This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is subject to Springer Nature's AM terms of use (https://www.springernature.com/gp/open-research/policies/accepted-manuscript-terms), but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11440-020-01036-1.

Numerical study of retention efficiency of a flexible barrier in

mitigating granular flow comparing with large-scale physical

modeling test data

By

Dao-Yuan TAN (Research Assistant Professor and Corresponding Author)

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China Email: dytan@polyu.edu.hk

Wei-Qiang FENG (Assistant Professor)

Department of Ocean Sciences and Engineering, The Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, China Email: fengwq@sustech.edu.cn

Jian-Hua YIN (Chair Professor)

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China Tel: (852) 2766-6065, Fax: (852) 2334-6389, Email: cejhyin@polyu.edu.hk

Zhuo-Hui ZHU

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China Email: zhuo-hui.zhu@connect.polyu.hk

and

Jie-Qiong QIN

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China Email: jieqiong.qin@connect.polyu.hk

This manuscript is submitted to Acta Geotechnica for possible publication as a

Research Paper

1 Abstract

2 Retention behavior of a flexible barrier in mitigating a granular flow is still an open 3 problem not fully understood, especially due to the complexity of the granular material 4 and the flexible barrier. Understanding the retention mechanism and quantifying the 5 influencing factors of retention efficiency are desirable for optimizing the design and 6 minimizing the maintenance cost of a debris-resisting flexible barrier. In this paper, a 7 numerical model, based on the discrete element method, is presented, calibrated, and 8 validated to analyze the interaction between a granular flow and a flexible net. A full-9 scale numerical simulation is first performed to compare with a large-scale physical 10 modeling test in the literature and validate the applied parameters in the simulation. The 11 interaction and deposition characteristics of the granular flow interacting with a flexible 12 net are revealed. Afterwards, parametric study is performed to investigate the effects of 13 the internal friction angle (ϕ) of debris material and the relative mesh size of flexible 14 net on the retention efficiency and clogging mechanism of a flexible barrier. The 15 simulation results illustrate that the particle passing ratio (P) increases with increment 16 of the friction angle of particles and enlargement of the mesh size of a flexible net. Both 17 parameters have critical effects on the retention efficiency of a flexible barrier in 18 intercepting a granular flow. Therefore, the friction angle and the particle size 19 distribution (PSD) characteristics of the debris material are suggested being used for 20 optimization of the mesh size and more efficient design of debris-resisting flexible 21 barriers.

24

Keywords: Discrete element method; Flexible barrier; Retention efficiency; Granular
 flow

25 **1. Introduction**

26 Gravity-driven flows of grain-fluid mixtures with free upper surfaces are common 27 geomorphologic phenomena in mountainous regions, which can impose serious threats 28 to human lives, properties and the infrastructure (Crosta et al. 2001; Cui et al. 2019; 29 Sidle and Chigira 2011; Guo and Cui 2020; Hu et al. 2012). Flexible barriers have been 30 increasingly utilized to prevent those geohazards (Guasti et al. 2011; Song et al. 2018). 31 Compared to conventional rigid concrete check dams, flexible barriers are efficient in 32 impact energy absorption, adaptable to various natural terrains, and friendly to eco-33 environment (Volkwein et al. 2015). A typical flexible barrier mainly consists of a 34 flexible net, supporting structures, and foundations. The dynamic loads of a granular 35 flow first impose on the flexible net, then transfer to the supporting structures, finally 36 to the foundation (Volkwein 2014).

37

38 In the research of geohazard mitigation, large-scale physical modeling tests are 39 preferred by researchers for discovering the interaction characteristics by reproducing 40 impact loads comparable to real events (Bugnion et al. 2012; Iverson et al. 1992). 41 However, based on our experience, a well-prepared physical modeling experiment for 42 granular flow research requires a large-scale experiment facility, a comprehensive 43 dynamic monitoring system, and a long period for preparing massive experiment 44 materials (normally more than several tones), which are extremely time-consuming and 45 environment-impacting. Numerical simulation, as a potential alternative, provides a 46 useful supplement for systematically investigating the behavior of each component of 47 the research system and quantitatively assessing different influencing parameters 48 (Albaba et al. 2017; Cui et al. 2017; Gao and Meguid 2018). The numerical models for 49 the study of granular flow interacting with a flexible barrier are mainly based on finite 50 element method (FEM) (Volkwein 2014; Kwan et al. 2014; Koo et al. 2017; Leonardi 51 et al. 2016) or discrete element method (DEM) (Cundall and Strack 1979; Li and Zhao 52 2018; Albaba et al. 2017; Leonardi et al. 2019; Zhou et al. 2020). Compared to FEM, which is appropriate for simulating continuous medium, DEM is more suitable for 53 54 modeling the system where large relative displacements may occur owning to its inherent advantage in describing the behavior of granular materials. DEM has been 55 56 utilized and developed in the past few decades for modeling granular flows (Zhou and 57 Ng 2010; Teufelsbauer et al. 2011; Cui et al. 2018) and the interaction with flexible 58 barriers (Hearn 1992; Bourrier et al. 2015; Li and Zhao 2018). Calvetti et al. (2017) 59 conducted DEM simulations to investigate the influencing factors of the maximum 60 impact force imposed by a granular flow on a rigid obstacle. Marchelli et al. (2019) 61 analyzed the interaction of dry granular flows with silt dams and assessed the retention 62 efficiency of a silt dam embedding an outlet with the help of DEM. However, few 63 studies have focused on the retention efficiency of a flexible barrier intercepting a granular flow. The design of flexible nets should be optimized to properly trap 64 65 hazardous boulders, tree trunks, large debris, but allow small particles and slurry pass 66 through to increase the retention efficiency and reduce the burden of cleaning the filled 67 barrier. Wendeler and Volkwein (2015) concluded from laboratory tests that a good 68 retention ratio can be reached when the mesh size of the flexible net is equal to d_{90} (90%) 69 of the sample's mass is comprised of particles with a diameter less than this value) of 70 the debris material. Huo et al. (2018) concluded that the mesh size of a flexible barrier 71 is a significant parameter for enhancing the functionality of retaining granular flows. 72 Tan et al. (2019) found that passing-through of a certain percentage of debris material 73 can reduce the impact force on the flexible barrier. However, drawing useful

conclusions from the results of numerical and experimental studies remains difficult but essential. Researches on the retention mechanism of flexible nets and the influencing factors, such as the mesh size of the flexible barrier and the internal friction angle of the debris materials, on the retention efficiency are still lacking.

