage reduces employment if there are only one or two big policy changes in 60 61 the level of minimum wage over the years (i.e., pre-treated periods vs. post-treated periods). In addition, the model would be effective only if the changes in minimum wage do not influence 62 63 employment of the control group but significantly influence employment of the treated group 64 (i.e., the two groups would follow parallel trends in the absence of treatment). Besides, to make the difference-in-differences model valid, the effects of the unmeasured determinants on 65 66 employment must be the same for the control and treated groups over the years (i.e., group and 67 time invariants; e.g., Lechner, 2011; Wing, Simon, & Bello-Gomez, 2018). In these contexts, the

difference-in-differences approaches may not be suitable for measuring the economic effects of a
minimum wage when it has been increasing continuously at different rates over a few
consecutive years (i.e., FL, CA, and NY); specifically, it is difficult to decompose post-treatment
effects. Importantly, the difference-in-differences models are used not to measure the direct
impact of the minimum wage on the business performance of an individual firm but more
frequently to compare the aggregated consequences (i.e., state's employment census data) of
policy interventions between two groups (i.e., states, counties, or industries).

Due to these methodological limitations and dissimilarities, this study applied the 75 76 generalized estimating equation (GEE) and two-way random-effects regression (RE) models to measure the effects of the minimum wage on the business performance of hotel firms over the 77 78 recent five years. To the best of our knowledge, no study has examined the effects of minimum wage on property-level hotel firm performance even though the proportion of minimum wage 79 employees is substantially large compared to that of other industries (e.g., Metcalf, 2008). In this 80 81 study, we emphasize the relationship between the changes in minimum wage and the operating performance of full-service and limited-service hotels in the U.S. More specifically, we intend to 82 demonstrate whether increased minimum wage pushes up hotel room prices and/or decreases 83 84 profitability of the hotels. Furthermore, we aim to show whether the changes in minimum wage have a negative or positive impact on the operational performance of the hotels. We expect that 85 the impact of the minimum wage should be different between full-service and limited-service 86 87 hotels in the aspects of salary expenses, room prices, and operating profitability. Furthermore, in this study, we suggest a heterogeneity of responses to the minimum wage based on hotels' 88 89 different service levels. The findings will broaden the knowledge about the relationship between 90 the minimum wage and hotels' operational performance from hotel management's viewpoint.

91

#### 92

# 93 2. Literature Review

94 The minimum wage has been discussed for several decades, especially its effect on employment (Aaronson et al., 2008; Jardim et al., 2017; Lynn & Boone, 2015; Reich et al., 2016; 95 96 Repetti & Roe, 2018), output prices (Allegretto & Reich, 2018; Harasztosi & Lindner, 2019; 97 MaCurdy, 2015), and firm profitability (Draca, Machin, & Van Reenen, 2011; Kim & Jang, 98 2019; Riley & Rosazza Bondibene, 2017). Although their findings and evidence are still 99 controversial, there is a general consensus that minimum wage effects can be significantly different across industries, firms, cities, or labor markets (Draca et al., 2011; Harasztosi & 100 101 Lindner, 2019). From these perspectives, it is critical to test the effect of the minimum wage 102 considering the specific nature of hotels. The hospitality industry makes heavy use of minimum wage workers (Jardim et al., 2017; Kim & Jang, 2019; Reich et al., 2016; Repetti & Roe, 2018; 103 Sherk, 2017) and also has services with unique characteristics, such as heterogeneity and 104 perishability, which lead to different patterns of minimum wage effects. 105

There are a few studies that look into the minimum wage in the hospitality industry, but 106 107 the findings mainly rely on the opinions of either employees (Joo-Ee, 2016) or managers (Brown & Crossman, 2000) and are thus limited when directly answering the effect of the minimum 108 wage on hotels' operational practices and performance. Besides, most hospitality industry 109 110 minimum wage studies were undertaken in the context of the restaurant industry (Aaronson et al., 2008; Allegretto & Reich, 2018; Kim & Jang, 2019; Lynn & Boone, 2015; Repetti & Roe, 111 2018) which has a different wage system from hotels: the tip credit system. Restaurants are 112 allowed to pay employees lower than minimum wage (e.g., \$2.13 per hour in 2019) as they 113

receive at least \$30 per month in tips. The restaurant industry, especially full-service restaurants,
rely substantially on these tipped minimum wage workers (Aaronson et al., 2008; Kim & Jang,
2019), but hotels rely less on the tipping system and thus have more workers who are paid at
regular minimum wage levels (Keller & Kelley, 2015).

- 118
- 119 **2.1. Minimum Wages in the Hotel Industry**

# 2.1. Willing wages in the Hoter industry

Hotels have inherent limitations when operating their rooms and services; they produce 120 the same number of rooms every day (i.e., perishability), and their quality may vary because 121 service is delivered by employees who are individually different (i.e., heterogeneity). These 122 inevitable limitations have led hotels to make great efforts to maintain consistent service quality 123 124 and efficient human resources management (Chacko, Davidson, & Green, 2006). Thus, hotels 125 would not to reduce their employment substantially even if the minimum wage rose considerably. Given the fact that labor costs account for most of the hotel's operating expenses 126 127 (Keller & Kelley, 2015; Mandelbaum, 2017), the minimum wage could significantly impact overall payroll expenses for hotels (Allegretto & Nadler, 2015). 128

However, full-service and limited-service hotels have different strategic orientations 129 130 (Canina, Enz, & Harrison, 2005). The competitive advantage of limited-service hotels emerges from low prices with consistent service quality (Baum & Haveman, 1997; Hoque, 2000), but that 131 132 of full-service hotels comes from a variety of high quality services (Canina et al., 2005; Mun, Woo, & Paek, 2019). This difference could lead to different reactions from full-service and 133 limited-service hotels when the minimum wage increases. To maintain their competitive 134 135 advantage (i.e., lower price), some limited-service hotels would choose cost-saving measures, such as employing more part-timers and younger staff, stopping overtime, and introducing 136

137 unpaid breaks (Brown & Crossman, 2000; Mandelbaum, 2017). These measures are more suitable for limited-service hotels rather than full-service hotels because they offer limited and 138 basic services (Ren, Qiu, Wang, & Lin, 2016) that can be delivered by lower skilled or less 139 140 trained employees (Brown & Crossman, 2000). However, the cost minimization strategy of reducing labor cost would be harder to 141 142 implement in full-service hotels as their primary competitive advantage comes from service quality (Chathoth & Olsen, 2003; Lai & Hitchcock, 2017; Sun, Aryee, & Law, 2007). Rather 143 than reducing labor costs, full-service hotels would rather pursue quality maximization, such as 144 145 by employing better quality or older staff (Brown & Crossman, 2000). Customers of full-service hotels are less sensitive to price but more influenced by the service quality and comfortable 146 environment, such as a wide range of facilities and attentive staff (Lai & Hitchcock, 2017; Peng 147 & Chen, 2019). To provide exceptional service, full-service hotels need "greater staff/customer 148 ratios, more service offerings, and a wider range of interactions (Chathoth, 2007, p. 396)" than 149 150 limited-service hotels. Thus, developing and retaining high quality employees is crucial for fullservice hotels (Lai & Hitchcock, 2017) because their rich experiential knowledge related to 151 customers is not easily replaceable (Sun et al., 2007). This implies that it is harder for full-152 153 service hotels to mitigate their increased payroll expenses than it is for limited-service hotels. Moreover, full-service hotels require a variety of job positions for each department. This 154 difference between full-service and limited-service hotels can also affect total salary expenses 155 156 differently when minimum wage increases. The number of employees in full-service hotels is relatively larger than in limited-service hotels due to larger firm size and diverse range of 157 158 services, such as food and beverage, spa, and banquet services. As front line employees receive 159 the minimum wage in most departments (AH&LA, 2014; Mandelbaum, 2017), the minimum

160 wage would affect most departments in full-service hotels (Keller & Kelley, 2015). Consequently, because of this ripple effect, an increased minimum wage would have a larger 161 effect on average wages in full-service hotels than in limited-service hotels (Allegretto & Reich, 162 163 2018; Dube, Naidu, & Reich, 2007; Reich et al., 2016). If full-service hotels have to raise their wages for employees who receive the minimum wage, they need to raise the wages for the other 164 165 employees who work longer and those who hold higher positions than minimum wage employees (i.e., non-minimum wage workers). Besides, according to Wage Watch (2019), full-166 service hotels paid higher salaries than limited-service hotels for the same job positions to attract 167 168 and keep better employees (e.g., front desk agent \$14.55 at full-service hotels vs. \$11.96 at limited-service hotels; and housekeeper \$13.81 vs. \$11.32): full-service hotels had a wider and 169 more flexible salary structure (Kline & Hsieh, 2007). Therefore, the effects of the minimum 170 171 wage may have a greater effect on salary expenses of full-service hotels than those of limitedservice hotels; we therefore make the following hypotheses: 172

173

H1-1. The minimum wage has a significant positive impact on salary expenses (the relationship
between salary expense over total revenue and revenue per available room (RevPAR)) in hotels.

H1-2. The minimum wage has a more significant impact on salary expenses (the relationship
between salary expense over total revenue and RevPAR) in full-service hotels than in limitedservice hotels.

179

## 180 **2.2. The Impact of Minimum Wage on ADR**

181 Hotel room supply is relatively fixed and cannot respond promptly to a change in demand (i.e., inelastic supply), so changes in room price or Average Daily Rate (ADR) are frequent and 182 common. Unlike other industries, hotels cannot promptly increase their supply (i.e., the number 183 of rooms and other services), and it is hard to reduce the number of employees due to the 184 inseparability and heterogeneity of service quality (i.e., a service is inseparable from the person 185 186 providing it, and thus, the knowledge or skills of employees signify the service quality). Instead, hotels adjust prices to cope with rising labor costs due to increased minimum wage. In addition 187 to the general features of the hotel industry, there are several considerations that need to be made 188 189 when exploring the impact of the minimum wage on room prices. Depending on the labor markets and revenue resources that hotels rely on, the effect size of the minimum wage may vary 190 191 (Aaronson et al., 2008). It is suggested that hotels can react differently toward increased salary expenses; all increased labor costs can be passed on to consumers (MaCurdy, 2015), or hotels 192 can share some of the expenses (Harasztosi & Lindner, 2019). 193

194 It is important to understand labor market differences (competition vs. monopsony) between full-service hotels and limited-service hotels because the percentage of minimum wage 195 workers varies across levels of hotel services. Mostly, the minimum wage is applies for low-196 197 skilled and less-productive workers (Harasztosi & Lindner, 2019; Reich et al., 2016), such as housekeepers, laundry attendants, and front desk agents in the rooms department (AH&LA, 198 199 2014; Keller & Kelley, 2015). Given that limited-service hotels mainly provide basic 200 accommodation services (e.g., rooms or rooms with simple breakfast; Ren et al., 2016; Xu & Li, 2016), limited-service hotels easily find and substitute low-skilled workers in the labor market 201 202 (Aaronson et al., 2008; Lynn & Boone, 2015; Repetti & Roe, 2018). Under this monopsony labor 203 market, firms either decrease their employment rate (Aaronson et al., 2008; Wessels, 1997) if the

