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The delta method is a popular and elementary tool for deriving limiting distributions of transformed statistics, while applications of asymptotic distributions do not allow one to obtain desirable accuracy of approximation for tail probabilities. The large and moderate deviation theory can achieve this goal. Motivated by the delta method in weak convergence, a general delta method in large deviations is proposed. The new method can be widely applied to driving the moderate deviations of estimators and is illustrated by examples including the Wilcoxon statistic, the Kaplan–Meier estimator, the empirical quantile processes and the empirical copula function. We also improve the existing moderate deviations results for $M$-estimators and $L$-statistics by the new method. Some applications of moderate deviations to statistical hypothesis testing are provided.

1. Introduction. Consider a family of random variables $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ such as the sample mean. Assume that it satisfies a law of large numbers and a fluctuation theorem such as central limit theorem, that is, $Y_n \to \theta$ in law and there exists a sequence $b_n \to \infty$ such that $b_n(Y_n - \theta) \to Y$ in law, where $\theta$ is a constant and $Y$ is a nontrivial random variable. A large deviation result is concerned with estimation of large deviation probabilities $P(\|Y_n - \theta\| \geq \varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. A moderate deviation result is concerned with estimation of large deviation probabilities $P(r_n \|Y_n - \theta\| \geq \varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$, where $r_n$ is an intermediate scale between 1 and $b_n$, that is, $r_n \to \infty$ and $b_n/r_n \to \infty$. In particular, if $b_n = \sqrt{n}$, then $r_n = n^{1/2 - \delta}$ with $0 < \delta < 1$.

The large deviation and moderate deviation problems arise in the theory of statistical inference quite naturally. For estimation of unknown parameters and functions, it is first of all important to minimize the risk of wrong decisions implied by deviations of the observed values of estimators from the true values of parameters or functions to be estimated. Such gross errors are precisely the subject of large deviation theory. The large deviation and moderate deviation results of estimators can
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provide us with the rates of convergence and a useful method for constructing asymptotic confidence intervals. For the classical large deviation theory with the empirical measures and sample means, one can refer to Sanov (1957), Groeneboom, Oosterhoff and Ruymgaart (1979) and Bahadur and Zabell (1979). The large deviations for linear combinations of order statistics (L-estimators) were also investigated in Groeneboom, Oosterhoff and Ruymgaart (1979). Bahadur and Zabell (1979) developed a subadditive method to study the large deviations for general sample means. For some developments of large deviations and moderate deviations in statistics, see Fu (1982), Kester and Kallenberg (1986), Sieders and Dzhaparidze (1987), Inglot and Ledwina (1990), Borovkov and Mogul’skii (1992), Puhalskii and Spokoiny (1998), Bercu (2001), Joutard (2004) and Arcones (2006) for large deviations of estimators; Kallenberg (1983), Gao (2001), Arcones (2002), Inglot and Kallenberg (2003), Djellout, Guillin and Wu (2006) and Ermakov (2008) for moderate deviations of estimators; Louani (1998), Worms (2001), Gao (2003), Lei and Wu (2005) for large deviations and moderate deviations of kernel density estimators, and references therein. On the other hand, large deviations of estimators can be applied to Bahadur efficiency to determine the Bahadur slope [Bahadur (1967), Nikitin (1995), He and Shao (1996)] and hypothesis testing [see Dembo and Zeitouni (1998), Sections 3.5 and 7.1].

In statistics, many important estimators are functionals \( \Phi(L_n) \) of the empirical processes \( L_n \), and so deriving limiting distribution of \( r_n(\Phi(L_n) - \Phi(\mu)) \) from limiting distribution of \( r_n(L_n - \mu) \) is a fundamental problem, where \( r_n \) is a sequence of positive numbers and \( \mu \) is the mean of \( L_n \). It is well known that the delta method is a popular and elementary tool for solving the problem. The method tells us that the weak convergence of \( r_n(X_n - \theta) \) yields the weak convergence of \( r_n(\Phi(X_n) - \Phi(\theta)) \) if \( \Phi \) is Hadamard differentiable (see Section 3), where \( X_n \) is a sequence of random variables, \( \theta \) is a constant and \( r_n \to \infty \). For some developments and applications of the delta method, one can refer to Gill (1989), Kosorok (2008), Reeds (1976), and van der Vaart and Wellner (1996) among others. For example, Reeds (1976) systematically developed the use of Hadamard instead of Fréchet differentiability to derive asymptotic distributions of transformed processes. Andersen et al. (1993) also described some applications of the delta method in survival analysis. More recently, van der Vaart and Wellner (1996) and Kosorok (2008) provided an excellent summary of the functional delta method in terms of a weak convergence.

A natural problem is whether the large deviations of \( r_n(\Phi(X_n) - \Phi(\theta)) \) can be obtained from the large deviations of \( r_n(X_n - \theta) \) if the function \( \Phi \) defined on a set \( D_\Phi \) is Hadamard differentiable. When \( r_n = r \) for all \( n \) with a constant \( r \), the problem can be solved by the contraction principle [see Dembo and Zeitouni (1998)]. When \( r_n \to \infty \), for each \( n \geq 1 \), define \( D_n = \{ h; \theta + h/r_n \in D_\Phi \} \) and \( f_n(h) = r_n(\Phi(\theta + h/r_n) - \Phi(\theta)) \) for all \( h \in D_n \). Then by Hadamard differentiability, for every sequence \( h_n \in D_n \) converging to \( h \), the sequence \( f_n \) satisfies
$f_n(h_n) \to \Phi'_\theta(h)$. Note that $f_n(r_n(X_n - \theta)) = r_n(\Phi(X_n) - \Phi(\theta))$. Motivated by this, we can also consider to use a contraction principle for establishing the large deviations of $r_n(\Phi(X_n) - \Phi(\theta))$. However, the existing contraction principles cannot be applicable to these situations as addressed in Remark 2.1 of next section. For this reason, we need to extend the contraction principle in large deviations.

The objective of this paper is to develop a general delta method in large deviations similar to that in week convergence and applies the method to solve some moderate deviation problems in statistics. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present an extended contraction principle, while its proof will be given in the Appendix. Then a general delta method in large deviations is established by using the extended contraction principle in Section 3. In Section 4, we apply the proposed delta method in large deviations to some statistical models including censored data, empirical quantile process, copula function, $M$-estimators and $L$-statistics. The moderate deviation principles for the Wilcoxon statistic, the Kaplan–Meier estimator, the empirical quantile estimator and the empirical copula estimator are established. We also improve the existing moderate deviation results for $M$-estimators and $L$-statistics in Section 4, where our proofs are different from others but more simple by the new method. Section 5 presents some applications of the moderate deviation results to statistical hypothesis testing. Some concluding remarks are made in Section 6.

2. An extended contraction principle. As explained in previous section, to establish a delta method in large deviation, we first need to generalize the contraction principle in large deviation theory. In this section, we present an extension of the contraction principle which plays an important role.

First, let us introduce some notation in large deviations [Dembo and Zeitouni (1998), Deuschel and Stroock (1989)]. For a metric space $X$, $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is the Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $X$. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space and let $T$ be an arbitrary map from $\Omega$ to $\mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{R} = [-\infty, \infty]$ is the space of extended real numbers. The outer integral of $T$ with respect to $P$ is defined by van der Vaart and Wellner (1996)

$$E^*(T) = \inf\{E(U); U \geq T, U : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable and } E(U) \text{ exists}\}.$$ 

The outer probability of an arbitrary subset $B$ of $\Omega$ is

$$P^*(B) = \inf\{P(A); A \supset B, A \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$ 

Inner integral and inner probability are defined by

$$E_\ast(T) = -E^*(-T) \quad \text{and} \quad P_\ast(B) = 1 - P^*(\Omega \setminus B),$$

respectively.

Let $\{(\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, P_n), n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of probability spaces and let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of maps from $\Omega_n$ to $X$. Let $\{(\lambda_n, n \geq 1)\}$ be a sequence
of positive numbers tending to $+\infty$ and let $I : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be inf-compact; that is, $[I \leq L]$ is compact for any $L \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is said to satisfy the lower bound of large deviation (LLD) with speed $\lambda(n)$ and rate function $I$, if for any open measurable subset $G$ of $\mathcal{X}$,

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \log P_n^*(X_n \in G) \geq -\inf_{x \in G} I(x).
\]

(2.1) $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is said to satisfy the upper bound of large deviation (ULD) with speed $\lambda(n)$ and rate function $I$, if for any closed measurable subset $F$ of $\mathcal{X}$,

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \log P_n^*(X_n \in F) \leq -\inf_{x \in F} I(x).
\]

(2.2) We say that $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ satisfies the large deviation principle (LDP) with speed $\lambda(n)$ and rate function $I$, if both LLD and ULD hold.

Now, we present the extended contraction principle.

**Theorem 2.1 (Extended contraction principle).** Let $(\mathcal{X}, d)$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, \rho)$ be two metric spaces. Let $\{D_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of subsets in $(\mathcal{X}, d)$, and let $\{f_n : D_n \mapsto \mathcal{Y}; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a family of mappings. Also for each $n \geq 1$, let $X_n$ be a map from probability space $(\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, P_n)$ to $D_n$. Suppose that:

(i) $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ satisfies the large deviation principle with speed $\lambda(n)$ and rate function $I$;

(ii) there exists a mapping $f : \{I < \infty\} \mapsto \mathcal{Y}$ such that if for a sequence $\{x_n \in D_n, n \geq 1\}, x_n \to x \in \{I < \infty\}$ as $n \to \infty$, then $f_n(x_n) \to f(x)$ as $n \to \infty$.

Then $\{f_n(X_n), n \geq 1\}$ satisfies the large deviation principle with speed $\lambda(n)$ and rate function $I_f$, where

\[
I_f(y) = \inf\{I(x) ; f(x) = y\}, \quad y \in \mathcal{Y}.
\]

(2.3) The proof of the theorem is given in the Appendix.

**Remark 2.1.** (1) If $D_n = \mathcal{X}$ for all $n \geq 1$, then Theorem 2.1 yields Theorem 2.1 in Arcones (2003b). Another popular contraction principle was given in Theorem 4.3.23 of Dembo and Zeitouni (1998), in which $D_n = \mathcal{X}$ for all $n \geq 1$, $f_n$ is continuous for all $n \geq 1$ and for any $L \in (0, \infty),

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x : I(x) \leq L} \rho(f_n(x), f(x)) = 0.
\]

(2.4) This condition cannot be compared to condition (ii) in Theorem 2.1.

