

1 **The Jacket Test for Assessing People with Chronic Stroke**

2 **Purpose:** (1) To examine the intra-rater, inter-rater and test-retest reliability of Jacket Test
3 (JT) times with 28 people with chronic stroke. (2) To determine the correlation of JT time
4 with stroke-specific impairments. (3) To construct the optimal cut-off time for the JT that best
5 discriminating 28 people with stroke from 30 healthy older adults.

6
7 **Methods:** The Jacket Test completion times were measured along with Fugl-Meyer Upper
8 Extremity Assessment (FMA-UE), hand grip strength, 5-times Sit-to-stand (FTSTS) test,
9 Berg Balance Scale (BBS) and timed “up and go” (TUG) test, and Community Integration
10 Measure(CIM) using cross-sectional design.

11
12 **Results:** The Jacket Test completion times showed excellent intra-rater, inter-rater and test-
13 retest reliability (ICC = 0.781-1.000). The unaffected-side JT times were significantly
14 correlated with FMA-UE score, affected hand grip strength, BBS score, TUG times and CIM
15 score. The affected-side JT times significantly correlated with affected hand grip strength.
16 The cut-off time of 18.33s in affected side and 18.38s for unaffected side (sensitivity 96.7%;
17 specificity 85.7%-96.4%) was used to best discriminate the subjects with stroke and healthy
18 older adults.

19
20 **Conclusion:** The Jacket Test is a reliable and valid measure tool in clinic to evaluate the
21 upper extremity function in people with chronic stroke.

22 **Introduction**

23 Stroke is the second most frequent cause of death and the leading cause of disability
24 worldwide after cardiovascular disease [1]. A World Health Report (2004) reveals
25 that stroke causes approximately 5.5 million deaths annually with the loss of 44
26 million disability-adjusted life-years [2]. The incidence of stroke doubles with each
27 decade of life after the age of 55 [3].

28 Up to 70% of people with chronic stroke need physical or occupational
29 therapy in the initial phase of rehabilitation due to paresis in their upper and/or lower
30 limbs [4]. More than 60% of people with stroke fail to regain full upper limb function
31 within 6 months post-stroke [5]. Upper extremity dysfunction is of course a major
32 barrier to return to normal daily activity [6]. Efficient use of the upper limbs for
33 reaching and grasping is required in more than half of the activities of daily living
34 (ADL) [7], including dressing, cooking and eating. Compared with the lower limbs,
35 upper extremity function is more essential for resuming independent living and
36 regaining self-esteem [8, 9].

37 The Physical Performance Test (PPT) was originally developed to assess
38 multiple domains of physical function in the elderly [10]. The scale has 9 items which
39 cover many daily living activities: writing a sentence, simulated eating, lifting a book
40 and putting it on a shelf, putting on and removing a jacket, picking up a coin from the

41 floor, turning 360 degrees, a 50-foot walk test, climbing one flight of stairs and
42 climbing four flights of stairs. Rozzini and colleagues suggested that PPT results are
43 independently associated with some chronic diseases in elderly people (with
44 regression coefficients ranging from -2.34 to -9.00) [11], including stroke, cardiac
45 disease and Parkinsonism. Brown and colleagues suggested defining scores of 32–36
46 as not frail, 25–31 as mild frailty and 17–24 as moderate frailty. A score less than 17 is
47 taken to indicate that an individual is unlikely to function well in the community [12].

48 Putting on and removing a long-sleeved jacket is one of the items in the PPT.
49 The subject is required to don jacket or a cardigan sweater such that it is straight on
50 his or her shoulders, and then remove it completely [10]. The time for completing the
51 task is recorded. This Jacket Test can be used to evaluate the functional mobility of
52 the upper limbs, as the test involves abduction of the shoulder joint, flexion and
53 extension of the elbow joint and gripping with the hands.

54 The Jacket Test has great potential in assessing the proficiency of upper limb
55 use in daily activities for people with chronic stroke. **Compared with other existing**
56 **upper extremity measurement scales, the Jacket Test consists of functional movement**
57 **of daily living which only takes less than a minute to complete. However, no**
58 published study has yet assessed the test's reliability and validity with stroke
59 survivors. The objectives of this study were to examine the test's intra-rater, inter-

60 rater and test-retest reliability and any correlation of Jacket Test times with the results
61 of other stroke-specific impairment assessments including the Fugl-Meyer upper
62 extremities assessment (FMA-UE), grip strength, the 5-times sit-to-stand (FTSTS)
63 test, the Berg Balance Scale (BBS), the timed “up and go” (TUG) test and the
64 Community Integration Measure (CIM). Another objective was to determine an
65 optimal cut-off time for the Jacket Test that best discriminates people with stroke
66 from healthy older adults and to quantify the minimal detectable change (MDC) for
67 the completion time among stroke survivors.