78

79 The main objectives of this study are to investigate the retention mechanism of a 80 granular flow with a flexible barrier including the clogging mechanism of particles 81 behind the mesh openings and the influencing factors of debris retention efficiency. To 82 realistically reflect the interaction and retention behavior of a granular flow, the data of 83 a large-scale granular flow impact test in the literature (Tan et al. 2018) are used to 84 calibrate and validate the numerical model established in this study. Basic parameters 85 of particles in the simulation are elaborated based on the element tests of the granular 86 material. Reliability of the numerical model is assessed by comparing the retention 87 behavior of a full-scale simulation with the physical modeling test. Afterwards, the 88 validated numerical model is used to investigate the influences of the friction angle of 89 debris material and the mesh size of a flexible net on the retention efficiency and the 90 clogging mechanism of granular flow interacting with a meshing net.

91

92 2. Numerical model setup

In this study, the DEM tool is adopted to simulate both the granular flow and the flexible barrier, which is modified from the software EDEM (www.edemsimulation.com). The numerical model tests were conducted using a workstation with the Intel i9-9900k processor. DEM explains the interaction between particles by solving the Newton's equation governing the translational and rotational motions of particles in the 98 computational system. The sum of forces of a particle *i* consists of the gravity force and
99 the contact forces with other particles:

100
$$F_{i} = m_{i}g + \sum_{j=0, i\neq j}^{N} F_{ij}$$
(1)

101 where F_i is the sum of forces for particle *i*, $m_i = \rho_i \frac{4}{3} \pi r_i^3$ is the mass of particle *i* with 102 the density ρ_i and the radius r_i , *N* is the number of the contacts on particle *i*, F_{ij} is the

contact force between particle *i* and particle *j*.

104

103

105 In the simulation, the contact force can be decomposed into the friction force $(F_{f,ij})$, the 106 contact forces in the normal direction $(F_{n,ij})$ and the tangential direction $(F_{t,ij})$:

107
$$F_{ij} = F_{n,ij} + F_{f,ij} + F_{f,ij}$$
(2)

108 The contact model is shown in Figure 1. From this figure, the contact forces ($F_{n,ij}$, $F_{t,ij}$) 109 are calculated following the Hertzian–Mindlin contact law by using the springs of 110 stiffness, k_n and k_t , and the damping elements of constants, a_n and a_t . The friction force 111 ($F_{f,ij}$) is calculated using a Coulomb-like criterion with a constant coefficient of sliding 112 friction, μ_s .

113

114 2.1 DEM modeling principles for granular flow and flexible barrier

In this study, granular flow is simulated by spherical particle assembly as a compromise to computational efficiency. It is known that spherical particles cannot perfectly replicate the flow and deposition behavior of real irregular grains (Iverson 2015). To overcome this drawback, rolling resistance (μ_r) is added to the contact model (Girolami et al. 2012; Li et al. 2018; Marchelli et al. 2019). The rolling friction is expressed by generating a torque (M_i) to the contact point of a particle (Girolami et al. 2012):

121
$$M_i = \mu_r F_n \frac{\omega_r}{|\omega_r|} r_i$$
(3)

where μ_r is the coefficient of the rotation friction; F_n is the normal force; ω_r is the angular velocity vector objective at the contact surface; r_i is the distance between the center of the mass and the contact point.

125

126 The described contact model is used to update the position and orientation of each 127 particle in the calculation domain at the given time step. The Young's Modulus and the 128 Poisson's ratio of the particles are determined referring to the literature (Zhu et al. 2019). 129 The friction coefficients (μ_s and μ_r) are calibrated by the element sliding tests, which 130 will be described in the following section.

131

The flexible barrier is simulated by a modified method for DEM originated from the built-in bonding model in EDEM. Discrete particles with remote parallel bonds were originally used for modeling rockfall-resisting wire mesh fence (Nicot et al. 2001; Bertrand et al. 2008; Thoeni et al. 2013). Nowadays, this method has also been adopted to simulate the flexible net of a more complicated debris-resisting flexible barrier (Li and Zhao 2018). To study the retention behavior, a flexible mesh net is simulated by the following steps:

(a) A real rhombus wire mesh net has been digitalized to provide a reference for the
arrangement of the net (see Figure 2a). Pre-arranged particles are used to replace the
cylindrical mesh wire for simulating its contact with granular particles with a center-

142 to-center distance of 15 mm. The diameter and density of the particles are referring 143 to the parameters of the flexible mesh net in the flexible debris-mitigation system. 144 (b) A remote contact referring to a virtual bar-like "glue bond" is used to connect two 145 neighboring net particles, as shown in Figure 2b. This bond has no weight but can 146 sustain normal and tangential forces (F_n , F_t) and moments (M_n , M_t) (Potyondy and 147 Cundall 2004). By utilizing this type of bond, the simulated flexible barrier can 148 sustain large deformation similar to a real flexible mesh net.