(2) It is necessary for proving Theorem 3.1 to introduce the sequence of subsets $\mathcal{D}_n$ in Theorem 2.1, because subsets $\{h \in \mathcal{X}; \theta + h/r_n \in \mathcal{D}_\Phi\}, n \geq 1$ are not equal,
generally, for $\theta \in \mathcal{X}$ and a subset $\mathcal{D}_\Phi$ of a topological linear spaces $\mathcal{X}$. In fact, $\mathcal{D}_\Phi$ is usually a subset of $\mathcal{X}$ in applications (see Section 4).

3. Delta method in large deviations. In this section, we establish a delta method in large deviations by using the extended contraction principle presented in Section 2.

Let us first recall some conceptions of Hadamard differentiability [Gill (1989), van der Vaart and Wellner (1996), Kosorok (2008), Römisch (2005)]. Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be two metrizable topological linear spaces. A map $\Phi_1$ defined on a subset $D_{\Phi_1}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ with values in $\mathcal{Y}$ is called Hadamard differentiable at $x$ if there exists a continuous mapping $\Phi_1': \mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\Phi(x + t_n h_n) - \Phi(x)}{t_n} = \Phi_1'(h)$$

holds for all sequences $t_n$ converging to $0^+$ and $h_n$ converging to $h$ in $\mathcal{X}$ such that $x + t_n h_n \in \mathcal{D}_{\Phi_1}$ for every $n$.

REMARK 3.1. Linearity of the Hadamard directional derivative $\Phi_1'(\cdot)$ is not required. In fact, $\Phi_1'(\cdot)$ is often not linear if $\Phi_1$ is given by inequality constraints. However, by the definition, we can see that $\Phi_1'(\cdot)$ is positively homogenous; that is, $\Phi_1'(t h) = t \Phi_1'(h)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $h \in \mathcal{X}$.

The definition of the Hadamard differentiable may be refined to Hadamard differentiable tangentially to a set $D_0 \subset \mathcal{X}$. For a subset $D_0$ of $\mathcal{X}$, the map $\Phi$ is said to be Hadamard differentiable at $x \in D_\Phi$ tangentially to $D_0$ if the limit (3.1) exists for all sequences $t_n$ converging to $0^+$ and $h_n$ converging to $h$ in $D_0$ such that $x + t_n h_n \in D_{\Phi_1}$ for every $n$. In this case, the Hadamard derivative $\Phi_1'(\cdot)$ is a continuous mapping on $D_0$. If $D_0$ is a cone, then $\Phi_1'(\cdot)$ is again positively homogenous.

THEOREM 3.1 (Delta method in large deviation). Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be two metrizable linear topological spaces and let $d$ and $\rho$ be compatible metrics on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$, respectively. Let $\Phi : D_\Phi \subset \mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathcal{Y}$ be Hadamard-differentiable at $\theta$ tangentially to $D_0$, where $D_\Phi$ and $D_0$ are two subsets of $\mathcal{X}$. Let $X_n : \Omega_n \mapsto \mathcal{D}_\Phi$, $n \geq 1$ be a sequence of maps and let $r_n, n \geq 1$, be a sequence of positive real numbers satisfying $r_n \to +\infty$.

If $\{r_n(X_n - \theta), n \geq 1\}$ satisfies the large deviation principle with speed $\lambda(n)$ and rate function $I$ and $\{I < \infty\} \subset D_0$, then $\{r_n(\Phi(X_n) - \Phi(\theta)), n \geq 1\}$ satisfies the large deviation principle with speed $\lambda(n)$ and rate function $I_{\Phi_1'}$, where

$$I_{\Phi_1'}(y) = \inf\{I(x); \Phi_1'(x) = y\}, \quad y \in \mathcal{Y}. \quad (3.2)$$
Furthermore, if $\Phi'_{\theta}$ is defined and continuous on the whole space of $\mathcal{X}$, then 

$$
{\{r_n (\Phi (X_n) - \Phi (\theta)) - \Phi'_{\theta} (r_n (X_n - \theta)), n \geq 1\}}
$$

satisfies the large deviation principle with speed $\lambda(n)$ and rate function

$$
I_{\Phi, \theta}(z) = \begin{cases} 
0, & z = 0, \\
+\infty, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$

(3.3)

In particular, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \log P_n^* (\rho (r_n (\Phi (X_n) - \Phi (\theta)) - \Phi'_{\theta} (r_n (X_n - \theta)), 0) \geq \delta)
$$

(3.4)  

$$
= -\infty.
$$

PROOF. For each $n \geq 1$, define $D_n = \{ h \in \mathcal{X}; \theta + h/r_n \in D_{\phi} \}$ and 

$$
f_n : D_n \mapsto Y, \quad f_n (h) = r_n (\Phi (\theta + h/r_n) - \Phi (\theta)) \quad \text{for all } h \in D_n.
$$

Then for every sequence $h_n \in D_n$ converging to $h \in D_0$, the sequence $f_n$ satisfies $f_n (h_n) \to \Phi'_{\theta} (h)$. In addition, $\Phi'_{\theta} (\cdot)$ is continuous on $D_0$. Therefore, Theorem 2.1 implies that

$$
{\{r_n (\Phi (X_n) - \Phi (\theta)), n \geq 1\}} = {\{f_n (r_n (X_n - \theta)), n \geq 1\}}
$$

satisfies the large deviation principle with speed $\lambda(n)$ and rate function $I_{\Phi'_{\theta}}$.

Now, we consider the mapping $\varphi_n : D_n \mapsto \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}$, where $\varphi_n (h) = (f_n (h), \Phi'_{\theta} (h))$ for all $h \in D_n$. If $\Phi'_{\theta} (\cdot)$ is continuous on $\mathcal{X}$, then for every subsequence $h_{n'} \in D_{n'}$ converging to $h \in \mathcal{X}$, $\varphi_{n'} (h_{n'})$ converges to $(\Phi'_{\theta} (h), \Phi'_{\theta} (h))$. Hence, Theorem 2.1 implies $\{\varphi_n (r_n (X_n - \theta)), n \geq 1\}$ satisfies the large deviation principle with speed $\lambda(n)$ and rate function

$$
J_{\Phi, \theta} (y_1, y_2) = \inf \{ I (x); \Phi'_{\theta} (x) = y_1 = y_2 \}, \quad (y_1, y_2) \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}.
$$

Therefore, by the classical contraction principle [see Dembo and Zeitouni (1998), Theorem 4.2.1], we conclude that the difference

$$
{\{r_n (\Phi (X_n) - \Phi (\theta)) - \Phi'_{\theta} (r_n (X_n - \theta)), n \geq 1\}}
$$

satisfies the large deviation principle with speed $\lambda(n)$ and rate function

$$
\inf \{ J_{\Phi, \theta} (y_1, y_2); y_1 - y_2 = z \} = I_{\Phi, \theta} (z) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathcal{Y}. \quad \square
$$

4. Moderate deviations of estimators. In this section, moderate deviation principles for some estimators will be established by applying the delta method in large deviation to Wilcoxon statistic, Kaplan–Meier estimator, the empirical quantile processes, $M$-estimators and $L$-statistics.

Let us introduce some notation. Given an arbitrary set $T$ and a Banach space $(B, \| \cdot \|_B)$, the Banach space $l_{\infty}(T, B)$ is the set of all maps $z : T \mapsto B$ that are uniformly norm-bounded equipped with the norm $\|z\| = \sup_{t \in T} \|z(t)\|_B$. Let $l_{\infty}(T)$
be the Banach space of all bounded real functions $x$ on $T$, equipped with the sup-norm $||x|| = \sup_{t \in T} |x(t)|$. It is a nonseparable Banach space if $T$ is infinite. On $l_\infty(T)$, we will consider the $\sigma$-field $B$ generated by all balls and all coordinates $x(t), t \in T$.

Let $(S, d)$ be a complete separable and measurable metric space and let $bS$ be the space of all bounded real measurable functions on $(S, S)$ where $S$ is the Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $S$. Let $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with values in $S$ on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, of law $\mu$. Let $L_n$ denote the empirical measures; that is,

$$L_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{X_i}, \quad n \geq 1.$$ 

For given a class of functions $\mathfrak{F} \subset bS$, let $l_\infty(\mathfrak{F})$ be the space of all bounded real functions on $\mathfrak{F}$ with sup-norm $||F|| = \sup_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} |F(f)|$. This is a Banach space. Every $\nu \in M_b(S)$ [the space of signed measures of finite variation on $(S, S)$] corresponds to an element $\nu(\delta) = \int f \, d\nu$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Let $D[a, b]$ denote the Banach space of all right continuous with left-hand limits functions $z : [a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ on an interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the uniform norm. Let $BV[a, b]$ denote the set of all cadlag functions with finite total variation and set $BV_m[a, b] = \{A \in BV[a, b] ; \int |dA| \leq M\}$, where the notation $\int |dA|$ denotes the total variation of the function $A$. In this article, we also let $\{a_n = a(n), n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that as $n \to \infty$, $a_n \to \infty$ and $a_n/\sqrt{n} \to 0$.

4.1. Moderate deviations for Wilcoxon statistic. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_m$ and $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ be independent samples from distribution functions $F$ and $G$ on $\mathbb{R}$, respectively. If $F_m$ and $G_n$ are the empirical distribution functions of the two samples; that is,

$$F_m(x) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_{X_i}((-\infty, x]) \quad \text{and} \quad G_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{Y_i}((-\infty, x]),$$

then the Wilcoxon statistic is defined by $W_{m,n} = \int F_m \, dG_n$. It is an estimator of $P(X \leq Y)$.