204 costs of hiring a new employee are lower than the minimum wage increases, or increase the price of their products and/or services if the replacement costs for an existing employee are still higher 205 than the minimum wage increases (Aaronson et al., 2008; Dube, Lester, & Reich, 2016; 206 207 Harasztosi & Lindner, 2019; Repetti & Roe, 2018; Sherk, 2017) when they face a rise in the minimum wage. However, as limited-service hotels also need to sustain an appropriate level of 208 209 service quality, they would rather increase their room prices than reduce the number of employees because of the inseparability of service quality (Bebko, 2000; Draca et al., 2011; 210 Dube, 2019; Haynes & Fryer, 2000; Kline & Hsieh, 2007). An increased minimum wage also 211 212 raises sunk costs for hiring a new employee but lowers the value of laying-off a current employee (Dube et al., 2016). 213

Compared to limited-service hotels, full-service hotels require more highly skilled 214 workers with rich knowledge and extensive experience to provide multifaceted services (Kim & 215 Jang, 2019; Sun et al., 2007). These highly skilled workers are competitive in the labor market so 216 217 they are usually paid more than minimum wage; the labor market for full-service hotels is closer to the competitive market. Under the competitive labor market, firms tend to reduce employment 218 when they face an increase in minimum wage because they can increase labor efficiency and 219 220 productivity with less employees (Aaronson et al., 2008; Ahmad, Scott, & Abdul-Rahman, 2016; 221 Harasztosi & Lindner, 2019; Kim & Jang, 2019; Lynn & Boone, 2015; Riley & Rosazza 222 Bondibene, 2017). For example, it is common in full-service hotels that some employees are 223 multi-skilled (Brown & Crossman, 2000) so even though they are assigned to one department (e.g., sales and marketing), they can work in other departments (e.g., restaurant) for their 224 225 colleagues when hotels are in need (e.g., night shifts or day-off). Thus, full-service hotels would 226 not directly increase their room price as a response to a minimum wage hike.

227 More importantly, the revenues from the different departments of full-service hotels allow them to spread the risk from minimum wage increases. As suggested by Lee and Jang 228 229 (2007), diversified strategies of hotel companies minimized risks and improved stability in 230 financial performance. In this regard, full-service hotels can be considered as pursuing a diversification strategy (Chen & Chang, 2012; Yeh, Chen, & Hu, 2012) by providing various 231 services through "a wide variety of onsite amenities, such as restaurants, meeting spaces, 232 exercise rooms or spa" (STR, 2020). For instance, some hotels generate more revenue and profits 233 from food and beverage, which has been treated as supplementary to the rooms (Chen & Chang, 234 235 2012; Yeh et al., 2012). From this perspective, full-service hotels can absorb the shock of a minimum wage increase by spreading out the expenses over different departments. This is also in 236 line with the price pass-through of limited-service hotels; they can only create revenues from the 237 rooms department, so they necessarily have to raise room prices to cover their increase in labor 238 costs. Based on the argument above, the following hypotheses were developed: 239 240

H2-1. The minimum wage has a significant positive impact on room price (ADR) (the

relationship between occupancy rate and RevPAR) in hotels.

H2-2. The minimum wage has a more significant impact on room price (ADR) (the relationship
between occupancy rate and RevPAR) in limited-service hotels than in full-service hotels.

245

# 246 2.3. The Impact of Minimum Wage on Hotel Profits

Due to the perishability of hotels, room supply is relatively inelastic, but demand is closer
to elasticity. This indicates that hotels can change their room prices easily (Keller & Kelley,

2015), but at the same time, hotels need to consider competitors' prices. If limited-service hotels
raised room prices because of increased minimum wage, the increased room price would result
in a competitive disadvantage compared to competitors who maintain room prices (Sherk, 2017).
In this case, the occupancy rate of limited-service hotels would decrease and offset the increased
profits from increased price.

254 However, the price elasticity of demand in limited-service hotels is sensitive, in that customers of limited-service hotels are more sensitive to increased room prices (Sherk, 2017). If 255 limited-service hotels concerned about these customers, then they would decide to cover the 256 257 costs of the minimum wage by bearing increased salary expenses. In this case, the occupancy rate would increase because they offer relatively lower room prices than do other hotels, which 258 increased prices because of increased minimum wage. As a result, their lowered profits by 259 260 maintain prices would be compensated for by the increased demand. Therefore, in both cases, the hotels' operating profits would not be significantly different from those before the minimum 261 262 wage hike because increased room price or revenue would offset the increased salary expenses. As suggested before, customers of full-service hotels are less sensitive to room prices. 263 Higher prices would not drive customers away, so full-service hotels can raise prices (albeit a 264 265 smaller price increase compared to limited-service hotels), which could compensate for increased labor costs. Although total salary expenses can significantly increase due to the minimum wage, 266 full-service hotels can absorb the effects of the minimum wage though increased revenue from 267 268 other departments. Unless service quality is dropped significantly, the occupancy rate and revenue from other departments will remain similar in full-service hotels. Consequently, a surge 269 270 in the minimum wage would likewise not cause significant deterioration in full-service hotels' 271 operating profitability.

| 272 | Nevertheless, a minimum wage increase imposes changes in costs and prices differently                |
|-----|--|
| 273 | on full-service and limited-service hotels (Repetti & Roe, 2018), but its effect on operating profit |
| 274 | responses would be similar in both types of hotel (Lynn & Boone, 2015). The lack of differences      |
| 275 | in operating profits may be derived from hotels' demand elasticity; demand elasticity of limited-    |
| 276 | service hotels is larger than that of full-service hotels. In addition, both hotels are actively     |
| 277 | implementing systematic revenue management practices based on their daily customer demands           |
| 278 | to maintain persistent operating performance. Therefore, even though previous literature             |
| 279 | presented mixed results - either negative (Draca et al., 2011) or positive (Harasztosi & Lindner,    |
| 280 | 2019; Kim & Jang, 2019) effects of minimum wage on operating profits – we make the                   |
| 281 | following hypotheses:  |
| 282 |  |
| 283 |  |
| 284 | H3-1. The minimum wage does not have a significant impact on gross profit (GOPPAR) in                |
| 285 | hotels.  |
| 286 | H3-2. The impact of the minimum wage on gross profit (GOPPAR) would not be significantly             |
| 287 | different for full-service hotels and limited-service hotels.  |
| 288 |  |
|     |  |
| 289 | 3. Methodology   |
| 290 | 3.1. Samples and Data  |
| 291 | This study used the value of the minimum wage in five states of the United States,                   |
| 292 | namely: California (1), Florida (2), Illinois (3), New York (4), and Texas (5), as an important      |
| 293 | independent variable. According to the U.S. National Travel and Tourism Office, these states         |
|     | 13   |

294 were among the most popular ports of tourist arrivals during the study years (I-94 Arrivals: Monthly-Quarterly-Annual: National Travel and Tourism Office). Together with the minimum 295 wage data, three types of operational performance data of hotels in those five states were used as 296 dependent variables to measure the effects of the minimum wage on hotels. The minimum wage 297 data, state revenue, and state monthly employment data were collected from the U.S. Bureau of 298 299 Labor Statistics website, while hotel performance data was collected from STR reports. The number of hotels was 1,068 with 10,664 observations from 2013 to 2017, which represented 300 slightly unbalanced panel data: about 330 hotels with 3,300 observations in California, 230 301 302 hotels with 2,380 observations in Florida, 130 hotels with 1,280 observations in Illinois, 60 hotels with 590 observations in New York, and hotels 318 with 3,114 observations in Texas. The 303 304 sample included more limited-service hotels (about 630 hotels with 6,278 observations) than full-service hotels (about 438 hotels with 4,386 observations): a few hotels changed to full-305 service hotels from limited-service hotels or vice versa over the years. 306

307

## 308 3.2. Variables

In this study we examined the effects of the minimum wage [LogMW] on three important 309 operating performance measures of hotels; these were 1) salary expense (natural log of total 310 salary expense over total revenue after controlling for natural log of revenue per available room 311 312 [LogRevPAR] constant), 2) ADR (natural log of revenue per available room [LogRevPAR] after controlling for natural log of occupancy [LogOccupancy]), and 3) gross operating profit per 313 314 available room [LogGOPPAR = log (total revenue - total expense / the number of total available room [LogGOPPAR = log (total revenue - total expense / the number of total available room [LogGOPPAR = log (total revenue - total expense / the number of total available room [LogGOPPAR = log (total revenue - total expense / the number of total available room [LogGOPPAR = log (total revenue - total expense / the number of total available room [LogGOPPAR = log (total revenue - total expense / the number of total available room [LogGOPPAR = log (total revenue - total expense / the number of total available room [LogGOPPAR = log (total revenue - total expense / the number of total available room [LogGOPPAR = log (total revenue - total expense / the number of total available room [LogGOPPAR = log (total revenue - total expense / the number of total available room [LogGOPPAR = log (total revenue - total expense / the number of total available room [LogGOPPAR = log (total revenue - total expense / the number of total available room [LogGOPPAR = log (total revenue - total expense / the number of total available room [LogGOPPAR = log (total revenue - total expense / the number of total available room [LogGOPPAR = log (total revenue - total expense / the number of total available room [LogGOPPAR = log (total revenue - total expense / the number of total expense / to315 rooms) without controlling for ADR, occupancy rate, or RevPAR]. To test the effect of the minimum wage on ADR, the models used RevPAR after controlling the occupancy rate to avoid 316

| 317 | potential issues of reverse causality or simultaneous problems. For example, ADR (dependent     |
|-----|---|
| 318 | variable) could influence the occupancy rate (independent variable) but RevPAR (dependent       |
| 319 | variable) might not influence the occupancy rate (independent variable). All four logarized     |
| 320 | dependent variables showed fairly normal distributions, which were otherwise highly skewed      |
| 321 | (Benoit, 2011; Keene, 1995). To test the effect of the minimum wage, the first model controlled |
| 322 | for RevPAR and examined its [LogMW] impact on salary expense [Log(Total salary                  |
| 323 | expense/total revenue)] and the second model controlled for the occupancy rate and examined its |
| 324 | [LogMW] impact on RevPAR [LogRevPAR]. Thus, the first model showed the effect of the            |
| 325 | minimum wage on salary expense because the revenue was constant and the second model            |
| 326 | represented the effect of the minimum wage on ADR because the occupancy rate held constant.     |
| 327 | In each model, an interaction term [mean centered LogRevPAR * mean centered                     |
| 328 | LogMW or mean centered LogOccupancy * mean centered LogMW] was added to confirm the             |
| 329 | effects of the minimum wage on salary expense [mean centered LogRevPAR * mean centered          |
| 330 | LogMW] and rooms price [mean centered LogOccupancy * mean centered LogMW]. The                  |
| 331 | minimum wage was neither a continuous variable nor a categorical variable (ranging between      |
| 332 | \$6.55 and \$10.50), and thus had a limitation when being considered as a robust independent    |
| 333 | variable. To overcome the limitations, in this study we used an interaction term instead and    |
| 334 | measured the effects of the minimum wage on salary expense and ADR indirectly because the       |
| 335 | relationship between the ratio of total salary expense over total revenue and RevPAR (i.e.,     |
| 336 | negative relationship) and between RevPAR and the occupancy rate (i.e., positive relationship)  |
| 337 | were very robust. In addition, when a model included an interaction term, this study used the   |
| 338 | mean centered value for the related independent variables [mean centered LogRevPAR,             |
| 339 | LogOccupancy, and LogMW] and the variables in the interaction term [mean centered               |

LogRevPAR \* mean centered LogMW or mean centered LogOccupancy \* mean centered
LogMW] to avoid the issue of multicollinearity (Afshartous & Preston, 2011; Enders & Tofighi,
2007; Iacobucci et al., 2016; Robinson & Schumacker, 2009). Besides, an interaction term of
minimum wage and hotel type [mean centered LogMW \* Full] was included in each model to
examine the distinctive effects of minimum wage on firm performance [salary expense, ADR,
and GOPPAR] between full-serve and limited-service hotels.