149

150 **3.** Calibration of parameters

151 Key parameters need to be calibrated before processing numerical modeling 152 simulations. The contact model on the frictional properties of the granular mass is 153 investigated, which establishes a link to the flowing parameters of natural debris 154 material. The internal friction coefficients (μ_s and μ_r) of the debris material and the basal 155 friction coefficient between the granular material and the slope base $(\mu_{s,c})$ are calibrated 156 by reproducing simple tests recommended in the literature (Hutter and Koch 1991; 157 Zhou et al. 2014). It is difficult to simulate a real-scale granular flow using particles of 158 original diameters due to the high calculation cost of DEM in simulating a massive 159 number of particles. Reasonable amplifications for both particle diameter and mesh size 160 are applied in this study to increase calculation efficiency. In order to realistically 161 reflect the flow and retention behavior of the granular flow in the large-scale physical 162 modeling test, necessary calibration tests of the modified simulation system are 163 conducted. Basic parameters of the amplified particles, such as the internal friction 164 angle and the friction coefficient with the slope base, are first calibrated using the results 165 of the element tests of real debris material. Moreover, appropriate amplification factors 166 for the particles and the mesh size of the flexible net are determined by calibration tests

to guarantee that the simplified simulation system can replicate the retentioncharacteristics of the real-scale particle-net system.

169

170 3.1 Calibration of friction coefficient by conical sand-piling test

171 Hutter and Koch (1991) identified the angle of repose of the cone pile as the internal 172 angle of friction (ϕ) of granular material. Simple conical sand-piling test was performed 173 to determine the angle of friction by pouring granular material on a rough surface (Hutter and Koch 1991; Miura et al. 1997; Zhou et al. 2014). The test follows three 174 175 simple steps: (a) fill granular material into a PVC tube (length: 1.5 m, diameter:0.2 m); 176 (b) lift the tube gradually and let the granular particles freely pile on the rough ground 177 surface to form a cone. The angle of repose can be measured from the side view 178 photograph of the cone (see Figure 3) by averaging the angles of the shoulders (φ_1, φ_2) and the angle calculated from the cone shape $\varphi_3 = \arctan(H/B)$. Repeated tests 179 180 were conducted to obtain reliable results. Using this method, the measured angle of repose for the granular material is 30°. The same process is replicated using the DEM 181 182 model to calibrate the sliding friction coefficient (μ_s) and rolling friction coefficient (μ_r) 183 of the amplified particles in the simulation system, and the simulation results are obtained when the residual kinetic energy vanishes. By simulating the sand-piling tests 184 185 with different friction coefficients, the corresponding friction coefficients for specific 186 friction angles are obtained in Table 1. Those parameters will be used in the following 187 sections for parametric study.

188

189 3.2 Calibration of interface friction coefficient by column sliding test

190 The interface friction coefficients are calibrated by the column sliding test (Zhou et al. 191 2014). A short PVC tube (height: 0.1 m, diameter: 0.2 m) was filled by granular 192 particles on a steel plate with a similar roughness as the slope base, as shown in Figure 193 4a. The PVC tube was lifted 5 mm up to avoid the contact between the tube and the basal plate. The test was started by tilting the steel plate gradually. Meanwhile, a gentle 194 195 push was imposed on the column in the sliding direction until the tube starts to slide 196 continuously. The final tilting angle for continuous sliding of the column was measured 197 as the interface friction angle between the granular material and the slope base. Three 198 tests were repeated to obtain reliable data. For the material and slope base in this study, 199 the measured interface friction angle is 21°. This test procedure is replicated in the 200 numerical model to calibrate the interface friction coefficients of the simulated particles, 201 as shown in Figure 4b, c, d. The calibrated parameters of the steel plate are also adopted 202 to define the frictional contact between the granular material and the flexible net 203 considering the similar properties of metallic material. The calibrated friction 204 coefficients are listed in Table 2.

205

206 3.3 Calibration of the amplification factors for particles and mesh size

Two simplifications are adopted to increase the calculation efficiency of the DEM simulation. First, the particles are categorized into four groups by the diameter (see Figure 5). Second, the mesh size of the flexible net is amplified 1.5 times from 50 mm to 75 mm for less barrier particles and bonds. To validate those simplifications, the Uniformity coefficient (Cu), Coefficient of gradation (Cc), and sorting coefficient of simulation are calculated and compared with the large-scale experiments to indicate the similarity, as listed in Table 3. Moreover, the amplification factor of the particles is 214 determined by comparing the simulation results of the real-size particle-net system with 215 the amplified system using a scale-down simulation domain. The scale-down domain 216 contains a small-scale channel with the width of 0.5 m and a segment of net with the 217 dimension of 0.5 m×0.5 m. Simulations are performed to calibrate the amplification 218 factor of the particle system by comparing the retention behavior of the amplified 219 particle-net system with the real-size system. To quantify the retention efficiency of the 220 flexible net, the Particle Passing Ratio (P) is introduced to quantify the percentage of 221 the passing particles, which can be written as:

$$P = \frac{m_p}{m_t} \times 100\% \tag{4}$$

where m_p is the mass of the leaked granular particles, and m_t is the total mass of the granular flow.

225

Results of the calibration tests are plotted in Figure 6, which shows that the appropriate amplification factors of the particle system and the flexible net are 1.8 and 1.5, respectively.

229

230 4. Simulation process and results of full-scale calibration test

A full-scale DEM simulation is performed to validate the calibrated parameters by replicating a large-scale physical modeling test presented in the literature (Tan et al. 2018). The gravel mass and the geometries in the simulation are kept the same with the physical modeling test. Generation of the granular particles follows the particle size distribution characteristics of the granular material in the physical modeling test. As 236 mentioned in the above section, the particles are categorized into four groups by the 237 diameter, and the mesh size of the flexible net as well as the particle system are 238 amplified 1.5 times and 1.8 times, respectively, to increase calculation efficiency.

