Quantum entanglement of excitons in coupled quantum dots
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Optically controlled exciton dynamics in coupled quantum dots is studied. We show that the maximally entangled Bell states and Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) states can be robustly generated by manipulating the system parameters to be at the avoided crossings in the eigenenergy spectrum. The analysis of population transfer is systematically carried out by using a dressed-state picture. In addition to the quantum dot configuration that has been discussed by Quiroga and Johnson [Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2270 (1999)], we show that the GHZ states also may be produced in a ray of three quantum dots with a shorter generation time.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Entanglement is of great interest in many areas of active research in contemporary quantum physics, such as quantum computation [1], quantum teleportation [2], and fundamental tests of quantum mechanics [3,4]. How to design and realize quantum entanglement is extremely challenging due to the intrinsic decoherence, which is caused by the uncontrollable coupling with environmental degrees of freedom. A variety of physical systems have to be chosen to investigate the controlled, entangled states. Among these are trapped ions [5], spins in nuclear magnetic resonance [6], cavity-quantum-electrodynamics systems [7], Josephson junctions [8], and quantum dots [9].

Recently, the combination of progresses in ultrafast optoelectronics [10] and in nanofabrication [11] brings out dense study of the coherent-carrier control in semiconductor quantum dots (QDs). Present ultrafast laser technology allows the coherent manipulation of carrier (electron and/or hole) wave functions on a time scale shorter than typical dephasing times [12]. It has been envisioned that optical excitations in QDs could be successfully exploited for quantum information processing: Quiroga and Johnson [13], and Reina et al. [14,15] suggested that the resonant transfer interaction between spatially separated excitons in quantum dots can be exploited to produce many-particle entanglement. Based on numerical analysis of realistic double QDs, Biolatti et al. [16], and Troiani et al. [17] proposed an all optical implementation of quantum information processing. Chen et al. [18], and Piermarocchi et al. [19] suggested the controlling of spin dynamics of two interacting carriers with pulses of spin-polarized optical excitations. Stievater et al. [20] successfully observed the single-qubit rotation of excitonic Rabi oscillation and in a QD. Chen et al. [21] measured the quantum entanglement between a pair of electron and hole. Furthermore, Bayer et al. [22] demonstrated the entanglement of electron-hole pairs. Up to now, the basic ingredient double-qubit operation, i.e., the controlled-NOT (CNOT) operation has not been experimentally demonstrated.

In this paper, we study the optical control of the exciton dynamics in multiple QDs. Following Ref. [13], we assume that the excitonic occupation operator $\hat{n}_i$ for the $i$th QD has only two eigenvalues $n_i=0$ and $n_i=1$, corresponding to the absence and the presence of a ground-state exciton. Thus, the single-qubit basis consists of $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, the whole computational state space is spanned by the basis $|n\rangle = \otimes |n_i\rangle$ ($n_i=0,1$). We show that the avoided crossing in eigenenergy spectrum enables the robust generation of maximally entangled Bell state of two qubits and GHZ states of three qubits. The entangled state generation time is analytically obtained by adiabatically eliminating the dark multiexciton states.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II contains the theoretical model: in Sec. II A, we present the Hamiltonian of the multiple QDs equidistant from each other, whereas the Hamiltonian of the QDs with a linear arrangement is presented in Sec. II B. The maximally entangled Bell-state generation is showed in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, the maximally entangled GHZ state generation is shown for the three QDs with equal distance. The GHZ state generation process for the QDs with a linear configuration is analyzed in Sec. V. A summary is given in Sec. VI.

