Research Article
TheScientificWorldJOURNAL (2009) 9, 1012–1022
TSW Child Health & Human Development
ISSN 1537-744X; DOI 10.1100/tsw.2009.119



Subjective Outcome Evaluation of the Project P.A.T.H.S. (Secondary 2 Program): Views of the Program Participants

Daniel T.L. Shek^{1,2,3} and Catalina S.M. Ng¹

¹Department of Applied Social Sciences, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, P.R.C.; ²Department of Sociology, East China Normal University, Shanghai, P.R.C.; ³Kiang Wu Nursing College of Macau, Macau

E-mail: daniel.shek@polyu.edu.hk

Received August 1, 2009; Revised August 25, 2009; Accepted September 5, 2009; Published October 1, 2009

A total of 196 secondary schools participated in the Secondary 2 Program of the Full Implementation Phase of the Project P.A.T.H.S. (Positive Adolescent Training through Holistic Social Programmes). After completion of the Tier 1 Program, 30,731 students responded to the Subjective Outcome Evaluation Form (Form A) to assess their perceptions of the program, instructors, and perceived effectiveness of the program. Based on the consolidated reports submitted by the schools to the funding body, the research team aggregated the consolidated data to form a "reconstructed" overall profile on the perceptions of the program participants. Findings demonstrated that high proportions of the respondents had positive perceptions of the program and the instructors, and roughly four-fifths of the respondents regarded the program as beneficial to them. Correlation analyses showed that perceived program and instructor characteristics were positively associated with perceived benefits of the program.

KEYWORDS: subjective outcome evaluation, Chinese adolescents, quantitative data, positive youth development program

INTRODUCTION

In the wake of quality assurance, with greater pressure on service providers to measure service effectiveness and outcomes, client satisfaction has emerged as an important outcome indicator in the evaluation of human services[1]. Client perceptions have, therefore, been afforded prominence when evaluating services provided. Reviews of the existing literature indicate that using the client satisfaction approach in evaluation or subjective outcome indicators has a long history in human services in different cultures[2,3,4,5]. A subjective outcome evaluation or client satisfaction survey is commonly utilized to assess the perceived benefits of a program and the degree of satisfaction that the participants have regarding the different aspects of the program. Although there are arguments against the use of subjective outcome assessment, there is mounting evidence that subjective outcome measures were correlated with objective outcome measures[6,7,8,9,10,11,12]. As one aspect of program evaluation is about how the program can be improved, the information-rich data from the clients help to evaluate the effectiveness of the program and to effect any substantial improvements in service delivery[13].

*Corresponding author.
©2009 with author.
Published by TheScientificWorld; www.thescientificworld.com

Interest in adolescent positive youth development programs has increased markedly following reports that adolescents face a number of developmental problems, such as abuse of psychotropic substances[14], adolescent suicide[15], deliberate self-harm[16], and school violence[17] in the context of Hong Kong. Added to this, with a notable lack of positive youth development programs in the territory, there is a desperate demand for adolescent positive youth development programs[18,19,20]. Against this background, a territory-wide project entitled P.A.T.H.S. to Adulthood: A Jockey Club Youth Enhancement Scheme was initiated by The Hong Kong Jockey Club Charities Trust, with an earmarked grant of HK\$400 million. "P.A.T.H.S." is an acronym for Positive Adolescent Training through Holistic Social Programmes. With an aim to develop a multiyear universal positive youth development program to promote holistic adolescent development in Hong Kong, a research team with researchers from five local universities was formed, with the first author being the principal investigator[21,22].

In the Project P.A.T.H.S., there are two tiers of programs (Tier 1 and Tier 2). The Tier 1 Program is a universal positive youth development program where students in Secondary 1 to 3 participate in the program, normally with 20 h of training in the school year at each grade, involving 40 teaching units. In the Tier 1 Program, 15 positive youth development constructs are embedded in the 40 teaching units. These constructs include promotion of bonding, cultivation of resilience, promotion of social competence, promotion of emotional competence, promotion of cognitive competence, promotion of behavioral competence, promotion of moral competence, cultivation of self-determination, promotion of spirituality, development of self-efficacy, development of a clear and positive identity, promotion of beliefs in the future, provision of recognition for positive behavior, provision of opportunities for prosocial involvement, and fostering prosocial norms[20].

Since the Project P.A.T.H.S. is a huge project in terms of financial and manpower resources, as well as the number of participating schools in the territory, program evaluation is of paramount importance for several reasons. First, it is imperative to prove to the program funder and the Government that the project is of great benefit to students. Second, program implementers, particularly teachers and social workers, are only motivated to teach the program that is found to be effective. Finally, reviews of the literature indicate that there is a pressing need to accumulate research findings on the effectiveness of psychosocial intervention programs. For instance, in the Western context, among the 77 programs under review, only approximately one-third of them were effective[23], whereas in the Chinese context, Shek et al.[24] highlighted that evidence-based social work practice was very weak in Hong Kong.