68

69 **Methods**

70 *Subjects*

71 A previous study has demonstrated an Intra-class Correlation Coefficient (ICC) value
72 of 0.90 for the PPT performance in assessing the elderly people with mobility
73 impairment [13]. A sample of 27 subjects with 2 observations per subject can
74 therefore achieve 80% power to detect an ICC value of 0.9 under alternative
75 hypothesis for test-retest reliability at a significance level of 0.05.

76 This study was cross-sectional in design. Twenty-eight subjects with chronic
77 stroke were recruited from a local self-help group for stroke survivors. Subjects with
78 stroke were included if they (1) were aged between 50 and 85 years, (2) had suffered

79 a stroke at least 1 year previously; (3) had an Abbreviated Mental Test score ≥ 7 ; (4)
80 had volitional control of the non-paretic arm, and at least minimal anti-gravity
81 movement in the shoulder of the paretic arm and wrist; and (5) were in a stable
82 medical condition that allowed them to complete the test protocol successfully.

83 Candidate subjects were excluded if they (1) were unable to use an upper limb
84 because of musculoskeletal problems (usually arthritis or frozen shoulder); (2) had an
85 acute or terminal illness; (3) had a cognitive disorder caused by severe disorders of
86 the central nervous system (usually Parkinson's disease or Alzheimer's disease); or (4)
87 had any additional medical, cardiovascular or orthopedic condition, which would
88 hinder proper assessment.

89 Thirty healthy older adults who met the criteria were recruited from local
90 community centers. Healthy controls were included if they (1) were aged 50 or older;
91 (2) were able to complete the Jacket Test; (3) were able to understand and comply
92 with verbal commands; (4) were not concurrently involved in any drug study or other
93 clinical trial; and (5) did not have any additional medical, cardiovascular or
94 orthopedic condition, which would hinder proper assessment.

95 The ethics committee of the Hong Kong Polytechnic University approved the
96 study protocols as meeting all of the guidelines set by the Declaration of Helsinki.

97 The objectives of the study were clearly explained to all of the subjects, and all gave
98 written informed consent prior to the testing.

99

100 *Procedure*

101 The structure of data collection and analysis are shown in fig 1. The subjects
102 with stroke were assessed twice one week apart (**Day 1** and **Day 2**). The Jacket Test
103 would be assessed on Day1 and Day 2. The FMA-UE, FTSTS test, BBS, TUG test
104 and CIM were administered and their maximum hand grip strength was assessed on
105 Day 1. The order of the test was randomized by drawing lots. At least 2 minutes of
106 rest was allowed after each test in order to minimize any effect of fatigue. The healthy
107 controls took only the Jacket Test on Day 1.

108

109 *Outcome measurements*

110 *The Jacket Test*

111 On the command “Go”, the subject was required to put on completely a long-
112 sleeved lab coat so that it was straight on his or her shoulders and then to remove it
113 completely [11]. In our study, the time from the command to when the garment had
114 been completely removed in the standing stance was recorded using a stopwatch.

115 **Buttoning or zipping up the jacket is not required in our study.**

116 The test was completed thrice in each session. The time of affected-side Jacket
117 Test time was from inserting the affected arm first to finishing the rest part. The time
118 of unaffected-side and affected-side Jacket Test time was from inserting the
119 unaffected arm and affected arm first to finishing the rest part, respectively. The time
120 of dominant-side and non-dominant Jacket Test time was from inserting the dominant
121 arm and non-dominant arm first to finishing the rest part, respectively.

122

123 *Fugl-Meyer Upper Extremity Assessment*

124 The FMA-UE is a comprehensive, quantitative measure of motor function in
125 terms of isolated movement and synergy. It tests volitional movement, reflex activity
126 and coordination. It has excellent test-retest reliability ($ICC \geq 0.98$) in subjects with
127 chronic stroke[14]. The FMA-UE consists of 33 items, and each item is scored on a
128 0–2 scale, giving a maximum possible score of 66. Higher scores indicate less motor
129 impairment.