II. THEORETICAL MODEL

We consider a system of $N$ identical QDs radiated by classical optical field. Ignoring any constant energy terms, the Hamiltonian describing the formation of single excitons within the individual QDs and their interdot hopping is given by

$$H(t) = \frac{g}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( e_n^\dagger e_n h_n^\dagger h_n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n,n'=1}^{N} V_{nn'} (e_n^\dagger h_n^\dagger h_n e_{n'}^\dagger) + \frac{\Omega(t)}{2} e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{n=1}^{N} e_n^\dagger h_n^\dagger + \frac{\Omega^*(t)}{2} e^{i\omega t} \sum_{n=1}^{N} h_n e_n \right)$$

in the rotating wave approximation. Here $e_n^\dagger$ ($h_n^\dagger$) is the electron (hole) creation operator in the $n$th QD, $\omega$ is the QD band
gap, while $V_{nn'}$ represents the interdot Coulomb interaction between the $n$th and $n'$th QDs, the time dependence of $\Omega(t)$ describes the laser-pulse shape while $\omega$ is the optical frequency. As in the atomic case, the condition $\omega \gg |\Omega(t)|$ enables the rotating wave approximation used in $H(t)$ above.

A. Equidistant quantum dots

In the case that the QDs are equidistant from each other, i.e., $N=2$ dots on a line, $N=3$ dots at the vertices of an equilateral triangle, the interdot Coulomb interaction $V_{nn'} = V$ is independent of $n$ and $n'$. Thus the spatial symmetry of the Hamiltonian (1) enables us to introduce the global angular momentum operators [13]

$$J_x = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (e_n^+ h_n + h_n^+ e_n), \quad (2a)$$

$$J_y = \frac{-i}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (e_n h_n^+ - h_n e_n), \quad (2b)$$

$$J_z = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (e_n^+ h_n - h_n^+ e_n), \quad (2c)$$

which obey standard angular-momentum commutation relationships $[J_a, J_b] = i\hbar J_c$, where $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ represent a cyclic permutation of $(x, y, z)$. In terms of these new operators the Hamiltonian for the equidistant QDs can be rewritten as a direct sum over various $J$-invariant Hamiltonians, i.e.,

$$H(t) = \bigoplus_{J=0}^{N/2} H^{(J)}(t), \quad (3)$$

where

$$H^{(J)}(t) = e^{iJ_z - V(J^2 - J_z^2)} + \frac{1}{2} \Omega(t)e^{-i\omega t}J_+$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \Omega^*(t)e^{-i\omega t}J_-, \quad (4)$$

where $J_\pm = J_x \pm iJ_y$ are the usual raising and lowering operators. To proceed we introduce the time dependent unitary transformation $U = \exp(-i\omega t J_z)$. The transformed Hamiltonian in the rotating frame is

$$H_{RF} = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \Delta \sigma_n^z - V \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left( \sigma_n^- \sigma_{n+1}^+ + \sigma_n^+ \sigma_{n+1}^- \right)$$

$$+ \Omega(t) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_n^+ \Omega^*(t) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_n^-, \quad (5)$$

where $\Delta$ is the detuning from resonant excitation, $\Omega_x(t) = \text{Re}[\Omega(t)]$ and $\Omega_y(t) = \text{Im}[\Omega(t)]$ are the Rabi coupling strength along the $x$ and $y$ axes, respectively.

B. Quantum dots with a linear configuration

When the quantum dots are prepared along a ray, the value of $V_{nn'}$ depends on $n$ or $n'$. Here, we assume that the exciton transfer can only be excited by the hopping between the nearest neighbors. Thus, only $V_{n,n+1} = V$ ($n = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$) is not zero, while the other $V_{nn'}$ are zero in the tight-binding approximation. In this case, we introduce the local 1/2-pseudospin operators

$$\sigma_n^1 = \frac{1}{2} (e_n^+ h_n^+ + h_n^+ e_n), \quad (6a)$$

$$\sigma_n^2 = -i \frac{1}{2} (e_n h_n^+ - h_n e_n), \quad (6b)$$

$$\sigma_n^3 = \frac{1}{2} (e_n^+ e_n - h_n h_n^+), \quad (6c)$$

which obey the commutation relationships among three Pauli matrices $[\sigma_n^{a}, \sigma_n^{a'}] = i\delta_{n,n'}\sigma_n^{a'}. \quad (7)$