To provide a comprehensive picture pertaining to the effectiveness of the project, numerous evaluation strategies, including objective outcome evaluation utilizing a randomized group trial[25]; subjective outcome evaluation based on quantitative and qualitative data collected from the program participants and instructors[26,27]; qualitative evaluation based on focus groups involving students and instructors[28,29]; in-depth interviews with program implementers, student logs, and student products[30]; process evaluation involving systematic observations of delivery of the program[31]; and interim evaluation[32] are employed. The aforementioned mechanisms consistently provide strong evidence that the Project P.A.T.H.S. has a beneficial influence on students[33,34,35].

With specific reference to subjective outcome evaluation, quantitative findings based on the Secondary 2 Program of the Experimental Implementation Phase[36] demonstrated that program participants (n =7,406 students from 49 schools) in the academic year 2006/07 perceived the program positively, including the clear objectives of the curriculum (79.4%), systematic planning of activities (77.9%), peer interaction among students (77.5%), and active involvement of students during class (76.6%). Moreover, a high proportion of the students (84.9%) had positive evaluation of the instructors. About 86% of the respondents responded that the instructor was very involved and approximately 85% indicated that the instructor was well prepared for the lessons, encouraged students to participate in the activities, and was ready to provide assistance to students in need. Further, about four-fifths of the respondents perceived that the program enhanced their overall development, including the ability to resist harmful influences (79.4%), ability to distinguish between the good and the bad (81.4%), competence in making sensible and wise choices (79.7%), and compassion and caring about others (79.3%). Overall,

about 80% of the participants were satisfied with the course and more than 70% of the participants would recommend the program to their friends who have similar needs.

As the preceding sections illustrate, evidence from different evaluation strategies supported the effectiveness of the Tier 1 Program of the Project P.A.T.H.S. It is imperative to examine whether such findings can be replicated across time and participants. In the current study, the data collected from 196 schools that joined the Secondary 2 Program of the Full Implementation Phase were examined. As the Project P.A.T.H.S. was financially supported by The Hong Kong Jockey Club Charities Trust, each participating school had to submit an evaluation report with the consolidated subjective outcome evaluation profile of the school to the funding body. Such reports were then used by the research team to "reconstruct" the overall profile of the subjective outcome evaluation data. The major advantage of this strategy is to promote evaluation in the field and to conduct secondary data analyses of the reports submitted concurrently.

METHODS

Participants and Procedures

A total of 196 schools participated in the second year of the Full Implementation Phase in the Secondary 2 level in the academic year 2007/08. The mean number of students per school was 170.66 (range: 12–280), with an average of 4.62 classes per school (range: 1–8). Among them, 83 schools adopted the full program (i.e., 20-h program involving 40 units), while 113 schools adopted the core program (i.e., 10-h program involving 20 units). The mean number of sessions used to implement the program was 22.81 (range: 7–60). While 108 (55.10%) schools incorporated the program into the formal curriculum (e.g., Liberal Studies, Life Education), 88 schools (44.90%) used other modes of implementation (e.g., form teacher periods and other combinations). The mean number of social workers and teachers implementing the program per school were 1.97 (range: 0–8) and 5.59 (range: 0–15), respectively.

After the completion of the Tier 1 Program, the participants were invited to respond to the subjective outcome evaluation questionnaire (Form A), which was developed by the research team. A total of 30,731 students (mean =156.80 students per school, range: 12–243) responded to Form A. The data collection was normally conducted at the last session of the program. On the day when the evaluation data were collected, the purpose of the evaluation was explained and the confidentiality of the data collected was repeatedly emphasized to all students. The students were asked to indicate their wish if they did not want to respond to the evaluation questionnaire (i.e., "passive" informed consent was obtained from the students). All participants responded to all scales in the evaluation form in a self-administration format. Adequate time was provided for the participants to complete the questionnaire. To facilitate the program evaluation, the research team developed an evaluation manual with standardized instructions for collecting the subjective outcome evaluation data[37]. In addition, adequate training was provided to the workers during the 20-h training workshops on how to collect and analyze the data collected by Form A.

