Observer-based control for active suspension system with time-varying delay and uncertainty
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Abstract
Time-varying input delay of actuators, uncertainty of model parameters, and input and output disturbances are important issues in the research on active suspension system of vehicle. In this article, a design methodology involving state observer and observer-based dynamic output-feedback $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ controller considering the above four factors simultaneously is put forward for active suspension system. First, the dynamics equations of active suspension system with time-varying delay are established according to its structure and principle, and its state equations, state observer, and observer-based controller considering time-varying delay, uncertainty of model parameters, and input and output disturbances are given separately. Second, the observer-based controller for quarter-vehicle active suspension system is designed in terms of the linear matrix inequality and the Lyapunov–Krasovskii functional, and the design problem of observer-based controller is converted into the solving problem of linear matrix inequalities. Finally, the gain matrix of observer and the gain matrix of controller are obtained by means of the developed controller and the model parameters of active suspension system; the MATLAB/Simulink model of this system is established; and three numerical simulation cases are given to show the effectiveness of the proposed scheme.
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Introduction
Suspension system, which is located between frame and wheels, mainly consists of spring and shock absorber. It has serious influence on the handling stability and the ride comfort of vehicle and is an important part of vehicle chassis.\textsuperscript{1} However, the handling stability and the ride comfort are mutually contradictory. Generally speaking, the good handling stability requires the rigid suspension characteristics, while the good ride comfort requires the soft suspension characteristics. At present, there are three main types of vehicle suspension system: passive suspension system (PSS), semi-active suspension...
system (SASS) and active suspension system (ASS), in which PSS can’t adjust suspension parameters and only be designed in a compromise between the two above performances, and SASS can only adjust the damping of shock absorber according to the driving conditions of vehicle. Compared with PSS and SASS, ASS can automatically adjust the stiffness of spring, the damping of shock absorber, and the height of vehicle body according to the driving conditions of vehicle, and ASS can take into account both of the above properties well synchronously. Therefore, ASS is one of the development directions of vehicle suspension system, and the research on ASS has attracted more and more attention in the recent decades.

ASS is a complex system that integrates machinery, electronics, and hydraulics. Some state variables of ASS are difficult to measure directly, such as acceleration of body vibration, velocity of wheel vibration, and so on, due to the installation location, purchase cost and measurement accuracy of sensors. This makes the state feedback control of ASS difficult to realize. The static output-feedback control of ASS is simple and feasible, in which the output variables that can be measured directly are used as the feedback signals. However, the measurable output variables can only reflect the local information of ASS, but not the global information of ASS. Nevertheless, the state observer can reconstruct the state variables of ASS according to the variables which can be measured directly (such as input variables, output variables, etc.), and the reconstructed state variables may be equivalent to the original state variables under the certain indicators. Hence, the observer-based control of ASS is one of the focuses of ASS research. A dynamic output-feedback $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ controller considering input delay was constructed for ASS based on vehicle model. The two contributions of this study are

- Based on the Lyapunov–Krasovskii functional and LMIs, a design methodology involving state observer and observer-based dynamic output-feedback $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ controller considering the
above four factors simultaneously is proposed for ASS on the basis of quarter-vehicle model. The state equations, state observer, and observer-based controller of ASS are given separately; the observer-based dynamic output-feedback $H_\infty$ controller is designed; and the design problem of observer-based controller is converted into the solving problem of LMIs.

- The observer gain matrix and the controller gain matrix are obtained by means of the designed observer-based controller and the technical parameters of selected vehicle; the MATLAB/Simulink model of this system is established; and the numerical simulations of three typical cases are provided to validate the feasibility of the given scheme.

The following sections are arranged as follows. In the “Problem formulation” section, the dynamics equations of ASS with time-varying delay are established according to its structure and principle, and its state equations, state observer, and observer-based controller considering time-varying delay, uncertainty of model parameters, and input and output disturbances are given separately. In the “Main results” section, the observer-based dynamic output-feedback $H_\infty$ controller for quarter-vehicle ASS is designed in terms of the Lyapunov–Krasovskii functional and LMIs, and the design problem of observer-based controller is converted into the solving problem of LMIs. In the “Numerical simulation” section, the observer gain matrix and the controller gain matrix are obtained by means of the developed controller and the selected model parameters of ASS; the MATLAB/Simulink model of this system is established; and three numerical cases are provided to validate the effectiveness of the designed scheme. In the “Conclusion” section, several conclusions and the following work are given briefly.

