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Abstract—A single-mode fiber-optic ring resonator is used as a multipath reference for multiplexing white light interferometric sensors. The theory of applying the resonator for multiplexing Michelson-type interferometer sensor is presented. The capacity of the technique in terms of maximum sensor number is evaluated for both a linear array and a $M \times N$ sensor matrix. Experimental results for a three-sensor linear array and $2 \times 1$ sensor matrix are also presented.
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I. INTRODUCTION

OPTICAL FIBER ring resonators have been used in a number of applications, including fiber ring lasers [1], [2], sensors [3], fiber laser gyroscopes [4], optical spectrum analyzers [5], [6], and optical delay lines [7]. In this paper, we report the use of a fiber ring resonator for a different application, i.e., to enhance the multiplexing capability of white light interferometric sensors.

White light interferometry, as a technique employing low-coherence broad-band light sources, has been a very active area of research within the past few decades [8]–[10]. The technique uses a scanning interferometer, e.g., a Michelson interferometer (MI), to match the optical path of signal light to that of reference light through the observation of white light interference fringes. The technique is capable of making absolute measurements with high resolution. The parameters that can be measured include position, displacement, strain, and temperature. White light interferometers can be configured to perform quasidistributed measurement by multiplexing a number of sensors on to a single fiber. However, switching between reference fibers of different lengths is required to match the optical paths of the reference signals to individual sensing signals [11]. The optical paths of the reference fibers are chosen to be approximately equal to that of the respective sensing signals to ensure they can be matched to each other by varying the optical path difference of the scanning interferometer over a reasonable distance. Scanning over an excessive distance is often difficult and accompanied with high cost and large power loss. A fiber ring resonator naturally generates light waves of multiple path lengths, and when it is placed in one arm of a scanning MI, the MI will act as an interferometer array. Each interferometer within the array is approximately matched to a particular sensor without needing to switch between different reference fibers.

This paper is organized as follows. The theory of the ring resonator as a multipath optical wave generator is presented in Section II. The multiplexing of a linear MI sensor array based on the ring resonator is discussed in Section III. Section IV discusses the extension of the multiplexing principle to sensor matrix. Section V discusses the multiplexing capacity in terms of maximum sensor number as a function of system parameters. Experimental results for a three-sensor linear array and $2 \times 1$ sensor matrix are reported in Section VI. Finally, a brief summary concludes the paper.

II. RING RESONATOR AS A MULTIPATH LIGHTWAVE GENERATOR

Fiber-optic ring resonators, as shown in Fig. 1, are characterized by three basic parameters, the cavity length $L_0$, the splitting ratio of the loop coupler $\eta : (1-\eta)$, and the loss factor $\alpha$ of the fiber loop, including the insertion loss of the loop coupler.

Assume that the input field of the ring resonator is of the form

$$E_{in}(k,t) = E_0(k) \exp(-jkt)$$

where $E_0$ is the amplitude, $k$ is the wavenumber of the input wave, and $c$ is speed of light in free space. The output field from the cavity may then be expressed as

$$E_{out}(k,t) = E_0(k) \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\nu\alpha)(1-\eta)^\nu \exp[-j(k(c\nu + m\nu L_0))]$$

Fig. 1. Fiber-optic ring resonator.
where \( n \) is the refractive index of the fiber core and \( v \) is the number of circulations through the fiber ring. The output is a summation of replica of the input wave with optical path delay \( vnL_0(v = 0,1,2,\ldots) \), representing a series of light waves.

III. MULTIPLEXING OF MI SENSOR ARRAY

Fig. 2 shows a multiplexed MI sensor array based on the ring resonator. A number of fiber segments are connected in series to form a linear sensor array, which is further connected to a lead in/out fiber of length \( L_1 \). The ring resonator is inserted into the reference arm of the MI with a fiber length of \( L_0 \) (not including the resonator). The optical path length of the reference arm can be varied through the use of a gradient index (GRIN) lens–scanning mirror. The scanning mirror is used to adjust the optical path of the reference arm to match and trace the change of the fiber length in each sensing segment. When the optical path difference (OPD) between a sensing signal and a reference signal falls within the coherence length of the source, a white light fringe pattern is produced. The central fringe, which is located in the center of the fringe pattern and has the highest amplitude, corresponds to the exact path match of the two optical signals.