239

240 4.1 Model setup for granular mixture and flexible barrier

241 The granular assembly is generated in a storage tank located at the upper end of the 242 slope with the front door closed. Particles with a total mass of 6000 kg are generated in 243 a cubic pattern above the storage tank obeying the predefined categorizing rule. The 244 "sand pluviation technique" is utilized in the material preparation process for unifying 245 the bulk density (Iqbal 2012). In the large-scale experiments, the door flipped up in a 246 short period to avoid the interference to the initiated debris flow with the assistance of 247 an original door-opening system (Tan et al. 2020). To simplify the fast door-opening 248 process, the door is set to be removed by virtualizing the front surface in the numerical 249 simulation after the particles are generated and settled. The model setups of a granular 250 mixture and a flexible mesh net located at the lower end of an inclined slope are 251 illustrated in Figure 7. The dimensions of the simulation geometries are marked in this 252 figure, which are kept the same with the physical modeling facility in Tan et al. (2018). 253 Since this study mainly focuses on the retention efficiency of a flexible barrier, the 254 parameters of the connecting bond between particles are referring to the calibrated data 255 in Li and Zhao (2018), and the critical stress which representing the strength of the 256 flexible net is set relatively high to guarantee that the net is unbreakable under the 257 impacts of a granular flow. Table 4 summarizes the adopted parameters for the 258 particulate granular mixture and the flexible mesh net together with the controlling 259 parameters of the DEM simulation.

261 4.2 Results of the full-scale numerical simulation

262 The flow and interaction behavior of the simulated granular flow are compared with 263 the granular flow impact test (Test 1) presented in Tan et al. (2018). Figure 8a compares 264 the particle passing ratio in the simulation with the value in the large-scale experiment 265 for calibrating the debris retention behavior of particles in the simulation. It shows that 266 the trapped particles by the flexible net can settle down and form a stable deposition 267 dam within 2 seconds, and the particle passing ratios in the simulation and the large-268 scale test are both around 1.7%. The identical particle passing ratio during impact 269 process in the full-scale simulation test shows that the numerical model can well reflect 270 the interaction behavior between granular flow and flexible net. The interaction 271 characteristics at typical times are plotted in Figure 8b. To be constant with the time in 272 the physical modeling test, the starting time of impact is set to 0 s in the figures plotting 273 the simulation results. When the granular flow initially impacts on the flexible barrier, 274 the majority of particles are trapped by the flexible mesh net, and a small proportion of 275 particles pass the flexible barrier through the mesh openings. Afterwards, the trapped 276 particles settle down and form a triangle deposition zone, while the unstable particles 277 still can slowly leak from the mesh openings. With deposition of the granular flow, the 278 interface between the granular material and the flexible barrier enlarges gradually, 279 which accelerates leakage of particles at this stage. During the growth of the deposition 280 wedge, the granular front moves on the top surface of the deposition wedge and losses 281 its velocity gradually due to the inter-layer friction. The belated granular flow deposits 282 behind the dead zone instead of directly impacting on the flexible barrier. From Figure 283 8, it can be concluded that the interaction behavior and the passing-through 284 characteristics of a granular flow impacting on a flexible net in the numerical simulation

285 fit well with the observation and measurement in the physical modeling test. Figure 9 286 further compares the lateral displacement and the deposition height in the simulation 287 with the large-scale experiment to quantitatively calibrate the stiffness of the flexible 288 barrier and the deposition behavior of particles in simulation. In Figure 9a, the flexible 289 net first has a large deformation under consecutive impacts of a granular flow in the 290 first second, which is mainly due to rearrangement and contacts of rings in the primary 291 net. As a comparison, the lateral deformation of the flexible net in simulation increases 292 linearly with the impact time because the flexible mesh net does not behave as a discrete 293 ring net. Even though, the total deformations in simulation and in large-scale 294 experiment are similar. In Figure 9b, the deposition height of particles in simulation 295 shows an identical trend with the large-scale experiment. The illustrated comparison 296 results validate that the established numerical model and the calibrated parameters can 297 be utilized to further investigate the retention mechanism of a granular flow interacting 298 with a flexible mesh net.

299

300 5. Parametric study of influencing factors for debris retention

301 There are some key factors that could affect the debris retention behavior of debris material during the interaction of a granular flow with a flexible net, e.g. friction angle, 302 303 mesh size of a flexible net, shape of openings, and slope angle. Among those 304 influencing factors, friction angle (ϕ) and relative mesh size, which represent the shear 305 strength of debris material and the debris retention ability of flexible mesh net, 306 respectively, are of great importance and can provide a valuable reference for the design 307 of debris-resisting flexible barriers. Therefore, parametric study is performed for two 308 important influencing factors: the friction angle and the relative mesh size of a flexible 309 net. Correspondingly, two groups of simulation tests are performed and analyzed, as 310 shown in Table 5. The geometries in the simulations are kept the same with the full-311 scale simulation test. Since conducting a full-scale DEM simulation is extremely time-312 consuming, the particle amount in the parametric study is halved, and the height of the 313 flexible barrier is also reduced to 2/3 of the original one to make sure that the particles 314 can fill the flexible barrier and present a similar flow behavior with the full-scale 315 simulation test. The results of the parametric study are presented and analyzed in the 316 following sections.

