The following publication Gao, X., & Lee, S. Y. M. (2017). A Study on Prefix of Hohhot Dialect. In Proceedings of the 6th Annual International Conference on Language, Literature and Linguistics, (pp. 329-333). Global Science and Technology Forum is available at https://dx.doi.org/10.5176/2251-3566\_L317.121.

# A study on prefix of Hohhot dialect

Gao Xuefeng

The Department of Chinese and Bilingual Studies
The Hong Kong Polytechnic University
Hong Kong
gaoxuefeng0812@gmail.com

Abstract—Hohhot dialect (Cidihua), as the most important and prevalent spoken-language in Hohhot, has its own linguistic features. This paper focuses on morphology in Hohhot dialect. Based on the analysis of three dominant prefixes, we find that these three prefixes have their own features and have no substantive meaning.

Keywords-Hohhot dialect; Prefix; Lingusitic features

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Hohhot, the provincial city of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, is the political, economic and cultural center of Inner Mongolia. The major languages and dialects are Putonghua (Mandarin Chinese), Hohhot dialect (Cidihua) and several varieties of Mongolian (Puthuval & Wang 2016). Hupu, a contraction of huhehaote and Putonghua, is also a very interesting branch of Hohhot language attracting researcher's sight (Wang 2014).

Hohhot dialect (Cidihua), as the most prevalent spoken-language in Hohhot, plays an important role in Hohhotians' daily life. Like other dialects in China, Hohhot dialect also has its own linguistic features in phonology, syntax, semantics and pragmatics. This paper will focus on three typical prefixes and want to analyze more specifically of them.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Liao (2014) believes that "if a bound morpheme carries no substantive meaning, and / or its position is fixed, it is best analyzed as an affix. Otherwise, it should be categorized as a bound root". The analysis of the following prefixes in this paper will be based on this definition.

Li & Li (1986) analyze prefix and suffix and state some explanations on Hohhot dialect. kə?, as the most important affix in Hohhot dialect (as the branch of Jin dialect), is mostly discussed. The origin of kə? is also having a heated discussion (Zhang 2010; Liu & Liu 2012). Liu & Liu (2012) think that kə? in contemporary Jin dialect has the obvious property of

Lee Yat-mei Sophia
The Department of Chinese and Bilingual Studies
The Hong Kong Polytechnic University
Hong Kong
ymlee@polyu.edu.hk

agglutination, which is like the agglutinative elements in Altai Language. It combines with Chinese roots and forms a special kind of mixed language.

### III. THE PREFIX /kə?/

/kə?/ is the most important prefix in Hohhot dialect. As the most productive prefix, it can be combined with nouns, verbs, adjectives and classifiers. (Li & Li 1986; Liu & Liu 2000; Liu & Liu 2012).

A. /kə?/ + monosyllabic noun root

The prefix /kə?/ combines with the monosyllabic noun root taking the form XY.

- (1) kə? ua<sup>35</sup> prefix concave 'the place where high in two sides but low in middle'
- (2) kə? tan<sup>35</sup>
  prefix egg
  'egg-shaped things'
- (3) kə? tciə<sup>44</sup>
  prefix point
  'the top of the cone'
- (4) kə? tsa<sup>44</sup>
  prefix fragment
  'very smaller pieces of food or earth'

The basic meaning of the kə?-noun is the basic meaning of their roots. For example, the meaning of /kə? ua<sup>35</sup>/ 'the place where high in two sides but low in middle', /kə? tsiə<sup>44</sup>/ 'the top of the cone' and /kə? tsa<sup>44</sup>/ 'very smaller pieces of food or earth' in (1) (3) (4) contains the original meaning of the noun root. Some kə?-noun's meaning is the metaphor of the root. For instance, /kə? tan<sup>35</sup>/ 'egg-shaped things' in (2) is not the egg, but a kind of egg-shaped thing.

kə?-noun can also undergo reduplication (RED) of noun root, and take the form XYY.

(5) /kə? tan<sup>35</sup>/---RED---/kə? tan<sup>35</sup> tan/ 'a very small egg-shaped thing'

The example (5) indicates that the process of reduplication XYY is XY + Y.

Does /tciə<sup>44</sup> tciə<sup>44</sup>/, /ua<sup>35</sup> ua<sup>35</sup>/, /tsa<sup>44</sup> tsa<sup>44</sup>/ in (1), (3) and (4) exist in Hohhot dialect? The answer is no. We usually use kə?-noun to describe these kinds of things. There is a special case to /tan<sup>35</sup>tan<sup>35</sup>/ in (2). When we use /tan<sup>35</sup>tan<sup>35</sup>/alone, it refers to children's testis. It is a proper noun. But according to what we analyzed, we think that /tan<sup>35</sup>tan<sup>35</sup>/, which indicates children's testis, is the result by the consensus of the mass.