**Problem formulation**

Based on the two degrees of freedom and the quarter-vehicle model, the simplified model of ASS with actuator delay is expressed as Figure 1, where $m_s$ stands for the sprung mass of ASS, $m_u$ indicates the unsprung mass of ASS, $u(t)$ stands for the input signal of ASS, $u(t - h(t))$ indicates the input signal with a actuator delay $h(t)$, $c_s$ and $k_s$ respectively stand for the tuning coefficient and the stiffness coefficient of ASS, $c_t$ and $k_t$ denote the tuning coefficient and the stiffness coefficient of vehicle tire respectively, and $z_s$, $z_u$, and $z_r$ respectively stand for the the moving distance of sprung mass, the moving distance of unsprung mass, and the input displacement of uneven pavement.

![Simplified model of quarter-vehicle ASS.](image)

In the light of the Newton’s second law and Figure 1, the dynamics equations of ASS based on quarter-vehicle model are obtained as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{z}_s(t) &= \frac{1}{m_s} (z_u(t) - z_s(t)) + \frac{1}{m_s} (z_r(t) - z_s(t)) + \frac{1}{m_s} u(t - h(t)) \\
\dot{z}_u(t) &= \frac{1}{m_u} (z_u(t) - z_s(t)) + \frac{1}{m_u} (z_r(t) - z_u(t)) - \frac{1}{m_u} u(t - h(t)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{m_u} (z_r(t) - z_u(t)) + \frac{1}{m_u} (z_r(t) - z_u(t)) 
\end{align*}
$$

(1)

in which $h(t)$ is a known input delay of ASS which satisfies the following inequalities

$$
\dot{h}(t) \leq \rho_h < 1, 0 \leq h(t) \leq h^* < \infty
$$

(2)

where both $\rho_h$ and $h^*$ are positive real numbers.

Based on the actual working characteristics and expectations of ASS, the relative displacement of vehicle body $z_s(t) - z_u(t)$, the relative displacement of vehicle wheel $z_u(t) - z_r(t)$, the velocity of vehicle body vibration $\dot{z}_s(t)$, and the velocity of vehicle wheel vibration $\dot{z}_u(t)$ are selected as the state variables of ASS, that is $x(t) = [z_s(t) - z_u(t), z_u(t) - z_r(t), \dot{z}_s(t), \dot{z}_u(t)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^4$; the velocity of vehicle body vibration $\dot{z}_s(t)$, the relative displacement of vehicle body $z_s(t) - z_u(t)$, and the relative displacement of vehicle wheel $z_u(t) - z_r(t)$ are taken as the control output variables of ASS, that is $y(t) = [\dot{z}_s(t), z_u(t) - z_r(t), \dot{z}_s(t)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^3$; the velocity of vehicle body vibration $\dot{z}_u(t)$ and the relative displacement of vehicle body $z_s(t) - z_u(t)$ are chosen as the measurement output variables of ASS, that is $\dot{y}(t) = [\dot{z}_u(t), \dot{z}_s(t) - z_u(t)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

According to equation (1), in consideration of the time-varying delay of actuators, the uncertainty of model parameters, and the input and output disturbances at the same time, the state-space equations of ASS based on quarter-vehicle model may be obtained as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) &= (A + \Delta A)x(t) + (B + \Delta B)u(t - h(t)) + W_1 w_1(t) \\
z(t) &= C_1 x(t) \\
y(t) &= C_2 x(t) + W_2 w_2(t)
\end{align*}
$$

(3)
in which \( w_1(t) = \dot{z}_e(t) \) shows the input disturbance of ASS which is caused by uneven pavement, \( w_2(t) \) shows the output disturbance of ASS which is caused by sensor measurement, and

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
-k_m & 0 & -c_m & 0 \\
-k_m & c_m & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{m_c} & 0 & \frac{1}{m_c} & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
\frac{1}{m_c} \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
W_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
\frac{1}{m_c} \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad C_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad C_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad W_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_1 \\
\alpha_2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

in which both \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) are the weight coefficients of sensor disturbance.

