Role of corneal biomechanical properties in predicting of speed of myopic progression in children wearing orthokeratology lenses or single-vision spectacles
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ABSTRACT
Objective To determine the characteristics of children who were likely to progress rapidly and gain the greatest benefit from orthokeratology (ortho-k) treatment.

Methods and analysis The files of 113 children who participated in two myopia control studies and wore either ortho-k lenses (n=62) or single-vision spectacles (SVS) (n=51) were reviewed. Baseline cycloplegic subjective refraction, central corneal thickness, axial length, keratometry, intraocular pressure, corneal biomechanical properties and 24-month axial length data were retrieved and analysed.

Results Multivariate analysis showed that there was a significant negative correlation between axial elongation and baseline age and corneal hysteresis (p<0.05) in the SVS group. In the ortho-k group, only baseline age was significantly and negatively associated with axial elongation (p<0.01).

Conclusion Corneal biomechanical properties and baseline age can predict the rate of axial elongation in myopic children. It may be beneficial for younger myopic children with low corneal hysteresis to commence ortho-k treatment as early as possible.

INTRODUCTION

Myopia is of particular concern due to its dramatic increase in prevalence worldwide. In Hong Kong, a study investigating myopia prevalence among 2883 primary school-children between late 2005 and early 2010 reported that the prevalence of myopia was 18.3% and 61.5% at age 6 and 12, respectively, and that the prevalence of myopia higher than −6.00 D was 1.8%. The prevalence was much higher than the 9% reported at age 7–8 a decade ago. Flitcroft suggested that the relatively low incidence of myopia at or before 6 years of age compared with that of older children was due to a secondary failure of the emmetropisation mechanisms.

The association of ocular diseases with myopia is well recognised, with the OR of glaucoma increasing from 2.3 for low myopia to 3.3 for moderate-to-high myopia (≥−3.00 D). A meta-analysis of seven cross-sectional studies and one case–control study showed that myopia was associated with both nuclear and posterior subcapsular cataracts. A study in Singapore reported strong association between myopia >−6.00 D and retinal changes, for example, staphyloma, choriotinal atrophy and temporal peripapillary atrophy.

Since myopia can increase lifetime risk of ocular disease, which may lead to blindness, many studies have been conducted to investigate interventions to control myopia progression, one of which is orthokeratology (ortho-k). Ortho-k has been shown to be effective in slowing axial elongation (AE) by...
36%–63% compared with subjects wearing single-vision
spectacles (SVS). The variation in the level of myopia
corrected by spherical SVS made of CR39 material with
refractive index of 1.56 (Hong Kong Optical Lens, Hong
Kong, China). All ortho-k subjects were fitted with spheri-
cal (ROMIO) or toric (TO-SEE) Menicon Z Night
lenses (NKL Contactlenzen, Emmen, The Netherlands).
Lens prescription was aided by a computer program
provided by the lens manufacturers in both studies. Full
refractive correction was provided for all subjects and
the habitual prescription was updated if the monocular visual
acuity was worse than 0.18 (logarithm of the minimum
angle of resolution (logMAR)) (Snellen 6/9) or residual
myopia/astigmatism exceeded 0.50 D at any visit after
stabilisation of treatment. Measurements were repeated
every 6 months for 2 years after the baseline visit. Table 1
shows a summary of the inclusion and exclusion criteria
of ROMIO and TO-SEE studies.

Baseline data (cycloplegic subjective refraction, central
corneal thickness (CCT), AL, keratometry, intraocular

### Table 1: Inclusion and exclusion criteria of ROMIO and TO-SEE studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ROMIO</strong></td>
<td><strong>ROMIO and TO-SEE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10 years (inclusive)</td>
<td>Strabismus at distance or near</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myopia: between 0.50 D and 4.00 D in at least one eye</td>
<td>Previous experience in contact lens wear or myopia control treatment (eg, refractive therapy or progressive spectacles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astigmatism:&lt;1.50 D; with-the-rule astigmatism (axes 180±30) ≤1.25 D; astigmatism of other axes ≤0.50 D in both eyes</td>
<td>Contraindication for contact lens wear and orthokeratology (eg, limbus to limbus corneal cylinder and dislocated corneal apex)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spherical equivalent (SE):&gt;0.50 D and ≤4.50 D in both eyes</td>
<td>Previous history of ocular surgery, trauma or chronic ocular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anisometropia:&lt;1.50 D</td>
<td>Concurrent use of medications that may affect tear quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best-corrected logMAR visual acuity 0.10 or better in both eyes</td>
<td>Systemic or ocular conditions that may affect tear quality or contact lens wear (eg, allergy and concurrent medication) or that may affect refractive development (eg, Down syndrome, ptosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetrical corneal topography with corneal toricity &lt;2.00 D in either eye</td>
<td>Poor compliance to tests (eg, poor fixation in noncontact tonometry or intolerance of lens wear)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TO-SEE**