There is also a group of reduplicated words that are different from the above one.

- (6)  $/s_1^{44}/--RED---/s_1^{44} s_1^{45}/---PRE---/kə? s_1^{44} s_1^{45}/$  'a tiny bit'
- (7) \*/kə? s $_1^{44}$ / ---RED--- /kə? s $_1^{44}$  s $_1^{45}$ / 'a tiny bit'

/kə?  $s_1^{44}$   $s_1^{45}$ / 'a tiny bit'in (6) and (7) show that it doesn't undergo the process of XY+Y, but undergo prefix-adding(PRE). It means that the root undergoes reduplication first and then adds the prefix. Therefore, the process of this kind of form is YY (reduplication of noun root) + X (prefix- kə?). The reason is that the word /kə?  $s_1^{44}$  doesn't exist in Hohhot dialect, but / $s_1^{44}$   $s_1^{45}$ / does.

- (8)  $/ts^h\alpha^{44}/---RED---/ts^h\alpha^{44}ts^h\alpha/---PRE---/ka? ts^h\alpha^{44}ts^h\alpha/ 'very small pieces'$
- (9) /kə?  $ts^h a^{44}$ /---RED---/kə?  $ts^h a^{44} ts^h a$ / 'very small pieces'

There is also a very interesting case that /kə?  $ts^h\alpha^{44}$   $ts^h\alpha'$  'very small pieces' can undergo these two different kinds of process, because both / $ts^h\alpha^{44}$   $ts^h\alpha'$  and /kə?  $ts^h\alpha^{44}$ /exist in Hohhot dialect.

Therefore, we can conclude that there are two different kinds of construction on kə?-noun. They are XY/Y and X/YY.

Wang (1994) believes that the reduplication of Chinese noun refers to favor "xiai", kindness "qinqie" or tininess "xixiao", which always apply to children's language. We find that the meaning of reduplicative forms of XY in Hohhot dialect also have little changes which indicate "a little / small".

### B. /kə?/ + monosyllabic verb root

The prefix /kə?/ combines with the monosyllabic verb root taking the form XY. The verb roots which can be combined with prefix-/kə?/ usually express the physical action. The kə?-verb and the verb itself can both be used in Hohhot dialect, but Hohhotians prefer using kə?-verb. For example:

- (10) kə? khau<sup>35</sup> prefix lean 'lean against'
- (11) kə? thui<sup>44</sup>
  prefix push
  'push'
- (12)kə? thaŋ<sup>53</sup> prefix lie down 'rest'

Firstly, Liu & Liu (2000) argue that verb XY usually express "take actions slowly or a short period". For instance:

- (13)  $ni^{35}$  teiou<sup>435</sup> tsai<sup>35</sup> su<sup>35</sup> naæ kə? you AUX in tree there prefix  $k^h\alpha u^{35}$  eia<sup>53</sup> lean a while
  - 'You just lean against the tree for a while.'
- (14)  $ni^{35}$   $teiou^{435}$   $tsai^{35}$   $su^{35}$  na  $k^h cu^{35}$  you AUX in tree there prefix  $eia^{53}$  lean

'You just lean against the tree for a while.'

- $(15) ni^{35}$ nəŋ<sup>44</sup> tciou<sup>435</sup> bγ tsai35 you **AUX** not can again  $t^hui^{44} \\$ sau?435uei44  $\varepsilon ia^{53}$ kə? prefix push a little bit a while
  - 'Why can't you push a little bit more?'
- $(16) ni^{35}$ teiou<sup>435</sup> nəŋ<sup>44</sup> tsai<sup>35</sup> bγ **AUX** not can more sau?435uei44 cia53 kə? thui44 a little bit prefix push a while

'Why can't you push a little bit more?'

(17)  $z_0^{53}$   $t_1^{33}$   $t_2^{6}$   $t_3^{35}$   $t_5^{64}$   $t_5^{64}$   $t_7^{64}$   $t_7$ 

'Let him rest in bed for a while.'

(18) zo<sup>53</sup> tA<sup>33</sup> te<sup>h</sup>y<sup>35</sup> tsho<sup>44</sup>sy t<sup>h</sup>aŋ<sup>53</sup> let he go bed lie down xueið 435 a while

'Let him rest in bed for a while.'