For other control variables, the class of hotels [luxury (1), upper-upscale (2), upscale (3), 346 upper-midscale (4), midscale (5), and economy (6)], location [urban (1), suburban (2), airport 347 348 (3), interstate/motorway (4), resort (5), and small metro/town (6)], years of operation, and the size of hotel [less than 75 rooms (1), 75-149 rooms (2), 150-299 rooms (3), 300-500 rooms (4), 349 and greater than 500 rooms (5)] were included in all models and the classifications were based 350 351 on the definitions of STR reports. Besides, the state's total revenue [natural log of state GDP], and state monthly employment [natural log of the average monthly state employment] were also 352 included in all models as control variables. The correlation between state and state's total 353 revenue or state monthly employment was high and thus, to avoid the issue of multicollinearity, 354 the models did not include the state dummy variable to control state-specific effects. However, 355 356 the year dummy was included to control time-specific effects.

357

#### 358 **3.3. Models**

This study compares the ordinary least square models (OLS), generalized estimating equations regression models (GEE), and two-way random-effects regression models (RE). The benefit of using GEE models is that the estimates of GEE models are unbiased and consistent even if the models are unspecified (Ballinger, 2004; Liang & Zeger, 1986; Zeger & Liang,

363 1986). The GEE models are especially efficient for longitudinal panel data with non-normal response variables. On the other hand, the two-way random-effects regression models use the 364 generalized least squares method, which provides the unbiased estimator of  $\beta$  when the OLS 365 estimator is not the best linear unbiased estimator due to heteroscedasticity issues (i.e., different 366 variances in errors and correlation among errors; Greene, 2008). To achieve more vigorous 367 368 inferences, we compared the results of three different models (OLS, GEE, and RE) with three 369 different dependent variables (salary expense, ADR, and GOPPAR). In model 3, we did not control hotels' RevPAR, occupancy, or ADR to measure the effects of the minimum wage on 370 GOPPAR. This is because, in reality, the minimum wage increases ADR but the increased ADR 371 372 decreases the occupancy rate (e.g., Aaronson 2001; Aaronson et al., 2008; Allegretto & Reich, 373 2018; Dube, 2019; MaCurdy, 2015).

374 In this study we used two-way random-effects models instead of fixed-effects regression models because fixed-effects regression models cannot include time invariant variables, 375 including class, location, and size of a hotel (Baltagi, 2008; Gardiner, Luo, & Roman, 2009, 376 p.235; Greene, 2008; Plümper & Troeger, 2007; Torres-Reyna, 2007; Woodridge, 2002). Also, 377 the dummy variable for full-service hotels (1 for full-service hotels and 0 for limited-service 378 379 hotels) is a time invariant variable but an important variable to test the moderating effects of 380 hotel type (full-service vs. limited-service) on the relationship between the minimum wage on firm performance (salary expense, ADR, and GOPPAR). According to Bell and Jones (2015), 381 382 RE models are more flexible and generalizable in addition to being capable of including time-383 invariant variables when the models are correctly specified. Therefore, for the present study, RE models are more appropriate than FE models (Bell & Jones, 2015). The GEE and RE are popular 384 385 statistical models for analyzing longitudinal repeated-measures data to address the correlation

between data (Ballinger, 2004; Gardiner et al., 2009; Hubbard et al., 2010). In addition, the two
models are complementary because the GEE estimator is efficient and robust even if the true
variance is misspecified, while the RE model assumes an unobserved variance is random and
uncorrelated with independent variables (Ballinger, 2004; Bell, Fairbrother, & Jones, 2019;
Gardiner et al., 2009; Greene, 2008; Hanley et al., 2003; Hubbard et al., 2010). The models are
expressed as follows:

- 392
- 393 1) Salary expense (The dependent variable (Y<sub>it</sub>) is a natural log of total salary expense over total
   394 revenue)
- $395 \qquad Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * LogRevPAR_{it} + \beta_2 * LogMW_{it} + \beta_3 * Class_{it} + \beta_4 * Location_{it} + \beta_5 * Year of$
- operation<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_6$  \* Size<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_7$  \* Log(state total revenue)<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_8$  \* Log(state monthly employment)<sub>it</sub>
- $397 \qquad + \beta_9 * Year \ dummy_t + \gamma_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$
- 399 *1-1*) *Effect of the minimum wage*
- 400  $Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * \text{mean centered LogRevPAR}_{it} + \beta_2 * (\text{mean centered LogRevPAR}_{it} * \text{mean}$
- 401 centered LogMW<sub>it</sub>) +  $\beta_3$  \* mean centered LogMW<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_4$  \* Class<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_5$  \* Location<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_6$  \* Year of
- 402 operation<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_7$  \* Size<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_8$  \* Log(state total revenue)<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_9$  \* Log(state monthly employment)<sub>it</sub>
- $403 \qquad + \beta_{10} * Year \ dummy_t + \gamma_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$
- 404

- 405 *1-2) Moderating effect of full-service hotels*
- 406  $Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * LogRevPAR_{it} + \beta_2 * mean centered LogMW_{it} + \beta_3 * (mean centered LogMW_{it} * \beta_2 + \beta_2$
- 407 Full<sub>it</sub>) +  $\beta_4$  \* Full<sub>it</sub>+  $\beta_5$  \* Class<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_6$  \* Location<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_7$  \* Year of operation<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_8$  \* Size<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_9$  \*
- 408 Log(state total revenue)<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_{10}$  \* Log(state monthly employment)<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_{11}$  \* Year dummy<sub>t</sub> +  $\gamma_{it}$
- $409 + \epsilon_{it}$
- 410
- 411 2) ADR (The dependent variable (Y<sub>it</sub>) is a natural log of RevPAR with a natural log of the
  412 occupancy rate as a control variable)
- $413 \qquad Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * LogOccupancy_{it} + \beta_2 * LogMW_{it} + \beta_3 * Class_{it} + \beta_4 * Location_{it} + \beta_5 * Year of$
- 414 operation<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_6$  \* Size<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_7$  \* Log(state total revenue)<sub>it</sub> +  $\beta_8$  \* Log(state monthly employment)<sub>it</sub>
- 415 +  $\beta_9$  \* Year dummy<sub>t</sub> +  $\gamma_{it}$  +  $\epsilon_{it}$
- 416
- 417 *2-1) Effect of the minimum wage*

| 418 | $Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * \text{mean centered LogOccupancy}_{it} + \beta_2 * (\text{mean centered LogOccupancy}_{it} * \text{mean}$  |
|-----|--|
| 419 | $centered \ Log MW_{it}) + \beta_3 * mean \ centered \ Log MW_{it} + \beta_4 * Class_{it} + \beta_5 * Location_{it} + \beta_6 * Year \ of the second s$   |
| 420 | $operation_{it} + \beta_7 * Size_{it} + \beta_8 * Log(state total revenue)_{it} + \beta_9 * Log(state monthly employment)_{it}$  |
| 421 | $+ \beta_{10} * Year dummy_t + \gamma_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$  |
| 422 |  |
| 423 | 2-2) Moderating effect of full-service hotels  |
| 424 | $Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * LogOccupancy_{it} + \beta_2 * mean centered LogMW_{it} + \beta_3 * (mean centered LogMW_{it})$   |
| 425 | $* Full_{it}) + \beta_4 * Full_{it} + \beta_5 * Class_{it} + \beta_6 * Location_{it} + \beta_7 * Year of operation_{it} + \beta_8 * Size_{it} + \beta_9 * Class_{it} + \beta_6 * Location_{it} + \beta_7 * Year of operation_{it} + \beta_8 * Size_{it} + \beta_9 * Class_{it} + \beta_6 * Location_{it} + \beta_7 * Year of operation_{it} + \beta_8 * Size_{it} + \beta_9 * Class_{it} + \beta_6 * Location_{it} + \beta_7 * Year of operation_{it} + \beta_8 * Size_{it} + \beta_9 * Class_{it} + \beta_6 * Location_{it} + \beta_7 * Year of operation_{it} + \beta_8 * Size_{it} + \beta_9 * Class_{it} + \beta_8 * Size_{it} + \beta_8 * Size_{$                     |
| 426 | $Log(state \ total \ revenue)_{it} + \beta_{10} \ * \ Log(state \ monthly \ employment)_{it} + \beta_{11} \ * \ Year \ dummy_t + \gamma_{it}$  |
| 427 | $+ \varepsilon_{it}$   |
| 428 |  |
| 429 | <b>3) GOPPAR</b> (The dependent variable $(Y_{it})$ is a natural log of GOPPAR)  |
| 430 | 3-1) Effect of the minimum wage  |
| 431 | $Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * LogMW_{it} + \beta_2 * Class_{it} + \beta_3 * Location_{it} + \beta_4 * Year of operation_{it} + \beta_5 * Size_{it} + \beta_5 * Size_{$ |
| 432 | $\beta_{6} * Log(state total revenue)_{it} + \beta_{7} * Log(state monthly employment)_{it} + \beta_{8} * Year dummy_{t} + \gamma_{it}$  |
| 433 | $+ \epsilon_{it}$  |
| 434 |  |
| 435 | 3-2) Moderating effect of full-service hotels  |
| 436 | $Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * \text{mean centered Log} MW_{it} + \beta_2 * (\text{mean centered Log} MW_{it} * Full_{it}) + \beta_3 * Full_{it} + \beta_2 * (\text{mean centered Log} MW_{it} * Full_{it}) + \beta_3 * Full_{it} + \beta_3 * Full_{it} + \beta_4 * (\text{mean centered Log} MW_{it} * Full_{it}) + \beta_4 * (\text{mean centered Log} MW_{it} * Full_{it}) + \beta_4 * (\text{mean centered Log} MW_{it} * Full_{it}) + \beta_4 * Full_{it} + \beta_4 * (\text{mean centered Log} MW_{it} * Full_{it}) + \beta_4 * (\text{mean centered Log} MW_{i$   |
| 437 | ${}_{4} * Class_{it} + \beta_5 * Location_{it} + \beta_6 * Year of operation_{it} + \beta_7 * Size_{it} + \beta_8 * Log(state total$   |
| 438 | revenue) <sub>it</sub> + $\beta_9 * Log(state monthly employment)_{it} + \beta_{10} * Year dummy_t + \gamma_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$  |
| 439 |  |
| 440 |  |
| 441 | 4. Results   |
|     |  |