| 6–12 years (inclusive) | |
| Myopia: between 0.50 D to 5.00 D | |
| Astigmatism (with-the-rule astigmatism): 1.25–3.50 D; (axes 180±20) | |
| Anisometropia: ≤1.50 D | |
| Best-corrected logMAR visual acuity 0.10 or better in both eyes | |

logMAR, logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution.
pressure (IOP) and corneal biomechanical properties) and 24-month AL data were retrieved and analysed. The refractive examination was conducted according to standard clinical protocol which resulted in the maximum plus lens without detriment to the visual acuity steps. Binocular balancing with prism dissociation was also performed to ensure maximum visual acuity could be achieved. CCT and keratometry were measured using the Pentacam (V.1.12, Oculus, Arlington, Washington, USA). AL was measured with partial coherence laser interferometry (IOL Master; Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Goldmann-correlated intraocular pressure (IOPg), corneal compensated intraocular pressure (IOPcc) and corneal biomechanical properties were measured with the ORA (V.2.00, Reichert Ophthalmic Instruments). Valid ORA data were defined as those with a waveform score ≥4.0. The average of four valid ORA data readings was used in data analyses. To further analyse the association between AE and ocular characteristics, ocular characteristics of two subgroups (10 subjects with the fastest (control: 0.91 ≤ AE ≤ 1.15 mm; Ortho-k: 0.58 ≤ AE ≤ 0.87 mm) and 10 subjects with the slowest (control: 0.18 ≤ AE ≤ 0.43 mm; Ortho-k: −0.26 ≤ AE ≤ 0.07 mm) AE in each group of subjects) were compared.

Treatment of data

SPSS V.22.0 (IBM) was used to perform statistical analysis. The data from ROMIO8 and TO-SEE9 were combined since the protocol of data collection, study period and most inclusion criteria, except for high astigmatism power for TO-SEE study, was the same. The data sets followed a normal distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests, p>0.05), hence parametric tests were used for analyses. Fisher’s exact probability test was performed to test the sex difference between ortho-k and SVS groups. Other differences in the baseline characteristics between the ortho-k and SVS subjects were tested using unpaired t-tests. Since AE was significantly slower8 9 in subjects undergoing ortho-k than those wearing SVS, ortho-k and SVS groups were analysed separately. Stepwise multiple linear regression was used to analyse the effect of AE over 24 months in ortho-k and SVS groups. To identify potential differences in ocular characteristics between fast and slow progressors, subgroup analysis was also performed: one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (or one-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), where indicated) was used to compare the ocular characteristics of 10 subjects with the fastest and 10 subjects with the slowest AE over 2 years in the two groups of subjects. Except for refractive cylinder (Shapiro-Wilk tests, p>0.001), all the data from the subgroups followed normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk tests, p>0.05). Post-hoc tests with Bonferroni correction were performed if significant differences were found.

RESULTS

In total, 113 (ortho-k: 62, SVS: 51) of 136 (ortho-k: 72, SVS: 64) subjects had valid ORA data. Table 2 presents the initial age and ocular characteristics of these 113 subjects. There were no significant differences in the demographic data between the two groups of subjects (p>0.05), or between males/females (unpaired t-test, p>0.05). AE over 24 months was significantly associated with initial age (adjusted R²=0.085, F 1,60 = 6.672, standardised beta=−0.316, p=0.012) but not with initial AL, corneal curvature, CCT, IOPg, IOPcc, CRF or CH of the subjects.

Table 2  Baseline age and ocular characteristics of subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ortho-k (n=62)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>SVS (n=51)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (F/M)</td>
<td>29/33</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>23/28</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.999†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub. Sph (D)</td>
<td>−2.25</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>−2.09</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub. Cyl. (D)</td>
<td>−0.98</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>−0.97</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SER (D)</td>
<td>−2.74</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>−2.57</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL (mm)</td>
<td>24.44</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>24.29</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean K (D)</td>
<td>43.62</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>44.10</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCT (μm)</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>27.45</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>0.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOPg (mm Hg)</td>
<td>14.88</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>0.890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOPcc (mm Hg)</td>
<td>14.99</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>14.66</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF (mm Hg)</td>
<td>10.59</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH (mm Hg)</td>
<td>10.82</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.959</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Probability values of t-test for difference between ortho-k and SVS groups.
†Probability values of Fisher’s exact probability test for difference between ortho-k and SVS groups.