Examples (13) to (18) show that there is no difference when the verb root attaches with or without prefix-kə? so the existence of prefix-kə? doesn't have any influence on expressing "take actions slowly or a short period". The meaning of "slowly or slightly" derives from "/cia<sup>53</sup>/ and /xueið-<sup>435</sup>/ 'a while". It can be concluded that the meaning of "take action slowly or a short period" derives from the context, not the prefix- kə?.

Secondly, Li & Li (1986) argue that some ka?verbs can express "non-stop repeated action". See the following examples (excerpted from Li & Li (1986)).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (19)\,\text{ni}^{35} & \text{biau}^{435} & \text{kə?} & \text{niou}^{435} & \text{le} \\ & \text{You} & \text{not} & \text{prefix} & \text{twist} & \text{ASP} \end{array}$$

'Don't twist your body anymore.'

(20)  $nia^{35}$  kə?  $tsuan^{53}$  le 3PL prefix walk around ASP iə?  $t^hi\epsilon^{44}$  le one day ASP

'They walk around all day.'

The sentence (19) and (20) show that actions /kə? niou<sup>435</sup>/ 'twist' and /kə? tsuan<sup>53</sup>/ 'walk around' in sentences respectively refer to non-stop repeated action. But if we use the verb root alone in sentences, will this meaning still remain. See the examples (21) and (22).

 $\begin{array}{ccccc} (21)\,\text{ni}^{35} & \text{biau}^{435} & \text{niou}^{435} & l\epsilon \\ & You & \text{not} & \text{twist} & ASP \end{array}$ 

'Don't twist your body anymore.'

(22)  $nia^{35}$   $tsuan^{53}$   $l\epsilon$   $i\flat$ ?  $t^hi\epsilon^{44}$   $l\epsilon$  3PL walk around ASP one day ASP

'They walk around all day.'

Compared with the sentences (19) to (22), (21) & (22), which the verb roots don't attach with prefix- kə?, still express the meaning of non-stop repeated actions. We find that the verb what the prefix attached has this kind of property:

According to the above analysis, it can be concluded that "non-stop repeated" meaning derived from the verb root. Therefore, prefix- ka? doesn't refer to repeated and non-stop. The meaning of them derives from the verb itself and the context.

Based on the above analysis, prefix-kə? of verb carries no substantive meaning. The meaning of "take action slowly or a short period" and "non-stop repeated action" derives from the verb itself and the context.

## C. /kə?/ + monosyllabic adjective root

The prefix /kə?/ combines with the monosyllabic adjective root taking the form XY, which refers to the property of an entity. For example:

(24) kə? liau<sup>35</sup> prefix bent 'bent'

Some reduplicated adjective root can also combine with prefix-kə?. Reduplication in adjective root is not a very obvious feature in Hohhot dialect, compared with Mandarin Chinese.

## D. /kə?/ + monosyllabic classifier root

Chinese has a particular rich nominal classifier system that requires classifiers to occurs in a noun phrase using the following construction (Ahrens & Huang 2016):

Determiner(Det) / Number (Num) / Quantifier (Q) - Classifier (CL) – Noun (N)

They use "sortal classifier" and "measure classifier" to refer to its subcategories. Sortal classifiers include individual classifier, event classifier and kind classifier, while measure classifiers include container

measure word, standard measure word and approximation measure word.

Prefix-kə? in Hohhot dialect can combine with some kinds of classifiers. For instance:

(25) iə? kə? tui $^{13}$  uəŋ $^{53}$  thi  $^{435}$ 

'a bunch of questions'

 $(26) san^{13} kə? teia? y^{35} teia^{13}$ 

'three sections of corn'

(27) iə? kə? ku  $mA^{33} sən^{13}$ 

'a hemp rope'

According to what we analyzed, prefix-kə? can only combine with approximation measure words. So the structure of noun phrase in Hohhot dialect can be written as follows:

Determiner(Det) / Number (Num) / Quantifier (Q) – (kə?)-Classifier (CL) – Noun (N)

### E. Summary

Prefix /kə?/ is a very important morpheme in Hohhot dialect and people use it in almost all the sentences. Based on what we analyzed above, we find that prefix-kə? can combine with nouns, verbs, adjectives and classifiers. However, it doesn't carry substantive meaning. We also explain some grammatical features and characteristics of /kə?/.