130

131 *Hand grip strength*

132 Gripping movement is required in completing the Jacket Test as it helps to grip
133 the jacket tightly and insert the arm straight into the long sleeve of the jacket. Grip
134 strength [15] was measured using a Jamar dynamometer (Sammons Preston Rolyan,
135 Bolingbrook, IL, USA) with the standardized positioning and instructions

136 recommended by the American Society of Hand Therapists. Excellent test-retest
137 reliability (ICC=0.80–0.89) has been reported in people with chronic stroke [16]. The
138 subjects were seated with their shoulders adducted and neutrally rotated, the elbow
139 flexed at 90°, the forearm in a neutral position and the wrist in 0 to 30° of flexion and
140 between 0 and 15° of ulnar deviation. In that position the testees were instructed to
141 squeeze the dynamometer as hard as possible for 5 seconds with the standardized
142 verbal reinforcement of ‘Harder! ... Harder! ... Relax’. The subjects were asked to
143 firstly complete three trails with the unaffected hand and then completed three trails
144 with affected hand. Between each trial, 2 minutes’ rest interval was provided. The
145 means of the three trials of unaffected and affected hand were used in the data
146 analysis.

147

148 *Five-times sit-to-stand test*

149 The standing balance ability is one of the essential conditions for the Jacket
150 Test performed successfully, as subject needs to put on and off the jacket in standing
151 position. The FTSTS test measures lower extremity muscle strength and standing
152 balance in the transition from sitting to standing and back [17]. Excellent reliability
153 (ICC≥0.97) has been reported among subjects with chronic stroke [17]. At the
154 beginning the subject sat with his/her back against the back of a chair with a seat

155 height 45cm. The subject was then asked to stand up and sit down 5 times as quickly
156 as possible. The time from the command “Go” to the subject’s reaching the standing
157 position on the 5th repetition was recorded using a stopwatch.

158

159 *Berg’s Balance Scale*

160 The BBS [18] is designed to quantify functional balance, as balance is an
161 essential condition for performing upper limb function in standing position. Excellent
162 reliability [ICC=0.95] had been demonstrated in subjects with chronic stroke [19].

163 The BBS consists of 14 items, and each item scored on a 0–4 scale, giving a
164 maximum possible score of 56. Higher scores indicate less motor impairment.

165

166 *Timed “up and go” test*

167 The TUG test [20] assesses functional mobility. It has demonstrated excellent
168 test-retest reliability (ICC=0.95) in assessing stroke survivors [21]. Initially, the
169 subject sat on the chair with a seat height of 46cm. The subject was then required to
170 stand up, walk 3 meters, turn back, walk to the chair, turn again and sit down. The
171 time from “Go” command to the subject’s sitting down again was recorded using a
172 stopwatch.

173

174 *Community Integration Measure*

175 The Jacket Test is an ADL task in itself. The Chinese version of the
176 Community Integration Measures (CIM) was used to assess each subject's level of
177 community integration, including general assimilation, support, occupation and
178 independent living. The Chinese version of CIM has 10 items with each item rating
179 on a five-point scale, giving a maximum score of 50 [22]. The performance of ADL is
180 expected to affect the degree of CIM. The CIM has shown good internal consistency
181 (Cronbach's $\alpha=0.84$) and test-retest reliability (ICC=0.84) among people with chronic
182 stroke [22]. The CIM has 10 items, each item rated on a scale from 1 to 5, giving a
183 minimum score of 10 to a maximum of 50. Higher score indicate better community
184 integration.

185

186 *Statistical analysis*

187 All the statistics were calculated using version 17 of the SPSS software suite
188 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistics were compiled describing the
189 subjects' demographic characteristics. Model 3 ICCs (ICC3,1 and ICC3,2) were used
190 to quantify the degree of intra-rater and inter-rater consistency, respectively. The
191 subjects are considered as a random effect and rater is considered as a fixed effect.
192 The test-retest reliability of the observations was estimated using ICC model 2
193 (ICC2,1), where both the raters and subjects were considered as random effects with a

194 single rating [23]. An ICC<0.250 was considered as describing little or no correlation,
195 ICC=0.250–0.500 was defined as fair, ICC=0.500–0.750 was termed moderate to
196 good, and ICC=0.750–1.000 was regarded as good to excellent [23].

197

198 The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to determine whether or not the data
199 were normally distributed. Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated relating
200 the Jacket Test times with the outcomes of the other tests (FMA-UE, grip strength,
201 BBS, FTSTS, TUG, and CIM) when the data were normally distributed. Otherwise,
202 Spearman correlation coefficients were used.

203

204 The significance of the differences in mean Jacket Test times of the healthy
205 control and chronic stroke groups were assessed using independent t-tests. The
206 differences within the stroke and healthy control groups were compared using paired
207 t-tests.

208

209 The minimal detectable change in the Jacket Test completion time was
210 calculated by using the test-retest reliability and standard deviation of the Jacket Test
211 time in the following formula [23]:

212

$$\text{MDC}=1.96 \times \text{SEM} \times \sqrt{2}$$

213 where

214
$$SEM = S_x \sqrt{1 - r_{xx}}$$

215 and S_x is the standard deviation of the Jacket Test times and r_{xx} is the reliability
216 coefficient. The 1.96 in the MDC equation is used to determine the 95% confidence
217 interval(95%CI). The product of SEM multiplied by 1.96 is multiplied by the square
218 root of 2 to account for errors associated with repeated measurement.