The Hamiltonian can be rewritten in terms of these local 1/2-spin operators as

$$H(t) = e \sum_{n} \sigma_n^+ - V \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left( \sigma_n^- \sigma_{n+1}^+ + \sigma_n^+ \sigma_{n+1}^- \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \Omega(t)e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_n^+ \Omega^*(t) e^{i\omega t} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_n^-, \quad (7)$$

where $\sigma_n^\pm = \sigma_n^x \pm i\sigma_n^y$. In deriving Eq. (7), we have neglected all constant energy terms that have no contribution to the dynamics. Again, we transform the Hamiltonian (7) into the rotating frame by introducing the unitary transformation $U = \exp(-i\omega t J_z)$ as follows:

$$H_{RF} = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \Delta \sigma_n^z - V \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left( \sigma_n^- \sigma_{n+1}^+ + \sigma_n^+ \sigma_{n+1}^- \right)$$

$$+ \Omega(t) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_n^+ \Omega^*(t) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_n^-, \quad (8)$$

In the absence of optical field, the Hamiltonian (8) is identical to an one-dimensional X-Y model in the magnetic system. In the limit $N \to \infty$, one can obtain the exact ground state with the help of the well-known Jordan-Wigner transformation.

III. BELL-STATE GENERATION IN DOUBLE QDS

To give a systematic analysis on the exciton dynamics, we start with the exploitation of the maximally entangled Bell-state generation. In the absence of optical excitation, there is no interband transition, so there are no excitons in the double QDs, i.e., we start with the vacuum state $|00\rangle$. In the following we will show how to generate the maximally entangled Bell state of the form $|\Psi_{Bell}\rangle = (1/\sqrt{2})(|00\rangle + e^{i\phi}|11\rangle)$ with 0 (1) denoting a zero-exciton (single-exciton) QD. According to Eq. (2), the initial vacuum state $|00\rangle$ is identical to $|\Phi = 1J_z = -1\rangle$ (denoted by $|1, -1\rangle$ in the following) in the angular-momentum representation, thus the subsequent time
evolution in the presence of the laser field will be restricted to the $J=1$ subspace. This means that the antisymmetric single-exciton state is light inactive. The evolution of any initial state $|\Psi(0)\rangle$ under the action of $H_{RF}^{(J=1)}$ in Eq. (5) can be thus expressed as $|\Psi(t)\rangle = c_1(t)|1,1\rangle + c_2(t)|1,0\rangle + c_3(t)|1,−1\rangle$ in the angular-momentum representation. Here, the coefficients $c_k(t)$ are determined by the Schrödinger equation

$$i \begin{pmatrix} \dot{c}_1 \\ \dot{c}_2 \\ \dot{c}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta - V & |\Omega|e^{-i\varphi}/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ |\Omega|e^{i\varphi}/\sqrt{2} & -2V & |\Omega|e^{-i\varphi}/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & |\Omega|e^{i\varphi}/\sqrt{2} & -\Delta - V \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{pmatrix},$$ (9)

where $|\Omega| = \sqrt{\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_y^2}/2$ and $\varphi = \tan^{-1}(\Omega_y/\Omega_x)$. Therefore, the probability $\rho_{Bell}$ for finding the maximally entangled Bell state in a double quantum dot is given by

$$\rho_{Bell} = \frac{1}{2} |c_3(t) + e^{i\theta} c_1(t)|^2.$$ (10)