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume that $m/(m + n) \to \lambda \in (0, 1)$ as $m, n \to \infty$. Then

$$\left\{ \sqrt{mn/(m + n)} \left( \int F_m \, dG_n - \int f \, dG \right) : n \geq 1 \right\}$$

satisfies the LDP in $\mathbb{R}$ with speed $a^2(mn/(m + n))$ and rate function $I^W$ defined by

$$I^W(x) = \frac{x^2}{2(\lambda \text{ Var}(F(Y)) + (1 - \lambda) \text{ Var}(G(X)))}.$$
PROOF. Applying Theorem 2 of Wu (1994) to \( L_n^X = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{x_i}, \) \( \mathcal{F}_1 = \{(-\infty, x); x \in \mathbb{R}\}, \) and \( L_n^Y = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{y_i}, \) \( \mathcal{F}_2 = \{(-\infty, y); y \in \mathbb{R}\}, \) respectively, and using the product principle in large deviations [Dembo and Zeitouni (1998)], we obtain that \( \{\sqrt{n}a_n (F_n - F, G_n - G), n \geq 1\} \) satisfies the LDP in \( l_\infty(\mathbb{R}) \times l_\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) with speed \( a_n^2 \) and rate function \( \{I_F(\alpha) + I_G(\beta)\}, \) where

\[
I_F(\alpha) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int \gamma^2(x) dF(x); \int \gamma(x) dF(x) = 0, \alpha(t) = \int_{(-\infty, t]} \gamma(x) dF(x) \right. \\
\left. \text{for each } t \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable} \right\}
\]

\[
= \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} \int |\alpha_F'(x)|^2 dF(x), & \text{if } \alpha \ll F \text{ and } \lim_{|t| \to \infty} |\alpha(t)| = 0, \\
\infty, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

and \( \alpha_F' = d\alpha/dF. \) Since \( \frac{\sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{mn/(m+n)}} \to (1 - \lambda)^{1/2} \) and \( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{mn/(m+n)}} \to \lambda^{1/2}, \) then

\[
\left\{ \sqrt{mn/(m+n)} \left( F_m - F, G_n - G \right), n \geq 1 \right\}
\]

satisfies the LDP in \( l_\infty(\mathbb{R}) \times l_\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) with speed \( a^2(mn/(m + n)) \) and rate function given by

\[
I_{F,G}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} I_F(\alpha) + \frac{1}{\lambda} I_G(\beta).
\]

Note that \( \{I_{F,G}(\alpha, \beta) < \infty\} \subset BV(\mathbb{R}) \times BV(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( (F_m, G_n) \in BV_1(\mathbb{R}) \times BV_1(\mathbb{R}). \) For each \( M \geq 1, \) we consider the map \( \Phi : D(\mathbb{R}) \times BV(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R} \) defined as

\[
\Phi(A, B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} A(s) dB(s).
\]

Then \( \Phi(F_m, G_n) = \int F_m dB_n, \) and by Lemma 3.9.17 of van der Vaart and Wellner (1996), \( \Phi \) is Hadamard differentiable at each \( (A, B) \in D_\Phi = \{\int |dA| < \infty\} \) and the derivative is given by

\[
\Phi'(A, B)(\alpha, \beta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} A(s) d\beta(s) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha(s) dB(s),
\]

where \( \int_{[a,b]} A(s) d\beta(s) \) is defined via integration by parts if \( \beta \) is not of bounded variation; that is,

\[
\int_{[a,b]} A(s) d\beta(s) = A(b)\beta(b) - A(a)\beta(a) - \int_{[a,b]} \beta(s -) A(s).
\]
Thus, by Theorem 3.1 with \( D_0 = \{ (\alpha, \beta); I_F(\alpha) < \infty, I_G(\beta) < \infty \} \), we conclude that
\[
\left\{ \frac{\sqrt{mn/(m+n)}}{a(mn/(m+n))} \left( \int F_m \, dG_n - \int F \, dG \right), n \geq 1 \right\}
\]
satisfies the LDP on \( \mathbb{R} \) with speed \( a^2(mn/(m+n)) \) and rate function given by
\[
I_W(x) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} I_F(\alpha) + \frac{1}{\lambda} I_G(\beta), \int F(s) \, d\beta(s) + \int \alpha(s) \, dG(s) = x \right\}
\]
\[
= \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2(1 - \lambda)} \int (\alpha'_F)^2 \, dF + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \int (\beta'_G)^2 \, dG, \int F \beta'_G \, dG - \int G \alpha'_F \, dF = x, \alpha \ll F, \beta \ll G, \right\}
\]
\[
\lim_{|t| \to \infty} |\alpha(t)| = 0, \lim_{|t| \to \infty} |\beta(t)| = 0 \}
\]
\[
= \frac{x^2}{2(\lambda \text{ Var}(F(Y)) + (1 - \lambda) \text{ Var}(G(X)))}.
\]

4.2. Moderate deviations for Kaplan–Meier estimator. Let \( X \) and \( C \) be independent, nonnegative random variables with distribution functions \( F \) and \( G \). Let \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) be i.i.d. random variables distributed according to the distribution function \( F \) and let \( C_1, \ldots, C_n \) be i.i.d. random variables distributed according to the distribution function \( G \). \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) and \( C_1, \ldots, C_n \) are assumed to be independent. Observed data are the pairs \((Z_1, \Delta_1), \ldots, (Z_n, \Delta_n)\), where \( Z_i = X_i \land C_i \), and \( \Delta_i = 1_{\{X_i \leq C_i\}} \). The cumulative hazard function is defined by
\[
\Lambda(t) = \int_{[0,t]} \frac{1}{F(s)} \, dF(s) = \int_{[0,t]} \frac{1}{H(s)} \, dH^{uc}(s),
\]
where \( F(t) = P(X \geq t) \) and \( H(t) = P(Z \geq t) \) are (left-continuous) survival distributions, and \( H^{uc}(t) = P(Z \leq t, \Delta = 1) \) is a subdistribution function of the uncensored observations, where \( \Delta = 1_{\{X \leq C\}} \). We also denote \( H^{c}(t) = P(Z \leq t, \Delta = 0) \). The Nelson–Aalen estimator is defined by
\[
\Lambda_n(t) = \int_{[0,t]} \frac{1}{H_n(s)} \, dH^{uc}_n(s),
\]
where
\[
H^{uc}_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{Z_i \leq t, \Delta_i = 1\}} \quad \text{and} \quad H_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{Z_i \geq t\}}
\]
are the empirical subdistribution functions of the uncensored failure time and the survival function of the observation times, respectively.
The distribution function $F(t)$ can be rewritten as
\[
1 - F(t) = \prod_{0 < s \leq t} (1 - d \Lambda(s)).
\]

The Kaplan–Meier estimator $\hat{F}_n(t)$ for the distribution function $F(t)$ is defined by
\[
1 - \hat{F}_n(t) = \prod_{0 < s \leq t} (1 - d \Lambda_n(s)).
\]

The Kaplan–Meier estimator $\hat{F}_n$ is the nonparametric maximum likelihood estimator of $F$ in the right censored data model, proposed by Kaplan and Meier (1958). Dinwoodie (1993) studied large deviations for censored data and established a large deviation principle for $\sup_{x \in \tau} |\hat{F}_n(x) - F(x)|$ where $\tau$ is a fixed time satisfying $\{1 - F(\tau)\}/\{1 - G(\tau)\} > 0$. Bitouzé, Laurent and Massart (1999) obtained an exponential inequality for $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (1 - G(x)) |\hat{F}_n(x) - F(x)|$. Wellner (2007) provided a bound for the constant in the inequality. In this subsection, we establish its moderate deviation principle.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $\tau > 0$ such that $H(\tau) < 1$. Then \(\{\sqrt{n}/a(n)(\Lambda_n - \Lambda), n \geq 1\}\) satisfies the LDP in $D[0, \tau]$ with speed $a^2(n)$ and rate function $I_n^\Lambda$ given by
\[
I_n^\Lambda(\phi) = \inf \left\{ I_{F,G}(\alpha, \beta); \int_{[0, t]} \frac{1}{H(s)} d\alpha(s) - \int_{[0, t]} \frac{\beta(s)}{H^c(s)} dH^{uc}(s) = \phi(t), \right. \\
\left. \text{for any } t \in [0, \tau] \right\},
\]
where
\[
I_{F,G}(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left( \int |\alpha^{Huc}(u)|^2 dH^{uc}(u) + \int |(\alpha + \beta)^{Huc}(u)|^2 dH^{uc}(u) \right), & \text{if } \alpha \ll H^{uc}, \alpha + \beta \ll H^c \text{ and } \lim_{t \to \infty} |\beta(t)| = 0, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** The pair $(H^{uc}_n, \overline{H}_n)$ can be identified with the empirical distribution of the observations indexed by the functions $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{I_{\{z \leq t, \Delta = 1\}}, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{I_{\{z \geq t\}}, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. It is easy to verify that the two classes $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ are Donsker classes and the mapping $\Psi : l_\infty(\mathcal{F}_1) \mapsto l_\infty(\mathcal{F}_1) \times l_\infty(\mathcal{F}_2)$ defined by $\phi \mapsto (\phi|_{\mathcal{F}_1}, \phi|_{\mathcal{F}_2})$ is continuous, where $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{j=1}^2 \mathcal{F}_j$. Applying Theorem 2 of Wu (1994) to $L_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(Z_i, \Delta_i)$ and $\mathcal{F}$, and the classical contraction principle [see Dembo and Zeitouni (1998), Theorem 4.2.1] to $\Psi$, we can get that
\[
\left\{ \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a(n)} (H^{uc}_n - H^{uc}, \overline{H}_n - \overline{H}), n \geq 1 \right\}
\]
satisfies the LDP on $D([0, \tau]) \times D([0, \tau])$ with speed $a^2(n)$ and rate function

$$I_{F,G}(\alpha, \beta) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \int \gamma_1^2(u) dH^{uc}(u) + \int \gamma_0^2(u) dH^c(u) \right) \right\};$$

$$\int \gamma_1(u) dH^{uc}(u) + \int \gamma_0(u) dH^c(u) = 0,$$

and for any $t \in [0, \infty)$, $\int_{[0,t]} \gamma_1(u) dH^{uc}(u) = \alpha(t),$

$$\int_{[t,\infty)} \gamma_1(u) dH^{uc}(u) + \int_{[t,\infty)} \gamma_0(u) dH^c(u) = \beta(t) \right\} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left( \int |\alpha'_{H^{uc}}(u)|^2 dH^{uc}(u) + \int |(\alpha + \beta)'_{H^c}(u)|^2 dH^c(u) \right), & \text{if } \alpha \ll H^{uc}, \alpha + \beta \ll H^c \text{ and } \lim_{t \to \infty} |\beta(t)| = 0, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Set $D_\Phi = \{(A, B) \in BV_1([0, \tau]) \times D([0, \tau]); B \geq H(\tau)/2 \}$. By the Dvoretzky–Kiefer–Wolfowitz inequality [cf. Massart (1990)], for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$P \left( \sup_{t \in [0, \tau]} |H_n(t) - H(t)| > \varepsilon \right) \leq 2 \exp \{-2n\varepsilon^2\}.$$ 