Instruments

The Subjective Outcome Evaluation Form (Form A) designed by Shek and Siu[37] consists of the following parts:

• Participants' perceptions of the program, such as program objectives, design, classroom atmosphere, interaction among the students, and the respondents' participation during class (10 items)

- Participants' perceptions of the workers, such as the preparation of the instructor, professional attitude, involvement, and interaction with the students (10 items)
- Participants' perceptions of the effectiveness of the program, such as promotion of different psychosocial competencies, resilience, and overall personal development (16 items)
- The extent to which the participants would recommend the program to other people with similar needs (1 item)
- The extent to which the participants would join similar programs in future (1 item)
- Overall satisfaction with the program (1 item)
- Things that the participants learned from the program (open-ended question)
- Things that the participants appreciated most (open-ended question)
- Opinion about the instructor(s) (open-ended question)
- Areas that require improvement (open-ended question)

For the closed-ended questions, the workers who collected the data were requested to input the data in an EXCEL file developed by the research team that would automatically compute the frequencies and percentages associated with the different ratings for an item. When the schools submitted the reports, they were also requested to submit the soft copy of the consolidated data sheets. In the reports prepared by the schools, the workers were also required to estimate the degree of adherence to the program manuals (i.e., the extent to which the program was implemented in accordance with the program manuals). After receiving the consolidated data from the funding body, the research team aggregated the data to "reconstruct" the overall profile based on the subjective outcome evaluation data.

RESULTS

Reliability analyses with the schools as the unit of analyses demonstrated that Form A has relatively high internal consistency: 10 items related to the program ($\alpha = 0.98$, mean interitem correlation = 0.86), 10 items related to the instructor ($\alpha = 0.99$, mean interitem correlation = 0.94), 16 items related to the benefits ($\alpha = 0.99$, mean interitem correlation = 0.93), and 39 items based on the entire Form A ($\alpha = 0.99$, mean interitem correlation = 0.81).

Based on the closed-ended questions, several salient findings can be highlighted. First, roughly three-quarters of the respondents perceived the program in a positive manner (Table 1). For instance, 82.26% of the students indicated that the program objectives were very clear and 81.24% felt that there was much peer interaction in the program. Second, a high proportion of the students had positive evaluation of the instructors (Table 2) as 87.68% of the respondents showed that the instructors were ready to provide assistance to them when needed and 87.58% of the respondents found that the instructors were very involved. Third, as shown in Table 3, roughly four-fifths of the respondents perceived that the program promoted their development, including social competence (80.92%), ability to resist harmful influences (81.16%), moral competence (82.72%), ability in making sensible and wise choices (81.89%), and overall development (81.74%). Fourth, while roughly three-quarters of the participants would recommend the program to their friends with similar needs, only a simple majority of them (62.79%) would join similar programs in the future (Table 4). Finally, roughly four-fifths of the respondents demonstrated that they were satisfied with the program (Table 4). Regarding the degree of program adherence estimated by the workers, the mean level of adherence was 86.63%, with a range from 34 to 100%.

The total scores based on items on perceived program characteristics were positively correlated with different measures of perceived benefits of the program. Similarly, the total scores based on items on perceived instructor characteristics were positively correlated with different measures of perceived benefits of the program. Table 5 shows the related correlation coefficients.

TABLE 1
Summary of the Views of the Program Participants on the Program

	Stroi Disa	gree	Disa	_	Disa	jhtly igree	Slightly	-	J	Agree		Strongly Agree		Participants with Positive Response (Options 4-6)	
	(1	-	(2		-	3)	(4	-	(5	-		6)	· ·		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
The objectives of the curriculum are very clear (n = 30,504)	977	3.20	1125	3.69	3308	10.84	10,318	33.83	11,675	38.27	3101	10.17	25,094	82.26	
The design of the curriculum is very good (n = 30,468)	1011	3.32	1511	4.96	4073	13.37	11,442	37.55	9983	32.77	2448	8.03	23,873	78.35	
The activities were carefully planned (n = 30,429)	936	3.08	1249	4.10	3680	12.09	11,163	36.69	10,727	35.25	2674	8.79	24,564	80.73	
The classroom atmosphere was very pleasant (n = 30,370)	1193	3.93	1471	4.84	3943	12.98	9673	31.85	9822	32.34	4268	14.05	23,763	78.24	
There was much peer interaction among the students (n = 30,272)	992	3.28	1193	3.94	3494	11.54	9485	31.33	10,399	34.35	4709	15.56	24,593	81.24	
I participated actively during lessons (including discussions, sharing, games, etc.) (n = 30,413)	1059	3.48	1322	4.35	3676	12.09	10416	34.25	9824	32.30	4116	13.53	24,356	80.08	
I was encouraged to do my best (n = 30,423)	1250	4.11	1614	5.31	4565	15.01	11,226	36.90	8933	29.36	2835	9.32	22,994	75.58	
The learning experience I encountered enhanced my interest towards the lessons (n = 30,341)	1409	4.64	1718	5.66	4380	14.44	10,865	35.81	9230	30.42	2739	9.03	22,834	75.26	
Overall, I have very positive evaluation of the program (n = 30,393)	1414	4.65	1810	5.96	4402	14.48	10,596	34.86	9256	30.45	2915	9.59	22,767	74.9	
On the whole, I like this curriculum very much (n = 30,343)	1781	5.87	1767	5.82	4076	13.43	9866	32.51	9246	30.47	3607	11.89	22,719	74.8	