219

220 To discriminate the Jacket Test performance of subjects with stroke from that
221 of the healthy controls, receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves were
222 constructed. The curve is a plot of “sensitivity” versus “specificity” for all the
223 possible cut-off points which might distinguish the two groups [24]. The optimum
224 cut-off times were sought using the Youden Index for the trade-off between
225 sensitivity and specificity [25]. The area under an ROC curve (AUC) quantifies the
226 accuracy of the Jacket Test in discriminating the healthy controls from subjects with
227 chronic stroke based on their times. All the analyses were performed on the
228 hypothesis that the AUC was 0.5 [23,26].

229

230 **Results**

231 Demographic data describing 28 subjects with chronic stroke (18 male and 10 female;
232 mean age \pm SD = 57.6 \pm 5.1; mean post-stroke duration \pm SD=7.5 \pm 4.8 years) and the

233 30 healthy controls (11 male and 19 female; mean age \pm SD=61.8 \pm 5.7 years) are
234 shown in Table 1. Significant gender difference(p=0.036) can be found between the
235 two groups. Table 2 presents the outcome of Jacket Test. Table 3 presents the within
236 group comparisons and between group comparisons of Jacket Test. The mean values
237 of all of the outcome measures are shown in Table 4.

238

239 The data in Table 5 show **good to** excellent intra-rater, inter-rater and test-retest
240 reliability (ICC=0.781–1.000) of the Jacket Test times in the subjects with chronic
241 stroke. The MDC (95% CI) in the Jacket Test times for affected and unaffected side
242 were 12.64s and 24.79s, respectively.

243

244 Table 6 shows the correlations between the Jacket Test times and the other
245 outcome measures. Significant correlations were found between unaffected-side JT
246 times and FMA-UE results, affected-side grip strength, BBS, CIM scores ($r=-0.386$ to
247 -0.750), and TUG times ($r=0.556$). The affected-side JT times also correlated with
248 affected-side maximum hand grip strength ($r=-0.615$).

249 The optimal cut-off time (Fig. 2 and 3) was determined to be 18.33s
250 (sensitivity 96.7%; specificity 85.7%; AUC=0.965; $p\leq 0.001$) when the affected arm is

251 inserted first and 18.38s(sensitivity 96.7%; specificity 94.4%; AUC=0.995; $p \leq 0.001$)

252 with the unaffected arm inserted first.

253

254 **Discussion**

255 This study **has investigated** the intra-rater, inter-rater and test-retest

256 reliability of the Jacket Test among people with chronic stroke and to determine the

257 cut-off time which best distinguishes those with stroke from the healthy older adults.

258

259 *Reliability of the Jacket Test in stroke evaluation*

260 Consistent with results of a previous study of the Physical Performance Test

261 [13], the Jacket Test showed excellent reliability in this study. A previous study led by

262 King [13] revealed the PPT's excellent inter-rater (ICC=0.96) and test-retest

263 (ICC=0.88) reliability with the healthy elderly. Sufficient training provided to the

264 assessors, clear instructions and standardized protocols might contribute to the high

265 reliability observed here with stroke survivors. Between two adjacent trials, 2

266 minutes' rest was provided to minimize any fatigue effects. In stroke group, the

267 interval of 1 week between sessions was apparently sufficient to minimize any

268 learning effect [27,28].

269

270 *Performance of the Jacket Test in stroke evaluation*

271 Few researchers have been able to draw on any systematic research into the
272 performance of the Jacket Test among stroke survivors. In this study, the mean
273 completion times of the stroke group (affected: 28.6s; unaffected 125.1s) were
274 significantly longer than those of the healthy controls (dominant:14.2s; non-
275 dominant:13.6s). The MDC in Jacket Test times was 12.64s on the affected side and
276 24.79s on the unaffected side. The difference in mean Jacket Test times between the
277 two groups was far greater than the MDC on both affected and unaffected sides. The
278 different means apparently reflected real differences, not measuring error. This could
279 be explained by the muscle weakness, poor coordination [29] and disorganized motor
280 unit pool activation [30] after stroke, which seriously impair motor function in the
281 upper limbs.

282
283 The Jacket Test completion times of the healthy controls observed in this
284 study (mean: 13.6–14.2s) were slightly longer than those observed in Donnell’s study
285 [31] (mean: 12.90–13.43s). This might due to the differences in the gender
286 proportions between the two studies. All of Donnell’s subjects were males, while
287 most of the subjects here (63.3%) were women. The performance of functional tasks
288 and the muscle strength of older males has been demonstrated to be better than that of

289 older females in previous studies [32,33]. The Jacket Test includes the coordinated
290 movement of shoulder, elbow, wrist and even the lower limb muscle in order to
291 accomplish the whole task. The known gender effect on muscle strength might
292 influence the performance of the Jacket Test completion time.