#### IV. THE PREFIX /xuə?32/

# A. /xuə?<sup>32</sup>/ + monosyllabic verb root

Prefix /xuə?<sup>32</sup>/ always combine with monosyllabic verb root. It refers to continuity and repetition of an action (Li &Li 1986), and have derogatory sense (Liu &Liu 2000). The meaning of /xuə?<sup>32</sup>/-verb is the basic meaning of the verb root. For example:

- (28) xuə?³² tou³² prefix quiver 'quiver'
- (29) xuə?<sup>32</sup> liao<sup>44</sup> prefix uncover 'uncover'
- (30) xuə?<sup>32</sup> zau<sup>53</sup> prefix walk around 'walk around'

As we can see above, these words express continuity, e.g.  $/\text{xua}?^{32}$  tou<sup>32</sup>/ 'quiver' in (28),  $/\text{xua}?^{32}$  zou<sup>53</sup>/ 'walk around' in (30) and  $/\text{xua}?^{32}$  teiou<sup>435</sup>/ 'stir' in (31). But  $/\text{xua}?^{32}$  liao<sup>44</sup>/ 'uncover' in (29) doesn't refer to cover and uncover the quilt continually. We find that the verb root has the same property:

As we present above, /tou<sup>32</sup>/ 'quiver', /zou<sup>53</sup>/ 'walk around' and /teiou<sup>435</sup>/ 'stir' in (28), (30) and (31) all express continuity and repetition. So the property of continuity and repetition doesn't derive from prefix-xuə?<sup>32</sup>, but the verb itself. Therefore, it can be concluded that /xuə?<sup>32</sup>/ doesn't have any substantive meaning. It only uses as the derivational prefix.

## V. THE PREFIX /zə?<sup>324</sup>/

Prefix  $/z_9 ?^{324}/$  can combine with verbs and adjectives. For example:

- A.  $/z_9$ ?<sup>324</sup>/ + monosyllabic verb root
- (32) zə?<sup>324</sup> xuŋ<sup>13</sup> prefix cheat 'cheat'
- (33) zə?<sup>324</sup> siɛ<sup>13</sup>
  prefix show off
  'show off'
- (34) zə?<sup>324</sup> ts<sup>h</sup>u<sup>35</sup> prefix brag 'brag'

The above examples show that  $/z_0 ?^{324}$ / always combine the verb root which has the property of derogatory, like  $/xu_1^{13}$ / 'cheat' in (32),  $/\varepsilon i \varepsilon^{13}$ / in (33) and  $/ts^h u^{35}$ / in (34).

- B.  $/z = \frac{2^{324}}{+ \text{monosyllabic adjective root}}$
- (35) zə?<sup>324</sup> kuai<sup>13</sup> prefix strange 'strange'

- $(36) z_{\theta} \gamma^{324}$  ey $\epsilon^{44}$  prefix incredible 'incredible'
- (37) zə?<sup>324</sup> teiŋ?<sup>13</sup> prefix shrewd 'shrewd'

The examples above can show that the roots of  $/z_0 ?^{324}$ / in (35) to (37) have the same property.

kuai<sup>13</sup> [+derogatory] εyε<sup>44</sup>[+derogatory] teiη?<sup>13</sup>[+derogatory]

Therefore, the meaning of these words derives from the root, and the derogatory sense is also encoded in the root itself.

#### VI. Conclusion

Three main prefixes are explained and analyzed to present a micro vision of Hohhot dialect. Based on what we analyzed, we find that prefix in Hohhot dialect has no substantive meaning and its position is fixed. It will help us to analyze more precisely on language and dialect.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] K. Ahrens and C. Huang, "Classifiers," in A reference grammar of Chinese, in C. Huang and D. Shi, Eds, Cambridge University Press, 2016, pp. 169-198.
- [2] Z. Li and S. Li, "Affixes in Hohhot dialect," Journal of Inner Mongolia University, vol.3, pp. 58-64, 1986.
- [3] W. Liao, "Morphology," in The handbook of Chinese linguistics, C. Huang, Y. Li, and A. Simpson, Eds. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 2014, pp. 3-25
- [4] W. Liu and X. Liu, Hohhot dialect. Hohhot: Inner Mongolia Press, 2000.
- [5] Y. Liu and X. Liu, "The new findings on contemporary *Jin* dialect ka?," Chinese Study, vol.125, pp. 63-65, 2012
- [6] S. Puthuval and Xuan Wang, "Hohhot, The Language of," in Encyclopedia of Chinese Language and Linguistics, R. Sybesma, Ed. Leiden: Brill, 2016.
- [7] Xiaofang Wang, "The study on reduplication of noun," Journal of Jiaying University, vol. 29, pp. 134-139, 1994.

- [8] Xuan Wang, "Unpublished field notes and recordings, Hohhot, July-October 2014" unpublished.
- [9] S. Zhang, "The study on kə?," Journal of Ankang University, vol. 22, pp. 46-48, 2010.