Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation (2019) 29:237–238
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-018-9797-3

CORRECTION

Correction to: Functional Capacity Evaluation in Different Societal Contexts: Results of a Multicountry Study

Jone Ansuategui Echeita[1] · Matthias Bethge[2] · Berry J. van Holland[3] · Douglas P. Gross[4] · Jan Kool[5] · Peter Oesch[5] · Maurizio A. Trippolini[6,7,8] · Elizabeth Chapman[9] · Andy S. K. Cheng[10] · Robert Sellars[11] · Megan Spavins[12] · Marco Streibelt[13] · Peter van der Wurff[14,15] · Michiel F. Reneman[1]

Published online: 26 June 2018
© The Author(s) 2018

Correction to: Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-018-9782-x

The original version of this article unfortunately contained a mistake in Table 2. The data under column head "Left handgrip strength (n = 336)" was erroneously omitted during the production process. The corrected Table 2 is given below.

The original article has been corrected.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-018-9782-x.

✉ Jone Ansuategui Echeita
j.ansuategui.echeita@umcg.nl

[1] Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 30.002, 9750 RA Haren, Groningen, The Netherlands

[2] Institute of Social Medicine and Epidemiology, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany

[3] Institute for Sports Studies, Hanze University of Applied Sciences, Groningen, The Netherlands

[4] Department of Physical Therapy, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada

[5] Rehabilitation Centre Valens, Valens, Switzerland

[6] Center for Disability Research, Liberty Mutual Research Institute for Safety, Hopkinton, Boston, USA

[7] PhD in Rehabilitation Sciences Program, Institute for Health Professions, Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH), Charlestown, Boston, USA

[8] Department of Work Rehabilitation, Rehaklinik Bellikon, Suva Care, Bellikon, Switzerland

[9] Toyota Motor Manufacturing Canada, Puslinch, ON, Canada

[10] Ergonomics and Human Performance Laboratory, Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China

[11] FCE Systems Ltd, Geraldine, New Zealand

[12] Occupational Therapy Inc., Randburg, South Africa

[13] Department of Rehabilitation, German Federal Pension Insurance, Berlin, Germany

[14] Research & Development, Military Rehabilitation Center Aardenburg, Doorn, The Netherlands

[15] Institute for Human Movement Studies, HU University of Applied Sciences, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Table 2 Results from multiple multilevel regression analyses with FCE test performances as dependent variables are given; unstandardized regression coefficients and their standard errors (b(SE)), and model's deviance (−2*LogLikelihood) by the addition of random and fixed effects are shown

	Floor-to-waist lift[a] (n = 294)		Six minute walk[b] (n = 224)		Right handgrip strength[c] (n = 335)		Left handgrip strength[c] (n = 336)	
	b (SE)	Deviance	b (SE)	Deviance	b (SE)	Deviance	b (SE)	Deviance
Null model		2213.26		2878.28		2847.88		2826.82
Random effects		2193.04***		2867.71**		2806.11***		2787.86***
Clinician and measurement country								
Fixed effects[d]		1941.21***		2643.19***		2590.43***		2597.43***
Intercept	−2.01 (9.86)		219.87 (130.89)		−3.67 (18.00)		−18.96 (17.39)	
Age (years)					−0.16 (0.06)		−0.13 (0.05)	
Sex								
Female	−4.97 (1.01)				−11.33 (1.82)		−11.38 (1.77)	
Height (cm)	0.17 (0.05)		1.98 (0.67)		0.34 (0.10)		0.38 (0.09)	
BMI (kg/m^2)			−3.82 (1.16)					
Affected body area								
Lower extremity					−0.33 (2.01)			
Upper extremity					−8.19 (2.32)			
Neck					−5.94 (2.02)			
Generalized					−5.62 (1.82)			
Other					9.17 (8.30)			
Observed physical effort								
Heavy	7.74 (1.28)							
Maximum	9.04 (1.70)							
Test ended prematurely								
Yes	−4.87 (1.19)		−168.60 (24.50)					
Post-test HR (bpm)			1.99 (0.30)					
Reported pain intensity (NRS)	−0.64 (0.21)		−10.89 (2.81)		−0.77 (0.33)		−0.91 (0.28)	
Reported effort during FCE test (Borg CR-10)	−0.61 (0.20)		−20.49 (2.79)					
Reported social isolation	−0.34 (0.17)							
Reported catastrophizing					−0.61 (0.27)			
Reported disability (PDI)	−0.07 (0.04)							
Physical work demands (DOT)								
Light					0.65 (2.38)		0.93 (2.31)	
Medium					−0.06 (2.24)		0.78 (2.19)	
Heavy					6.77 (2.51)		6.26 (2.43)	
Very heavy					2.66 (2.86)		5.65 (2.77)	
Days off work								
Less than ¼ year			3.57 (22.30)					
¼–½ year			−59.66 (22.75)					
½–1 year			−12.31 (22.18)					
1–2 years			−27.40 (25.08)					
More than 2 years			−89.19 (24.17)					

Reference category: patient's affected body area: low back; observed physical effort by clinicians: light to moderate; patient's physical work demands: sedentary; patient's days off work: no days off

BMI Body Mass Index, *HR* heart-rate, *NRS* Numeric Rating Scale, *PDI* Pain Disability Index, *DOT* Dictionary of Occupational Titles, *FCE* Functional Capacity Evaluation

Significance: * < 0.05; ** < 0.01; *** < 0.001

[a]Measured in kg

[b]Measured in m

[c]Measured in kgF

[d]Each of the fixed effects factors showed a significant improvement of the model at its addition