317

318 5.1 Influence of internal friction of debris material

319 The friction coefficients of geophysical flows could be various. The materials of dry 320 granular flows normally have high friction coefficients. While the friction coefficients of saturated debris flows are relatively low due to the friction inhibiting effects of pore 321 322 fluid pressure (Chen and Lee 2000). Marchelli et al. (2019) suggested that debris 323 material has a relatively low angle of repose down to 10 degree due to the friction inhibiting effects of pore fluid pressure, and an angle of repose higher than 30 degree 324 325 can be selected as a typical value for dry and coarse grains. Kwan (2012) also found 326 that the equivalent friction angle of debris flow material could exceed 30 degree. Therefore, investigating the influence of internal friction angle of debris material on the 327 328 retention behavior has great necessity for design of flexible barriers for mitigating 329 geophysical flows.

330

331 The influence of the friction coefficient on the impact behavior and the retention332 capacity of a flexible barrier is examined for a barrier with a uniform mesh size of 75

333 mm. From the friction coefficients obtained in Section 3, the sliding frictional 334 coefficient of granular particles is varied from 0.233 to 0.8 to represent the 335 corresponding angle of repose from 19° to 35°. Particle passing ratio v.s. impact time 336 for debris flows with various angles of repose is plotted in Figure 10a. Notably, a lower friction coefficient generally leads to a higher particle passing ratio. When the granular 337 338 mixture has an equivalent friction angle higher than 30°, the particles can form a stable 339 deposition dam efficiently within 2 seconds after the first contact. For the simulation of particle mixture with relatively low friction angle (19° to 24°), it took a longer time to 340 341 form stable arches and clog the mesh openings, which therefore leads to a higher 342 particle passing ratio of around 5%. Figure 10b presents the final deposition wedges of 343 the granular particles with different friction coefficients. With the decrease of the 344 sliding friction coefficient (μ_s), the deposition wedge tends to be higher and shorter, and 345 more particles travel via the top surface of the debris deposition wedge and pass the 346 flexible mesh net through the upper openings. Reverse segregation of the deposited 347 particles is observed in the retention process, which has been explained by Johnson et 348 al. (2012) using large-scale physical modeling tests and simulated by Zhou and Ng. 349 (2010) using DEM simulations as well. Distributions of small particles and large 350 particles along the deposition height have also been plotted in Figure 10b to quantify 351 the reverse segregation of the deposited granular particles behind the flexible net. Due 352 to the reverse segregation during the deposition process, small particles tend to be 353 deposited in the flexible net initially, and large particles with higher energy are likely 354 to pass through the upper zone of the flexible mesh net. Therefore, we suggest 355 reinforcing the flexible mesh net in the upper section to prevent unexpected damage of 356 the flexible barrier.

357

359 5.2 Influence of barrier mesh size (75 mm, 105 mm, 135 mm, 150 mm)

360 Mesh size of the flexible net is another important parameter that could directly affect 361 the retention efficiency of a flexible mesh net and should be carefully optimized in the 362 design of flexible barriers for mitigating granular flows. From the observation of the 363 physical modeling test in the literature and the former full-scale simulation test, 364 particles with a much smaller diameter than the mesh size still can jam the mesh 365 openings in a short period. To investigate the influence of mesh size on the retention 366 efficiency of a flexible barrier, flexible mesh nets with four different mesh sizes: 75 367 mm (used in the full-scale simulation), 105 mm, 135 mm and 150 mm (flexible net and 368 rigid netting barrier) are modelled. Five simulation tests are correspondingly performed 369 by generating the same package of particles with the internal friction angle (ϕ) of 30° 370 to impact on the flexible net with different mesh size, as shown in Table 5. A 371 dimensionless parameter named relative mesh size (D_{rm}) is introduced to quantify the 372 relationship between the mesh size (d_m) and the typical particle diameter $(d_{90}$ as an 373 example), which is defined as:

$$D_{rm} = \frac{d_m}{d_{90}} \tag{5}$$

375 where d_{90} indicates that 90% of particles in the assembly have smaller diameters.

The particle passing ratios with time in the simulation tests of flexible nets with different mesh sizes are plotted in Figure 11. For the simulations of the flexible nets with the mesh sizes of 75 and 105 mm (D_{rm} equal to 1.74 and 2.44), the deposited particles clog the mesh net and settle down within 2.5 seconds from the first contact. While, the flexible net with a larger mesh size (135 mm, D_{rm} =3.14) needs a much longer 381 time (in 4 s) for the deposited particles to form a stable deposition zone. For the flexible 382 barrier with the largest mesh size of 150 mm ($D_{rm}=3.49$), the particles are unable to 383 form stable arches or clog the mesh openings, and the particles pass through the mesh 384 net consecutively. The gradual leakage of the deposited granular particles can be an efficient way to reduce the maintenance cost after trapping a granular flow. Marchelli 385 386 et al. (2019) discussed the clogging mechanism of a retaining wall with an outlet and concluded that the clogging speed of particles in the outlet has a close relationship with 387 388 the ratio of the outlet width to the particle diameter, which is consistent with our 389 findings of debris-resisting flexible barriers. To study the influence of barrier flexibility 390 on the clogging mechanism of particles interacting with barrier, a simulation test using 391 a rigid netting barrier (RNB) with the mesh size of 150 mm is also performed. From 392 Figure 11, it is found that the rigid barrier with larger mesh openings has a higher 393 particle passing ratio but still can form a stable deposition dam within 3 seconds, which 394 indicates that the mesh size could affect the particle passing ratio, and the flexibility 395 has great influence on the clogging mechanism of particles. To further investigate this 396 phenomenon, force chains and arches in the five tests are plotted in Figure 12. From 397 this figure, it can be observed that the particles can form arches behind the mesh 398 openings when the mesh size is smaller than 150 mm and the RNB with a larger mesh 399 size (150 mm). On the other hand, the flexible net with a large mesh size (150 mm) 400 cannot form stable arches during the impact process except the basal gap between the 401 net and the rigid basal plate, which explains the phenomenon that the particles can pass 402 through the meshes continuously.