In particular, take $\varepsilon = \overline{H}(\tau)/2$, then we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^2(n)} \log P^\ast((H_n^{uc}, \overline{H}_n) \notin D_\Phi) \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^2(n)} \log P^\ast(\overline{H}_n(t) \leq \overline{H}(\tau)/2) = -\infty.$$ 

Consider the maps $\Phi_1 : D_\Phi \subset BV_1([0, \tau]) \times D([0, \tau]) \to BV_1([0, \tau]) \times D([0, \tau])$ and $\Phi_2 : BV([0, \tau]) \times D([0, \tau]) \to D([0, \tau])$ defined as

$$\Phi_1(A, B) = (A, 1/B) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_2 : (A, B) \mapsto \int_{[0,1]} B \, dA.$$

Define $\Phi(A, B) = \Phi_2(\Phi_1(A, B))$. Then $\Phi(H_n^{uc}, \overline{H}_n) = \Lambda_n$, $\Phi(H^{uc}, \overline{H}) = \Lambda$ and by Lemma 3.9.17 of van der Vaart and Wellner (1996), $\Phi$ is Hadamard differentiable at each $(A, B) \in D_\Phi$. The derivative is given by

$$\Phi'_{A,B}(\alpha, \beta)(t) = \int_{[0,1]} \frac{1}{B(s)} \, d\alpha(s) - \int_{[0,1]} \frac{\beta(s)}{B^2(s)} \, dA(s).$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 to $\Omega_n = \{(H_n^{uc}, \overline{H}_n) \in D_\Phi, \quad P_n(\cdot) = P(\cdot|\Omega_n) \text{ and } D_0 = D_\Phi \}$ together with (4.9), we conclude that $\{\sqrt{n}(\Lambda_n - \Lambda), n \geq 1 \}$ satisfies the LDP
in $D[0, \tau]$ with speed $a^2(n)$ and rate function $I^\Lambda$ given by

$$I^\Lambda(\phi) = \inf \left\{ I_{F,G}(\alpha, \beta); \int_{[0,t]} \frac{1}{H(s)} d\alpha(s) - \int_{[0,t]} \frac{\beta(s)}{H(s)} dHuc(s) = \phi(t), \right\}$$

for any $t \in [0, \tau]$. □

Next, we give some other representations. Let $\{(Guc(t), \overline{G}(t)), t \in [0, \tau]\}$ be a zero-mean Gaussian process with covariance structure

$$E(Guc(s)Guc(t)) = Huc(s \wedge t) - Huc(s)Huc(t),$$

$$E(G(s)G(t)) = H(s \vee t) - H(s)H(t),$$

and

$$E(Guc(s)Guc(t)) = (Huc(s) - Huc(t-))I_{(-\infty,s)}(t) - Huc(s)\overline{H}(t).$$

Set $\tilde{T} = \{(j, t), j = 1, 2, t \in [0, \tau]\}$ and

$$\tilde{Z} = \{\tilde{Z}_{(j,t)}; j = 1, 2, t \in [0, \tau], \tilde{Z}_{(1,t)} = Guc(t), \tilde{Z}_{(2,t)} = \overline{G}(t)\}.$$ 

Then by Theorem 5.2 of Arcones (2004), $\{\{\tilde{Z}_{(j,t)}/\sqrt{\lambda(n)}, (j, t) \in \tilde{T}\}, n \geq 1\}$ satisfies the LDP on $l_\infty(\tilde{T})$ with speed $\lambda(n)$ and rate function given by

$$\tilde{I}(x) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2} E(\gamma^2); \gamma \in \mathcal{L}, E(\gamma \tilde{Z}_{(j,t)}) = x(j,t) \text{ for all } (j, t) \in \tilde{T}, \right\}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the closed vector space of $L^2(P)$ generated by $\{\tilde{Z}_{(j,t)}, (j, t) \in \tilde{T}\}$. Since the mapping $\Psi: l_\infty(\tilde{T}) \mapsto l_\infty([0, \tau], R^2)$ defined by

$$\{\phi_{(j,t)}, (j, t) \in \tilde{T}\} \mapsto \{\phi_{(1,t)}, \phi_{(2,t)}, t \in [0, \tau]\}$$

is continuous, then by the classical contraction principle [see Dembo and Zeitouni (1998), Theorem 4.2.1], we know that $\{(Guc(t), \overline{G}(t))/\sqrt{\lambda(n)}, (j, t) \in \tilde{T}\}$ satisfies the LDP on $D([0, \tau]) \times D([0, \tau])$ with speed $\lambda(n)$ and rate function $I_{F,G}(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\lambda(n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

Define $Muc(t) = Guc(t) - \int_{[0,t]} Guc(u) d\Lambda(u)$ and

$$Z(t) = \int_{[0,t]} \frac{1}{H(s)} dGuc(s) - \int_{[0,t]} \frac{\overline{G}(s)}{H(s)} dHuc(s),$$

where the first term on the right-hand side is to be understood via integration by parts. Then $Muc$ is a zero-mean Gaussian martingale with covariance function [van der Vaart and Wellner (1996), page 384]

$$E(Muc(s)Muc(t)) = \int_{[0,s \wedge t]} \overline{H}(u)(1 - \Delta \Lambda(u)) d\Lambda(u),$$

where $\Delta \Lambda(u) = \Lambda(u+)-\Lambda(u)$.
where $\Delta \Lambda (u) = \Lambda (u) - \Lambda (u^-)$ and $Z(t) = \int_{[0, t]} \frac{1}{H(s)} dM^{\mu \nu}(s)$ is a zero-mean Gaussian process with covariance function

$$E(Z(s)Z(t)) = \int_{[0, s \wedge t]} \frac{1 - \Delta \Lambda (u)}{H(u)} d\Lambda (u).$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, we conclude that \{\{Z(t)/\sqrt{\lambda (n)}, t \in [0, \tau]\}, n \geq 1\} satisfies the LDP on $D([0, \tau])$ with speed $\lambda (n)$ and rate function $I^\Lambda (\phi)$. Furthermore, from Theorem 5.2 of Arcones (2004) and Theorem 3.1 of Arcones (2003b), we have the following result.

**THEOREM 4.3.** Let $\tau > 0$ such that $H(\tau) < 1$. Then \{\{\sqrt{n}/a(n) (\Lambda_n - \Lambda), n \geq 1\} satisfies the LDP in $D[0, \tau]$ with speed $a^2(n)$ and rate function $I^\Lambda$ given by

$$I^\Lambda (\phi) = \sup_{m \geq 1, t_1, \ldots, t_m \in [0, \tau]} \sup_{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi_t \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, j=1}^{m} \alpha_k \alpha_j \times \int_{[0, t_k \wedge t_j]} \frac{1 - \Delta \Lambda (u)}{H(u)} d\Lambda (u) \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.11)

In particular, for any $r > 0$, 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^2(n)} \log P \left( \sqrt{n}/a(n) \sup_{x \in [0, \tau]} |\Lambda_n(x) - \Lambda(x)| \geq r \right) = -\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2_{\Lambda}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.12)

where $\sigma^2_{\Lambda} = \int_{[0, \tau]} \frac{1 - \Delta \Lambda (u)}{H(u)} d\Lambda (u)$.

Now we present the moderate deviations for the Kaplan–Meier estimator $\hat{F}_n(t)$.

**THEOREM 4.4.** Let $\tau > 0$ such that $H(\tau) < 1$. Then \{\{\sqrt{n}/a(n) (\hat{F}_n - F), n \geq 1\} satisfies the LDP in $D[0, \tau]$ with speed $a^2(n)$ and rate function $I^{KM}$ given by

$$I^{KM} (\phi) = \sup_{m \geq 1, t_1, \ldots, t_m \in [0, \tau]} \sup_{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi_t \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, j=1}^{m} \alpha_k \alpha_j \times \int_{[0, t_k \wedge t_j]} \frac{(1 - F(t_k))(1 - F(t_j))}{(1 - \Delta \Lambda (u)) H(u)} d\Lambda (u) \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.13)
In particular, for any \( r > 0 \),
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^2(n)} \log P \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a(n)} \sup_{x \in [0, \tau]} |\hat{F}_n(x) - F(x)| \geq r \right) = -\frac{r^2}{2\sigma_{KM}^2},
\]
where
\[
\sigma_{KM}^2 = \sup_{t \in [0, \tau]} \left( 1 - F(t) \right)^2 \int_{(0, t]} \frac{1}{1 - \Delta \Lambda(u) H(u)} d\Lambda(u).
\]

**Proof.** The map \( \Phi : BV[0, \tau] \subset D[0, \tau] \mapsto D[0, \tau] \) is defined as
\[
\Phi(A)(t) = \prod_{0 < s \leq t} (1 + dA(s)).
\]
Then, \( 1 - F(x) = \Phi(-\Lambda)(x) \) and \( 1 - \hat{F}_n(x) = \Phi(-\Lambda_n)(x) \). Since \( H(\tau) < 1 \), there exists some \( M \in (0, \infty) \) such that \( \Lambda \in BV_{M+1}[0, \tau] \). From (4.12), we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^2(n)} \log P^*(\Lambda_n \notin BV_{M+1}[0, \tau]) = -\infty.
\]
By Lemma 3.9.30 of van der Vaart and Wellner (1996), we know that \( \Phi \) is Hadamard differentiable in \( BV_{M+1}[0, \tau] \) with derivative
\[
\Phi'_{A}(\alpha)(t) = \int_{(0, t]} \Phi(A)(0, u) \Phi(A)(u, t) d\alpha(u),
\]
where \( \Phi(A)(u, t) = \prod_{0 < s \leq t} (1 + dA(s)) \). Applying Theorem 3.1 to \( \Omega_n = \{ \Lambda_n \in BV_{M+1}[0, \tau] \} \), \( P_n(\cdot) = P(\cdot | \Omega_n) \) and \( D_0 = BV_{M+1}[0, \tau] \), we obtain from Theorem 4.2 that \( \{ \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a(n)} (\hat{F}_n - F), n \geq 1 \} \) satisfies the LDP in \( D[0, \tau] \) with speed \( a^2(n) \) and rate function \( \tilde{I}^{KM} \) given by
\[
\tilde{I}^{KM} = \inf \left\{ I^{\Lambda}(\alpha) : \int_{(0, t]} \Phi(F)(0, u) \Phi(F)(u, t) d\alpha(u) = \phi(t), \text{ for any } t \in [0, \tau] \right\}.
\]
On the other hand, we consider the process \( \Phi'_{-\Lambda}(Z)(t) \), where \( Z \) is defined by (4.10). Since
\[
\Phi'_{-\Lambda}(Z)(t) = \int_{(0, t]} \frac{(1 - F(u-))(1 - F(t))}{1 - F(u)} dZ(u)
\]
\[
= (1 - F(t)) \int_{(0, t]} \frac{1}{1 - \Delta \Lambda(u)} dZ(u),
\]
which is a zero-mean Gaussian process with covariance function
\[
(1 - F(s))(1 - F(t)) \int_{(0,\Delta(x)\Delta(x'))} \frac{1}{1 - \Delta(u)} d\Delta(u),
\]
then, by Theorem 5.2 of Arcones (2004) and Theorem 3.1 of Arcones (2003b), we obtain the conclusion of the theorem. □

4.3. Moderate deviations for the empirical quantile processes. For a non-decreasing function \( G \in D[a, b] \) and any \( p \in \mathbb{R} \), define \( G^{-1}(p) = \inf\{x; G(x) \geq p\} \). Let \( D_1[a, b] \) denote the set of all restrictions of distribution functions on \( \mathbb{R} \) to \( [a, b] \) and let \( D_2[a, b] \) denote the set of distribution functions of measures that concentrate on \( (a, b) \).