For a typical light-emitting diode (LED), its intensity distribution may be regarded to be of a Gaussian function [10]

\[
I(k) = E(k) \cdot E^*(k) = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2\pi} \xi} \exp \left[ \frac{-L^2(k-k_0)^2}{2\xi^2} \right] = \alpha_0 \frac{L_c}{\sqrt{2\pi} \xi} \exp \left[ \frac{-L^2(k-k_0)^2}{2\xi^2} \right]
\]

where \( k \) is the wavenumber, \( L_c \) is the coherent length of the light signal, and \( \xi \) is a constant related to the spectral width of the light source.

For the multiplexed system as shown in Fig. 2, light from the source is split equally into two branches by a 3-dB coupler. The light signal from the sensing branch consists of \( N + 1 \) reflected waves, corresponding to reflections at the \( N + 1 \) joints of the \( N \)-segment sensing array, as shown in Fig. 3(a). The light field from the sensing branch as a function of wavenumber may be written as in (4), shown at the bottom of the page, where \( \beta_k = 1 - \gamma_i \). \( \gamma_i \) represents the excess loss associated with sensor \( i \) due to connection loss between the sensing segments. \( T_i \) and \( R_m \) are the transmission and reflection coefficient of the \( i \)th connector and \( m \)th partial reflector, respectively. \( T_i \) is generally smaller than \( 1 - R_i \) because of the loss factor \( \gamma_i \). \( \gamma_i' \) and \( T'_i \) represent the loss and the transmission coefficient from the opposite direction, respectively.

Light signals from the reference branch are generated from the ring resonator and are illustrated in Fig. 3(b). The reference signal as a function of wavenumber can be expressed as in (5), shown at the bottom of the page, where \( R_0 \) is the reflectivity of the moving mirror and \( f(X_v) \) is the loss associated with the scanning mirror–GRIN lens systems and is a function of \( X_v \). The output light intensity with wavenumber \( k \) may be calculated as

\[
I_A(k,X_v) = \left[ E_{Ar}(k,t) + E_{Ar'}(k,t) \right] \cdot \left[ E_{At}(k,t) + E_{Ar}(k,t) \right]^* = \left( \frac{E(k) E_0^*}{4} \right)^* \times \sum_{m=0}^{N} \sum_{v=0}^{N} \left[ B_m + C_v + 2 \sqrt{B_mC_v} \cos(k \pi X_v) \right] \exp \left[ -j k \left( ct - 2nL_A - 2n \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \right) \right]
\]

where

\[
B_m = R_m \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m} T_i \beta_i T'_i \right]^2, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N
\]
Fig. 3. (a) Reflected signals from the sensing arm. (b) Reflected signals from the reference arm containing the ring resonator.

\[ C_v = (v+1)R_0f^2(X_v)\exp[-(v+2)\alpha f^2(1-\eta)^v], \]
\[ v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N \]  
(8)

and

\[ x = 2X_v - 2n \left[ (I_A - I_0) + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{v} l_i - \frac{l_0}{2} \right) \right], \]
\[ v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N. \]  
(9)

The total output light intensity can be obtained by integrating (6) from \( k = -\infty \) to \( k = +\infty \) and can be expressed as in (10), shown at the bottom of the page. \( I_A(X_v) \) would take nonzero value when and only when the following conditions are satisfied simultaneously:

\[ m = v \]  
(11)

\[ |x| \leq L_c. \]  
(12)

\( I_A(X_v) \) can then be rewritten as

\[ I_A(X_v) = \frac{1}{4} \times \sum_{m=0}^{N} \sum_{v=0}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} I(k) \left[ B_m + C_v + 2\sqrt{B_mC_v}\cos(kx) \right] dk. \]  
(13)

Substituting (3), (7), and (8) into (13) and letting \( k' = k - k_0 \), we may rewrite (13) as in (14), shown at the bottom of the next page. There are \( N + 1 \) terms in the right-hand side (RHS) of (14), corresponding to \( N + 1 \) sets of interferometric signals. From (14), it can be seen that \( N + 1 \) white light interference patterns can be generated if \( X_v \) is varied through the use of the scanning mirror, corresponding to \( x \) in (14) [defined by (9)], which equals zero for \( v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N \).