Suppose both of \( \Delta A \) and \( \Delta B \) are the matrices of uncertain parameters which are norm-bounded, so the uncertainty of ASS parameters may be expressed as

\[
[\Delta A, \Delta B] = HF(t)[E_1, E_2]
\]

in which \( F(t) \) indicates a matrix which is time-varying and meets \( F^T(t)F(t) = I \), in which \( I \) indicates a unit matrix; \( H, E_1, \) and \( E_2 \) are the matrices with known real constants.

According to the state-space equations of quarter-vehicle ASS (equation (3)), the state observer and the observer-based controller of ASS may be expressed as below

\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + Bu(t) + L[y(t) - \hat{y}(t)] \\
\hat{y}(t) &= C_2 \hat{x}(t)
\end{aligned}
\]

and

\[
u(t) = K\hat{x}(t)
\]

in which \( \hat{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \) expresses the observer-based state vector of ASS, \( L \) expresses the gain matrix of proposed observer, and \( K \) expresses the gain matrix of observer-based controller.

**Main results**

The state observer and the observer-based controller considering the above four factors simultaneously will be constructed for ASS based on quarter-vehicle model using the Lyapunov–Krasovskii functional and LMIs in this section, and the design of observer-based controller will be converted into the solving problem of LMIs.

**Theorem 1.** For the quarter-vehicle ASS (equation (3)), if there are symmetric positive-definite matrices \( P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \), and given scalar \( \gamma > 1 \), the following LMIs hold

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
P_1 A + A^T P_1 + 2 E_1^T C_1 + U L & P_1 W^T \\
& (1 - \gamma)^I & 0 & P_1 \\
& 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix} < 0
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
P_2 A + A^T P_2 - 2 C_2^T W_2^T C_2 & P_2 \\
& -V^{-1} \\
\end{bmatrix} < 0
\]

where \( \star \) denotes the matrix obtained by matrix symmetry, and

\[
U_1 = P_2 \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{2}{1 - \rho_h} \right) BB^T + \frac{2}{1 - \rho_h} BE_2^T E_2 B^T \right] P_2
\]

\[
U_2 = 3HH^T + 2BB^T
\]

\[
V = 3HH^T + \left( 5 + \frac{2}{1 - \rho_h} \right) BB^T + \frac{2}{1 - \rho_h} BE_2^T E_2 B^T + W_1 W_1^T
\]

then the quarter-vehicle ASS (equation (3)) with the state observer (equation (5)) and the observer-based controller (equation (6)) where

\[
K = B^T P_2 L = P_2^{-1} C_2^T
\]

is asymptotically stable. \( \blacksquare \)

**Proof.** Let the error of state observer \( e(t) = x(t) - \hat{x}(t) \); according to equations (3), (5), and (6), \( \dot{x}(t) \) and \( \hat{x}(t) \) may be expressed as follows, respectively

\[
\dot{x}(t) = (A + \Delta A)x(t) + (B + \Delta B)Kx(t - h(t)) - (B + \Delta B)Ke(t - h(t)) + W_1 w_1(t)
\]

\[
\hat{x}(t) = (A + BK)x(t) - (A + BK - LC_2)e(t) + LW_2 w_2(t)
\]

From equations (10) and (11), \( \dot{e}(t) \) may be expressed as

\[
\dot{e}(t) = \dot{x}(t) - \hat{x}(t)
\]

\[
= (\Delta A - BK)x(t) + (A + BK - LC_2)e(t) + (B + \Delta B)Kx(t - h(t)) - (B + \Delta B)Ke(t - h(t)) + W_1 w_1(t) - LW_2 w_2(t)
\]

From equations (10) and (12), the augmented system may be given as follows
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x}(t) \\
\dot{e}(t)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A + \Delta A & 0 \\
\Delta A - BK & A + BK - LC_2 \end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\
e(t)
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} (B + \Delta B)K & -(B + \Delta B)K \\
(B + \Delta B)K & -(B + \Delta B)K \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t-h(t)) \\
e(t-h(t))
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & 0 \\
W_1 & -LW_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1(t) \\
w_2(t)
\end{bmatrix} \tag{13}
\]

Introduce the Lyapunov–Krasovskii functional as below
\[
V(x(t), e(t)) = \begin{bmatrix} x^T(t) & e^T(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\
0 & P_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\
e(t)
\end{bmatrix} + \int_{t-h(t)}^{t} \begin{bmatrix} x^T(s) & e^T(s) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & 0 \\
0 & R_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(s) \\
e(s)
\end{bmatrix} ds \tag{14}
\]
in which \(P_1, P_2, R_1,\) and \(R_2\) are the matrices which are symmetric positive-definite.