**Theorem 4.5.** Let \( 0 < p < q < 1 \) be fixed and let \( F \) be a distribution function with continuous and positive derivative \( f \) on the interval \([F^{-1}(p) - \varepsilon, F^{-1}(q) + \varepsilon]\) for some \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Let \( F_n \) be the empirical distribution function of an i.i.d. sample \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) of size \( n \) from \( F \). Then \( \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\Delta(n)}(F_n - F), n \geq 1 \right\} \) satisfies the LDP in \( l_{\infty}[p, q] \) with speed \( a^2(n) \) and rate function \( I^{EQ} \) given by
\[
I^{EQ}(\phi) = \inf \left\{ I_F(\alpha); -\frac{\alpha(F^{-1}(x))}{f(F^{-1}(x))} = \phi(x) \text{ for all } x \in [p, q] \right\},
\]
where
\[
I_F(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \int |\alpha'(x)|^2 dF(x), & \text{if } \alpha \ll F \text{ and } \lim_{|x| \to \infty} |\alpha'(x)| = 0, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** Applying Theorem 2 of Wu (1994) to \( L_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i} \), and \( \mathcal{G} = \{(-\infty, x]; x \in \mathbb{R}\} \), we know that \( \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\Delta(n)}(F_n - F), n \geq 1 \right\} \) satisfies the LDP on \( D(\mathbb{R}) \) with speed \( a^2(n) \) and rate function \( I_F \). By Lemma 3.9.23 of van der Vaart and Wellner (1996), it follows that the inverse map \( \Phi : G \mapsto G^{-1} \) as a map \( D_1[F^{-1}(p) - \varepsilon, F^{-1}(q) + \varepsilon] \) to \( l_{\infty}[p, q] \) is Hadamard differentiable at \( F \) tangentially to \( C(F^{-1}(p) - \varepsilon, F^{-1}(q) + \varepsilon) \), and the derivative is the map \( \alpha \mapsto -\alpha'(F^{-1})/f(F^{-1}) \). Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, we conclude that \( \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\Delta(n)}(F_n^{-1} - F^{-1}), n \geq 1 \right\} \) satisfies the LDP in \( l_{\infty}[p, q] \) with speed \( a^2(n) \) and the rate function \( I^{EQ} \). □

4.4. Moderate deviations for the empirical copula processes. Let \( BV_1^+(\mathbb{R}^2) \) denote the space of bivariate distribution functions on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). For \( H \in BV_1^+(\mathbb{R}^2) \), set \( F(x) = H(x, \infty) \) and \( G(y) = H(\infty, y) \).

Let \( (X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n) \) be i.i.d. vectors with distribution function \( H \). The empirical estimator for the copula function \( C(u, v) = H(F^{-1}(u), G^{-1}(v)) \) is defined by \( C_n(u, v) = H_n(F_n^{-1}(u), G_n^{-1}(v)) \), where \( H_n, F_n \) and \( G_n \) are the joint and marginal empirical distributions of the observations.
THEOREM 4.6. Let \(0 < p < q < 1\) be fixed. Suppose that \(F\) and \(G\) are continuously differentiable on the intervals \([F^{-1}(p) - \varepsilon, F^{-1}(q) + \varepsilon]\) and \([G^{-1}(p) - \varepsilon, G^{-1}(q) + \varepsilon]\) with strictly positive derivatives \(f\) and \(g\), respectively, for some \(\varepsilon > 0\). Furthermore, assume that \(\partial H / \partial x\) and \(\partial H / \partial y\) exist and are continuous on the product intervals. Then \(\{(\sqrt{n}/a(n))(C_n - C), n \geq 1\}\) satisfies the LDP in \(l_\infty([p, q]^2)\) with speed \(a^2(n)\) and rate function \(I^C\) defined by

\[
I^C(\phi) = \inf \{I_H(\alpha); \Phi_H^\prime(\alpha) = \phi\},
\]

where

\[
\Phi_H^\prime(\alpha)(u, v) = \alpha(F^{-1}(u), G^{-1}(v)) - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(F^{-1}(u), G^{-1}(v)) \frac{\alpha(F^{-1}(u), \infty)}{f(F^{-1}(u))}
- \frac{\partial H}{\partial y}(F^{-1}(u), G^{-1}(v)) \frac{\alpha(\infty, G^{-1}(u))}{g(G^{-1}(u))}.
\]

PROOF. By Theorem 2 of Wu (1994), we know that

\[
P\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{a(n)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{(X_k, Y_k)}((-\infty, x] \times (-\infty, y]) - H(x, y)\right) \in \cdot\right)
\]

satisfies the LDP on \(D(\mathbb{R}^2)\) with speed \(a^2(n)\) and rate function defined as

\[
I_H(\alpha) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int \gamma^2(x, y)H(dx, dy); \alpha(s, t) = \int \gamma(x, y)I_{[x \leq s, y \leq t]}H(dx, dy) \right. 
\]

for each \((s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2\), and \(\int \gamma dH = 0\),

\[
= \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int (\alpha_H')^2(x, y)H(dx, dy), \quad \text{if } \alpha \ll H \text{ and } \lim_{|s|, |t| \to \infty} |\alpha(s, t)| = 0, \right.
\]

\[
\left. \text{otherwise.} \right\}
\]

Then, by Lemma 3.9.28 of van der Vaart and Wellner (1996), we conclude that the map \(\Phi: H \mapsto H(F^{-1}, G^{-1})\) as a map \(BV_+^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset D(\mathbb{R}^2) \mapsto l_\infty([p, q]^2)\) is Hadamard differentiable at \(H\) tangentially to \(C(\mathbb{R}^2)\), and the derivative is \(\Phi_H^\prime\). Therefore, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that, \(\{(\sqrt{n}/a(n))(C_n - C), n \geq 1\}\) satisfies the LDP in \(l_\infty([p, q]^2)\) with speed \(a^2(n)\) and rate function \(I^C\) as defined in the theorem. \(\square\)

4.5. Moderate deviations for \(M\)-estimators. \(M\)-estimators were first introduced by Huber (1964). Let \(X\) be a random variable taking its values in a measurable space \((S, \mathcal{S})\) with distribution \(F\), let \(X_1, \ldots, X_n\) be a random sample of \(X\),
and let $F_n$ denote the empirical distribution function of $X$. Let $\Theta$ be a Borel subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. A $M$-estimator $\theta_n(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ over the function $g$ is a solution of

$$
\int g(x, \theta_n) dF_n(x) = \inf_{\theta \in \Theta} \int g(x, \theta) dF_n(x).
$$

If $g(x, \theta)$ is differentiable with respect to $\theta$, then the $M$-estimator $\theta_n(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ may be defined as a solution of the equation

$$
\int \nabla_\theta g(x, \theta_n) dF_n(x) = 0,
$$

where $\nabla_\theta g(x, \theta) = \left( \frac{\partial g(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial g(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta_d} \right)$. The detailed description on $M$-estimators can be found in Serfling (1980).

Jurečková, Kallenberg and Veraverbeke (1988), Arcones (2002) and Inglot and Kallenberg (2003) studied moderate deviations for $M$-estimators. In this subsection, we study the problem by the delta method. Let $\psi(x, \theta) = (\psi^1(x, \theta), \ldots, \psi^d(x, \theta)) : S \times \Theta \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$. We also need the following conditions.

(C1) $\psi(x, \theta)$ is continuous in $\theta$ for each $x \in S$, and $\psi(x, \theta)$ is measurable in $x$ for each $\theta \in \Theta$.

Define

$$
\Psi(\theta) = (\Psi^1(\theta), \ldots, \Psi^d(\theta)) = E(\psi(X, \theta)) = \int \psi(x, \theta) dF(x), \quad \theta \in \Theta,
$$

and

$$
\Psi_n(\theta) = (\Psi^1_n(\theta), \ldots, \Psi^d_n(\theta)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi(X_i, \theta) = \int \psi(x, \theta) dF_n(x), \quad \theta \in \Theta.
$$

(C2) $\Psi$ has a unique zero at $\theta_0$; there exists some $\eta > 0$ such that $\overline{B}(\theta_0, \eta) := \{ \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d; |\theta - \theta_0| \leq \eta \} \subset \Theta$ and $\Psi$ is homeomorphism on $\overline{B}(\theta_0, \eta)$; $\Psi$ is differentiable at $\theta_0$ with nonsingular derivative $A : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$; and $E(|\psi(X, \theta)|^2) < \infty$.

Let $C(\overline{B}(\theta_0, \eta))$ denote the space of continuous $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued functions on $\overline{B}(\theta_0, \eta)$ and define $\|f\| = \sup_{\theta \in \overline{B}(\theta_0, \eta)} |f(\theta)|$ for $f \in C(\overline{B}(\theta_0, \eta))$. Let $\Psi_0(\theta)$ and $\Psi_{0n}$ be the restrictions of $\Psi$ and $\Psi_n$ on $\overline{B}(\theta_0, \eta)$, respectively.