This multiplexed sensor array can be used to perform quasidistributed strain or temperature measurements [12]. Since the centers of the \( N + 1 \) white light fringe patterns, where the amplitudes are maximized, are corresponding to \( x = 0 \), the value of \( X_v \) at the central maximum may be obtained by setting \( x = 0 \) in (9) and can be expressed as

\[ X_v = n \left[ (I_A - I_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{v} (l_i - \frac{l_0}{2}) \right], \quad v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N. \]  
(15)

If \( |n(l_i - l_j)|, (i \neq j) \), and \( |n(l_i - l_0)/2| \) are further chosen to be larger than the coherence length of the light source, the value of \( X_v \) for a different \( v \) would change, corresponding to the interferograms produced by the various sensing and referencing wave pairs not overlapping. The difference \( \Lambda_v = X_v - X_{v-1} \) can be calculated from (15) and expressed as

\[ \Lambda_v = n \left( l_v - \frac{l_0}{2} \right). \]  
(16)
Fig. 4. Configuration of multiplexed MI matrix.

Assume that $I_v$ is changed to $I_v + \Delta I_v$ due to a strain applied to sensor $v$, the measured change in $\Lambda_v$ (i.e., $\Delta \Lambda_v$) may be related to the applied strain by

$$\Delta \Lambda_v = n \Delta I_v = n I_v \varepsilon_v \tag{17}$$

where $\varepsilon_v = \Delta I_v / I_v$ is the strain applied to sensor $v$. If the variations in $X_v$ ($v = 0, 1, \ldots, N$) are measured and recorded, the strains applied to all the sensors can be recovered by using (17).

IV. MULTIPLEXING OF MI SENSOR MATRIX

The ring-resonator technique can be further extended to the multiplex fiber-optic MI matrix through the use of a $1 \times M$ star coupler. The schematic of such a system is shown in Fig. 4. The system is basically the same as in Fig. 2 but with the linear sensor array replaced by an $M \times N$ sensor matrix. Each branch of the $1 \times M$ star coupler is a $1 \times N$ sensor array, formed by connecting $N$ sensing fiber segments in serial. The gauge length of sensor $S_{uv}$ is $l_{uv}(u = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, M; v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N)$. The sensor interrogation is still accomplished by the use of the scanning mirror.

As shown in Fig. 4, the optical path of the reflected signal from the joint between sensors $S_{uv-1}$ and $S_{uv}$ is

$$2nL_{nu} + 2n \sum_{i=1}^{v} I_{ui}, \quad \begin{cases} u = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, M \\ v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

The light field reflected from the sensor array at the photodiode can be expressed as in (19), shown at the bottom of the page, where $R_{uv}$ represents the reflectivity at the joint between $S_{uv-1}$ and $S_{uv}$. The optical paths of the reference signals generated from the ring resonator are

$$2nL_0 + v n I_0 + 2X_{uv}, \quad \begin{cases} u = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, M \\ v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

The reference light field at the photodetector is shown in (21), appearing at the bottom of the page.

\[
I_A(X_v) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ I_0 \frac{L_v}{\sqrt{2\pi\xi}} \exp \left[ -\frac{L_v^2 k'^2}{2\xi^2} \right] \right\} \left\{ B_v + C_v + 2\sqrt{B_v C_v} \cos((k' + k_0) x) \right\} dk' = \frac{I_0}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ L_0 \sqrt{2\pi\xi} \exp \left[ -\frac{L_0^2 k'^2}{2\xi^2} \right] \right\} \left\{ B_v + C_v + 2\sqrt{B_v C_v} \left[ \cos(k' x) \cos(k_0 x) - \sin(k' x) \sin(k_0 x) \right] \right\} dk' = \frac{I_0}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \left\{ B_v + C_v + 2\sqrt{B_v C_v} \exp \left( -\frac{\xi^2}{2\xi^2} \right) \cos(k_0 x) \right\} \tag{14}\]