The eigenenergies associated with the Schrödinger equation (9) can be solved analytically for general values of driving frequency $\omega$. For brevity, we do not give the explicit expressions here. Instead, we illustrate in Fig. 1 the spectrum features by plotting the eigenenergies as a function of driving frequency. It shows in Fig. 1 that an avoided crossing between energies $E_1$ and $E_2$ occurs at the value of $\omega = \varepsilon$, which corresponds to the exact resonance condition $\Delta = 0$. The occurrence of avoided crossing in the energy spectrum implies the strong resonant oscillation between the corresponding eigenstates. The oscillation frequency can be easily read out from the difference between the energy levels at $\Delta = 0$. In this case, the eigenenergies and eigenstates (not normalized) of Eq. (9) are

$$|\varphi_1\rangle = |1,1\rangle - \frac{b}{\sqrt{2}|\Omega|} |1,0\rangle + |1,−1\rangle, \quad E_1 = a - V,$$ (11a)

$$|\varphi_2\rangle = -|1,1\rangle + |1,−1\rangle, \quad E_2 = -2V,$$ (11b)

$$|\varphi_3\rangle = |1,1\rangle - \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}|\Omega|} |1,0\rangle + |1,−1\rangle, \quad E_3 = b - V,$$ (11c)

where $a = (-V - \sqrt{V^2 + 4|\Omega|^2})/2$, and $b = (-V + \sqrt{V^2 + 4|\Omega|^2})/2$. From Eq. (11), we can see that for a weak driving field $|\Omega| \ll V$, the states $|\varphi_1\rangle$ and $|\varphi_2\rangle$ are nearly degenerate and dominated by the zero-exciton state $|1,−1\rangle$ and double-exciton state $|1,1\rangle$, whereas the state $|\varphi_3\rangle$ is dominated by the single-exciton state $|1,0\rangle$. Starting from the initial state $|1,−1\rangle$, we expect its resonant oscillation with $|1,1\rangle$, with the oscillation frequency approximated by

$$\omega_r = E_2 - E_1 = |\Omega|^2/V.$$ (12)

Because the population of the single-exciton state remains very small during time evolution, we can approximate $c_2(t)$ in Eq. (9) to first order of $|\Omega|/V$

$$c_2(t) = \frac{|\Omega|}{\sqrt{2}V} e^{i\varphi} c_1(t) + \frac{|\Omega|}{\sqrt{2}V} e^{-i\varphi} c_3(t).$$ (13)

By introducing $c_2(t)$ from Eq. (13) in the Schrödinger equation we reduce the system to an effective two-level system. The reduced equation has the form

$$i \begin{pmatrix} \dot{c}_1 \\ \dot{c}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -V + \frac{|\Omega|^2}{2V} & \frac{|\Omega|^2}{2V} e^{-i2\varphi} \\ \frac{|\Omega|^2}{2V} e^{i2\varphi} & -V + \frac{|\Omega|^2}{2V} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1(t) \\ c_3(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$ (14)

Thus, with the initial zero-exciton state, we have the time evolution of the system as follows:

$$c_1(t) = -i \exp \left[ i \left( V + \frac{|\Omega|^2}{2V} \right) t \right] \exp(-i2\varphi) \sin \left[ |\Omega|^2 t/(2V) \right],$$ (15a)

$$c_3(t) = \exp \left[ i \left( V + \frac{|\Omega|^2}{2V} \right) t \right] \cos \left[ |\Omega|^2 t/(2V) \right].$$ (15b)

Substituting Eq. (15) into Eq. (10), we have the probability for finding the Bell state $(1/\sqrt{2})(|00\rangle + e^{i\theta}|11\rangle)$ at time $t$,

$$\rho_{Bell}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \sin(\omega_r t) \cos(\phi - 2\varphi - \pi/2) \right],$$ (16)