(C3) $\{a(n), n \geq 1\}$ satisfies

$$
a(n) \nearrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad a(n) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \searrow 0
$$

and $\{\psi(X_i, \theta), i \geq 1\}$ satisfies

$$
\sqrt{\frac{n}{a(n)}} \sup_{\theta \in \overline{B}(\theta_0, \eta)} |\Psi_n(\theta) - \Psi(\theta)| \overset{P}{\longrightarrow} 0
$$

and

$$
\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^2(n)} \log \left( n P \left( \sup_{\theta \in \overline{B}(\theta_0, \eta)} |\psi(X, \theta)| \geq \sqrt{n} a(n) \right) \right) = -\infty.
$$
**Remark 4.1.** Let \( Y \) be a random variable taking its values in a Banach space and \( E(Y) = 0 \). If there exists a sequence of increasing nonnegative functions \( \{ H_k, k \geq 1 \} \) on \((0, +\infty)\) satisfying

\[
(4.18) \quad \lim_{u \to \infty} u^{-2} H_k(u) = +\infty, \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^2(n)} \log \frac{H_k(\sqrt{n}a(n))}{n} = +\infty,
\]

and

\[
(4.19) \quad E(H_k(\|Y\|)) < \infty \quad \text{for any} \quad k \geq 1,
\]

then

\[
(4.20) \quad \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^2(n)} \log(n P(\|Y\| \geq \sqrt{n}a(n))) = -\infty.
\]

In particular [cf. Chen (1991), Ledoux (1992)], if for each \( k \geq 1 \),

\[
E(\|Y\|^2(\log\|Y\|)^k) < +\infty,
\]

then (4.20) holds for \( a(n) = \sqrt{\log \log n} \); if for each \( k \geq 1 \),

\[
E(\|Y\|^k) < +\infty,
\]

then (4.20) holds for \( a(n) = \sqrt{\log n} \); if for some \( 1 \leq p < 2 \), there exists some \( \delta > 0 \) such that

\[
(4.21) \quad E(\exp\{\delta\|Y\|^{2-p}\}) < +\infty,
\]

then (4.20) holds for \( a(n) = o(n^{(2-p)/2p}) \); if for some \( 1 < p < 2 \), and

\[
(4.22) \quad E(\exp|\delta\|Y\|^{2-p}|) < +\infty \quad \text{for all} \quad \delta > 0,
\]

then (4.20) holds for \( a(n) = O(n^{(2-p)/2p}) \).

In fact, by Chebychev’s inequality,

\[
P(\|Y\| > \sqrt{n}a(n)) \leq \frac{E(H_k(\|Y\|))}{H_k(\sqrt{n}a(n))}.
\]

Hence, (4.18) and (4.19) yield (4.20).

**Lemma 4.1** [See Lemma 4.3 in Heesterman and Gill (1992)]. Assume that (C1) and (C2) hold. Then there exists a neighborhood \( V \) of \( \Psi_0 \) in \( C(B(\theta_0, \eta)) \) and a functional \( \Phi : C(B(\theta_0, \eta)) \to B(\theta_0, \eta) \) such that \( f(\Phi(f)) = 0 \) for any \( f \in V \), and \( \Phi \) is Hadamard differentiable at \( \Psi_0 \) with derivative \( \Phi_{\Psi_0}'(f) = -A^{-1}f(\theta_0) \).

**Theorem 4.7.** Suppose that (C1), (C2) and (C3) hold. Define

\[
(4.23) \quad \theta_n = \Phi(\Psi_0n).
\]

Then \( \{\sqrt{n}(\theta_n - \theta_0), n \geq 1\} \) satisfies the LDP with speed \( a^2(n) \) and rate function

\[
(4.24) \quad I^M(z) = \frac{1}{2}(Az, \Gamma^{-1}Az),
\]
where $\Gamma$ is the covariance of $\psi(X, \theta_0) - \Phi(\theta_0)$, and

$$(4.25) \quad \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^2(n)} \log P(\Psi_n(\theta_n) \neq 0) = -\infty. \tag{4.25}$$

**Proof.** Set $T = \{1, \ldots, d\} \times \overline{B}(\theta_0, \eta)$. Since $T \times T \ni ((i,s), (j,t)) \mapsto d((i,s), (j,t)) := \left( \text{Var} \left( \psi_i(X_1, t) - \psi_j(X_1, s) \right) \right)^{1/2}$ is continuous on $T \times T$ and $d((i,t), (i,t)) = 0$, then $(T, d)$ is totally bounded. Hence, under (C3), Theorem 2.8 in Arcones (2003a) yields that

$$\{\sqrt{n} a(n) \left( \frac{1}{\Psi_0 n(\theta_n)} - \frac{1}{\Psi_1(\theta_0)} \right), (i, \theta) \in T, n \geq 1 \}$$

satisfies the LDP in $l_\infty(T)$ with speed $a^2(n)$ and rate function

$\hat{I}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\lambda} \{ E(\alpha^2(X)); f(\theta) = E(\alpha(X)(\psi(X, \theta_0) - \Phi(\theta_0))) \}$

satisfying

$$\limsup_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} \inf_{\|f\| \geq \lambda} \hat{I}(f) = -\infty. \tag{4.25}$$

Then, applying the classical contraction principle [see Dembo and Zeitouni (1998), Theorem 4.2.1] to $l_\infty(T) \ni f \mapsto (f(1, \theta), \ldots, f(d, \theta)) \in l_\infty(\overline{B}(\theta_0, \eta), \mathbb{R}^d)$, we obtain that

$$\{\left( \frac{1}{a(n)} \right) \left( \Psi_0 n(\theta) - \Psi(\theta) \right), \theta \in \overline{B}(\theta_0, \eta), n \geq 1 \}$$

satisfies the LDP in $C(\overline{B}(\theta_0, \eta))$ with speed $a^2(n)$ and rate function

$$I(f) = \frac{1}{2} \inf \{ E(\alpha^2(X)); f(\theta) = E(\alpha(X)(\psi(X, \theta_0) - \Phi(\theta_0))) \}.$$ 

Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^2(n)} \log P(\Psi_0 n(\theta_n) \neq V) = -\infty,$$

and so (4.25) holds. Then, by Theorem 3.1, we conclude that

$$I^M(z) = \frac{1}{2} \inf \{ E(\alpha^2(X)), E(\alpha(X)(\psi(X, \theta_0) - \Phi(\theta_0))) \} = -Az$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \langle Az, \Gamma^{-1} Az \rangle. \tag{4.25}$$

**Remark 4.2.** Comparing with Theorem 2.8 in Arcones (2002), in Theorem 4.7, we remove the condition

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^2(n)} \log P(|\theta_n - \theta_0| > \varepsilon) = -\infty,$$

which is required by Arcones (2002).
4.6. Moderate deviations for L-statistics. Let $X_{1n} \leq X_{2n} \leq \cdots \leq X_{nn}$ be the order statistics of a random sample $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ from a random variable $X$ with distribution function $F(x)$ and let $J$ be a fixed score function on $(0, 1)$. Also let $F_n$ be the empirical distribution function of the sample. We consider the $L$-statistics of the form

$$L_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_{in} \int_{(i-1)/n}^{i/n} J(u) \, du = \int_0^1 F_n^{-1}(s) J(s) \, ds.$$ 

Groeneboom, Oosterhoff and Ruymgaart (1979) had obtained some large deviations for $L$-statistics. The Cramér type moderate deviations for $L$-statistics had been studied in Vandemaele and Veraverbeke (1982), Bentkus and Zitikis (1990) and Aleskeviciene (1991). In this subsection, we study the moderate deviation principle for $L$-statistics by the delta method.

Take $X = l_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $Y = \mathbb{R}$. Let $D_\Phi$ be the set of all distribution functions on $\mathbb{R}$, and set $D_0 = \{a(G - F) ; G \in D_\Phi, a \in \mathbb{R} \}$. Define $\Phi : D_\Phi \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$\Phi(G) = \int_0^1 G^{-1}(s) J(s) \, ds = \int_{-\infty}^\infty x J(G(x)) \, dG(x).$$

Assume that $E(X^2) < \infty$. Set $m(J, F) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty x J(F(x)) \, dF(x)$, and

$$\sigma^2(J, F) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} J(F(x))(F(y))(F(x \land y) - F(x)F(y)) \, dx \, dy,$$

where $x \land y = \min\{x, y\}$. We also assume $\sigma^2(J, F) > 0$.

**Theorem 4.8.** Suppose that the score function $J$ is trimmed near 0 and 1, that is, $J(u) = 0, u \in [0, t_1) \cup (t_2, 1]$ where $0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$. If $J$ is bounded and continuous a.e. Lebesgue measure and a.e. $F^{-1}$, then $\{ \sqrt{\frac{n}{a(n)}} (L_n - m(J, F)), n \geq 1 \}$ satisfies the LDP in $\mathbb{R}$ with speed $a^2(n)$ and rate function $I^L(x) = \frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2(J, F)}$.

**Proof.** By Theorem 1 in Boos (1979), we have

$$\lim_{\|G-F\| \to 0} \frac{\|\Phi(G) - \Phi(F) - \int (F(x) - G(x)) J(F(x)) \, dx \|}{\|G-F\|} = 0.$$ 

Therefore, for any $t_n \to 0^+$ and $H_n \to \alpha \in D_0$ with $F + t_n H_n \in D_\Phi$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|\Phi(F + t_n H_n) - \Phi(F)\|}{t_n} + \int H_n(x) J(F(x)) \, dx = 0,$$

and so, $\Phi : D_\Phi \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is Hadamard-differentiable at $F$ tangentially to $D_0$ with respect to the uniform convergence, and $\Phi'_F(\alpha) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha(x) J(F(x)) \, dx, \alpha \in D_0$.