293

294 *Correlation between the Jacket Test times and other outcome measures*

295 The FMA-UE is commonly used to assess volitional movement, reflex activity
296 and coordination. The Jacket Test assessed proficiency in dressing, which involves
297 combined movement of shoulder, elbow, wrist and hand, so it was reasonable to
298 expect good to excellent correlation between the two tests. Grip strength on the
299 affected side showed significant correlation with the Jacket Test times. A study led by
300 has Soham demonstrated that, among older people, poorer maximum hand grip
301 strength is an independent predictor of poorer ADL performance, such as dressing
302 skill [28]. So the significant correlation is not unexpected.

303 No significant correlation could be found between FTSTS times and the Jacket
304 Test times. The FTSTS test mainly measures functional lower extremity muscle
305 strength and dynamic balance [17]. Although the Jacket Test required the subjects to
306 complete the task while standing, it mainly focused on the coordination of upper limb
307 movement.

308 Unaffected-side Jacket Test times were both significantly correlated with both
309 BBS scores and TUG test times. The TUG test and the BBS are reliable measurement
310 tools for assessing functional mobility and functional balance respectively. The Jacket
311 Test requires static balance in a standing position while putting on and removing the
312 jacket. When the subjects performed the affected-side Jacket Test, some
313 compensatory strategies might be conducted, such as using the unaffected side to help
314 complete the major part of inserting the affected side into the sleeve, which might
315 masked some of the balance performances. That might explain the significant
316 correlations observed with the unaffected-side Jacket Test times but not with that of
317 affected-side.

318 The CIM scores did, though, show a fair to moderate positive correlation with
319 the unaffected-side Jacket Test times. A previous study has found that skill in dressing
320 is one of the most important aspects of independent functioning for persons with
321 profound disability [34]. The moderate correlation could be explained by the fact that
322 the Jacket Test is closely related to ADL competence.

323

324 *Cut-off time for the Jacket Test*

325 **This study also** attempted to calculate the optimal cut-off Jacket Test time for
326 distinguishing healthy older adults from people with chronic stroke. **There was no**

327 significant difference between dominant and non-dominant Jacket Test times in the
328 healthy control. Thus, the Jacket Test time of affected and unaffected side in the
329 stroke group were compared with the mean of dominant and non-dominant Jacket
330 Test time in the healthy control respectively. The optimal cut-off times of 18.33s on
331 the affected side and 18.38s on the unaffected side were determined to discriminate
332 best.

333 The AUCs of the Jacket Test times ranged from 0.965 to 0.995, which means
334 that the Jacket Test time can give better than 95% accuracy in discriminating people
335 with stroke from healthy older adults. The Jacket Test times showed both high
336 sensitivity and specificity when assessing both upper limbs, which suggests that the
337 Jacket Test has great potential as a clinical screening and diagnostic instrument for
338 discriminating people with stroke from the healthy older adults.

339

340 *Clinical Implication of the Jacket Test*

341 Dressing, as an important independent functional task of daily living, has been
342 an indispensable skill to help the people with stroke to return to a normal daily life
343 [35]. Although the ability to dress is included some assessment tools about activities
344 of daily living [36,37], those assessment tools take a longer duration to complete in
345 clinical situations [36, 37]. In addition, those measurement tools only focus on

346 whether the participants could perform dressing, but overlook the detail of dressing
347 skill. The Jacket Test, thus, could provide a quantitative result to assess the upper limb
348 motor functions while performing daily functional task. Furthermore, the Jacket Test
349 is easy to administer and has low time cost. These could increase the values in using
350 the Jacket Test in clinical situations to assess upper limb functions in people with
351 stroke.

352

353 *Limitations*

354 The Jacket Test emphasizes speed in donning a jacket; it does not assess the
355 quality of the movement. The compensatory strategies used in putting on a jacket
356 should also be a focus in testing, but the test is not designed to do that. A standardised
357 lab coat had been used in this study, the size and style of the lab coat might affect the
358 strategy selected of completing the task. The sample size in this research was based
359 on previous reliable findings, but in retrospect it may have been insufficient to detect
360 significant correlations between certain Jacket Test results and other outcome
361 measures. Further investigation with larger sample size would be essential for
362 prediction and multiple regression analysis, and establishing the Jacket Test times in
363 stroke survivors of different mobility levels.