From equations (2) and (14), \(\dot{V}(x(t), e(t))\) may be expressed as
\[
\dot{V}(x(t), e(t)) = 2 \begin{bmatrix} x^T(t) & e^T(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\
0 & P_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\
\dot{e}(t)
\end{bmatrix} + x^T(t)R_1x(t) + e^T(t)R_2e(t)
\]
\[
- (1 - h(t))x^T(t-h(t))R_1x(t-h(t))
\]
\[
- (1 - h(t))e^T(t-h(t))R_2e(t-h(t))\tag{15}
\]
\[
\leq 2 \begin{bmatrix} x^T(t) & e^T(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\
0 & P_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\
\dot{e}(t)
\end{bmatrix} + x^T(t)R_1x(t) + e^T(t)R_2e(t)
\]
\[
- (1 - \rho_1)x^T(t-h(t))R_1x(t-h(t))
\]
\[
- (1 - \rho_1)e^T(t-h(t))R_2e(t-h(t))
\]
Assuming that there are no input and output disturbances, that is \(w_1(t) = w_2(t) = 0\), then equation (15) may be represented as follows in the light of equation (13)
\[
\dot{V}(x(t), e(t)) \leq 2x^T(t)P_1(A + \Delta A)x(t) + 2e^T(t)
\]
\[
P_2(\Delta A - BK)x(t) + 2e^T(t)P_2(A + BK - LC_2)e(t)
\]
\[
+ 2x^T(t)P_1(B + \Delta B)Kx(t - h(t)) - 2x^T(t)P_1(B + \Delta B)Ke(t - h(t))
\]
\[
+ 2e^T(t)P_2(B + \Delta B)Kx(t - h(t)) - 2e^T(t)P_2(B + \Delta B)Ke(t - h(t))
\]
\[
x^T(t)R_1x(t) + e^T(t)R_2e(t) - (1 - \rho_1)x^T(t-h(t))R_1x(t-h(t))
\]
\[
- (1 - \rho_1)e^T(t-h(t))R_2e(t-h(t))\tag{16}
\]