Sub. Sph, subjective sphere; Sub. Cyl, subjective cylinder; SER, spherical equivalent refraction; AL, axial length; CCT, central corneal thickness; CH, corneal hysteresis; CRF, corneal resistant factor; IOPg, intraocular pressure; IOPcc, corneal compensated intraocular pressure; Ortho-K, orthokeratology group; SVS single-vision spectacles group.
Figure 1  Association between corneal hysteresis and axial elongation in single-vision spectacle group (n=51).

However, in the ortho-k group (partial r: −0.240 to 0.091, p>0.05). However, in the SVS group, AE was significantly associated with initial age (standardised beta=−0.327, p=0.015) and CH (standardised beta=−0.316, p=0.018) (adjusted R²=0.236, F_{2,48} = 8.741, p=0.001), but not with initial AL, corneal curvature, CCT, IOPg, IOPcc or CRF (partial r: −0.191 to 0.084, p>0.05). Figure 1 and 2 present the plot of the association between CH and AE after 24 months in SVS and ortho-k group, respectively.

Baseline data of the 40 subjects with the fastest and slowest AE in the two study groups are presented in table 3.

Figure 2  Association between corneal hysteresis and axial elongation in ortho-k group (n=62).
Table 3  Baseline age and ocular characteristics of 10 subjects with the fastest and 10 subjects with the slowest axial elongation (AE) after 24 months of monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ortho-k</th>
<th>SVS</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slow N=10</td>
<td>Fast N=10</td>
<td>Slow N=10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>−0.05 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (F/M)</td>
<td>7/3</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>7/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub. Sph (D)</td>
<td>−2.70 ± 1.33</td>
<td>−1.75 ± 1.02</td>
<td>−2.03 ± 1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub. Cyl. (D)</td>
<td>−1.48 ± 1.20</td>
<td>−0.73 ± 0.78</td>
<td>−1.03 ± 0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SER (D)</td>
<td>−3.44 ± 1.67</td>
<td>−2.11 ± 0.99</td>
<td>−2.54 ± 1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL (mm)</td>
<td>24.44 ± 0.96</td>
<td>24.13 ± 1.04</td>
<td>24.05 ± 1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean K (D)</td>
<td>44.36 ± 1.37</td>
<td>43.69 ± 1.50</td>
<td>44.25 ± 1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCT (μm)</td>
<td>566 ± 37.83</td>
<td>580 ± 21.15</td>
<td>583 ± 34.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOPg (mm Hg)</td>
<td>15.82 ± 2.92</td>
<td>14.76 ± 2.22</td>
<td>15.53 ± 1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOPcc (mm Hg)</td>
<td>15.57 ± 2.65</td>
<td>14.99 ± 1.99</td>
<td>14.39 ± 2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF (mm Hg)</td>
<td>11.06 ± 1.59</td>
<td>10.48 ± 1.00</td>
<td>11.69 ± 0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH (mm Hg)</td>
<td>11.04 ± 1.38</td>
<td>10.73 ± 0.79</td>
<td>11.90 ± 0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Probability values of analysis of variance for difference between ortho-k and SVS groups.
†Significant difference between fast and slow progressors in both ortho-k and SVS groups.
AL, axial length; CCT, central corneal thickness; CH, corneal hysteresis; CRF, corneal resistant factor; IOPg, intraocular pressure; IOPcc, corneal compensated intraocular pressure; Ortho-K, orthokeratology group; SVS single-vision spectacles group.

AE differed among the four subgroups (one-way ANOVA, p<0.001). The AE was significantly lower in the ortho-k subjects compared with the SVS subjects in both subgroups (p<0.001).

There were significant differences in baseline age among the four subgroups (one-way ANOVA, p<0.05). Post-hoc tests showed that the rapid progressing subgroups were about 1.5–1.7 years younger than those in the slow progressing subgroups for both ortho-k and SVS groups (p<0.05). There was no significant difference in age between the ortho-k and SVS subjects in the fast progressing subgroups (p>0.99) and slow progressing subgroups (p>0.99). After controlling for age, there were significant differences in CH and CRF among the four subgroups (one-way ANCOVAs, p<0.05). Post-hoc tests revealed that a significant difference in CH and CRF existed between the fast and slow progressing subgroups in the SVS subjects (p<0.05), but not between the ortho-k subjects (p>0.99).

DISCUSSION

The results of the current study showed that initial age was significantly associated with the AL elongation in both ortho-k and SVS groups. Subjects who were younger tended to progress faster than those who were older. This association has been previously reported and was in agreement with other reports on myopic children. Two previous studies conducted in Singapore reported that children with younger baseline age had faster myopia progression. Another study with ethnically diverse subjects also reported a similar result.