\[
E_{Ms}(k, t) = \frac{E(k)}{2} \sum_{u=1}^{M} \sum_{v=0}^{N} \left\{ \sqrt{R_{uv}} \sum_{i=0}^{v} T_{ai}^\beta T_{ai'}^{\beta'} \exp \left[ -jk (ct - 2nL_{ai} - 2n \sum_{i=1}^{v} I_{ai}) \right] \right\} \tag{19}\]

\[
E_{Mr}(k, t) = \frac{E(k)}{2} \sum_{u=1}^{M} \sum_{v=0}^{N} \left\{ \sqrt{(v+1)R_0 f(X_{uv})} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{v}{2} + 1 \right) \right] \right\} \eta(1 - \eta)^{v/2} \exp \left[ -jk (ct - 2nL_0 - vnI_0 - 2X_{uv}) \right] \tag{21}\]
By following a similar process to the derivation of (14), we obtain the output light intensity of the \( M \times N \) sensor matrix as shown in (22) at the bottom of the page, where

\[
B_{uv} = \frac{R_{uv}}{M^2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} T_{ui} \beta_{ui} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} T_{ui} \beta_{ui} \right]^{2}, \quad \begin{cases} u = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, M \\ v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N \end{cases}
\]

\[
C_{uv} = (v + 1) R_{0} f^2(X_{uv}) \exp[-(v + 2) \alpha] \eta^2 (1 - \eta)^v, \quad \begin{cases} u = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, M \\ v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N \end{cases}
\]

\[
x' = 2X_{uv} + 2n(L_0 - L_{uv}) + 2n \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \left( \frac{l_0}{2} - l_{ui} \right), \quad \begin{cases} u = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, M \\ v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N \end{cases}
\]

From (22), it can be seen that \( M \times (N + 1) \) interference peaks can be obtained by varying the OPD of the MI through the use of the scanning mirror. The difference in the peak positions \( \Delta X_{uv} = X_{uv} - X_{uv-1} \) can be obtained from (25) by setting \( x' = 0 \) as

\[
\Delta X_{uv} = n \left( \frac{X_{uv} - l_0}{2} \right). \quad \text{(26)}
\]

The matrix system can be used to measure the strain or temperature distribution over a grid of points. We take temperature measurement as an example. Assume that at temperature \( T_0 \), the optical path length of sensor \( S_{uv} \) is \( n(T_0)l_{uv}(T_0) \). Due to thermal expansion and the change of the fiber index with temperature, the optical path length will be different at \( T_{uv} \). The variation of \( \Delta X_{uv} \) due to variation of temperature from \( T_0 \) to \( T_{uv} \) can be derived from (26) as

\[
\Delta X_{uv} = n(T_0)l_{uv}(T_0) [\alpha_T + C_T] (T_{uv} - T_0) \quad \text{(27)}
\]

where \( n(T_0) \) is the refractive index of fiber at temperature \( T_0 \), \( \alpha_T \) and \( C_T \) are the thermal expansion coefficient and the thermal-optic coefficient of the optical fiber, respectively. Since \( \Delta X_{uv} \) can be obtained from the measurement of the positions of the scanning mirror that corresponds to the maxima of the interferometric fringes, the temperature distribution \( T_{uv} \) can then be calculated as

\[
T_{uv} = \frac{\Delta X_{uv}}{n(T_0)l_{uv}(T_0)[\alpha_T + C_T]} + T_0, \quad \begin{cases} u = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, M \\ v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N \end{cases}
\]

for standard commercial communication single-mode fiber at wavelength \( \lambda = 1300 \) and 1550 nm, the parameters are \( n = 1.4681 \) (at \( T = 25^\circ C \)), \( \alpha_T = 5.5 \times 10^{-7}/^\circ C \), \( C_T = 0.762 \times 10^{-5}/^\circ C \), and \( n = 1.46775 \) (at \( T = 25^\circ C \)), \( \alpha_T = 5.5 \times 10^{-7}/^\circ C \), \( C_T = 0.811 \times 10^{-5}/^\circ C \) [13], respectively.