By Theorem 3.1, we conclude that $\{ \sqrt{\frac{n}{a(n)}} (L_n - m(J, F)), n \geq 1 \}$ satisfies the LDP in $\mathbb{R}$ with speed $a^2(n)$ and rate function $I^L$ given by

$$I^L(y) = \inf \left\{ I_F(\alpha) ; -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha(x) J(F(x)) \, dx = y \right\}.$$
which equals the rate function of \( \{ \frac{-\sqrt{n}}{a(n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (F_n(x) - F(x)) J(F(x)) \, dx, n \geq 1 \} \), that is, \( I^J(y) = \frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2(J,F)} \). □

Now, let us remove the trimming restrictions on \( J \). Set

\[
\tilde{D}_\Phi = \left\{ \tilde{G}(x) = G(x) I_{(-\infty,0)}(x) + (G(x) - 1) I_{[0,\infty)}(x); \quad G \in \mathcal{D}_\Phi, \int |x| \, dG(x) < \infty \right\}
\]

and \( \tilde{D}_0 = \{ a(\tilde{G} - \tilde{F}) \equiv a(G - F); a \in \mathbb{R}, \tilde{G} \in \tilde{D}_\Phi \} \). Then \( \tilde{D}_\Phi, \tilde{D}_0 \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}) \). Define \( \Phi : \tilde{D}_\Phi \mapsto \mathbb{R} \) by \( \Phi(\tilde{G}) = \Phi(G) \) for all \( \tilde{G} \in \tilde{D}_\Phi \).

**Lemma 4.2.** If \( J \) is Lipschitz continuous on \([0, 1]\), then \( \Phi : \tilde{D}_\Phi \mapsto \mathbb{R} \) is Hadamard-differentiable at \( \tilde{F} \) tangentially to \( \tilde{D}_0 \) with respect to \( L^1 \)-convergence, and

\[
\Phi'(\tilde{F})(\alpha) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha(x) J(F(x)) \, dx, \quad \alpha \in \tilde{D}_0.
\]

**Proof.** By integration by parts, we can write [cf. Boos (1979), Shao (1989)]

\[
\Phi(\tilde{G}) - \Phi(\tilde{F}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (G(x) - F(x)) J(F(x)) \, dx = R(G, F)
\]

for any \( \tilde{G} \in \tilde{D}_\Phi \), where \( R(G, F) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{G,F}(x)(G(x) - F(x)) \, dx \), and

\[
W_{G,F}(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{F(x)}^{G(x)} \frac{J(t) - J(F(x))}{G(x) - F(x)} \, dt, & \text{if } G(x) \neq F(x), \\ 0, & \text{if } G(x) = F(x). \end{cases}
\]

By the Lipschitz continuity of \( J \), there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that

\[
|R(G, F)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (G(x) - F(x))^2 \, dx = C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\tilde{G}(x) - \tilde{F}(x))^2 \, dx.
\]

For any \( t_n \to 0^+ \) and \( H_n \to \alpha \in \tilde{D}_0 \) in \( (L^1(\mathbb{R}), \| \cdot \|_{L^1}) \) with \( \tilde{F} + t_n H_n \in \tilde{D}_\Phi \), then \( |H_n| \leq 2/t_n \) and

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} |H_n(x) - \alpha(x)|^2 \, dx \leq (\|\alpha\| + 2/t_n) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |H_n(x) - \alpha(x)| \, dx,
\]

where \( \|\alpha\| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\alpha(x)| \). Therefore,

\[
\frac{1}{t_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\tilde{F}(x) + t_n H_n(x) - \tilde{F}(x))^2 \, dx
\]

\[
\leq 2t_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} |H_n(x) - \alpha(x)|^2 \, dx + 2t_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\alpha(x)|^2 \, dx \to 0,
\]
and so
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{\Phi(\tilde{F} + t_n H_n)}{t_n} - \int H_n(x) J(F(x)) \, dx \right| = 0,
\]
which yields that \( \tilde{\Phi} \) is Hadamard-differentiable at \( \tilde{F} \) tangentially to \( \widetilde{D}_0 \) with respect to \( L^1 \)-convergence, and \( \tilde{\Phi}'_T(\tilde{F}) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha(x) J(F(x)) \, dx \).

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \( X \) be a random variable with values in a separable Banach space \( \mathcal{B} \) and \( E(\|X\|^2) < \infty \). Then \( (\mathcal{B}^*_1, d) \) is totally bounded, where \( \mathcal{B}^*_1 \) is the unit ball of the dual space \( \mathcal{B}^* \) of \( \mathcal{B} \), and
\[
d(g, h) = \left( E\left( \left( g(X - E(X)) - h(X - E(X)) \right)^2 \right) \right)^{1/2}, \quad g, h \in \mathcal{B}^*_1.
\]

**Proof.** Noting \[ |g(X - E(X)) - h(X - E(X))| \leq 2\|X - E(X)\| \] for all \( g, h \in \mathcal{B}^*_1 \) and \( E(\|X - E(X)\|^2) < \infty \), by the dominated convergence theorem, we know that the function \( (g, h) \mapsto d(g, h) \) is continuous from \( \mathcal{B}^*_1 \times \mathcal{B}^*_1 \) to \( \mathbb{R} \) with respect to \( w^* \)-topology. Let \( d^* \) denote a compatible metric on \( (\mathcal{B}^*_1, w^*) \). Since \( \mathcal{B}^*_1 \) is \( w^* \)-compact and \( d(g, g) = 0 \), then, for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists some \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( d(g, h) < \epsilon \), if \( d^*(g, h) < \delta \). Choose finite points \( h_1, \ldots, h_m \in \mathcal{B}^*_1 \) such that \( B^*_1 \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m \{ g ; d^*(g, h_i) < \delta \} \), then \( B^*_1 \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m \{ g ; d(g, h_i) < \epsilon \} \). Therefore, \( (\mathcal{B}^*_1, d) \) is totally bounded. \( \square \)

Define
\[
\Lambda_{2,1}(X) = \int_0^\infty \sqrt{P(|X| > t)} \, dt.
\]
Then [cf. del Barrio, Giné and Matrán (1999), page 1014], \( \Lambda_{2,1}(X) < \infty \) if and only if \( \int_0^\infty \sqrt{F(x)(1 - F(x))} \, dx < \infty \).

**Lemma 4.4.** Assume that \( \Lambda_{2,1}(X) < \infty \). If (4.15) holds and
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^2(n)} \log(n P(\|X\| \geq \sqrt{n}a(n))) = -\infty,
\]
then \( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a(n)}(F_n - F) = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a(n)}(\tilde{F}_n - \tilde{F}). n \geq 1 \) satisfies the LDP in \( (L^1(\mathbb{R}), \| \cdot \|_{L^1}) \) with speed \( a^2(n) \) and rate function \( I_F \).

**Proof.** Set \( \xi_i = I_{\{X_i \leq x\}} - F(x), x \in \mathbb{R} \), then
\[
\|\xi_i\|_{L^1} = 2 \left( X_i F(X_i) - \int_{(-\infty, X_i]} x \, dF(x) \right).
\]
Therefore, the condition of the lemma implies
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^2(n)} \log(n P(\|\xi_i\|_{L^1} \geq \sqrt{n}a(n))) = -\infty,
\]
and by Theorem 2.1(b) of del Barrio, Giné and Matrán (1999), we also have
\( \frac{1}{a(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \xrightarrow{p} 0 \). By Lemma 4.3, \((B_1^*, d)\) is totally bounded, where
\[
B_1^* := \left\{ g \in L^\infty ; \| g \|_\infty := \operatorname{esssup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |g(x)| \leq 1 \right\}
\]
and
\[
d(g, h) = \left( E \left( \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (g(x) - h(x))\xi_1(x) \, dx \right)^2 \right) \right)^{1/2}.
\]
Therefore, by Theorem 2.8 in Arcones (2003a), the conclusion of the lemma holds. □

By Lemmas 4.4 and 4.2 and Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 4.9.** Assume that \( \Lambda_2(X) < \infty \), (4.15) and (4.26) hold. If \( J \) is Lipschitz continuous on \([0, 1]\), then \( \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a(n)} \left( L_n - m(J, F) \right), n \geq 1 \right) \) satisfies the LDP in \( \mathbb{R} \) with speed \( a^2(n) \) and rate function \( I^L(x) = \frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2(J,F)} \).

**Remark 4.3.** From Remark 4.1, the moment condition in Theorem 4.9 is weaker than the conditions given in Vandemaele and Veraverbeke (1982), Bentkus and Zitikis (1990) and Aleskevičiene (1991). In particular, if \( E(|X|^{2+\delta}) < \infty \) and \( a(n) = \sqrt{\log \log n} \), then the condition of Lemma 4.4 is valid, and so, for any \( r > 0 \),
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\log \log n} \log P \left( \left| \frac{n}{\log \log n} \left( L_n - m(J, F) \right) \right| \geq r \right) = -\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2(J,F)}.
\]

5. Application: Statistical hypothesis testing. In this section, we applied the moderate deviations to hypothesis testing problems. We only consider the right-censored data model. The method can be applied to other models.

Let \( F \) be the unknown distribution function in the right-censored data model considered in Section 4.2 and let \( \hat{F}_n \) be the Kaplan–Meier estimator of \( F \). Consider the following hypothesis testing:
\[
H_0 : F = F_0 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1 : F = F_1,
\]
where \( F_0 \) and \( F_1 \) are two distribution functions such that \( F_0(x_0) \neq F_1(x_0) \) for some \( x_0 \in [0, \tau] \). Similar to the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, we take the Kaplan–Meier statistic \( T_n := \sup_{x \in [0, \tau]} |\hat{F}_n(x) - F_0(x)| \) as test statistic. Suppose that the rejection region for testing the null hypothesis \( H_0 \) against \( H_1 \) is \( \{ \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a(n)} T_n \geq c \} \), where \( c \) is a positive constant. Then the probability \( \alpha_n \) of Type I error and the probability \( \beta_n \) of Type II error are
\[
\alpha_n = P \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a(n)} T_n \geq c \, | \, F = F_0 \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_n = P \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a(n)} T_n < c \, | \, F = F_1 \right).
\]
respectively. Then
\[
\beta_n \leq P\left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a(n)} \sup_{x \in [0, r]} |\hat{F}_n(x) - F_1(x)| \right.
\]
\[
\geq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{a(n)} \sup_{x \in [0, r]} |F_0(x) - F_1(x)| - c |F = F_1|.
\]

Therefore, Theorem 4.4 implies that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^2(n)} \log \alpha_n = -\frac{c^2}{2\sigma_{KM}^2}, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^2(n)} \log \beta_n = -\infty,
\]
where
\[
\sigma_{KM}^2 = \sup_{t \in [0, r]} (1 - F_0(t))^2 \int_{[0, t]} \frac{1}{1 - \Delta(u) \overline{H}_0(u)} d\Lambda_0(u),
\]
\[
\Lambda_0(t) = \int_{[0, t]} \frac{1}{1 - F_0(s -)} dF_0(s), \quad \overline{H}_0(t) = P(Z \geq t | X = F_0),
\]
and \(Z\) is as defined in Section 4.2.