DISCUSSION

This paper solely reports the quantitative evaluation findings based on the closed-ended questions, whereas qualitative findings based on the open-ended questions would be presented elsewhere. The findings of this study provide additional support for the effectiveness of the Project P.A.T.H.S. among junior secondary school students in Hong Kong as results suggest that a large majority of respondents perceived both the program and workers positively, and approximately four-fifths of the respondents regarded the program to be beneficial to their development. Congruent with the findings from previous studies on the program, the Project P.A.T.H.S. was rated very highly by program participants. Overall, clients are satisfied with the program.

However, despite the fact that most of the participants had positive perceptions of the program and the workers, and perceived the program to be effective, as well as about three-quarters of the participants who claimed that they would recommend the program to peers with similar needs, contrary to our expectations, only 62.79% of the participants responded that they would join similar programs in the future. To unfold the puzzle, further analyses of the qualitative data are needed.

TABLE 2
Summary of the Views of the Program Participants of the Workers Implementing the Program

		ngly igree	Disa	gree		ghtly agree	Slightl	y Agree	Agı	ree		ngly ree	with P	ipants ositive onses
	(1)	(2	2)	(3)	(4	4)	(5	i)	(6)		ns 4–6)
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
The instructor(s) had a good mastery of the curriculum (n = 30,290)	771	2.55	958	3.16	2631	8.69	9430	31.13	11,958	39.48	4542	15.00	25,930	85.61
The instructor(s) was well prepared for the lessons (n = 30,274)	731	2.41	839	2.77	2283	7.54	8909	29.43	12,315	40.68	5197	17.17	26,421	87.27
The instructor(s)' teaching skills were good (n = 30,248)	837	2.77	935	3.09	2912	9.63	9207	30.44	11,732	38.79	4625	15.29	25,564	84.51
The instructor(s) showed good professional attitudes (n = 30,216)	812	2.69	857	2.84	2345	7.76	8802	29.13	11,997	39.70	5403	17.88	26,202	86.72
The instructor(s) was very involved (n = 30,243)	788	2.61	778	2.57	2191	7.24	8370	27.68	12,123	40.09	5993	19.82	26,486	87.58
The instructor(s) encouraged students to participate in the activities (n = 30,239)	791	2.62	751	2.48	2273	7.52	8460	27.98	12,117	40.07	5847	19.34	26,424	87.38
The instructor(s) cared for the students (n = 30,247)	887	2.93	899	2.97	2632	8.70	8944	29.57	11,603	38.36	5282	17.46	25,829	85.39
The instructor(s) was ready to offer help to students when needed (n = 30,225)	817	2.70	745	2.46	2163	7.16	8673	28.69	12,125	40.12	5702	18.87	26,500	87.68
The instructor(s) had much interaction with the students (n = 30,252)	902	2.98	1008	3.33	3054	10.10	9274	30.66	11,124	36.77	4890	16.16	25,288	83.59
Overall, I have very positive evaluation of the instructors (n = 30,288)	938	3.10	810	2.67	2016	6.66	8211	27.11	12,247	40.44	6066	20.03	26,524	87.57

As expected, evidence suggested that there was a substantial correlation between perceived program characteristics and items on perceived benefits of the program. Results provide clear insights of how participants perceived the program is associated with the perceived benefits of the program. Clear program objectives, carefully planned activities, meticulous design of the curriculum, pleasant classroom atmosphere, and high peer interaction are all related to the quality of program implementation. With all these program features, it is more likely to bring positive program outcomes and have beneficial effects on program participants. Further, the examination of correlates of items on how participants perceived instructors was significantly associated with different measures of perceived benefits of the program. Evidently, the characteristics of effective or highly rated workers could be reflected by both (1) the professionalism of the workers, in terms of his/her knowledge of the curriculum, good teaching skills, and preparation for the lessons; and (2) his/her personal qualities of the workers, such as caring, encouraging, and being helpful as reflected by the items on the characteristics of instructors. These findings offer a few glimpses of what the important features of the program and characteristics of instructors may be. Of course, one alternative explanation for the high correlation among the different domains may be due to common method variance.