# 442 **4.1. Descriptive Operating Information**

443 The figures in column 3 and 4 of Table 1 indicate that the overall operating performance

of hotels was higher during the periods when minimum wage changed compared to its

445 counterpart, including RevPAR (\$98.46 vs. \$87.61), occupancy rate (73.77% vs. 72.73%), ADR

446 (\$131.08 vs. \$119.20), and GOPPAR (\$51.78 vs. \$45.00). In addition, salary expense per

447 available rooms (\$39.41 vs. \$35.11) was higher in hotels during the periods when minimum

448 wage changed, although the proportion of salary expense was similar (26.10% vs. 26.72%) due

As expected, RevPAR (\$132.24 vs. \$64.24), ADR (\$178.67 vs. \$86.19), and GOPPAR 452 (\$67.55 vs. \$34.12) were higher in full-service hotels than in limited-service hotels even though 453 454 the occupancy rate (73.12% vs. 73.21%) is similar in column 5 and 6. Both the salary expense per available room (\$70.37 vs. \$13.57) and the proportion of salary expense (34.07% vs. 455 21.14%) were much higher in full-service hotels than in limited-service hotels. Not surprisingly, 456 457 the hotel size (399 rooms vs. 123 rooms) was much larger in full-service hotels than in limitedservice hotels. 458 (Insert Table 1) 459 Figure 1 also displays a substantial difference in the changes in salary expense and ADR 460 over the years between hotels during the periods when the minimum wage changed and those 461 when it remained unchanged. However, such a significant difference was not observed between 462 full-service and limited-service hotels: there was no huge difference in the changes of salary 463 expense and ADR over the years (slope) but only a large gap in the average amount of salary 464 expense and ADR (intercept). 465 (Insert Figure 1) 466 The minimum wage increased at different rates among states from 2008 to 2017 as shown 467 in Figure 2 (CA: 31.1% and \$2.50 from \$8 to \$10.5, FL: 19.3% and \$1.31 from \$6.79 to \$8.10, 468

to the effect of higher revenue. Hotel size (239 rooms vs. 235 rooms) was similar but there were

fewer hotels (4,513 vs. 6,151 observations) during the periods minimum wage changed

compared to when it remained unchanged.

449

450

451

469 IL: 6.5% and \$0.50 from \$7.75 to \$8.25, NY: 35.7% and \$2.55 from \$7.15 to \$9.70, and TX

470 10.7% and \$0.70 from \$6.55 to \$7.25). The figure indicates that the states that had the largest or

471 lowest changes in the minimum wage did not necessarily have the highest or lowest minimum wage. Thus, either the amount of change or the ratio of change of the minimum wage may not 472 accurately reflect the burdens of the minimum wage for hotels, although they were the main 473 independent variables in most previous studies that used a difference-in-differences model (e.g., 474 Neumark & Wascher, 2007; Dube et al., 2010; Jardim et al., 2017). For example, a hotel in IL 475 476 had \$1 higher minimum wage than a hotel in TX in 2017 although the amount change (\$0.50 vs. \$0.70, respectively) and the change in ratio (6.5% vs. 10.7%, respectively) from 2008 to 2017 477 were lower and thus, an additional \$1 increase would be a heavier burden for hotels in IL than 478 479 for those in TX.

480

# (Insert Figure 2)

#### 481 **4.2. Regression Analysis**

# 482 *Effect of the minimum wage on salary expense*

The proportion of total salary expense in total revenue (a dependent variable) in the 483 regression models actually represented the changes in salary expense because the models 484 485 controlled the RevPAR (an independent variable) constant. Consequently, the coefficient of LogMW (natural log of the minimum wage) should be positive because it indicated the 486 relationship between the minimum wage and salary expense when revenue did not change: as the 487 minimum wage increased, salary expense increased. Similarly, the coefficient of LogRevPAR 488 489 (natural log of RevPAR) should be negative since the increased RevPAR would lower the ratio 490 of salary expense over total revenue when the minimum wage did not change. Consistent with our expectation, the coefficient of LogRevPAR was significantly negative in all models (-0.1753, 491 492 p < 0.01 in OSL; -0.6955, p < 0.01 in GEE; -0.5130, p < 0.01 in RE). However, unexpectedly, the coefficient of LogMW was not statistically significant in both GEE and RE models (0.0283, 493

| 494 | p > 0.1 in GEE and -0.0595, $p > 0.1$ in RE). The finding indicated that the minimum wage                            |
|-----|--|
| 495 | increase might not substantially increase the overall salary expense ratio of hotels in a simple                     |
| 496 | model, probably, due to its small proportion.  |
| 497 | Nevertheless, the interaction term of RevPAR and the minimum wage  |
| 498 | [LogRevPAR*LogMW] was statistically positively significant for all three models (0.4752,                             |
| 499 | p < 0.01 in OSL; 0.2912, $p < 0.01$ in GEE; 0.3418, $p < 0.01$ in RE). The results were robust                       |
| 500 | among models and confirmed the positive effect of the minimum wage on salary expense, which                          |
| 501 | supported hypothesis 1-1. In other words, the finding specified that as the minimum wage                             |
| 502 | increased by 1%, it increased the ratio of salary expense over total revenue by 0.2912% based on                     |
| 503 | the GEE model or 0.3418% based on the RE model.  |
| 504 | (Insert Table 2)   |
| 505 | Furthermore, the minimum wage increased the ratio of salary expense over total revenue                               |
| 506 | significantly more in full-service hotels than in limited-service hotels when RevPAR remained                        |
| 507 | constant. The interaction term of the minimum wage and the dummy variable for hotel type (1                          |
| 508 | for full-service and 0 for limited-service hotels) [LogMW * Full] were statistically positively                      |
| 509 | significant for all three models (0.8255, p < 0.01 in OSL; 0.2985, p < 0.01 in GEE; 0.3608, p < $(0.8255, p < 0.01)$ |
| 510 | 0.01 in RE). These findings strongly supported hypothesis 1-2: more significant positive                             |
| 511 | influence of the minimum wage on salary expense in full-service hotels than in limited-service                       |
| 512 | hotels. A 1% increase in the minimum wage increased the ratio of salary expense over total                           |
| 513 | revenue by 0.2985% or 0.3608% more in full-service hotels than in limited-service hotels                             |
| 514 | according to the GEE model or the RE model, respectively.  |
| 515 | (Insert Table 3)   |

#### 516 *Effect of the minimum wage on ADR*

The value of LogRevPAR as a dependent variable represented the change in ADR 517 because all models in Table 4 controlled for the occupancy rate. In this context, we expected a 518 positive coefficient of the minimum wage [LogMW] because hotels would increase their room 519 price (ADR) as the minimum wage increased in order to cover the burden of higher salary 520 521 expense if the occupancy rate remained constant. The results showed a statistically positively significant relationship between the minimum wage [LogMW] and ADR [LogRevPAR after 522 controlling LogOccupacy constant] in all three models (0.6393, p < 0.01 in OSL; 0.3131, 523 524 p < 0.01 in GEE; 0.3679, p < 0.01 in RE). At the same time, the relationship between the occupancy rate and RevPAR turned out significantly positive after controlling the minimum 525 wage constant in all three models (1.4481, p < 0.01 in OSL; 0.9547, p < 0.01 in GEE; 0.9772, 526 527 p < 0.01 in RE), meaning that as the occupancy rate increased, the RevPAR would increase if the minimum wage did not change. 528 More importantly, the interaction term of the occupancy rate and the minimum wage was 529 statistically positively significant for all three models (0.4418, p < 0.1 in OSL; 1.2887, p < 0.01530 in GEE; 1.2470, p < 0.01 in RE), which confirmed the positive moderating effect of the 531 532 minimum wage on ADR. The findings were robust among the models and strongly supported hypothesis 2-1. In other words, the results showed that when the minimum wage increased by 533 1%, it increased the ADR by 1.2887% based on the GEE model or 1.2470% according to the RE 534

- model if the occupancy rate remained constant (if ADR was \$100 then 30% increase in the
- 536 minimum wage would increase ADR by \$38.66 (GEE model) or \$37.41 (RE model)).
- 537

(Insert Table 4)

| 538 | However, the effect of the minimum wage on ADR was significantly smaller in full-                |
|-----|--|
| 539 | service hotels than in limited-service hotels. The interaction term of the minimum wage and the  |
| 540 | type of hotel (1 for full-service hotels and 0 for limited-service hotels) [LogMW * Full] was    |
| 541 | statistically negatively significant for all three models (-0.7430, $p < 0.01$ in OSL; -0.3521,  |
| 542 | p < 0.01 in GEE; -0.3669, $p < 0.01$ in RE). This finding strongly supported hypothesis 2-2 and  |
| 543 | showed the relationship between the minimum wage and ADR would be less significant in full-      |
| 544 | service hotels than in limited-service hotels. The minimum wage increased ADR by 0.3521% or      |
| 545 | 0.3669% less in full-service hotels than in limited-service hotels based on the GEE model or the |
| 546 | RE model.  |

547

# (Insert Table 5)

# 548 Effect of the minimum wage on GOPPAR

Lastly, the effect of the minimum wage on hotels' operating profit was examined by 549 using the value of gross operating per available room [LogGOPPAR] without controlling for 550 551 either the occupancy rate or room price. Therefore, the dependent variable [LogGOPPAR] enclosed the effect of minimum wage on salary expense, room price, and occupancy rate in the 552 value, which reflected the actual business situations: the minimum wage would increase salary 553 554 expense and the increased salary expense would increase room price, but the increased room 555 price would decrease the occupancy rate. Different from our expectation, the minimum wage 556 showed a significantly positive effect on GOPPAR in all three models (1.4118, p < 0.1 in OSL; 0.7884, p < 0.01 in GEE; 0.8500, p < 0.01 in RE), which did not support hypothesis 3-1. The 557 results showed that a 1% increase in minimum wage increased GOPPAR either by 0.7884% 558 559 (GEE model) or 0.8500% (RE model). Thus, if GOPPAR was \$50 then a 30% increase in the 560 minimum wage would increase GOPPAR either by \$11.83 (GEE model) or by \$12.75 (RE

model). However, the effect of the minimum wage on GOPPAR between full-service and
limited-service hotels was not statistically significant in both GEE and RE models. The evidence
supported hypothesis 3-2.