The above result tells us that if the rejection region for the test is \(\{X_n \geq c\}\), then the probability of Type I error tends to 0 with decay speed
\[
\exp\{-c^2a^2(n)/(2\sigma_{KM}^2)\},
\]
and the probability of Type II error tends to 0 with decay speed \(\exp\{-ra^2(n)\}\) for all \(r > 0\).

6. Concluding remarks. This article discussed the large deviations of transformed statistics. For the problem, an extended contraction principle was developed and a general delta method in large deviation theory was proposed. The new method was used to establish the moderate deviation principles for the Wilcoxon statistic, the Kaplan–Meier estimator, the empirical quantile estimator and the empirical copula estimator, which have not been addressed in the literature. The proposed method was also used to improve the existing moderate deviation results for \(M\)-estimators and \(L\)-statistics, where our proofs are different from others but simpler by the new method. Moreover, our moderate deviation results are very useful for statistical hypothesis testing. As shown in Section 5, a moderate deviation result can be used to construct a test of a statistical hypothesis such that the probabilities of both Type I and Type II errors tend to 0 with an exponentially decay speed as \(n \to \infty\).

Note that the asymptotics for multivariate trimming and general \(Z\)-estimators have been studied by using the delta method in a weak convergence; see Nolan (1992) and van der Vaart and Wellner (1996). Similar to those presented in Section 4, the moderate deviations for these estimators can be established by using the proposed delta method in large deviations.
These applications show that the proposed method is very powerful for deriving moderate deviation principles on estimators. The method will play an important role in large sample theory of statistics like the functional delta method in weak convergence. Theoretically speaking, we can apply the proposed delta method to obtain moderate deviations for estimators where the classical delta method can be applied.

APPENDIX: PROOF OF THE EXTENDED CONTRACTION PRINCIPLE

Step 1. First of all, let us prove $\{I < \infty\} \subset D_\infty$, where $D_\infty$ denotes the set of all $x$ for which there exists a sequence $x_n$ with $x_n \in D_n$ and $x_n \to x$.

In fact, by the definition of $D_\infty$, $x \in D_\infty$ if and only if for any $k \geq 1$, there exists a positive integer $n_k$ such that $B_d(x, 1/k) \cap D_n \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \geq n_k$, where $B_d(x, 1/k) = \{y \in X; d(y, x) < 1/k\}$. Therefore, for any $x/ \in D_\infty$, there exist an open neighborhood $U$ of $x$ and a subsequence $\{D_{n_k}, k \geq 1\}$ such that $D_{n_k} \cap U = \emptyset$ for all $k \geq 1$. Then by the lower bound of the large deviations for $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$, we have

$$-\infty = \liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n_k)} \log P_{n_k}(X_{n_k} \in U) \geq -I(x),$$

which implies $\{I < \infty\} \subset D_\infty$, where $P_{n_k}$ is the inner measure corresponding to $P_{n_k}$ as defined in Section 2.

Step 2. Let us prove that if some subsequence $x_{n_k} \to x \in \{I < \infty\}$ with $x_{n_k} \in D_{n_k}$, then $f_{n_k}(x_{n_k}) \to f(x)$ and the restriction of the function $f$ to $\{I < \infty\}$ is continuous.

The proof is similar to that of the extended mapping theorem [see Theorem 1.11.1 in van der Vaart and Wellner (1996)], which is given below. Let a subsequence $x_{n_k} \to x \in \{I < \infty\}$ be given. Since $x \in D_\infty$, there exists a sequence $y_n \to x$ with $y_n \in D_n$ for each $n \geq 1$. Define $x_n = x_{n_k} I_{\{n_k,k \geq 1\}}(n) + y_n I_{\{n_k,k \geq 1\}}(n)$. Then $x_n \in D_n$ for each $n \geq 1$ and $x_n \to x$. Therefore, by condition (ii), $f_n(x_n) \to f(x)$, and so $f_{n_k}(x_{n_k}) \to f(x)$. To prove the continuity of $f$ on $\{I < \infty\}$, let $x_m \to x$ in $\{I < \infty\}$. For every $m$, there is a sequence $x_{m,n} \in D_n$ with $x_{m,n} \to x_m$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $x_m \in \{I < \infty\}$, then $f_n(x_{m,n}) \to f(x_m)$ as $n \to \infty$. For every $m$, take $n_m$ such that $n_m = \rho(f_{n_m}(x_{m,n}), f(x_m)) < 1/m$. Then $x_{m,n_m} \to x$, and by the first conclusion in Step 2, $f_{n_m}(x_{m,n_m}) \to f(x)$ as $m \to \infty$. This yields $f(x_m) \to f(x)$.

Step 3. Let us prove that $[I_f \leq L] = f([I_f \leq L])$ for any $L \geq 0$ and $I_f$ is inf-compact, that is, for any $L \in [0, +\infty)$, $[I_f \leq L]$ is compact. This can be shown by the continuity of $f|_{\{I < \infty\}}$ obtained in Step 2.

Step 4. Next, we show the upper bound of large deviations.

Let $F$ be a closed subset in $Y$. Then, using the arguments similar to the proof of the extended continuous mapping theorem [see Theorem 1.11.1 in van der Vaart
(A.1) \[
\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}_m(F) \subset f^{-1}(F) \cup (\{I < \infty\})^c.
\]

Now for every fixed \(k\), by the large deviation principle of \(\{X_n, n \geq 1\}\), for each \(L > 0\), there exists a compact subset \(K_L\) such that for any \(\delta > 0\),
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \log P_n^a(f_n(X_n) \in F) \leq -L,
\]
and so
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \log P_n^a(f_n(X_n) \in F) \leq \max \left\{ -\inf_{x \in K_L \cap \bigcup_{m=k}^{\infty} f^{-1}_m(F)} I(x), -L \right\},
\]
where \(K_L^\delta = \{y; d(y, x) < \delta\text{ for some }x \in K_L\}\) and \(P_n^a\) is the outer measure corresponding to \(P_n\) as defined in Section 2. Since \(K_L\) is compact and \(I\) is lower semi-continuous, then, when \(\delta \downarrow 0\),
\[
\inf_{x \in K_L \cap \bigcup_{m=k}^{\infty} f^{-1}_m(F)} I(x) \uparrow \inf_{x \in K_L \cap \bigcup_{m=k}^{\infty} f^{-1}_m(F)} I(x).
\]
Hence it follows that
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \log P_n^a(f_n(X_n) \in F) \leq \max \left\{ -\inf_{x \in K_L \cap \bigcup_{m=k}^{\infty} f^{-1}_m(F)} I(x), -L \right\}.
\]
Choose a sequence \(x_k \in K_L \cap \bigcup_{m=k}^{\infty} f^{-1}_m(F), k \geq 1\) such that \(I(x_k) = \inf_{x \in K_L \cap \bigcup_{m=k}^{\infty} f^{-1}_m(F)} I(x)\), and then choose a subsequence \(\{x_{km}, m \geq 1\}\) and \(x_0 \in K_L\) such that \(x_{km} \to x_0\). Then we have
\[
x_0 \in K_L \cap \left( \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=k}^{\infty} f^{-1}_m(F) \right) \subset K_L \cap (f^{-1}(F) \cup (\{I < \infty\})^c).
\]
Letting \(k \to \infty\), we have
\[
\liminf_{k \to \infty} I(x_k) \geq I(x_0) \geq \inf_{x \in K_L \cap (f^{-1}(F) \cup (\{I < \infty\})^c)} I(x) \geq \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(F)} I(x).
\]
Now letting \(L \to \infty\), we conclude that
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \log P_n^a(f_n(X_n) \in F) \leq -\inf_{x \in f^{-1}(F)} I(x) = -\inf_{x \in F} I_f(x).
\]
Step 5. Finally, we show the lower bound of large deviations: for any \( y_0 \in Y \) with \( I_f(y_0) < \infty \),

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \log P_n^*(f_n(X_n) \in B(y_0, \delta)) \geq -I_f(y_0).
\]

For any \( a > I_f(y_0) \), there is some \( x_0 \in \mathcal{X} \) with \( f(x_0) = y_0 \) and \( I(x_0) < a \). For any \( \delta > 0 \), set \( B(\delta) = B_p(y_0, \delta) = \{ y \in Y; \rho(y_0, y) < \delta \} \) and \( F(\delta) = B(\delta)^c \). Then, by (A.1), we have

\[
(A.2) \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} f^{-1}_m(F(\delta))^c \supset f^{-1}_m(B(\delta)) \cap (\{ I < \infty \}) \ni x_0.
\]

Now for every fixed \( k \), by the large deviation principle of \( \{ X_n \} \), we have

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \log P_n^*(f_n(X_n) \in B(\delta)) \geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \log P_n^* \left( X_n \in \bigcup_{m=k}^{\infty} f^{-1}_m(F(\delta))^c \right) \geq - \inf_{x \in \bigcup_{m=k}^{\infty} f^{-1}_m(F(\delta))^c} I(x).
\]

Since \( x_0 \in f^{-1}(B(\delta)) \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} f^{-1}_m(F(\delta))^c \), there is some \( k \geq 1 \) such that \( x_0 \in \bigcup_{m=k}^{\infty} f^{-1}_m(F(\delta))^c \). Therefore,

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \log P_n^*(f_n(X_n) \in B(\delta)) \geq -I(x_0) > -a.
\]

Letting \( a \downarrow I_f(y_0) \), we obtain the lower bound of large deviations.

**Remark A.1.** When \( D_n = \mathcal{X} \) for all \( n \geq 1 \), the continuity of \( f \) can be proved directly by the following property [see Theorem 2.1 in Arcones (2003a)]: Given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), for any \( x_0 \in \{ I < \infty \} \), there are \( \delta > 0 \) and a positive integer \( n_0 \) such that for all \( n \geq n_0 \), \( f_n(B(x_0, \delta)) \subset f(B(x_0), \varepsilon) \). However, when \( D_n \neq \mathcal{X} \), \( f_n(B(x_0, \delta)) \) is not well defined since \( B(x_0, \delta) \not\subset D_n \). Thus, the above property cannot be used for proving the continuity of \( f \) in this case.
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