TABLE 3
Perceived Effectiveness of the Program by the Program Participants

The extent to which the course (i.e., the program that all students joined)	Unhelpful		Not Very Helpful		Slightly	Slightly Helpful		Helpful		Very Helpful		Participants with Positive Responses	
helped you (n = No. of responses)	(1)	((2)	(3	3)	(4	!)	(5)		nses 1s 3–5)	
-	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
It has strengthened my bonding with teachers, classmates, and family (n = 30,408)	2011	6.61	5357	17.62	12,399	40.78	8400	27.62	2241	7.37	23,040	75.77	
It has strengthened my resilience in adverse conditions (n = 30,415)	1731	5.69	4962	16.31	11,619	38.20	9384	30.85	2719	8.94	23,722	77.99	
It has enhanced my social competence (n = 30,346)	1594	5.25	4195	13.82	11,583	38.17	9812	32.33	3162	10.42	24,557	80.92	
It has improved my ability in handling and expressing my emotions (n = 30,358)	1652	5.44	4422	14.57	11,381	37.49	9724	32.03	3179	10.47	24,284	79.99	
It has enhanced my cognitive competence (n = 30,350)	1696	5.59	4512	14.87	11,382	37.50	9540	31.43	3220	10.61	24,142	79.55	
My ability to resist harmful influences has been improved (n = 30,360)	1592	5.24	4127	13.59	11,174	36.81	9819	32.34	3648	12.02	24,641	81.16	
It has strengthened my ability to distinguish between the good and the bad (n = 30,341)	1492	4.92	3750	12.36	11,034	36.37	10258	33.81	3807	12.55	25,099	82.72	
It has increased my competence in making sensible and wise choices (n = 30,342)	1544	5.09	3951	13.02	11,056	36.44	10086	33.24	3705	12.21	24,847	81.89	
It has helped me to have life reflections (n = 30,345)	1978	6.52	4270	14.07	10,485	34.55	9496	31.29	4116	13.56	24,097	79.41	
It has reinforced my self- confidence (n = 30,346)	2065	6.80	4843	15.96	10,738	35.39	9150	30.15	3550	11.70	23,438	77.24	
It has increased my self- awareness (n = 30,345)	1946	6.41	4516	14.88	10,851	35.76	9454	31.16	3578	11.79	23,883	78.70	
It has helped me to face the future with a positive attitude (n = 30,326)	1797	5.93	4338	14.30	10,829	35.71	9709	32.02	3653	12.05	24,191	79.77	
It has helped me to cultivate compassion and caring about others (n = 30,336)	1843	6.08	4074	13.43	10,980	36.19	9741	32.11	3698	12.19	24,419	80.50	
It has encouraged me to care about the community (n = 30,346)	2027	6.68	4661	15.36	10,969	36.15	9246	30.47	3443	11.35	23,658	77.96	
It has promoted my sense of responsibility in serving the society (n = 30,352)	1900	6.26	4491	14.80	11,019	36.30	9419	31.03	3523	11.61	23,961	78.94	
It has enriched my overall development. (n = 30,351)	1789	5.89	3752	12.36	10,442	34.40	9907	32.64	4461	14.70	24,810	81.74	

Of note is our finding that concerns the degree of program adherence in this study. The mean estimated degree of adherence in the present study (86.63%) was highly comparable to the previous findings on program adherence of the Project P.A.T.H.S.[38]. The estimated level of program adherence was relatively high in this study. In contrast, in the limited Western studies on the quality of program implementation, studies generally demonstrated that the degree of program adherence was not high. For instance, Ringwalt et al.[39] reported that one-fifth of the workers implementing the program did not utilize the curriculum guide and only 15% of them followed it very closely. It is probable that the training provided to the workers as well as the commitment of the workers (as reflected by the perceptions of the students) contributed to this high level of program adherence. This finding lends further support to the notion that it is not essential to make much modification for the Tier 1 Program among different adolescent populations. Furthermore, despite the fidelity and adaptation debate, the finding also has pointed to the importance of maintaining a high degree of program fidelity[40] so as to ensure the effectiveness of the program.

TABLE 4
Other Aspects of Subjective Outcome Evaluation

If your friends have needs and conditions similar to yours, will you suggest him/her to join this course? (n = 30,180)

	Definitely Will Not Suggest		Will Not Suggest		ggest	Definitely Will Suggest		with P	ipants ositive onses
(1))	(:	2)	(3)	(4	4)	•	ns 3–4)
n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
2522	8.36	5257	17.42	18,388	60.93	4013	13.30	22,401	74.22

Will you participate in similar courses again in the future? (n = 30,148)

Not Part	Definitely Will Not Participate (1)		Not cipate 2)	Will Participa (3)		Partio	Definitely Will Participate (4)		ipants ositive onses ns 3–4)
n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
3551	11.78	7666	25.43	15,351	50.92	3580	11.87	18,931	62.79