564

## (Insert Table 6)

565

# 566 **5. Conclusion and Discussion**

# 567 5.1. Summary of Findings

568 In contrast with conventional wisdom, this study confirms that minimum wage increases 569 have a positive effect on the operating profitability of hotels (e.g., Kim & Jang, 2020; Lynn & 570 Boone, 2015; Neumark & Wascher, 2002). As the minimum wage increases, hotels tend to 571 absorb the increased salary expenses by raising room prices rather than laying off employees, 572 which ultimately leads to an increase in overall revenue and gross profits. Specifically, the 573 minimum wage increases both salary expense and room price, but the effect of the minimum wage on salary expenses seems to be relatively smaller than its effect on the room price. The 574 575 findings specify that price adjustment is one of the most prevalent practices when responding to 576 minimum wage increase in both limited-service and full-service hotels (e.g., Basker & Khan, 2016; Dube, Naidu, & Reich, 2007; Repetti & Roe, 2018). Despite the greater variability and 577 competition of prices in online market (Abrate, Fraquelli, & Viglia, 2012; Forgacs & Dimanche, 578 579 2016), increased room prices do not significantly decrease occupancy rate even in limited-580 service hotels (i.e., customer demand for rooms is less elastic to room price changes even though 581 room price is the most important factor for the limited-service hotel guests) (MaCurdy, 2015; 582 Tanford, Raab, & Kim, 2012). Customers are less sensitive to room prices because hotels within

the same geographical market or state can raise prices jointly. Thus, increased room price
spurred by minimum wage increase does not hurt demand for hotel rooms much, unlike trading
or manufacturing companies whose product prices are influenced by different levels of minimum
wage in different locations (e.g., Harasztosi & Lindner, 2019).

The inferences become clearer when the effects of the minimum wage on room prices are 587 588 compared between full-service and limited-service hotels. As the minimum wage increases, salary expense increases more in full-service hotels than in limited-service hotels, which 589 suggests that hotels can react differently to increased salary expenses (Harasztosi & Lindner, 590 591 2019; MaCurdy, 2015). This is because the labor markets and revenue resources of limitedservice hotels are different from those of full-service hotels. In other words, full-service hotels 592 require relatively more minimum wage employees than limited-service hotels, including 593 restaurant staff and entry-level employees in the spa, banquet services, and other departments. 594 However, despite the greater burden of salary expense, full-service hotels can spread the 595 expenses caused by minimum wage increases to other departments, while limited-service hotels 596 mainly rely on rooms revenue. Consequently, the effects of the minimum wage on room prices 597 are more significant in limited-service hotels than in full-service hotels, and these findings 598 599 support our expectations.

Despite the difference in salary expenses and price increases, this study does not find a significant difference in the effect of the minimum wage on operating profitability between fullservice and limited-service hotels. However, the relationship between the minimum wage and gross operating profit turns out to be positive, which is similar to the findings of a few studies in the restaurant industry (e.g., Kim & Jang, 2019; 2020). Surprisingly, the increased room price of limited-service hotels does not decrease customer demand significantly. Therefore, in this study

606 we conclude that limited-service hotels respond more rapidly to minimum wage increases than full-service hotels by collectively raising their room prices. On the other hand, full-service hotels 607 tend to absorb the shock of minimum wage increases by increasing labor productivity through 608 highly skilled employees and efficiently spreading out the expenses over different departments. 609 Similar to limited-service hotels, full-service hotels also increased their room prices, which also 610 611 contributes to their positive operating profitability. Overall, the results show that limited-service hotels pass on minimum wage increases entirely to customers. Full-service hotels paid some of 612 the increase in minimum wage, but they still shared it with customers. 613

614

# 615 **5.2. Contributions and Implications**

Previous studies that examine the effects of the minimum wage have mostly focused on 616 617 the restaurant industry and its impact on employment. Despite the significant roles of the hotel industry in tourism labor markets, an effort to understand the effects of the minimum wage on 618 hotel operating performance is very scarce. Besides, these studies relied mostly on a difference-619 in-differences model, which requires a few concrete assumptions, such as the constant time-620 621 invariant effects of the unmeasured determinants for the control and treated groups (e.g., 622 Lechner, 2011; Wing, Simon, & Bello-Gomez, 2018). However, this quasi-experimental design may not be appropriate for measuring the effects of the continuously changing variable, with 623 different magnitudes, over the years. In this context, different approaches are necessary to test 624 625 the validity of the findings and justify the implications: in this study we applied the generalized estimating equation (GEE) and two-way random-effects regression (RE) models with the 626 627 interaction term of the minimum wage as an alternative approach. Therefore, this study makes several contributions to the literature and the industry in unique ways: it 1) expands the findings 628

into the hotel industry, 2) examines the effects of the minimum wage from the aspect of hotels
rather than employment, 3) applies different methods other than a difference-in-differences
model to achieve more representative and robust results, and 4) provides consistent outcomes
from simple descriptive information analysis to complex regression analysis. Moreover, the data
analysis is based at the property level and thus provides more practical implications for both fullservice and limited-service hotels.

The findings indicate the pricing practices of hotels in response to minimum wage 635 changes and show the dissimilar labor cost pressure between full-service and limited-service 636 637 hotels. The impact of minimum wage increases can be absorbed by increasing prices (e.g., Card & Krueger, 1995; Aaronson et al., 2008; Lemos, 2006; MacDonald & Aaronson, 2006), and full-638 service hotels have more options to spread the impact than limited-service hotels do. In other 639 words, although the positive impact of the minimum wage on GOPPAR is not significantly 640 different between full-service hotels and limited-service hotels, the pricing strategies of full-641 642 service hotels are more complicated but, at the same time, can be more flexible, which would be more beneficial under unexpected circumstances. For example, full-service hotels can absorb the 643 pressures of labor costs by sharing employees between departments or increasing other revenues, 644 645 such as banquet services to residents, when unpredicted political or environmental disarray are expected to cause low room demand. Considering the impact of the minimum wage on labor 646 647 costs and operating profits, the level of skills is expected to be a key issue for both the minimum 648 wage and regular workers in the hotel industry. Rather than focusing on one particular skill, hotels and tourism educational institutions need to train employees or students who can work in 649 650 various departments.

651 Lastly, but more importantly, the findings indicate that increased minimum wage encourages wealth redistribution for minimum wage employees since they receive higher 652 income, and hotel guests (i.e., tourists) pay higher prices for their leisure activities. In both full-653 service and limited-service hotels, income from tourists can be reallocated to low-wage workers 654 to make up for increases in the minimum wage without hotels losing operation profits. This also 655 656 implies that the hotel industry is under less pressure from minimum wage increases than other industries are. Therefore, increased minimum wage can play a beneficial role not only for the 657 hotel industry but also for local society. 658

659

# 660 **References**

- Aaronson, D. (2001). Price pass-through and the minimum wage. Review of Economics andstatistics, 83(1), 158-169.
- Aaronson, D., French, E., & MacDonald, J. (2008). The minimum wage, restaurant prices, and

labor market structure. Journal of Human Resources, 43(3), 688-720.

- Aaronson, D., French, E., Sorkin, I., & To, T. (2018). Industry dynamics and the minimum
- wage: a putty-clay approach. International Economic Review, 59(1), 51-84.
- Abrate, G., Fraquelli, G., & Viglia, G. (2012). Dynamic pricing strategies: Evidence from
- European hotels. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 31(1), 160-168.
- Adam-Smith, D., Norris, G., & Williams, S. (2003). Continuity or change? The implications of
- the National Minimum Wage for work and employment in the hospitality industry. Work,
- 671 Employment and Society, 17(1), 29-47.

- Afshartous, D., & Preston, R. A. (2011). Key results of interaction models with centering.
- **673** Journal of Statistics Education, 19(3).
- 674 AH&LA. (2014). National Survey of Hotel Wages & Benefits. Retrieved from
- 675 https://www.traveldailynews.com/news/article/62192/hotel-jobs-offer-high-wages
- Ahmad, R., Scott, N., & Abdul-Rahman, R. (2016). Why minimum wage order implementation
- 677 is a challenge to human resource managers in Langkawi hotels. International Journal of Culture,
- 678 Tourism and Hospitality Research, 10(2), 191–204. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCTHR-06-2015-
- 679 0065
- Allegretto, S., & Nadler, C. (2015). Tipped Wage Effects on Earnings and Employment in Full-
- 681 Service Restaurants. Industrial Relations: A Journal of Economy and Society, 54(4), 622–647.
- 682 https://doi.org/10.1111/irel.12108
- Allegretto, S., & Reich, M. (2018). Are Local Minimum Wages Absorbed by Price Increases?
- Estimates from Internet-Based Restaurant Menus. ILR Review, 71(1), 35–63.
- 685 https://doi.org/10.1177/0019793917713735
- Baltagi, B. (2008). Econometric analysis of panel data. John Wiley & Sons.
- Basker, E., & Khan, M. T. (2016). Does the minimum wage bite into fast-food prices?. Journal
- 688 of Labor Research, 37(2), 129-148.
- Ballinger, G. A. (2004). Using generalized estimating equations for longitudinal data analysis.
- 690 Organizational research methods, 7(2), 127-150.
- Bebko, C. P. (2000). Service intangibility and its impact on consumer expectations of service
- 692 quality. Journal of services marketing.