From Lemma 1 in Appendix 1, we are able to get the following inequalities
\[
- 2e^T(t)P_2BKx(t) \leq x^T(t)K^TKe(t) + e^T(t)P_2BB^TP_2e(t) \tag{17}
\]
\[
2x^T(t)P_1BKx(t - h(t)) \leq x^T(t)P_1BB^TP_1x(t)
\]
\[
+ x^T(t - h(t))K^TKe(t - h(t))
\]
\[
- 2x^T(t)P_1BKe(t - h(t)) \leq x^T(t)P_1BB^TP_1x(t)
\]
\[
+ e^T(t - h(t))K^TKe(t - h(t))
\]
\[
2e^T(t)P_2BKx(t - h(t)) \leq e^T(t)P_2BB^TP_2e(t)
\]
\[
+ x^T(t - h(t))K^TKe(t - h(t))
\]
\[
- 2e^T(t)P_2BKe(t - h(t)) \leq e^T(t)P_2BB^TP_2e(t)
\]
\[
+ e^T(t - h(t))K^TKe(t - h(t))
\]
\[
\text{From equation (4) and Lemma 2 in Appendix 1, we are able to get the inequalities as below}
\]
\[
2x^T(t)P_1\Delta A x(t) \leq x^T(t)E_1^T E_1x(t) + x^T(t)P_1HH^TP_1x(t) \tag{18}
\]
\[
2e^T(t)P_2\Delta A x(t) \leq x^T(t)E_1^T E_1x(t) + e^T(t)P_2HH^TP_2e(t) \tag{19}
\]
\[
2x^T(t)P_1\Delta B x(t - h(t)) \leq x^T(t)P_1HH^TP_1x(t)
\]
\[
+ x^T(t - h(t))K^T E_1^T E_2Kx(t - h(t))
\]
\[
- 2x^T(t)P_1BKe(t - h(t)) \leq x^T(t)P_1BB^TP_1x(t)
\]
\[
+ e^T(t - h(t))K^T Ke(t - h(t))
\]
\[
2e^T(t)P_2\Delta B x(t - h(t)) \leq e^T(t)P_2HH^TP_2e(t)
\]
\[
+ x^T(t - h(t))K^T E_1^T E_2Ke(t - h(t))
\]
\[
- 2e^T(t)P_2BKe(t - h(t)) \leq e^T(t)P_2BB^TP_2e(t)
\]
\[
+ e^T(t - h(t))K^T Ke(t - h(t))
\]
\[
\text{From equations (17)–(27), equation (16) may be shown as below}
\]
\[
\dot{V}(x(t), e(t)) \leq x^T(t)Q_1x(t) + e^T(t)Q_2e(t)
\]
\[
+ x^T(t - h(t))\left[2K^T K + 2K^T E_1^T E_2K - (1 - \rho_1)R_1\right]x(t - h(t))
\]
\[
+ e^T(t - h(t))\left[2K^T K + 2K^T E_1^T E_2K - (1 - \rho_1)R_2\right]e(t - h(t)) \tag{20}
\]
where
\[
Q_1 = P_1A + A^T P_1 + 2E_1^T E_1 + 3P_1HH^TP_1
\]
\[
+ 2P_1BB^TP_1 + K^T K + R_1,
\]
\[
Q_2 = P_2A + A^T P_2 + P_2BK + K^T B^TP_2
\]
\[
- P_2LC_2 - C_2^T L^T P_2 + 3P_2BB^TP_2 + 3P_2HH^TP_2 + R_2
\]
\[
\text{In order to simplify equation (28), we set}
\]
\[
R_1 = R_2 = (2/(1 - \rho_1))\left(K^T K + K^T E_1^T E_2K\right); \text{then equation (28) becomes}
\]
\[
\dot{V}(x(t), e(t)) \leq x^T(t)Q_3x(t) + e^T(t)Q_3e(t) \tag{20}
\]
Simultaneously, $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ can be changed as follows from equation (9)

$$Q_1 = P_1A + A^TP_1 + 2E^T_1E_1 + P_1(3HH^T + 2BB^T)P_1$$
$$+ P_2\left(BB^T + \frac{2}{1 - \rho_h}BB^T + \frac{2}{1 - \rho_h}BE_2E_2B^T\right)P_2$$

$$Q_2 = P_2A + A^TP_2 - 2C^T_2C_2 +$$
$$P_2(3HH^T + 5BB^T + \frac{2}{1 - \rho_h}BB^T + \frac{2}{1 - \rho_h}BE_2E_2B^T)P_2$$

(30)

(31)

For the active suspension system (equation (3)), $H_\infty$ performance index is taken as follows

$$J_T = \int_0^T \left[ z^T(t)z(t) - \gamma^2w_1^T(t)w_1(t) - \gamma^2w_2^T(t)w_2(t) \right] dt$$

(32)

Considering $T > 0$ and any nonzero perturbations, in the light of equation (14) with zero initial conditions, equation (32) may be converted to

$$J_T = \int_0^T \left[ z^T(t)z(t) - \gamma^2w_1^T(t)w_1(t) - \gamma^2w_2^T(t)w_2(t) \right] dt$$
$$+ \int_0^T \left[ z^T(t)z(t) - \gamma^2w_1^T(t)w_1(t) - \gamma^2w_2^T(t)w_2(t) \right] dt - S_1 - S_2$$

(33)

where

$$S_1 = \int_0^T \left[ x^T(T) e^T(T) \right] \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(T) \\ e(T) \end{bmatrix} dt$$

$$S_2 = \int_{-\infty}^T \left[ x^T(s) e^T(s) \right] \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & 0 \\ 0 & R_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(s) \\ e(s) \end{bmatrix} ds$$