where $\omega_r = |\Omega|^2/V$. From Eq. (16), one can see that the Bell state with an arbitrary phase can be generated by controlling the Rabi coupling strength. In the case of $\Omega_x = 0$ and constant value of $\Omega_y$, we obtain the same result as in Ref. [13]. Note that the Bell-state generation time is significantly shortened by applying stronger laser pulses. This is important because a short pulse length for Bell-state generation is fundamental to experimental observation of such maximally entangled state that is impeded by inevitable decoherence occurred in the realistic double quantum dot system. We find Eq. (16) is remarkably valid for the slowly varying amplitude $\Omega(t)$.
For numerical calculations, we consider Gaussian temporal pulse shape for the excitation laser. The time-dependent Schrödinger equation is numerically integrated using the fourth-order Runge-Kutta scheme. The results of the Bell-state generation dynamics are shown in Fig. 2. The laser-pulse shape is plotted as a dotted line. The square amplitudes of the vacuum state $|00\rangle$ and biexciton state $|11\rangle$ are denoted by $|c_2|^2$ and $|c_1|^2$, respectively, and plotted as solid lines. The population of single-exciton state is given by $|c_3|^2$. As one can see from Fig. 2, the quantity of $|c_2|^2$ is always near zero during time evolution. This light-inactive property enables us to adiabatically eliminate its contribution and reduce the system to an effective two-level model, as we have done in deriving Eq. (16). The probability $\rho_{\text{Bell}}$ for finding the maximally entangled Bell state $(1/\sqrt{2})(|00\rangle + e^{i\phi}|11\rangle)$ is also shown in the figure as dashed line. It achieves its maximum value of almost unity in the middle of optical excitation and remains unchanged afterwards.

\[ \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} 3(-V+\Delta)/2 & \sqrt{3}\Omega|e^{-i\phi}/2 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3}\Omega|e^{i\phi}/2 & -7V+\Delta/2 & |\Omega|e^{-i\phi} & 0 \\ 0 & -7V-\Delta/2 & \sqrt{3}\Omega|e^{-i\phi}/2 & 3(-V-\Delta)/2 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3}\Omega|e^{i\phi}/2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{17} \]

The probability for finding the maximally entangled GHZ state is given by

\[ \rho_{\text{GHZ}} = \frac{1}{2} |c_4(t) + e^{i\phi}c_1(t)|^2. \tag{18} \]

Figure 3 shows the eigenenergy spectrum of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (17) as a function of the driving frequency. It shows that there are two avoided crossings in the energy spectrum where the driving frequency approaches to satisfy the resonance condition $\Delta = 0$, which implies resonant oscillations between the relevant eigenstates. The oscillation fre-
The two components $d_2$ and $d_3$ can now be adiabatically eliminated in the same manner in deriving Eq. (15). Thus, one obtains the effective two-state approximation as follows:

$$H_{d}^{(J=3/2)} = R + H_{RF}^{(J=3/2)} R + 3V/2 =$$

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \Omega |e^{-i\varphi}\rangle & \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \Omega |e^{-i\varphi}\rangle & 0 \\
\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \Omega |e^{i\varphi}\rangle & -2V + |\Omega| & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \Omega |e^{-i2\varphi}\rangle \\
\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \Omega |e^{i\varphi}\rangle & 0 & -2V - |\Omega| & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \Omega |e^{-i2\varphi}\rangle \\
0 & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \Omega |e^{i2\varphi}\rangle & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \Omega |e^{i2\varphi}\rangle & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
With the initial condition
\[ d_1(0) = 0 \] and adiabatic elimination of dark states, may be combined based on a combination of eigenenergy spectrum analysis.

Equation
\[ \rho_{\text{GHZ}}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \sin(\omega_r t) \cos(\phi - 3 \varphi - \pi/2) \right], \]
where the oscillating frequency \( \omega_r = 2 \chi_2 = 3|\Omega|^3/(8V^2) \).

The dynamics of the system is now described by the Hamiltonian (8).