V. EVALUATION OF MULTIPLEXING CAPABILITY

Assume that the light power launched into the fiber is \( I_0 \), and the minimum power variation that can be detected by the photodiode is \( I_{\text{min}} \). The maximum sensor number that can be multiplexed can be evaluated by using

\[
I_{D}(u, v) \geq I_{\text{min}}, \begin{cases} u = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, M \\ v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N \end{cases}
\]

where \( I_D(u, v) \) is the power variation at the photodetector due to sensor \( S_{uv} \). For the linear sensor array, the magnitude of power variation of sensor \( u(v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N) \) can be obtained from (14) as

\[
I_{AD}(X_v)\big|_{x=0} = \frac{I_0}{2} \sqrt{B_{uv}C_{uv}}
\]

\[
= \frac{I_0}{2} \left\{ R_{uv} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} T_{ui} \beta_{ui} \beta_{ui} \right]^{2} (v + 1) \\ \times R_{0} f^2(X_{uv}) \exp[-(v + 2) \alpha] \times \eta^2 (1 - \eta)^v \right\}^{1/2}.
\]

The peak power variation for the sensor matrix can be obtained from (22) as

\[
I_{MD}(X_{uv})\big|_{x'=0} = \frac{I_0}{2} \sqrt{B_{uv}C_{uv}}
\]

\[
= \frac{I_0}{2} \left\{ R_{uv} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} T_{ui} \beta_{ui} \beta_{ui} \right]^{2} (v + 1) \\ \times R_{0} f^2(X_{uv}) \exp[-(v + 2) \alpha] \times \eta^2 (1 - \eta)^v \right\}^{1/2}.
\]

To estimate the number of sensors that can be multiplexed using the ring-resonator technique, we conducted a computer simulation using (30) and (31). During simulation, we assumed that the average attenuation between the moving mirror and the GRIN lens collimator is 6 dB, i.e., \( f(X_p) = f(X_{uv}) = 1/4 \). The excess loss of the 3-dB coupler was neglected while the excess loss of the loop coupler forming the ring resonator was taken as \( \alpha = 0.06 \) dB. Other parameters used in the simulation are \( \beta_0 = \beta_{uv} = \beta_{uv} = \beta_{uv} = 0.9; \ T_v = T_{uv} = T_{uv} = T'_{uv} = 0.89; \) and \( R_v = R_{uv} = 1\% \) \( u = 1, 2, \ldots, M; v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N \) and \( R_0 = 91\% \).

Fig. 5 shows the output signal intensity (normalized) for each of the sensors within an array of ten sensors for \( \eta = 0.3 \). The typical detecting capability of the photodiode is about 1 nW. Taking into account the possible system noise, a reasonable detect limit may be assumed to be \( I_{\text{min}} = 5 \) nW. For a source power level of \( I_0 = 50 \) \( \mu \)W, the maximum sensor number satisfying (29) is \( N_{\text{max}} = 3 \), corresponding to three fiber-optic sensors connected in serial. For \( I_0 = 3 \) mW, the sensor number can be increased to \( N_{\text{max}} = 15 \).
It should be mentioned that the maximum sensor number, as discussed previously, is affected by the coupling ratio \( \eta \) of the loop coupler. Fig. 6 shows the signal intensity of individual sensors in the ten-sensor array when \( \eta \) is varied from 0.1 to 0.9 for an input power level of \( I_0 = 50 \mu \text{W} \). The optimal result is approximately \( \eta = 0.3 \). For \( \eta = 0.9 \), the sensor number with the previously set detection limit (\( I_{\text{min}} = 5 \text{nW} \)) is reduced to two.

The normalized output intensities of sensors within the first branches of an \( M \times 10 \) matrix for \( \alpha = 0 \) were calculated by using (31) for \( \eta = 0.3 \). The results are shown in Fig. 7. During calculation, the star coupler was assumed to split power equally into the branches, and the insertion loss of the star coupler is negligible. As expected, the intensity level decreases when the numbers of branches are increased. For the input power level of \( I_0 = 50 \mu \text{W} \) and \( I_0 = 3 \text{mW} \), the maximum sensor number was calculated to be \( M \times N_{\text{max}} = 2 \times 2 \) (four sensors) and \( M \times N_{\text{max}} = 3 \times 3 \) (nine sensors) or \( 4 \times 2 \) (eight sensors), respectively.