- Bell, A., Fairbrother, M., & Jones, K. (2019). Fixed and random effects models: making an
- 694 informed choice. Quality & Quantity, 53(2), 1051-1074.
- 695 Bell, A., & Jones, K. (2015). Explaining fixed effects: Random effects modeling of time-series
- cross-sectional and panel data. Political Science Research and Methods, 3(1), 133-153.
- 697 Benoit, K. (2011). Linear regression models with logarithmic transformations. London School of
- 698 Economics, London, 22(1), 23-36.
- Brown, C. (1999). Minimum wages, employment, and the distribution of income. Handbook of
- 700 labor economics, 3, 2101-2163.
- 701 Brown, D., & Crossman, A. (2000). Employer strategies in the face of a national minimum
- wage: an analysis of the hotel sector. Industrial Relations Journal, 31(3), 206–219.
- 703 https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2338.00158
- Callaway, B., & Sant'Anna, P. H. (2018). Difference-in-differences with multiple time periods
- and an application on the minimum wage and employment. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.09015.
- Canina, L., Enz, C. A., & Harrison, J. S. (2005). Agglomeration Effects and Strategic
- 707 Orientations: Evidence From The U.S. Lodging Industry. Academy of Management Journal,
- 708 48(4), 565–581. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2005.17843938
- 709 Card, D., & Krueger, A. B. (1995). Time-series minimum-wage studies: a meta-analysis. The
- American Economic Review, 85(2), 238-243.
- 711 Chacko, H., Davidson, M., & Green, Y. (2006). The 'Big Easy or the Hard Ask': A Case Study
- of Service Quality in New Orleans Hotels. Journal of Hospitality & Leisure Marketing, 13(3–4),
- 713 183–205. https://doi.org/10.1300/J150v13n03\_10

- 714 Chathoth, P. K. (2007). The impact of information technology on hotel operations, service
- 715 management and transaction costs: A conceptual framework for full-service hotel firms.
- 716 International Journal of Hospitality Management, 26(2), 395–408.
- 717 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2006.03.004
- 718 Chathoth, P. K., & Olsen, M. D. (2003). Strategic alliances: A hospitality industry perspective.
- 719 International Journal of Hospitality Management, 22(4), 419–434.
- 720 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2003.07.001
- 721 Chen, C.-M., & Chang, K.-L. (2012). Diversification strategy and financial performance in the
- Taiwanese hotel industry. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 31(3), 1030–1032.
- 723 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2011.10.003
- 724 Cuong, N. V. (2017). Do minimum wage increases matter to firm profitability? The case of
- 725 Vietnam. Journal of International Development, 29(6), 790-804.
- 726 Dickens, R., Machin, S., & Manning, A. (1999). The effects of minimum wages on employment:
- Theory and evidence from Britain. Journal of labor economics, 17(1), 1-22.
- 728 Draca, M., Machin, S., & Van Reenen, J. (2011). Minimum wages and firm profitability.
- American economic journal: applied economics, 3(1), 129-51.
- 730 Dube, A., Naidu, S., & Reich, M. (2007). The economic effects of a citywide minimum wage.
- 731 ILR Review, 60(4), 522-543.
- 732 Dube, A. (2019). "Impacts of minimum wages: Review of the international evidence.
- 733 Independent report, https://www. gov. uk/government/publications/impacts-of-minimum-wages-
- 734 review-of-the-international-evidence.

- 735 Dube, A., Lester, T. W., & Reich, M. (2010). Minimum wage effects across state borders:
- Estimates using contiguous counties. The review of economics and statistics, 92(4), 945-964.
- 737 Dube, A., Lester, T. W., & Reich, M. (2016). Minimum wage shocks, employment flows, and
- labor market frictions. Journal of Labor Economics, 34(3), 663-704.
- 739 Enders, C. K., & Tofighi, D. (2007). Centering predictor variables in cross-sectional multilevel
- models: a new look at an old issue. Psychological methods, 12(2), 121.
- Forgacs, G., & Dimanche, F. (2016). Revenue challenges for hotels in the sharing economy:
- facing the Airbnb menace. Journal of Revenue and Pricing Management, 15(6), 509-515.
- 743 Gardiner, J. C., Luo, Z., & Roman, L. A. (2009). Fixed effects, random effects and GEE: what
- are the differences?. Statistics in medicine, 28(2), 221-239.
- Greene, W. H. (2008). The econometric approach to efficiency analysis. The measurement ofproductive efficiency and productivity growth, 1(1), 92-250.
- Harasztosi, P., & Lindner, A. (2019). Who Pays for the minimum Wage?. American Economic
  Review, 109(8), 2693-2727.
- Hanley, J. A., Negassa, A., Edwardes, M. D. D., & Forrester, J. E. (2003). Statistical analysis of
- correlated data using generalized estimating equations: an orientation. American journal of
- r51 epidemiology, 157(4), 364-375.
- Haynes, P., & Fryer, G. (2000). Human resources, service quality and performance: a case study.
- 753 International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management.
- Hoque, K. (2000). Human Resource Management in the Hotel Industry: Strategy, Innovation and
- 755 Performance. London and New York: Routledge.

- Hubbard, A. E., Ahern, J., Fleischer, N. L., Van der Laan, M., Satariano, S. A., Jewell, N., ... &
- 757 Satariano, W. A. (2010). To GEE or not to GEE: comparing population average and mixed
- models for estimating the associations between neighborhood risk factors and health.
- 759 Epidemiology, 467-474.
- 760 Iacobucci, D., Schneider, M. J., Popovich, D. L., & Bakamitsos, G. A. (2016). Mean centering
- helps alleviate "micro" but not "macro" multicollinearity. Behavior research methods, 48(4),1308-1317.
- 763 I-94 Arrivals: Monthly-Quarterly-Annual: National Travel and Tourism Office. (n.d.). Retrieved
- July 23, 2020, from https://travel.trade.gov/view/m-2017-I-001/index.asp
- Jardim, E., Long, M. C., Plotnick, R., Van Inwegen, E., Vigdor, J., & Wething, H. (2017).
- 766 Minimum wage increases, wages, and low-wage employment: Evidence from Seattle (No.
- 767 w23532). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Joo-Ee, G. (2016). Minimum wage and the hospitality industry in Malaysia: An analysis of
- remployee perceptions. Journal of Human Resources in Hospitality & Tourism, 15(1), 29–44.
- 770 https://doi.org/10.1080/15332845.2015.1008396
- Keene, O. N. (1995). The log transformation is special. Statistics in medicine, 14(8), 811-819.
- Keller, L., & Kelley, J. A. I. (2015, December 15). How hoteliers can handle a minimum wage
- jump. Hotel News Now, pp. 1–4. Retrieved from
- http://www.hotelnewsnow.com/Articles/29034/How-hoteliers-can-handle-a-minimum-wage-jump

- Kim, H. S., & Jang, S. (Shawn). (2019). Minimum wage increase and firm productivity:
- Evidence from the restaurant industry. Tourism Management, 71(October 2018), 378–388.
- 778 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2018.10.029
- Kim, H. S., & Jang, S. S. (2020). Does a minimum wage increase endanger restaurant jobs?
- Examining the role of franchising. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 84, 102325.
- 781 Kline, S., & Hsieh, Y. C. J. (2007). Wage differentials in the lodging industry: A case study.
- Journal of Human Resources in Hospitality & Tourism, 6(1), 69-84.
- Lai, I. K. W., & Hitchcock, M. (2017). Sources of satisfaction with luxury hotels for new, repeat,
- and frequent travelers: A PLS impact-asymmetry analysis. Tourism Management, 60, 107–129.
- 785 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2016.11.011
- Lechner, M. (2011). The estimation of causal effects by difference-in-difference methods.
- Foundations and Trends® in Econometrics, 4(3), 165-224.
- Lee, M. J., & Jang, S. (Shawn). (2007). Market diversification and financial performance and
- stability: A study of hotel companies. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 26(2),
- 790 362–375. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2006.02.002
- Lemos, S. (2006). Anticipated effects of the minimum wage on prices. Applied Economics,
  38(3), 325-337.
- Liang, K. Y., & Zeger, S. L. (1986). Longitudinal data analysis using generalized linear models.
  Biometrika, 73(1), 13-22.
- Lynn, M., & Boone, C. (2015). Have minimum wage increases hurt the restaurant industry? Theevidence says no!.

- MacDonald, J. M., & Aaronson, D. (2006). How firms construct price changes: Evidence from
  restaurant responses to increased minimum wages. American Journal of Agricultural Economics,
  88(2), 292-307.
- MaCurdy, T. (2015). How effective is the minimum wage at supporting the poor?. Journal of
  Political Economy, 123(2), 497-545.
- Meer, J., & West, J. (2016). Effects of the minimum wage on employment dynamics. Journal of
  Human Resources, 51(2), 500-522.
- MaCurdy, T. (2015). How effective is the minimum wage at supporting the poor?. Journal of
- 805 Political Economy, 123(2), 497-545.
- Mandelbaum, R. (2017, August 15). How hotel managers are coping with rising wages. Hotel
- 807 Management, pp. 1–4. Retrieved from https://www.hotelmanagement.net/operate/how-increases-
- 808 compensation-labor-costs-are-leading-to-cost-savings
- Mun, S. G., Woo, L., & Paek, S. (2019). How important is F&B operation in the hotel industry?
- Empirical evidence in the U.S. market. Tourism Management, 75, 156–168.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2019.03.010Metcalf, D. (2008). Why has the British national
- minimum wage had little or no impact on employment?. Journal of Industrial Relations, 50(3),489-512.
- Neumark, D., & Wascher, W. (2002). Do minimum wages fight poverty?. Economic Inquiry,
  40(3), 315-333.
- 816 Neumark, D., & Nizalova, O. (2007). Minimum wage effects in the longer run. Journal of
- 817 Human resources, 42(2), 435-452.

- 818 Neumark, D., & Wascher, W. L. (2007). Minimum wages and employment. Foundations and
- 819 Trends $\mathbb{R}$  in Microeconomics, 3(1-2), 1-182.
- 820 Peng, N., & Chen, A. (2019). Examining consumers' luxury hotel stay repurchase intentions-
- 821 incorporating a luxury hotel brand attachment variable into a luxury consumption value model.
- 822 International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 31(3), 1348–1366.
- 823 https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-04-2018-0332
- Plümper, T., & Troeger, V. E. (2007). Efficient estimation of time-invariant and rarely changing
- variables in finite sample panel analyses with unit fixed effects. Political analysis, 124-139.
- Reich, M., Montialoux, C., Allegretto, S., Jacobs, K., Bernhardt, A., & Thomason, S. (2016).
- The Effects of a \$15 Minimum Wage by 2019 in San Jose and Santa Clara County. Unversity of
- 828 California, Berkeley. Retrieved from http://irle.berkeley.edu/cwed/briefs/2016-03.pdf
- 829 Ren, L., Qiu, H., Wang, P., & Lin, P. M. C. (2016). Exploring customer experience with budget
- hotels: Dimensionality and satisfaction. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 52,
- 831 13–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2015.09.009
- 832 Repetti, T., & Roe, S. (2018). Minimum wage change effects on restaurant pricing and
- employment. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management.
- Riley, R., & Rosazza Bondibene, C. (2017). Raising the standard: Minimum wages and firm
- productivity. Labour Economics, 44, 27–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.labeco.2016.11.010
- 836 Robinson, C., & Schumacker, R. E. (2009). Interaction effects: centering, variance inflation
- factor, and interpretation issues. Multiple linear regression viewpoints, 35(1), 6-11.