403

404 6. Conclusions

405 In this study, numerical simulation offered a significant contribution to understanding 406 the retention mechanism and, more importantly, quantifying the key influencing factors 407 on granular flow retention of a flexible barrier as well as investigating the clogging 408 mechanism of particles interacting with flexible mesh net and RNB. A model based on 409 DEM has been proposed to simulate the impact process of a granular flow on a flexible 410 mesh net. This model was validated by the data from a large-scale physical modeling 411 experiment in the literature, and the important input parameters were calibrated by 412 simple element tests (piling and sliding tests) of the debris material. Although the 413 modeling process still relies on a series of simplifications, the model can address the 414 typical impact and deposition characteristics of a real granular flow interacting with a 415 flexible barrier by quantitatively comparing with the physical modeling test. The 416 validated DEM model was consequently used to investigate the retention efficiency of 417 a flexible barrier in trapping granular flows. Two typical parameters: the internal 418 friction angle of the debris material and the relative mesh size of the flexible net were 419 selected for characterization of retention efficiency. The results indicate that the 420 majority of particles can be trapped by the flexible barrier when the mesh size is 3 times 421 larger than 90% of particles or the stiffness of the flexible net is relatively high due to 422 the clogging mechanism, which has been revealed by the force chains and arches of 423 particles behind the mesh openings in a flexible mesh net or a RNB. Reverse 424 segregation has also been observed during the formation of the deposition wedge. Small 425 particles were deposited behind the barrier to form a deposition wedge first, and large 426 particles with higher energy impacted on the upper part of the mesh net by overtopping 427 the deposition wedge. For the flexible net with a mesh size 3.5 times larger than the d_{90} , 428 particles in the granular flow cannot form stable arches behind the large mesh openings 429 but continuously leaking through the flexible mesh openings. The gradual leakage of 430 debris can reduce the maintenance cost of cleaning the filled flexible barrier after mitigating a debris flow event. Therefore, we suggest using a flexible barrier with a 431 432 large mesh size in the lower section but reinforcing the barrier in the upper section to 433 resist the impacts from large particles, which can provide a more efficient design method to extend the service life and provide a safer protection. Researches should be 434 435 progressively conducted to provide more reliable explanations and update the existing 436 guidelines in order to define a more rational design procedure of debris-resisting 437 flexible barriers.

438

439 Acknowledgement

440 The work in this paper is supported by a grant (ZDBS) from The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, China. We also acknowledge the supports by a CRF project 441 (Grant No.: PolyU12/CRF/13E) and GRF projects (PolyU 152130/19E; PolyU 442 152179/18E; PolyU 152209/17E) from Research Grants Council (RGC) of Hong 443 444 Kong Special Administrative Region Government of China, and Research Institute for Sustainable Urban Development of The Hong Kong Polytechnic University (PolyU), 445 446 Center for Urban Geohazard and Mitigation of Faculty of Construction and Environment of PolyU. 447

448

449 **References**

Albaba, A., Lambert, S., Kneib, F., Chareyre, B., and Nicot, F. (2017). DEM modeling
of a flexible barrier impacted by a dry granular flow. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 50(11), 3029-3048.

453 Calvetti, F., Di Prisco, C. G., and Vairaktaris, E. (2017). DEM assessment of impact
454 forces of dry granular masses on rigid barriers. *Acta Geotechnica*, *12*(1), 129-144.

- Chen, H., and Lee, C. F. (2000). Numerical simulation of debris flows. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 37(1), 146-160.
- 457 Crosta, G. B., Calvetti, F., Imposimato, S., Roddeman, D., Frattini, P., and Agliardi, F.
 458 (2001). Granular flows and numerical modeling of landslides. *Report of*459 DAMOCLES project, 16-36.
- 460 Cui, Y. F., Chan, D., Nouri, A. (2017). Coupling of Solid Deformation and Pore
 461 Pressure for Undrained Deformation a discrete Element Method Approach.
 462 *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics.*463 41(18), 1943-1961.
- 464 Cui, Y., Cheng, D., Choi, C. E., Jin, W., Lei, Y., and Kargel, J. S. (2019). The cost of
 465 rapid and haphazard urbanization: lessons learned from the Freetown landslide
 466 disaster. *Landslides*. 16(6), 1167-1176. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-019467 01167-x.
- 468 Cui, Y., Choi, C. E., Liu, L. H., and Ng, C. W. (2018). Effects of particle size of mono469 disperse granular flows impacting a rigid barrier. *Natural Hazards*, 91(3), 1179470 1201.
- 471 Cundall, P. A., and Strack, O. D. (1979). A discrete numerical model for granular
 472 assemblies. *Geotechnique*, 29(1), 47-65.
- Gao, G., and Meguid, M. A. (2018). On the role of sphericity of falling rock clusters—
 insights from experimental and numerical investigations. *Landslides*, 15(2), 219-232.
- Girolami, L., Hergault, V., Vinay, G. and Wachs, A. (2012). A three-dimensional discrete-grain model for the simulation of dam-break rectangular collapses:
 comparison between numerical results and experiments. *Granular Matter*, 14, No. 3, 381–392.
- Guasti G, Volkwein A, and Wendeler C (2011) Design of flexible debris flow barriers.
 In: 5th international conference on Debris-flow hazard mitigation, mechanics,
 prediction and assessment, Padua, Italy, June, pp 14–17.
- 482 Guo, C., and Cui, Y. (2020) Pore structure characteristics of debris flow source material
 483 in the Wenchuan earthquake area. *Engineering Geology*. 267:105499.
- Hu, K. H., Cui, P., and Zhang, J. Q. (2012). Characteristics of damage to buildings by
 debris flows on 7 August 2010 in Zhouqu, Western China. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 12(7), 2209.
- Huo, M., Zhou, J. W., Yang, X. G., and Zhou, H. W. (2018). Dynamical evolution
 properties of debris flows controlled by different mesh-sized flexible net barriers. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 11(16), 465.
- Hutter, K., and Koch, T. (1991). Motion of a granular avalanche in an exponentially
 curved chute: experiments and theoretical predictions. *Philosophical Transactions*of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Physical and Engineering Sciences,
 334(1633), 93-138.
- 494 Iverson, R. M. (2015). Scaling and design of landslide and debris-flow experiments.
 495 *Geomorphology*, 244, 9-20.
- 496 Iverson, R. M., Costa, J. E., and LaHusen, R. G. (1992). *Debris-flow flume at HJ* 497 Andrews experimental forest, Oregon. US Geological Survey, Dept. of the Interior.