Obviously, $S_1 \geq 0$ and $S_2 \geq 0$. Then equation (33) becomes

$$J_T \leq \int_0^T \left[ z^T(t)z(t) - \gamma^2w_1^T(t)w_1(t) - \gamma^2w_2^T(t)w_2(t) + \dot{V}(x(t), e(t)) \right] dt$$

(34)

From equations (3), (13), (15), and (29), equation (34) may be shown as below

$$J_T \leq \int_0^T \left[ x^T(t)C_1C_1^T x(t) - \gamma^2w_1^T(t)w_1(t) - \gamma^2w_2^T(t)w_2(t) \right] dt$$
$$+ x^T(t)Q_1x(t).$$
$$+ e^T(t)Q_2e(t) + 2x^T(t)P_1w_1(t) + 2e^T(t)$$
$$P_2w_1(t) - 2e^T(t)P_2LW_2w_2(t) dt$$

(35)

From Lemma 1 in Appendix 1, we are able to get the following inequalities

$$2e^T(t)P_2w_1(t) \leq e^T(t)P_2W_1^TP_2e(t) + w_1^T(t)w_1(t)$$

$$- 2e^T(t)P_2LW_2w_2(t) \leq e^T(t)P_2LW_2$$
$$W_2^TLP_2e(t) + w_2^T(t)w_2(t)$$

(36)

(37)

According to equations (36) and (37), equation (35) can be converted to

$$J_T \leq \int_0^T \left[ x(t) w_1(t) \right] M_1 \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ w_1(t) \end{bmatrix} dt + \int_0^T \left[ e^T(t)M_2e(t) \right] dt$$

(38)

where

$$M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 + C_1^T C_1 & P_1 \frac{W_1}{2} & 0 \\ (1 - \gamma^2)I & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_2 = Q_2 + P_2W_1W_1^TP_2 + P_2LW_2W_2^TP_2$$

According to equation (30) and the Schur complement theorem (Lemma 3 in Appendix 1), $M_1 < 0$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_1A + A^TP_1 + 2E^T_1E_1 + C_1^T C_1 + U_1 & P_1 \frac{W_1}{2} & 0 \\ (1 - \gamma^2)I & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

(39)

where

$$U_1 = P_2 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{2}{(1 - \rho_h)} \right) \right] BB^T + \frac{2}{1 - \rho_h}BE_2E_2B^T$$

$$U_2 = 3HH^T + 2BB^T$$

From equation (9), equation (31), and the Schur complement theorem (Lemma 3 in Appendix 1), $M_2 < 0$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_2A + A^TP_2 - 2C_2^T C_2 + C_2^T W_2 W_2^T C_2 & P_2 \frac{W_2}{2} \\ (1 - \gamma^2)I & 0 \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

(40)
are respectively taken as follows

\[ V = 3HH^T + \left(5 + \frac{2}{(1-\rho_i)}\right)BB^T + \frac{2}{1-\rho_h}BE_2^TE_2B^T + W_1W_1^T \]

From equations (7) and (8), it’s easy to find \( M_1 < 0 \) and \( M_2 < 0 \).

It is obvious from equation (38): \( J_T < 0 \), and equation (32) can be expressed as follows with \( T \) tending to infinity

\[ \int_0^\infty z^T(t)z(t)dt < \gamma^2 \int_0^\infty \left[w_1^T(t)w_1(t) + w_2^T(t)w_2(t)\right]dt \]

\[ \gamma^2 = 41 \] \hspace{1cm} (41)

Hence, the quarter-vehicle ASS (equation (3)) with the proposed observer (equation (5)) and the developed controller (equation (6)), where \( K = B^TP_2 \) and \( L = P_2^{-1}C_2^T \), is asymptotically stable. The proof is completed. \( \Box \)

**Numerical simulation**

The simulation results of several numerical cases are given to verify the correctness and practicability of the proposed scheme. The related parameters of ASS model are listed as follows: \( m_u = 114 \) kg, \( m_s = 973 \) kg, \( c_z = 14.6 \) Ns/m, \( c_x = 1095 \) Ns/m, \( k_z = 101,115 \) N/m, and \( k_h = 42,720 \) N/m. Based on equations (3), (5), and (6), the block diagram of quarter-vehicle ASS with input delay of actuators, uncertainty of model parameters, and input and output disturbances is given as Figure 2.