- 838 Sherk, J. (2017, January). \$15 Minimum Wages Will Substantially Raise Prices. The Heritage
- 839 Foundation, Background(3160), 1–14. Retrieved from http://report.heritage.org/bg3160
- 840 STR. (2020). Glossary. Retrieved from https://str.com/data-insights/resources/glossary/f
- 841 Sun, L.-Y., Aryee, S., & Law, K. S. (2007). High-Performance Human Resource Practices,
- 842 Citizenship Behavior, and Organizational Performance: A Relational Perspective. Academy of
- 843 Management Journal, 50(3), 558–577. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2007.25525821
- Tanford, S., Raab, C., & Kim, Y. S. (2012). Determinants of customer loyalty and purchasing
- 845 behavior for full-service and limited-service hotels. International Journal of Hospitality
- 846 Management, 31(2), 319-328.
- Torres-Reyna, O. (2007). Panel data analysis fixed and random effects using Stata (v. 4.2). Data
- 848 & Statistical Services, Priceton University, 1-40.
- 849 WageWatch. (2019). U.S. Lodging Wage forecast 2019. Scottdale, AZ.
- Wessels, W. J. (1997). Minimum Wages and Tipped Servers. Economic Inquiry, 35(2), 334–349.
- 851 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1465-7295.1997.tb01914.x
- Wing, C., Simon, K., & Bello-Gomez, R. A. (2018). Designing difference in difference studies:
- best practices for public health policy research. Annual review of public health, 39.
- Wooldridge, J. M. (2010). Econometric analysis of cross section and panel data. MIT press.
- Xu, X., & Li, Y. (2016). The antecedents of customer satisfaction and dissatisfaction toward
- various types of hotels: A text mining approach. International Journal of Hospitality
- 857 Management, 55, 57–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2016.03.003

- 858 Yeh, C.-Y., Chen, C.-M., & Hu, J.-L. (2012). Business Diversification in the Hotel Industry: A
- 859 Comparative Advantage Analysis. Tourism Economics, 18(5), 941–952.
- 860 https://doi.org/10.5367/te.2012.0152
- 861 Zeger, S. L., & Liang, K. Y. (1986). Longitudinal data analysis for discrete and continuous
- 862 outcomes. Biometrics, 121-130.

|                      | A 11     | MW change | MW change | Full-    | Limited- |
|----------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
|                      | All      | (Yes)     | (No)      | service  | service  |
| RevPAR(\$)           | 92.21    | 98.46     | 87.61     | 132.24   | 64.24    |
|                      | (66.14)  | (72.02)   | (61.06)   | (78.70)  | (34.67)  |
| Occupancy %          | 73.17    | 73.77     | 72.73     | 73.12    | 73.21    |
|                      | (10.14)  | (10.50)   | (9.84)    | (9.98)   | (10.24)  |
| ADR(\$)              | 124.23   | 131.08    | 119.20    | 178.67   | 86.19    |
|                      | (85.14)  | (92.45)   | (78.94)   | (101.80) | (39.44)  |
| GOPPAR(\$)           | 47.87    | 51.78     | 45.00     | 67.55    | 34.12    |
|                      | (36.26)  | (39.06)   | (33.77)   | (43.60)  | (21.11)  |
| Total salary         | 36.93    | 39.41     | 35.11     | 70.37    | 13.57    |
| expensePAR(\$)       | (51.64)  | (55.58)   | (48.47)   | (66.85)  | (9.04)   |
| Total salary expense | 26.46    | 26.10     | 26.72     | 34.07    | 21.14    |
| /Total revenue %     | (10.18)  | (10.29)   | (10.09)   | (10.34)  | (5.72)   |
| Minimum Wage (\$)    | 7.89     | 8.13      | 7.72      | 8.00     | 7.82     |
|                      | (0.84)   | (1.09)    | (0.51)    | (0.86)   | (0.81)   |
| Year of operation    | 22.03    | 21.39     | 22.50     | 27.65    | 18.10    |
|                      | (15.21)  | (15.30)   | (15.13)   | (19.89)  | (8.90)   |
| Number of rooms      | 236.65   | 238.68    | 235.16    | 398.69   | 123.44   |
|                      | (258.89) | (258.54)  | (259.16)  | (339.97) | (44.19)  |
| Observations         | 10,664   | 4,513     | 6,151     | 4,386    | 6,278    |

Table 1. Statistical information



33 2010 2012 2014 2016 2008 2014 2016 2010 2012 2008 2010 2012 2014 2016 2008 2010 2012 2008 2014 2016 Year Year 95% CI 95% CI - Fitted values Fitted values Graphs by Full Graphs by Full

Figure 1. Changes in salary expense and ADR over the years



Figure 2. State minimum wage from 2008 to 2017

|                         | DV: Log (Total salary expense/Total revenue) |               |                |                |                |              |  |
|-------------------------|--|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--|
|                         | OLS  | GEE           | RE             | OLS            | GEE            | RE           |  |
| LogRevPAR               | -0.1753***                                   | -0.7115***    | -0.4976***     | -0.1665***     | -0.7042***     | -0.4886***   |  |
|                         | (0.0099)                                     | (0.0151)      | (0.0188)       | (0.0100)       | (0.0148)       | (0.0190)     |  |
| LogRevPAR               |  |               |                | $0.4752^{***}$ | $0.2912^{***}$ | 0.3418***    |  |
| * LogMW                 | -  | -             | -              | (0.0512)       | (0.0380)       | (0.0410)     |  |
| LogMW                   | $0.1058^{***}$                               | 0.0283        | -0.0595        | 0.0182         | -0.1321***     | -0.2368***   |  |
|                         | (0.0351)                                     | (0.0353)      | (0.0379)       | (0.0352)       | (0.0422)       | (0.0461)     |  |
| Class                   | -0.1456***                                   | -0.0185***    | -0.0752***     | -0.1428***     | -0.0189***     | -0.0750***   |  |
|                         | (0.0034)                                     | (0.0047)      | (0.0082)       | (0.0034)       | (0.0046)       | (0.0081)     |  |
| Location                | -0.0010                                      | -0.0037       | 0.0010         | -0.0017        | -0.0036        | 0.0010       |  |
|                         | (0.0021)                                     | (0.0042)      | (0.0061)       | (0.0021)       | (0.0042)       | (0.0061)     |  |
| Year of                 | $0.0033^{***}$                               | -0.0003       | $0.0015^{*}$   | 0.0031***      | -0.0004        | $0.0014^{*}$ |  |
| operation               | (0.0002)                                     | (0.0005)      | (0.0008)       | (0.0002)       | (0.0005)       | (0.0008)     |  |
| Size                    | $0.0930^{***}$                               | $0.0166^{**}$ | $0.0438^{***}$ | $0.0937^{***}$ | $0.0165^{**}$  | 0.0443***    |  |
|                         | (0.0033)                                     | (0.0065)      | (0.0100)       | (0.0032)       | (0.0065)       | (0.0100)     |  |
| Log state               | -0.0900***                                   | -0.1422***    | -0.1000***     | -0.1026***     | -0.1234***     | -0.0915***   |  |
| total revenue           | (0.0071)                                     | (0.0343)      | (0.0272)       | (0.0072)       | (0.0339)       | (0.0271)     |  |
| Log state               | 0.3313***                                    | 1.5338***     | 1.1425***      | 0.3158***      | 1.6021***      | 1.2348***    |  |
| monthly                 | (0.0976)                                     | (0.0838)      | (0.1031)       | (0.0975)       | (0.0840)       | (0.1014)     |  |
| employment              | Ň,   |               | ``´´           |                |                | · · · ·      |  |
| Year                    | Yes  | Yes           | Yes            | Yes            | Yes            | Yes          |  |
| Constant                | -3.9351***                                   | -18.7634***   | -14.3394***    | -4.0211****    | -23.1963***    | -18.1947***  |  |
|                         | (1.4576)                                     | (0.9817)      | (1.3443)       | (1.4921)       | (1.0494)       | (1.3934)     |  |
| Observations            |  |               | 10,            | 627            |                |              |  |
| Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> | 0.51   | -             | 0.01           | 0.51           | -              | 0.01         |  |
| Wald Chi <sup>2</sup>   | _  | 5.248***      | $3.854^{***}$  | _              | 5.243***       | 3.776***     |  |

Table 2. Effect of minimum wage (MW) on a hotel firm's salary expense

Note: OLS is ordinary linear regression; GEE is generalized estimating equation; RE is a two-way random-effects model; LogMW is the natural log of state's minimum wage; when a model includes an interaction term of LogRevPAR\*LogMW, the model uses the mean centered value of LogRevPAR and LogMW to avoid the multicollinearity; bracket is robust standard errors; \*significant at 10%; \*\*significant at 5%; \*\*\*significant at 1%.

|                         | DV: Log (Total salary expense/Total revenue) |                |                 |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|--|----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
|                         | OLS  | GEE            | RE              |  |  |  |
| LogRevPAR               | -0.1724***                                   | -0.6955***     | -0.5130***      |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0093)                                     | (0.0151)       | (0.0183)        |  |  |  |
| LogMW                   | -0.3162***                                   | -0.1255***     | $0.2290^{***}$  |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0378)                                     | (0.0432)       | (0.0478)        |  |  |  |
| LogMW * Full            | $0.8255^{***}$                               | $0.2985^{***}$ | $0.3608^{***}$  |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0524)                                     | (0.0465)       | (0.0510)        |  |  |  |
| Full                    | $0.2529^{***}$                               | $0.1488^{***}$ | $0.2943^{***}$  |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0083)                                     | (0.0181)       | (0.0254)        |  |  |  |
| Class                   | -0.1038***                                   | -0.0205***     | -0.0664***      |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0034)                                     | (0.0047)       | (0.0073)        |  |  |  |
| Location                | -0.0023                                      | -0.0035        | -0.0010         |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0019)                                     | (0.0043)       | (0.0056)        |  |  |  |
| Year of operation       | 0.0031***                                    | -0.0003        | $0.0014^{**}$   |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0002)                                     | (0.0005)       | (0.0007)        |  |  |  |
| Size                    | $0.0526^{***}$                               | 0.0161**       | $0.0291^{***}$  |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0034)                                     | (0.0065)       | (0.0088)        |  |  |  |
| Log state total revenue | -0.0939***                                   | -0.1403***     | $-0.0952^{***}$ |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0069)                                     | (0.0332)       | (0.0241)        |  |  |  |
| Log state monthly       | $0.4047^{***}$                               | 1.5163***      | $1.1451^{***}$  |  |  |  |
| employment              | (0.0858)                                     | (0.0831)       | (0.0944)        |  |  |  |
| Year                    | Yes  | Yes            | Yes             |  |  |  |
| Constant                | $-4.9079^{***}$                              | -18.6033***    | -14.6347***     |  |  |  |
|                         | (1.3076)                                     | (1.0308)       | (1.3016)        |  |  |  |
| Observations            |  | 10,627         |                 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> | 0.56   | -              | 0.31            |  |  |  |
| Wald Chi <sup>2</sup>   | -  | 5,059***       | $4,840^{***}$   |  |  |  |

Table 3. Moderating effect of full-service hotel on the relationship between the minimum wage (MW) and a hotel firm's salary expense