On the whole, are you satisfied with this course? (n = 30,174)

Ve Dissat	tisfied	Mode Dissat	isfied	Slig Dissat	tisfied	Satis (4		Sati	erately sfied 5)	Sati	ery sfied 6)	Partici with Po Respo (Option	sitive enses
n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
1369	4.54	1402	4.65	2364	7.83	13,848	45.89	7847	26.01	3344	11.08	25,039	82.98

There are three strengths of this study. First, results are based on a huge sample size (n = 33,449 students involving 196 schools). With such a large and demographically representative sample size, this attribute substantially enhances the generalizability of the research findings to other student populations. Second, different aspects of subjective outcome, including perspectives on the program, workers, perceived effectiveness, and overall satisfaction were covered in the study. Third, the present study demonstrates the strategy of "reconstructing" the overall profile of the subjective outcomes based on the reports submitted by the participating schools. In this regard, the current study is the first published scientific study utilizing this "reconstruction" approach based on such a large number of students in the Chinese culture.

Nevertheless, although the present study contributes to the understanding of the perspectives of program participants on the Project P.A.T.H.S., it also suffers from several limitations. First, since the data were reconstructed from the reports submitted by the participating schools, the unit of analysis was the schools, rather than the individual program participants. While this limitation did not affect the reconstruction of the percentage and rating data, it will adversely affect future analyses involving relationships among variables (e.g., relationship between perception of the program and perceived program effectiveness) because only 196 rather than 30,731 units of analyses were involved. This would

TABLE 5
Correlation Coefficients on the Relationships between Perceived Program Characteristics,
Perceived Instructor Characteristics, and Perceived Benefits of the Program

	Item 1	Item 2	Item 3	Item 4	Item 5	Item 6	Item 7	Item 8
Program Score	0.853**	0.845**	0.883**	0.860**	0.852**	0.872**	0.877**	0.866**
Instructor Score	0.654**	0.693**	0.722**	0.701**	0.707**	0.713**	0.754**	0.717**
	Item 9	Item 10	Item 11	Item 12	Item 13	Item 14	Item 15	Item 16
Program Score	Item 9 0.869**	Item 10 0.832**	Item 11 0.856**	Item 12 0.859**	Item 13 0.850**	Item 14 0.814**	Item 15 0.828**	Item 16 0.867**

^{**} **p** < 0.01.

Note: Item 1: strengthening bonding; Item 2: strengthening resilience; Item 3: strengthening social competence; Item 4: strengthening emotional competence; Item 5: strengthening cognitive competence; Item 6: strengthening behavioral competence; Item 7: strengthening moral competence; Item 8: promoting self-determination; Item 9: strengthening spirituality; Item 10: strengthening self-efficacy; Item 11: fostering positive identity; Item 12: promoting beliefs in the future; Item 13: promoting positive behaviors; Item 14: strengthening prosocial involvement; Item 15: fostering prosocial norms; Item 16: enriching overall development.

substantially reduce the power of statistical analyses. A second caveat is, while the present findings are interpreted in terms of the positive program effects and experiences of the program participants, we need to exercise caution as there are several alternative explanations. The first alternative explanation is that the students were afraid that they would be punished by the workers if they did not respond in the favorable direction. Nevertheless, this alternative explanation can be partially dismissed because the students responded anonymously. Another possible explanation of the positive findings is that the students consciously acted in a "nice" manner so as to help the workers to illustrate positive program effects. Yet, this alternative explanation could be partially dismissed because negative ratings were recorded (e.g., whether the participants would join the program again) and the students responded in an anonymous manner. The third explanation is that the high proportion of positive responses is, in fact, random responses (i.e., the students did not respond seriously). This explanation can also be dismissed because, as shown by reliability analyses, the entire scale was internally consistent.

In sum, despite these limitations, the findings of this study lend further support to the effectiveness of the Tier 1 Program and most importantly, program participants perceived the program to be beneficial to their development. These positive findings are crucial because they suggest that the program can successfully engage the students in the program implementation process. From a program evaluation perspective, as systematic evaluation of social services is still in its infancy in different Chinese contexts, this paper constitutes a model based on which future subjective outcome evaluation studies can be conducted[24,41]. Since client satisfaction is crucial in program implementation, we are continuing to carry out research on the quality of the program and to work with program participants to use the results productively to improve and maintain the high quality of the program.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The preparation for this paper and the Project P.A.T.H.S. were financially supported by The Hong Kong Jockey Club Charities Trust.