It should be mentioned that the maximum sensor number is also limited by the scanning distance of the scanning mirror. Consider a linear array with sensor gauge length satisfying \( l_1 < l_2 < \cdots < l_N \) over the entire measurement range. The maximum sensor number will be determined by \( X_{\text{MAX}} = \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,m,N-1} \{l_{i+1} - l_i\} \), where \( X_{\text{MAX}} \) is the maximum scanning distance and \( \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,m,N-1} \{l_{i+1} - l_i\} \) is the maximum difference in the gauge lengths of adjacent sensors.

For \( X_{\text{MAX}} = 20 \text{cm} \) and \( \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,m,N-1} \{l_{i+1} - l_i\} = 5 \text{mm} \), the maximum sensor number is 40.

**VI. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS**

Experiments were conducted with a three-sensor linear array and a \( 2 \times 1 \) sensor matrix. The light source used in the experiments was an LED source with a power of \( 50 \mu \text{W} \) and a center wavelength of 1310 nm. The insertion loss of the scanning mirror–GRIN lens combination varied from a 4-dB to an 8-dB change as the gap distance between the fiber-optic collimator and the scanning mirror was varied from 3 to 150 mm. The reflectivity of the scanning mirror is 91%. The insertion loss \( \alpha \) and the coupling ratio \( \eta : (1-\eta) \) of the loop coupler forming the resonator are approximately 0.06 dB and 3.7, respectively. The gauge lengths of the sensors were chosen to be slightly different from each other but approximately equal to 100 mm. The cavity length \( l_0 \) of the ring resonator was chosen to be approximately twice that of the sensor gauge lengths. The different gauge lengths ensure that the interferogram for each sensor is unique and not overlapping with other sensors. For the linear array, the reference arm \( L_0 \) was chosen to be slightly shorter than the sensing arm \( L_1 \) (approximately 2 mm), allowing for the path match of the two arms by varying \( X_1 \). For the \( 2 \times 1 \) matrix system, a \( 1 \times 2 \) star coupler is used to split the sensing signal into two arms. The lengths of the two arms \( (L_{u1}, u=1,2) \) are chosen to be slightly longer than the reference arm \( L_0 \). The photodetector output for the \( 1 \times 3 \) and \( 2 \times 1 \) sensor array are shown in Figs. 8 and 9, respectively.

In Fig. 8, the fringe identified as \( v = 0 \) is due to the reflection occurring at the joint between the first sensor and the lead in/out fiber. The fringes identified as \( v = 1, 2, \) and 3 are due to the reflections at the joints between the second and the first, the third and the second, and the far end of the third sensors, respectively. The distances between the peak positions \( S_1, S_2, \) and \( S_3 \), as shown in Fig. 8, are proportional to the gauge lengths of sensor 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Fig. 9(a) shows one of the two branches of the \( 2 \times 1 \) sensor matrix; the peaks of approximately 550 and 1550 mm are due to the reflection at the joint between the sensor and the lead in/out fiber and the reflection at the far end of the sensing fiber, respectively. For the \( 2 \times 1 \) sensor matrix, the differences \( S_{21} \) and \( S_{22} \), shown in Fig. 9(b), are measures of the sensor gauge lengths.
Fig. 8. Photodetector output of the three-sensor linear array when the mirror was scanned from 4.5 to 17 mm at a speed of 2 mm/s.

Fig. 9. Photodetector output of a \( \frac{1}{50} \) interferometer matrix when the mirror was scanned from 3 to 18 mm. (a) Only one sensor in the first branch is connected (mirror scanning speed is 1 mm/s). (b) Sensors in both branches are connected (mirror scanning speed is 10 mm/s).

VII. Conclusion

We have investigated the use of a ring resonator for multiplexing fiber-optic Michelson-type white light interferometers. Formula related the peak intensity of the interference fringes, and the system parameters were derived. Based on this multiplexing technique, the capability of maximum sensor number was evaluated. It was found that, in the condition of 3-mW light source power, it is possible to multiplex 15 sensors in a linear array or 9 sensors in an \( M \times N \) matrix configuration. Experimental results with a three-sensor linear and \( 2 \times 1 \) sensor matrix support the theoretical predictions. The technique can be used for quasidistributed strain or temperature measurement for smart structures applications.
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