- 498 Iqbal W. (2012). Sand Pluviation Technique. Saarbrucken, Germany. LAP Lambert
 499 Academic Publishing.
- Johnson, C. G., Kokelaar, B. P., Iverson, R. M., Logan, M., LaHusen, R. G., and Gray,
 J. M. N. T. (2012). Grain-size segregation and levee formation in geophysical mass
 flows. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, *117*(F1).
- Koo, R. C., Kwan, J. S., Lam, C., Ng, C. W., Yiu, J., Choi, C. E., Ng Axel K.L., Ho
 Ken K.S., and Pun, W. K. (2017). Dynamic response of flexible rockfall barriers
 under different loading geometries. *Landslides*, 14(3), 905-916.
- 506 Kwan, J. (2012). Supplementary technical guidance on design of rigid
 507 debris-resisting barriers, Technical Report 270. Hong Kong,
 508 China: Geotechnical Engineering Office.
- Kwan, J. S., Sze, E. H., and Lam, C. (2018). Finite element analysis for rockfall and
 debris flow mitigation works. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, (999), 1-26.
- Leonardi, A., Goodwin, G.R. and Pirulli, M. (2019). The force exerted by granular
 flows on slit dams. *Acta Geotechnica*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11440-01900842-6.
- Leonardi, A., Wittel, F.K., Mendoza, M., Vetter, R. and Herrmann, H.J., (2016).
 Particle-fluid-structure interaction for debris flow impact on flexible barriers. *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 31(5), 323-333.
- Li, X., and Zhao, J. (2018). A unified CFD-DEM approach for modeling of debris flow
 impacts on flexible barriers. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 42(14), 1643-1670.
- 520 Marchelli, M., Leonardi, A., Pirulli, M., and Scavia, C. (2019). On the efficiency of 521 slit-check dams in retaining granular flows. *Géotechnique*, 1-12.
- Miura, K., Maeda, K., and Toki, S. (1997). Method of measurement for the angle of
 repose of sands. *Soils and Foundations*, *37*(2), 89-96.
- Potyondy D. O. and Cundall P. A. (2004) A bonded-particle model for rock. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 41(8): p. 1329-1364.
- Sidle, R. C., and Chigira, M. (2004). Landslides and debris flows strike Kyushu, Japan.
 Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union, 85(15), 145-151.
- Song, D., Choi, C. E., Ng, C. W. W., and Zhou, G. G. D. (2018). Geophysical flows
 impacting a flexible barrier: effects of solid-fluid interaction. *Landslides*, 15(1), 99110.
- Tan, D. Y., Yin, J. H., Feng, W. Q., Qin, J. Q., and Zhu, Z. H. (2018). Large-scale
 physical modelling study of a flexible barrier under the impact of granular flows. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 18, 2625-2640,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-18-2625-2018.
- Tan, D. Y., Yin, J. H., Feng, W. Q., Zhu, Z. H., Qin, J. Q. and Chen W.B. (2019). A
 New Simple Method for Calculating Impact Force on Flexible Barrier Considering
 Partial Debris Flow Passing-through. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*.

- Tan, D. Y., Yin, J. H., Zhu, Z. H., Qin, J. Q., and Chan, H. C. M. (2020). Fast DoorOpening Method for Quick Release of Rock Boulder or Debris in Large-Scale
 Physical Model. *International Journal of Geomechanics*, 20(2), 06019019.
- Teufelsbauer, H., Wang, Y., Pudasaini, S. P., Borja, R. I., and Wu, W. (2011). DEM
 simulation of impact force exerted by granular flow on rigid structures. *Acta Geotechnica*, 6(3), 119.
- Volkwein, A. (2014). Flexible debris flow barriers. Design and application. WSL
 Berichte. Issue 18, 29.
- Volkwein, A., Baumann, R., Rickli, C., and Wendeler, C. (2015). Standardization for
 flexible debris retention barriers. In Engineering Geology for Society and TerritoryVolume 2, 193-196. Springer, Cham.
- Wendeler, C., and Volkwein, A. (2015). Laboratory tests for the optimization of mesh
 size for flexible debris-flow barriers. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*,
 15, 2597-2604.
- Zhou, G. G., Du, J., Song, D., Choi, C. E., Hu, H. S., and Jiang, C. (2020). Numerical
 study of granular debris flow run-up against slit dams by discrete element method. *Landslides*, 17(3), 585-595.
- Zhou, G. G., and Ng, C. W. (2010). Numerical investigation of reverse segregation in
 debris flows by DEM. *Granular Matter*, *12*(5), 507-516.
- Zhou, G. G., Ng, C. W., and Sun, Q. C. (2014). A new theoretical method for analyzing
 confined dry granular flows. *Landslides*, *11*(3), 369-384.
- 561 Zhu, Z. H., Yin, J. H., Qin, J. Q., and Tan, D. Y. (2019). A new discrete element model
 562 for simulating a flexible ring net barrier under rockfall impact comparing with large563 scale physical model test data. *Computers and Geotechnics*, *116*, 103208.