364 Each subject performed the test 3 times, introducing the possibility of learning
365 and fatigue effects which might have had some impacts on the results. There was also
366 a significant difference ($p \leq 0.05$) in the gender proportions between the stroke and
367 healthy groups. Gender-related differences in muscle strength [38] and functional task
368 skill [31,32] have been reported in previous studies. With more data added in the
369 future, the gender bias could be eliminated. **Note too that our findings and the cut-off**
370 **times provided here are only applicable to people with chronic stroke and healthy**
371 **older adults who fulfil the study's inclusion criteria.** The present study could not
372 establish any causal relationship between the variables because of its cross-sectional
373 design.

374 **Conclusion**

375 The Jacket Test has **good to** excellent intra-rater, inter-rater and test-rest reliability
376 when used for measuring the upper limb function of people with chronic stroke. The
377 Jacket Test times significantly correlate with FMA-UE scores, BBS scores, TUG test
378 times and maximum hand grip strength on the affected side. Completion times of
379 18.33s on the affected side and 18.38s on the unaffected side effectively discriminate
380 people with chronic stroke for the healthy older adults.

381 The Jacket Test is a reliable and valid measuring tool which can be applied in

382 the clinic to evaluate the upper extremity function of people with chronic stroke.

383

384

385 **References**

- 386 1. Bonita R, Mendis S, Truelsen T, Bogousslavsky J, Toole J, Yatsu F. The
387 global stroke initiative. *Lancet Neurol* 2004;3:391–393.
- 388 2. World Health Organization. *World Health Report 2004: Changing History*.
389 World Health Organization 2004.
- 390 3. Feigin VL, Lawes CM, Bennett DA, et al. Stroke epidemiology: a review of
391 population-based studies of incidence, prevalence and case-fatality in the late
392 20th century. *Lancet Neurol* 2003;2:43–53.
- 393 4. Harris JE, Eng JJ, Miller WC, et al. The role of caregiver involvement in
394 upper-limb treatment in individuals with subacute stroke. *Physical Therapy*
395 2010;90:1302-1310.
- 396 5. Kwakkel G, Kollen BJ, Van der Grond J, et al. Probability of regaining
397 dexterity in the flaccid upper limb: The impact of severity of paresis and time
398 since onset in acute stroke. *Stroke* 2003;34:2181–2186.
- 399 6. Van der Putten J, Hobart J, Freeman J, et al. Measuring change in disability
400 after inpatient rehabilitation—comparison of the responsiveness of the Barthel
401 Index and the Functional Independence Measure. *J Neurol Neurosurg*
402 *Psychiatry* 1999;66:480-484.

- 403 7. Ingram JN, Kording KP, Howard IS, et al. The statistics of natural hand
404 movements. *Exp Brain Res* 2008;188:223-236.
- 405 8. Granger CV, Hamilton BB, Gresham GE, et al. The stroke rehabilitation
406 outcome study. Part II. Relative merits of the total Barthel Index Score and a
407 four item subscore in predicting patient outcomes. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*
408 1989;70:100–103.
- 409 9. Balliet R, Levy B, Blood K. Upper extremity sensory feedback therapy in
410 chronic cerebrovascular accident patients with impaired expressive aphasia
411 and auditory comprehension. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1986;67:304–310.
- 412 10. Reuben DB, Siu AL. An objective measure of physical function of elderly
413 outpatients. The physical performance test. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 1990;38:1105-
414 1112.
- 415 11. Rozzini R, Frisoni GB, Ferrucci L, et al. The effect of chronic diseases on
416 physical function. Comparison between activities of daily living scales and the
417 physical performance test. *Age and Ageing.* 1997;26:281-287.
- 418 12. Brown M, Sinacore DR, Binder EF, et al. Physical and performance measures
419 for the identification of mild to moderate frailty. *The Journals of Gerontology*
420 *Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 2000;55:350-355.

- 421 13. King MB., Judge JO, Whipple R, et al. Reliability and responsiveness of two
422 physical performance measures examined in the context of a functional
423 training intervention. *Physical therapy* 2000;80(1):8-16.
- 424 14. Duncan PW, Propst M, Nelson SG. Reliability of the Fugl-Meyer assessment of
425 sensorimotor recovery following cerebrovascular accident. *Phys Ther*
426 1983;63(10): 1606-1610.
- 427 15. Abizanda P, Navarro JL, García-Tomás MI, et al. Validity and usefulness of
428 hand-held dynamometry for measuring muscle strength in community-
429 dwelling older persons. *Archives of gerontology and geriatrics* 2012;54(1):21-
430 27.
- 431 16. Bertrand AM, Mercier C, Bourbonnais D, et al. Reliability of maximal static
432 strength measurements of the arms in subjects with hemiparesis. *Clinical*
433 *rehabilitation* 2007;21(3):248-257.
- 434 17. Mong Y, Teo TW, Ng SS. 5-repetition sit-to-stand test in subjects with
435 chronic stroke: reliability and validity. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2010; 91:407–
436 413.
- 437 18. Berg KO, Wood-Dauphinee SL, Williams JI, et al. Measuring balance in the
438 elderly: validation of an instrument. *Canadian journal of public health= Revue*
439 *canadienne de sante publique* 1991;83:S7-11.