The numerical simulation model of the ASS mentioned above based on a quarter-vehicle model is set up as Figure 3, based on MATLAB/Simulink software.

In view of equation (2), the input delay of ASS actuator is set as \( h(t) = -\exp(-t/100)\times\sin(t)\times\cos(t)^2 + 1 \), so the signal curves of \( h(t) \) and \( \dot{h}(t) \) may be expressed as Figure 4.

On the basis of the LMIs (equations (7) and (8)), \( K \) and \( L \) may be obtained as follows

\[ K = \begin{bmatrix} 668.9 & 1961.1 & 368.8 & -325.6 \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ L = \begin{bmatrix} -0.6932 & 0.2499 \\ -0.1212 & 0.0260 \\ 8.6685 & -0.6932 \\ 1.2336 & -0.4285 \end{bmatrix} \]

In accordance with equation (4), the time-varying matrices of uncertainty parameters with norm-bounded are respectively taken as follows

\[ \Delta A = 0.01 \times H \times \begin{bmatrix} \sin(t) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sin(t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sin(t) \end{bmatrix} \times E_1 \]

\[ \Delta B = 0.01 \times H \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sin(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sin(t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sin(t) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sin(t) \end{bmatrix} \times E_2 \]

in which \( E_1 = 1_4 \), \( E_2 = 0.25 \times [1; 1; 1; 1] \), and \( H = 0.20 \times 1_4 \).

**Numerical simulation without disturbances**

Without regard for disturbances, the original values of system state vector and the simulation time are taken as \([-0.03; -0.012675; 0; 0] \) and 20s respectively, then the system control output vector and the system control input signal may be obtained easily. The signal curves of acceleration of vehicle body vibration, velocity of vehicle body vibration, relative displacement of vehicle body, and relative displacement of vehicle wheel are shown as Figure 5, and the signal curve of control input with a time-varying delay is shown as Figure 6.

As shown in Figure 5, the acceleration of vehicle body vibration, the velocity of vehicle body vibration, the relative displacement of vehicle body, and the relative displacement of vehicle wheel of ASS with actuator delay and uncertainty of model parameters are convergent and asymptotically stable, and the required suspension performances can be satisfied by the designed observer-based controller. Meanwhile, as shown in Figure 6, the actuator delay of ASS is about 0.5 s, the maximum input force of this system is about 60 N, the input force tends to zero after 8 s, and the change curve of input signal can meet the system demands.
Numerical simulation with input disturbance

An isolated bump is used to demonstrate the response performances of the ASS with the proposed observer-based controller under the input disturbance caused by uneven pavement. The isolated bump is taken into account as follows

\[
z_r(t) = \begin{cases} 
H \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{L} vt \right) & \text{when } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{L}{v} \\
0 & \text{when } t > \frac{L}{v}
\end{cases} \tag{43}
\]

in which \( L \) and \( H \) express the length and height of the isolated bump respectively and \( v \) is the vehicle speed. Assume \( L = 5 \text{ m} \), \( H = 0.1 \text{ m} \), and \( v = 12.5 \text{ m/s} \), so the curve of road disturbance is expressed in Figure 7.

Without regard for output disturbance caused by sensor measurement in Figure 3, the original values of system state vector and the simulation time are taken as \([0; 0; 0; 0]\) and 20 s respectively, then the control output vector of system and the control input signal of system may be obtained easily. Meanwhile, the variation curves of main performance parameters of corresponding PSS can be achieved without consideration of system control input and time-varying delay in Figure 7. The comparison curves of acceleration of vehicle body vibration, velocity of vehicle body vibration, relative

---

**Figure 3.** Numerical simulation model of dynamic output-feedback \( \mathcal{H}_\infty \) control system.

**Figure 4.** Time-varying input delay of actuators: (a) signal curve of \( h(t) \) and (b) signal curve of \( \dot{h}(t) \).
displacement of vehicle body, and relative displacement of vehicle wheel between ASS and PSS are shown as Figure 8, and at this time, the signal curve of control input with a time-varying delay is shown as Figure 9.