564

List of figures

Figure 1. Conceptual representation of the contact model

Figure 2. (a) Digitization of a real rhombus wire mesh net and (b) schematic diagram of the flexible mesh net with the bonded particles

Figure 3. (a) Illustration of the conical sand-piling test and (b) DEM simulation of the conical sand-piling test

Figure 4. (a) The plate sliding test, (b) numerical model setup, (c) velocity vector of the particles, and (d) sliding velocity *v.s.* base inclination in the DEM simulation of the plate sliding test

Figure 5. Categorization of the particle assembly by diameter in simulation

Figure 6. Particle passing ratio with impact time in the simulations of the original particle-net system and the amplified systems with different amplification factors and total masses

Figure 7. Model setup and initial dam break for a discrete element method simulation of a granular flow impacting on a flexible barrier

Figure 8. Interaction characteristics of a granular flow with a flexible barrier at typical times and the comparation with large-scale physical modelling experiment in (a) particle passing ratio and (b) impact and deposition phenomena

Figure 9. Comparison between simulation and large-scale physical modelling tests in (a) lateral displacement and (b) deposition height during impact

Figure 10. (a) Relationship of the frictional coefficient with the particle passing ratio and (b) deposition and reverse segregation of the granular particles trapped by the flexible barrier

Figure 11. Particle passing ratio with impact time in the simulations

Figure 12. Force chains and arches in granular flow behind flexible nets with different mesh sizes and the rigid netting barrier



Figure 1. Conceptual representation of the contact model



(a)



Figure 2. (a) Digitization of a real rhombus wire mesh net and (b) schematic diagram of the flexible mesh net with the bonded particles



Figure 3. (a) Illustration of the conical sand-piling test and (b) DEM simulation of the conical sand-piling test



Figure 4. (a) The plate sliding test, (b) numerical model setup, (c) velocity vector of the particles, and (d) sliding velocity *v.s.* base inclination in the DEM simulation of the plate sliding test



Figure 5. Categorization of the particle assembly by diameter in simulation



Figure 6. Particle passing ratio with impact time in the simulations of the original particle-net system and the amplified systems with different amplification factors and total masses



Figure 7. Model setup and initial dam break for a discrete element method simulation of a granular flow impacting on a flexible barrier



Figure 8. Interaction characteristics of a granular flow with a flexible barrier at typical times and the comparation with large-scale physical modelling experiment in (a) particle passing ratio and (b) impact and deposition phenomena



Figure 9. Comparison between simulation and large-scale physical modelling tests in (a) lateral displacement and (b) deposition height during impact



Figure 10. (a) Relationship of the frictional coefficient with the particle passing ratio and (b) deposition and reverse segregation of the granular particles trapped by the flexible barrier



Figure 11. Particle passing ratio with impact time in the simulations



Figure 12. Force chains and arches in granular flow behind flexible nets with different mesh sizes and the rigid netting barrier

μ_r	μ_s	Equivalent angle of repose (°)
0.068	0.233	19
	0.364	24
	0.6	30
0.1	0.8	35

 Table 1. Relationship between the angle of repose of granular material and the friction coefficients in piling tests from DEM simulation

Test type	Mesh size of net (mm)	Total mass of particle (kg)	Particle amplification factor	Test No.
Original particle-net system	50	100	1	C1-1
		120	1	C1-2
Amplified particle- net system	75	120	1.5	C2-1
		120	1.7	C2-2
		120	1.8	C2-3
		100	1.8	C2-4

Table 2. Parameters of calibration tests for particle amplification factor

	Large-scale experiment	Numerical simulation
D10	28.2	22
D30	33	28
D50	36.8	32.8
D60	39	34.8
D90	48	43
Cu	1.38	1.58
Cc	0.99	1.02

Table 3. Comparison of parameters between simulation and large-scale experiments

	Parameter	Value
Granular particle	Density (kg/m ³)	2650
	Granular-granular Young's modulus (GPa)	70
	Granular-geometry/ Granular-barrier Young's modulus (GPa)	200
	Poisson's ratio	0.25
	Restitution coefficient	0.8
	Total mass (kg)	6025
	Number of debris particles	35568
	Interparticle sliding friction coefficient μ_s	0.6
	Rolling friction coefficient μ_r	0.068
	Particle-base sliding friction coefficient $\mu_{s,c}$	0.488
Flexible barrier	Particle diameter (m)	0.01
	Number of bonded particles for simulating barrier	3310
	Number of bonds	3698
	Particle density (kg/m ³)	7650
	Restitution coefficient	0.4
	Distance between neighbouring barrier particles (m)	0.015
	Mesh opening size (m)	0.075
	Width of mesh net (m)	1.5
	Original height of mesh net (m)	1.45
Simulation and	Time step (s)	1.71×10^{-7}
geometric setup	Slope channel width (m)	1.5
	Slope inclination angle (°)	35
	Slope length (m)	7

Table 4. Model parameters in DEM simulations of a full-scale granular flow impact test

	Test No.	Angle of repose φ (°)	Mesh size (mm)
Group 1	1-1	35	
	1-2	30	75
	1-3	24	
	1-4	19	
Group 2	2-1		75
	2-2	30	105
	2-3		135

Table 5. Groups of tests for parametric study in DEM simulations