REFERENCES

- 1. Geron, S.M., Smith, K., Tennstedt, S., Jette, A., Chassler, D., and Kasten, L. (2000) The home care satisfaction measure: a client-centered approach to assessing the satisfaction of frail older adults with home care services. *J. Gerontol.* **55**, S259–270.
- Holcomb, W.R., Adams, N.A., Ponder, H.M., and Reitz, R. (1989) The development and construction validation of a consumer satisfaction questionnaire for psychiatric patients. *Eval. Program Plan.* 12, 189–194.
- 3. Lebow, J.L. (1982) Research assessing consumer satisfaction with mental health treatment: a review of findings. *Eval. Program Plan.* **6**, 211–236.
- 4. Lebow, J.L. (1984) Assessing consumer satisfaction in mental health treatment settings: a guide for the administrator. *Admin. Ment. Health* 12, 3–14.
- McMurty, S.L. and Hudson, W.W. (2000) The Client Satisfaction Inventory: results of an initial validation study. Res. Soc. Work Pract. 10, 644–663.
- Ankuta, G. and Abeles, N. (1993) Client satisfaction, clinical change and meaningful change in psychotherapy. *Prof. Psychol.* 24, 70–74.
- Attkisson, C. and Zwick, R. (1982) The client satisfaction questionnaire: psychometric properties and correlations with service utilization and psychotherapy outcome. Eval. Program Plan. 5, 233–237.
- 8. Carscaddon, D., George, M., and Wells, G. (1990) Rural community mental health consumer satisfaction and psychiatric symptoms. *Community Ment. Health J.* **26**, 309–318.
- 9. Deane, F. (1993) Client satisfaction with psychotherapy in two outpatient clinics in New Zealand. *Eval. Program Plan.* **16**, 87–94.
- Edwards, D., Yarvis, R., Mueller, D., and Langsley, D. (1978) Does patient satisfaction correlate with success? Hosp. Community Psychiatry 29, 188–190.
- 11. LaSala, M.C. (1997) Client satisfaction: consideration of correlates and response bias. Fam. Soc. 31, 54–64.
- 12. Larsen, D., Attkisson, C.C., Hargreaves, W.A., and Nguyen, T.D. (1979) Assessment of client/patient satisfaction: development of a general scale. *Eval. Program Plan.* **2**, 197–207.
- 13. O'Neal, P.D. (1999) Methodological problems associated with measuring consumer satisfaction in the mental health field. *Aust. Soc. Work* **52**, 9–15.
- Shek, D.T.L. (2007) Tackling adolescent substance abuse in Hong Kong: where we should go and should not go. *TheScientificWorldJOURNAL* 7, 2021–2030.
- 15. Yip, P. and Yu, A. (1993) Teenage suicide in Hong Kong 1981-1992: age trend, time and geographical distribution. *Educ. Res. J.* **8**, 32–39.
- Ng, S.M.C. (2007) The Role of Psychological and Environmental Risk Factors in Self-Harm amongst Adolescents in Hong Kong [Dissertation]. University of London, London.
- Wong, D.S.W. (2004) School bullying and tackling strategies in Hong Kong. *Int. J. Offender Ther. Comp. Criminol.* **48(5),** 537–553.
- 18. Shek, D.T.L. (2006) Adolescent developmental issues in Hong Kong: relevance to positive youth development programs in Hong Kong. *Int. J. Adolesc. Med. Health* **18**, 341–354.
- Shek, D.T.L. and Lam, C.M. (2006) Adolescent cough medicine abuse in Hong Kong: implications for the design positive youth development programs in Hong Kong. *Int. J. Adolesc. Med. Health* 18, 493–503.
- Shek, D.T.L. (2006) Construction of a positive youth development program in Hong Kong. Int. J. Adolesc. Med. Health 18, 299–302.
- Shek, D.T.L. (2006) Conceptual framework underlying the development of a positive youth development program in Hong Kong. Int. J. Adolesc. Med. Health 18, 303–314.
- Shek, D.T.L. and Ma, H.K. (2006) Design of a positive youth development program in Hong Kong. Int. J. Adolesc. Med. Health 18, 315–327.
- Catalano, R.F., Berglund, M.L., Ryan, J.A.M., Lonczak, H.S., and Hawkins, J.D. (2002) Positive Youth Development in the United States: research Findings on Evaluations of Positive Youth Development Programs. Available from: http://www.aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/positiveyouthdev99/
- Shek, D.T.L., Lam, M.C., and Tsoi, K.W. (2004) Evidence-based practice in Hong Kong. In *International Perspectives on Evidence-Based Practice in Social Work*. Thyer, B. and Kazi, M.A.F., Eds. Venture Press, London.
- Shek, D.T.L., Siu, A.M.H., Lee, T.Y., Cheung, C.K., and Chung, R. (2008) Effectiveness of the Tier 1 Program of Project P.A.T.H.S.: objective outcome evaluation based on a randomized group trial. *TheScientificWorldJOURNAL* 8, 4–12
- Shek, D.T.L. and Ma, H.K. (2007) Subjective outcome evaluation of the Project P.A.T.H.S.: findings based on the perspective of the program participants. *TheScientificWorldJOURNAL* 7, 47–55.
- Shek, D.T.L., Siu, A., and Lee, T.Y. (2007) Subjective outcome evaluation of the Project P.A.T.H.S.: findings based on the perspective of the program implementers. *TheScientificWorldJOURNAL* 7, 195–203.
- 28. Shek, D.T.L. and Lee, T.Y. Qualitative evaluation of the Project P.A.T.H.S.: findings based on focus groups with student participants. *Int. J. Adolesc. Med. Health.*, in press
- 29. Shek, D.T.L., Lee, T.Y., Siu, A., and Lam, C.M. (2006) Qualitative evaluation of the Project P.A.T.H.S. based on perceptions of the program participants. *TSW Holistic Health & Medicine* **6**, 2254–2263.