- 440 19. Flansbjer UB, Blom J, Brogårdh C. The reproducibility of Berg Balance Scale
441 and the Single-leg Stance in chronic stroke and the relationship between the
442 two tests. *PM&R* 2012;4(3):165-170.
- 443 20. Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The timed ‘‘up & go’’: a test of basic functional
444 mobility for frail elderly persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1991; 39: 142–148.
- 445 21. Ng SS, Hui-Chan CW. The timed up & go test: its reliability and association
446 with lower-limb impairments and locomotor capacities in people with chronic
447 stroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2005;86:1641-1647.
- 448 22. Liu T, Ng SS, Ng GY. Translation and initial validation of the Chinese
449 (Cantonese) version of community integration measure for use in patients with
450 chronic stroke. *Biomed Res Int* 2014.
- 451 23. Portney LG, Watkins MP: *Foundations of clinical research: Applications to*
452 *practice*, 3rd ed. Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall, 2009.
- 453 24. Murphy JM, Berwick DM, Weinstein MC, et al. Performance of screening and
454 diagnostic tests; application of receiver operating characteristics analysis.
455 *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1987;44:550–555.
- 456 25. Fluss R, Faraggi D, Reiser B. Estimation of the Youden Index and its
457 associated cutoff point. *Biom J* 2005;4:458-472.

- 458 26. Kumar R, Indrayan A. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for
459 medical researchers. *Indian Pediatr* 2011;48:277–287.
- 460 27. Chung MM, Chan RW, Fung YK, et al. Reliability and validity of Alternate
461 Step Test times in subjects with chronic stroke. *Journal of rehabilitation*
462 *medicine* 2014;46(10):969-974.
- 463 28. Al Snih S, Markides KS, Ottenbacher KJ, et al. Hand grip strength and
464 incident ADL disability in elderly Mexican Americans over a seven-year
465 period. *Aging clinical and experimental research* 2004;16(6):481-486.
- 466 29. Langhorne P, Coupar F, Pollock A. Motor recovery after stroke: a systematic
467 review. *Lancet Neurol* 2009; 8:741–754.
- 468 30. Li X, Wang YC, Suresh NL, et al. Motor unit number reductions in paretic
469 muscles of stroke survivors. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Technol. Biomed* 2011;15:505–
470 512.
- 471 31. O'Donnell AB, Trivison TG, Harris SS, et al. Testosterone,
472 dehydroepiandrosterone, and physical performance in older men: results from
473 the Massachusetts Male Aging Study. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology*
474 *& Metabolism* 2006;91(2):425-431.

- 475 32. Novy DM, Simmonds MJ, Olson SL, et al. Physical performance: Differences in
476 men and women with and without low back pain. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*
477 1999;80:195–198.
- 478 33. Butler AA, Menant JC, Tiedemann AC, et al. Age and gender differences in
479 seven tests of functional mobility. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2009; 6:31–39.
- 480 34. Reese GM, Snell ME. Putting on and removing coats and jackets: The
481 acquisition and maintenance of skills by children with severe multiple
482 disabilities. *Education and Training in Mental Retardation* 1991:398-410.
- 483 35. Reese, Gail M., and Martha E. Snell. "Putting on and removing coats and jackets:
484 The acquisition and maintenance of skills by children with severe multiple
485 disabilities." *Education and Training in Mental Retardation* (1991): 398-410.
- 486 36. Collin C, Wade DT, Davies S, Horne V. The Barthel ADL Index: a reliability
487 study. *International disability studies* 2009.
- 488 37. Carod-Artal FJ, Coral LF, Trizotto DS, et al. The stroke impact scale 3.0:
489 evaluation of acceptability, reliability, and validity of the Brazilian version.
490 *Stroke* 2008; 39(9): 2477-2484
- 491 38. Novak, Alison C, Brenda B. Strength and aerobic requirements during stair
492 ambulation in persons with chronic stroke and healthy adults. *Archives of*
493 *physical medicine and rehabilitation* 2012;93(4):683-689.