In an exciton number basis consisting of \( |000\rangle, |100\rangle, |010\rangle, |001\rangle, |110\rangle, |011\rangle, |101\rangle, \) and \( |111\rangle \), the Schrödinger equation is

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\dot{c}_1 \\
\dot{c}_2 \\
\dot{c}_3 \\
\dot{c}_4 \\
\dot{c}_5 \\
\dot{c}_6 \\
\dot{c}_7 \\
\dot{c}_8 \\
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
-3\Delta & \Omega^* & \Omega^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\Omega & -\Delta & -V & 0 & \Omega^* & 0 & \Omega^* & 0 \\
\Omega & -V & -\Delta & -V & \Omega^* & \Omega^* & 0 & 0 \\
\Omega & 0 & -V & -\Delta & 0 & \Omega^* & \Omega^* & 0 \\
0 & \Omega & 0 & \Delta & 0 & -V & \Omega^* & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \Omega & 0 & \Delta & -V & \Omega^* & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega & 0 & \Delta & -V & \Omega^* \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega & 0 & \Omega & 3\Delta \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
c_1 \\
c_2 \\
c_3 \\
c_4 \\
c_5 \\
c_6 \\
c_7 \\
c_8 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
The energy spectrum of a ray of three quantum dots as a function of detuning $\Delta$ (a) in the absence of laser field, (b) in the presence of laser field for the value of $|\Omega|=0.2V$. Other parameters are $\epsilon=5V$.

The probability for finding the GHZ state $|\Psi_{GHZ}\rangle=([000]+e^{i\phi}|111|)/\sqrt{2}$ is given by $\rho_{GHZ}(t)=|c_0(t)+e^{i\phi}c_1(t)|^2/2$.

Without knowing an analytical approximation of Eq. (28), we turn to numerically show the optical excitation of the GHZ state. In the absence of laser field, one can see from Eq. (28) that the subspaces of vacuum, exciton, biexciton, and triexciton states are not coupled. In this case, the typical energy spectrum is shown in Fig. 6(a) as a function of detuning $\Delta$. It shows in Fig. 6(a) that when $\Delta$ approaches to zero, the spectrum is characterized by three degenerate energies. The degenerate states with energy $E=0$ consist of vacuum state $|000\rangle$, triexciton state $|111\rangle$, and a pair of single-exciton and biexciton states. The other two set of degenerate states consist of a pair of single- and double-exciton states, respectively. The energy spectrum features are greatly changed in the presence of the optical field, which can be seen from Fig. 6(b). It reveals that the degeneracies are completely broken and three avoided crossings develop near $\Delta = 0$. Among these crossings, the energy splitting between the eigenstates dominated by the states $|000\rangle$ and $|111\rangle$ is smallest, since these two states are coupled in an indirect way. Therefore, starting from the state $|000\rangle$, we expect that the subsequent time evolution of the system is featured by the resonant oscillations between the vacuum and triexciton states. This is numerically verified in Fig. 7, where Fig. 7(a) plots the probabilities for finding the system in the zero- and triple-exciton states and Fig. 7(b) the probability $\rho_{GHZ}(t)$.

Clearly it shows that a selective pulse of laser field can be used to produce the maximally entangled GHZ states in a ray of three QDs. Note that compared with the results in Fig. 4, it shows in Fig. 7 that the GHZ state generation time for a linear configuration is shorter than for an equidistant configuration. Thus, the linear configuration of three QDs is preferred to implement the maximally entangled GHZ states for its shorter generation time.

VI. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have studied the optically controlled exciton dynamics in multiple QD systems. We have shown that the robust occurrence of avoided crossing in the eigenenergy spectrum enables the dynamics to be confined to a reduced two-state Hilbert space, in which the generation of maximally entangled Bell states and GHZ states with an arbitrary phase can be controlled by selective pulses of classical coherent optical light. The entangled state generation time decreases significantly with an increase of the laser-pulses strength. We have also found that the GHZ states can be implemented in a three-QD system with a linear configuration, with the generation time much shorter than in an equidistant configuration. The results are expected to be useful in exploiting the realizations of entanglement in quantum dot systems.
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