Note: OLS is ordinary linear regression; GEE is generalized estimating equation; RE is a two-way random-effects model; LogMW is the natural log of state's minimum wage; Full is a dummy variable 1 for full-service hotels and 0 for limited-service hotels; when a model includes an interaction term of LogRevPAR\*LogMW, the model uses the mean centered value of LogRevPAR and LogMW to avoid the multicollinearity; bracket is robust standard errors; \*significant at 10%; \*\*significant at 5%; \*\*\*significant at 1%.

|                         | DV: LogRevPAR       |                |                |                |                |                |
|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                         | OLS                 | GEE            | RE             | OLS            | GEE            | RE             |
| LogOccupancy            | 1.4481***           | 0.9547***      | $0.9772^{***}$ | 1.4575***      | 0.9902***      | $1.0110^{***}$ |
|                         | (0.0215)            | (0.0198)       | (0.0199)       | (0.0230)       | (0.0203)       | (0.0203)       |
| LogOccupancy            |                     |                |                | $0.4418^{*}$   | $1.2887^{***}$ | $1.2470^{***}$ |
| * LogMW                 | -                   | -              | -              | (0.2535)       | (0.1516)       | (0.1520)       |
| LogMW                   | 0.6393***           | 0.3131***      | 0.3679***      | $0.6212^{***}$ | $0.1758^{***}$ | $0.2358^{***}$ |
|                         | (0.0392)            | (0.0391)       | (0.0401)       | (0.0407)       | (0.0380)       | (0.0392)       |
| Class                   | -0.2756***          | -0.0298***     | -0.0736***     | -0.2757***     | -0.0289***     | -0.0721***     |
|                         | (0.0025)            | (0.0037)       | (0.0058)       | (0.0025)       | (0.0038)       | (0.0057)       |
| Location                | -0.0013             | $0.0117^{***}$ | $0.0146^{***}$ | -0.0012        | $0.0119^{***}$ | $0.0148^{***}$ |
|                         | (0.0022)            | (0.0043)       | (0.0048)       | (0.0022)       | (0.0044)       | (0.0049)       |
| Year of                 | $0.0009^{***}$      | 0.0006         | 0.0010         | $0.0009^{***}$ | 0.0006         | 0.0010         |
| operation               | (0.0003)            | (0.0005)       | (0.0007)       | (0.0003)       | (0.0005)       | (0.0007)       |
| Size                    | $0.0065^{*}$        | -0.0076        | -0.0034        | $0.0064^{*}$   | -0.0074        | -0.0032        |
|                         | (0.0034)            | (0.0056)       | (0.0078)       | (0.0034)       | (0.0057)       | (0.0078)       |
| Log state total         | $0.1152^{***}$      | $0.4476^{***}$ | 0.3333***      | $0.1124^{***}$ | $0.4383^{***}$ | $0.3283^{***}$ |
| revenue                 | (0.0069)            | (0.0312)       | (0.0281)       | (0.0071)       | (0.0321)       | (0.0285)       |
| Log state               | -0.4688***          | -0.3501***     | -0.2520***     | -0.4682***     | -0.2483***     | -0.1571***     |
| monthly                 | (0.1221)            | (0.0664)       | (0.0719)       | (0.1221)       | (0.0719)       | (0.0754)       |
| employment              |                     | ( /            | (,             |                | ()             |                |
| Year                    | Yes                 | Yes            | Yes            | Yes            | Yes            | Yes            |
| Constant                | $2.7332^{***}$      | -3.5676***     | -2.9241***     | 10.2954***     | -0.2780        | 0.6620         |
|                         | (1.8225)            | (0.7660)       | (0.9324)       | (1.8801)       | (0.8822)       | (1.0269)       |
| Observations            | Observations 10,664 |                |                |                |                |                |
| Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> | 0.80                | -              | 0.54           | 0.80           | -              | 0.54           |
| Wald Chi <sup>2</sup>   | -                   | 20,136***      | 19,906***      | -              | 20,503***      | 20,475***      |

Table 4. Effect of minimum wage (MW) on a hotel firm's ADR

Note: OLS is ordinary linear regression; GEE is generalized estimating equation; RE is a two-way random-effects model; LogMW is the natural log of state's minimum wage; when a model includes an interaction term of LogRevPAR\*LogMW, the model uses the mean centered value of LogRevPAR and LogMW to avoid the multicollinearity; bracket is robust standard errors; \*significant at 10%; \*\*significant at 5%; \*\*\*significant at 1%.

|                         | DV: LogRevPAR   |                |                                       |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|                         | OLS             | GEE            | RE                                    |  |  |  |
| LogOccupancy            | 1.4529***       | 0.9594***      | 0.9821***                             |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0211)        | (0.0196)       | (0.0197)                              |  |  |  |
| LogMW                   | $0.9194^{***}$  | $0.4954^{***}$ | $0.5558^{***}$                        |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0399)        | (0.0476)       | (0.0482)                              |  |  |  |
| LogMW * Full            | $-0.7430^{***}$ | -0.3521***     | -0.3669***                            |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0538)        | (0.0528)       | (0.0537)                              |  |  |  |
| Full                    | $0.0863^{***}$  | $0.0368^{***}$ | $0.1280^{***}$                        |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0097)        | (0.0143)       | (0.0186)                              |  |  |  |
| Class                   | -0.2640***      | -0.0299***     | -0.0695***                            |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0029)        | (0.0037)       | (0.0055)                              |  |  |  |
| Location                | -0.0004         | 0.0119***      | $0.0141^{***}$                        |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0022)        | (0.0043)       | (0.0046)                              |  |  |  |
| Year of operation       | $0.0011^{***}$  | 0.0007         | 0.0010                                |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0003)        | (0.0005)       | (0.0007)                              |  |  |  |
| Size                    | -0.0090***      | -0.0087        | -0.0093                               |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0039)        | (0.0056)       | (0.0074)                              |  |  |  |
| Log state total revenue | $0.1235^{***}$  | 0.4343***      | $0.3209^{***}$                        |  |  |  |
|                         | (0.0069)        | (0.0300)       | (0.0245)                              |  |  |  |
| Log state monthly       | $-0.4542^{***}$ | -0.3706***     | -0.2809***                            |  |  |  |
| employment              | (0.1151)        | (0.0663)       | (0.0709)                              |  |  |  |
| Year                    | Yes             | Yes            | Yes                                   |  |  |  |
| Constant                | 3.6153***       | -2.3837***     | -1.5566***                            |  |  |  |
|                         | (1.7636)        | (0.8121)       | (0.9566)                              |  |  |  |
| Observations            |                 | 10,664         | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |  |  |
| Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> | 0.80            | -              | 0.62                                  |  |  |  |
| Wald Chi <sup>2</sup>   | -               | 20,464***      | 19,781***                             |  |  |  |

Table 5. Moderating effect of full-service hotel on the relationship between the minimum wage (MW) and ADR

Note: OLS is ordinary linear regression; GEE is generalized estimating equation; RE is a two-way random-effects model; LogMW is the natural log of state's minimum wage; Full is a dummy variable 1 for full-service hotels and 0 for limited-service hotels; when a model includes an interaction term of LogMW\*Full, the model uses the mean centered value of LogMW to avoid the multicollinearity; bracket is robust standard errors; \*significant at 10%; \*\*significant at 5%; \*\*\*significant at 1%.

|                         | DV: LogGOPPAR  |                |                |                |            |                |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|----------------|
|                         | OLS            | GEE            | RE             | OLS            | GEE        | RE             |
| LogMW                   | 1.4118***      | $0.7884^{***}$ | $0.8500^{***}$ | 1.9291***      | 0.7222***  | 0.7950***      |
| -                       | (0.0634)       | (0.0993)       | (0.0994)       | (0.0698)       | (0.0994)   | (0.0999)       |
| LogMW * Full            |                |                |                | -1.2961***     | 0.1601     | 0.1199         |
| C                       | -              | -              | -              | (0.0918)       | (0.1238)   | (0.1237)       |
| Full                    |                |                |                | 0.0650***      | 0.1593***  | 0.1844***      |
|                         | -              | -              | -              | (0.0167)       | (0.0328)   | (0.0326)       |
| Class                   | -0.1980***     | -0.0824***     | -0.1020***     | -0.1910***     | -0.0767*** | -0.0903***     |
|                         | (0.0042)       | (0.0098)       | (0.0098)       | (0.0049)       | (0.0097)   | (0.0098)       |
| Location                | 0.0014         | $0.0320^{***}$ | 0.0303***      | 0.0031         | 0.0301***  | $0.0280^{***}$ |
|                         | (0.0041)       | (0.0117)       | (0.0109)       | (0.0041)       | (0.0113)   | (0.0105)       |
| Year of operation       | -0.0012***     | 0.0007         | 0.0005         | -0.0009**      | 0.0005     | 0.0003         |
| -                       | (0.0004)       | (0.0011)       | (0.0011)       | (0.0004)       | (0.0011)   | (0.0011)       |
| Size                    | $0.0977^{***}$ | 0.0043         | 0.0191         | $0.0848^{***}$ | -0.0029    | 0.0061         |
|                         | (0.0065)       | (0.0176)       | (0.0169)       | (0.0072)       | (0.0173)   | (0.0168)       |
| Log state total         | $0.2282^{***}$ | $0.6947^{***}$ | $0.5843^{***}$ | $0.2415^{***}$ | 0.6615***  | $0.5641^{***}$ |
| revenue                 | (0.0135)       | (0.0498)       | (0.0440)       | (0.0135)       | (0.0478)   | (0.0429)       |
| Log state monthly       | -1.3622***     | -1.5318***     | -1.3989***     | -1.3581***     | -1.4832*** | -1.3675***     |
| employment              | (0.1886)       | (0.1878)       | (0.1844)       | (0.1820)       | (0.1840)   | (0.1802)       |
| Year                    | Yes            | Yes            | Yes            | Yes            | Yes        | Yes            |
| Constant                | 17.5451***     | 12.1091***     | 12.1401***     | 20.1217***     | 13.5804*** | 13.7352***     |
|                         | (2.8181)       | (2.5441)       | (2.5606)       | (2.7867)       | (2.6376)   | (2.6412)       |
| Observations            |                |                | 10,            | 634            | ·          |                |
| Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> | 0.48           | -              | 0.36           | 0.49           | -          | 0.40           |
| Wald Chi <sup>2</sup>   | -              | 3,499***       | 3,657***       | -              | 3,671***   | 3,851***       |

Table 6. Effect of minimum wage (MW) on a hotel firm's GOPPAR

Note: OLS is ordinary linear regression; GEE is generalized estimating equation; RE is a two-way random-effects model; LogMW is the natural log of state's minimum wage; Full is a dummy variable 1 for full-service hotels and 0 for limited-service hotels; bracket is robust standard errors; \*significant at 10%; \*\*significant at 5%; \*\*\*significant at 1%.