In addition to initial age, CH was also associated with AE in the SVS group, but not in the ortho-k group. This association between AL and corneal biomechanical properties has been reported in several previous cross-sectional studies. Chang et al reported that longer AL was associated with lower CH and CRF values in myopic children and there was a significant correlation between the difference of AL and CH between the two eyes of each subject. This might suggest that differences in corneal biomechanical properties may indicate generalised structural differences between eyes. Song et al also demonstrated an association between AL and corneal biomechanical properties in secondary school children. Shen et al investigated the corneal biomechanical properties of adults with high myopia and showed significantly lower CH. Both Del Buey et al and Jiang et al also reported that CH in high myopes was decreased compared with low and non-myopic subjects. However, these were cross-sectional studies and, although they showed that longer AL or higher myopia was associated with lower CH, they were not able to determine if low CH is a potential risk factor for AE. The current study suggests that in addition to initial age low CH may be a risk factor for AE in young myopic children not undergoing myopia control treatment.

Hysteresis is a physical property referred to the ability to dampen pressure changes. A lower CH value may imply a poor ability to resist the stretch in response to distending IOP stress. The corneal hysteresis might reflect the constitution of its extracellular matrix (ECM), which could be hypothesised to be related to the ECM.
composition of posterior ocular tissues. IOP had shown to be one mechanical factor that could lead to AE. Elevations in IOP had shown to be associated with AE of the eye. Many daily life activities including eye rubbing, forced eyelid closure and isometric exercises, can increase IOP within a short period of time. The relatively poor ability to resist the ocular deformation by sudden increase in IOP for subjects with low CH could be the reason to explain the negative association of CH and AE. In the ortho-k group, only initial age was associated with AE. This result is in agreement with previous studies, which reported that younger ortho-k wearers tended to progress faster. The mechanism leading to slowing down of AE by use of ortho-k is still unclear. It has been suggested that ortho-k increases myopic peripheral defocus which may lead to retardation of myopia progression. The amount of induced peripheral defocus varies individually, and it may not be associated with CH of individual subjects. This may help to explain why the effect of baseline CH was masked in the ortho-k group.

To further investigate the relationship between AL and ocular characteristics, baseline characteristics between 10 subjects with the fastest (fast progressor) and 10 subjects with the slowest (slow progressor) AE over 2 years in the two treatment groups were also compared. In addition to initial age, a significant difference in corneal biomechanical properties between fast and slow progressors in the SVS group was observed. CH and CRF were significantly higher in the slow progressors. The reproducibility and repeatability of the ORA were documented by published literatures in adults and children. The reported intra-examiner reliability ranged from 0.78 to 0.93 (good to excellent). The reported mean±SD test–retest differences of children in CH and CRF were 0.36±1.14 mm Hg and 0.18±1.04 mm Hg, respectively, in spectacle group and −0.06±0.75 and 0.06±0.77 mm Hg, respectively, in ortho-k group. These results showed that the 84% (mean±1 SD) of subjects would expect to exhibit retest changes of corneal biomechanical properties of <1.5 mm Hg in spectacle group and 0.83 mm Hg in ortho-k group. The mean differences of CH and CRF, between fast and slow progressors, in SVS group, which yielded statistically significant, were 1.75 and 1.91 mm Hg, respectively. In other words, on the basis of probability, such differences would more than likely represent a genuine difference rather than measurement noise.

Their initial AL, corneal curvature and CCT, which were previously reported as factors likely to affect CH and CRF measurements, were matched in this study. Age was also reported to be a factor affecting CH, and a significant difference of baseline age between the subgroups was also observed in the current study. Kida et al concluded there was an inverse relationship between age and CH in adults, and noted a similar association for CRF. However, Kirwan et al did not find any significant correlation between age and CH. In the current study, even after controlling for initial age, CH and CRF remained significantly lower in the fast progressors in the SVS group, but this difference was not observed in the ortho-k group. The mean AE of the fast progressors in the SVS group was 0.98 mm after 24 months. If the rate of progression remained the same, it could be predicted that these subjects would most likely suffer from moderate to high myopia in later life. In the previous reports, CH was lower in moderate to high myopia subjects. This result was indirectly in agreement with previous reports and provided further evidence that low CH may be a risk factor of myopia progression. The stepwise multiple linear regression model revealed that CH was associated with AE after 24 months in SVS group, but not in the ortho-k group.

From the result, patients, especially those with low CH, should benefit from commencing ortho-k treatment as early as possible, to minimise the risk of myopia progression. Further longitudinal work, with larger sample sizes, would be required to confirm the role of CH and CRF in myopia progression and to determine the cut-off of CH and CRF points in order to determine the risk of myopia progression.

**CONCLUSION**

Subjects having slower myopia progression were significantly older than those with fast progression, irrespective of their form of refractive correction (SVS or ortho-k). In addition, significant differences in CH and CRF were observed between slow and fast progressing subgroups in the SVS group, suggesting lower CH and CRF may be a risk factor for AE.