- 30. Shek, D.T.L., Sun, R.C.F., Lam, C.M., Lung, D.W.M., and Lo, S.C. (2008) Evaluations of Project P.A.T.H.S. in Hong Kong: utilization of student weekly diary. *The Scientific World JOURNAL* 8, 13–21.
- 31. Shek, D.T.L., Lee, T.Y., and Sun, R.C.F. (2008) Process evaluation of the implementation of the Secondary 2 Program of Project P.A.T.H.S. in the Experimental Implementation Phase. *The Scientific World JOURNAL* 8, 83–94.
- 32. Shek, D.T.L., Ma, H.K., and Sun, R.C.F. (2008) Interim evaluation of the Tier 1 Program (Secondary 1 Curriculum) of the Project P.A.T.H.S.: first year of the Full Implementation Phase. *The Scientific World JOURNAL* 8, 47–60.
- Shek, D.T.L. (2009) Using students' weekly diaries to evaluate positive youth development programs: the case of project P.A.T.H.S. in Hong Kong. Adolescence 44, 69–85.
- 34. Shek, D.T.L. and Sun, R.C.F. (2008) Evaluation of Project P.A.T.H.S. (Secondary 1 program) by the program participants: findings based on the full implementation phase. *Adolescence* **43**, 807–822.
- 35. Shek, D.T.L., Ed. (2008) Special issue: evaluation of Project P.A.T.H.S. in Hong Kong. *TheScientificWorldJOURNAL* **8**, 1–94.
- Shek, D.T.L., Sun, R.C.F., and Chan, C.W. (2008) Evaluation of Project P.A.T.H.S. (Secondary 2 Program) by the program participants: findings based on the Experimental Implementation Phase. *TheScientificWorldJOURNAL* 8, 526–535.
- 37. Shek, D.T.L., Siu, M.H., Lui, J., and Lung, W.M.D. (2006) P.A.T.H.S. to Adulthood: A Jockey Club Youth Enhancement Scheme (Evaluation Manual). Hong Kong: Social Welfare Practice and Research Centre. The Chinese University of Hong Kong.
- 38. Shek, D.T.L., Ma, H.K., Lui, J., and Lung, W.M.D. (2006) Process evaluation of the Tier 1 Program of the Project P.A.T.H.S. *TheScientificWorldJOURNAL* 8, 536–546.
- Ringwalt, C.L., Ennett, S., Johnson, R., Rohrbach, L.A., Simons-Rudolph, A., Vincus, A., and Thorne, J. (2003) Factors associated with fidelity to substance use prevention curriculum guides in the nation's middle schools. *Health Educ. Behav.* 30, 375–391.
- 40. Domitrovich, C.E. and Greenberg, M.T. (2000) The study of implementation: current findings from effective programs that prevent mental disorders in school-aged children. *J. Educ. Psychol. Cons.* **11(2)**, 193–221.
- 41. Thyer, B. (2002). Evaluation of social work practice in the new millennium: myths and realities. In *Entering a New Millennium: Advances in Social Welfare Service and Research*. Shek, D.T.L., Lam, M.C., Au, C.F., Lee, J.J., Eds. New Asia College and the Chinese University Press, The Chinese University of Hong Kong. pp. 3–18.

This article should be cited as follows:

Shek, D.T.L. and Ng, C.S.M. (2009) Subjective outcome evaluation of the Project P.A.T.H.S. (Secondary 2 Program): views of the program participants. *TheScientificWorldJOURNAL*: TSW Child Health & Human Development **9**, 1012–1022. DOI 10.1100/tsw.2009.119.