As shown in Figure 8, it’s easy to see that the attenuation speeds of the acceleration of vehicle body vibration, the velocity of vehicle body vibration, the relative displacement of vehicle body, and the relative displacement of vehicle wheel of ASS are all rapid relative to PSS. This indicates that the ASS using the proposed observer-based controller has better responsiveness to the input disturbance caused by the uneven road, and the better suspension performances can be satisfied by the designed observer-based controller. Meanwhile, it’s easy to see from Figure 9 that the input delay of actuator is about 0.5 s, the maximum input force of this system is about 100 N, the input force tends to zero after 10 s, and the change curve of input signal can meet the system demands.

**Numerical simulation with input and output disturbances**

The input and output disturbances of this system are taken into account simultaneously. The input disturbance curve of uneven road surface is also shown in
Figure 7; the output disturbance caused by sensor measurement is set as \( w_2(t) = \sin(t)/10 \), and its gain matrix is taken as \( W_2 = [1; (1/10)] \). So, the curves of output disturbance caused by sensor measurement are shown in Figure 10.

Through numerical simulation, the comparison curves of acceleration of vehicle body vibration, velocity of vehicle body vibration, relative displacement of vehicle body, and relative displacement of vehicle wheel between the ASS only with input disturbance caused by the uneven road and the ASS with both input disturbance caused by the uneven road and the output disturbance caused by sensor measurement are shown as Figure 11, and the comparison curves of system control input with a time-varying delay are shown as Figure 12.

As shown in Figure 11, it’s easy to see that the two comparison curves of acceleration of vehicle body vibration, velocity of vehicle body vibration, relative displacement of vehicle body, and relative displacement of vehicle wheel respectively are almost identical. Meanwhile, it can be seen from Figure 12 that the two comparison curves of system control inputs are roughly consistent. Both of them have an actuator input delay of about 0.5 s, and both the maximum input forces of them are about 100 N. The input force of the ASS only with the input disturbance caused by the uneven road tends to zero after 10 s; nevertheless, the input force of the ASS with both the input disturbance caused by the

Figure 8. Comparison curves of main performance parameters: (a) acceleration of vehicle body vibration, (b) velocity of vehicle body vibration, (c) relative displacement of vehicle body, and (d) relative displacement of vehicle wheel.

Figure 9. Signal curve of system control input for an isolated bump.

Figure 10. Output disturbance curves of sensor measurement.
uneven road and the output disturbance caused by sensor measurement is still slightly adjusted according to the input and output disturbances after 10 s. These indicate that the developed observer-based controller for ASS can solve the problems caused by the output disturbance of sensor measurement well.

**Conclusion**

This article proposed a design methodology of observer-based $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ controller for quarter-vehicle ASS with input delay of actuators, uncertainty of model parameters, and input and output disturbances. First, the simplified two-degree-of-freedom model of ASS with input delay and the dynamic differential equations of this system were established, and the state equations, state observer, and observer-based controller were given separately. Second, an observer-based $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ controller for ASS was designed based on the Lyapunov–Krasovskii functional and LMIs, and the design of observer-based controller was converted into the solving problem of LMIs. Finally, the observer gain matrix and the controller gain matrix were solved by means of the designed observer-based controller and the technical parameters of selected vehicle, and three numerical simulation cases were given to verify the feasibility of the proposed scheme. The simulation results show that the designed observer-based $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ controller for quarter-vehicle ASS has good control ability and effect on time-varying input delay of actuators, uncertainty of model parameters, and input and output disturbances. In the future work, the implementation of the proposed design methodology and the observer-based adaptive fault-tolerant tracking control of ASS will be the focus of follow-up investigation.
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**Appendix 1**

**Lemma 1**

Assuming that a matrix $E$ satisfies $E^T E \preceq I$, then for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the following inequality is satisfied$^{27}$

$$\pm 2x^T Ey \preceq x^T x + y^T y$$

**Lemma 2**

For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the following inequality is satisfied$^{27}$

$$\pm 2x^T y \preceq x^T x + y^T y$$

**Lemma 3**

Assuming that a constant symmetric matrix $E = E^T = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{12}^T & E_{22} \end{bmatrix}$, the following conditions are equal$^{27}$

- $E < 0$;
- $E_{11} < 0, E_{22} - E_{12}^T E_{11}^{-1} E_{12} < 0$;
- $E_{22} < 0, E_{11} - E_{12} E_{22}^{-1} E_{12}^T < 0$. 