494

495

496

Table I. *Demographics for the two groups*

497

Descriptor	Stroke (n=28)	Healthy control(n=30)	p
Age, year, mean (SD)	57.6 (5.1)	61.8 (5.7)	0.733
Gender, M/F, n	18/10	11/19	0.036*
Height, cm, mean (SD)	162.6 (8.6)	159.7(8.6)	0.828
Weight, kg, mean (SD)	66.9 (11.6)	57.0(8.9)	0.223
Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD)	25.2 (2.9)	22.3(2.7)	0.624
Paretic side, L/R, n	9/19	N/A	-
Stroke nature, I/H/others, n	17/9/2	N/A	-
Years post-stroke ,year, mean(SD)	7.5 (4.8)	N/A	-

498 * $p < 0.05$

499 NOTE. Values are mean \pm SD or as otherwise noted.

500 Abbreviations: F, female; M, male; L, left; R, right; I, ischemic; H, hemorrhagic

Table II. Mean values of the Jacket Test Outcome

Used side / Rater		Time,s, mean(SD)	
		Day1	Day2
Stroke group	Affected		
	Rater 1	28.6(9.4)	28.8(10.6)
	Rater 2	28.5(9.4)	28.7(10.6)
	Unaffected		
	Rater 1	124.8(75.5)	125.4(74.8)
	Rater 2	124.9(75.4)	125.4 (74.9)
Health group	Dominant		
	Rater 1	14.3(3.2)	
	Rater 2	14.1(3.3)	
	Non-dominant		
	Rater 1	13.6(2.6)	
	Rater 2	13.6(2.5)	

501 NOTE. Values are mean \pm SD or as otherwise noted.

502

503

Table III. Mean Values of Jacket Test Completion Time in Healthy Subjects and Subjects with Stroke

	Stroke(n=28)		Health(n=30)		<i>p</i> (Compared with Dominant)		<i>p</i> (Compared with Non-Dominant)	
	affected	unaffected	Dominant	Non-Dominant	affected	unaffected	affected	unaffected
Time,s, mean(SD)	28.6(9.9)	125.1(74.1)	14.2(3.2)	13.6(2.6)	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**
<i>p</i> (Within group)	<0.001**		0.187					

NOTE. Values are mean ± SD or as otherwise noted.

Table IV *Mean Values of Other Outcome Measures*

Assessment	Subjects with stroke
FMA-UE, score, mean(SD)	34.0(16.5)
Maximum hand grip strength	
Affected side strength , kg, mean(SD)	10.0(9.8)
Unaffected side strength , kg, mean(SD)	28.8(8.3)
FTSTST, time, mean(SD)	15.2(4.4)
BBS, score, mean(SD)	50.4(4.0)
TUG, time, mean(SD)	14.7(3.5)
CIM, score, mean(SD)	44.6(5.5)

NOTE. FMA-UE: Fugl-Meyer assessment for the upper extremities; FTSTST: 5-times sit-to-stand test; BBS: Berg Balance Scale; TUG: timed up and go test; CIM: Community Integration Measure

Table V *Reliability of Jacket Test Time in chronic stroke*

Used Side	Examiner	Day	ICC(95%CI)	
			Time	
			Affected side	Unaffected side
Intra-rater reliability- ICC _{3,1}	A	1	0.845(0.709-0.923)	1.000(0.999-1.000)
		2	0.879(0.774-0.940)	0.999(0.999-1.000)
Inter-rater reliability- ICC _{3,2}	A-B	1	1.000(1.000-1.000)	1.000(1.000-1.000)
		2	1.000(0.999-1.000)	1.000(1.000-1.000)
Test-retest reliability- ICC _{2,1}	A	1-2	0.795(0.558-0.905)	0.972(0.940-0.987)
	B	1-2	0.781(0.528-0.899)	0.999(0.999-1.000)

95% CI:95% confidence interval; ICC: intra-class correlation coefficient.

Table VI *Correlations Relating Jacket Test Parameter With Other Outcome Measures*

* $p < 0.05$ **; $p < 0.001$

	Affected side		Unaffected side	
	Time	p	Time	p
FMA-UE	-0.285	0.142	-0.750**	0.000
Handgrip (kg)				
Affected	-0.615**	0.000	-0.400*	0.035
Unaffected	0.208	$r=0.289$	0.060	$r=0.761$
FTSTST (s)	-0.086	$r=0.664$	0.177	$r=0.368$
BBS	-0.015	0.938	-0.424*	0.025
TUG (s)	0.115	$r=0.559$	0.556*	$r=0.002$
CIM	-0.061	0.757	-0.386*	0.042

Values are Spearman rho (p) unless otherwise specified as r , which are Pearson correlation coefficients.

FMA-UE: Fugl-Meyer assessment for the upper extremities; FTSTST: 5-times sit-to-stand test; BBS: Berg Balance Scale; TUG: timed up and go test; CIM: Community Integration Measure