

The following publication Mak, M. K., Wong, A., & Pang, M. Y. (2014). Impaired executive function can predict recurrent falls in Parkinson's disease. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, 95(12), 2390-2395 is available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2014.08.006>

1 **Title:** Impaired executive function can predict recurrent falls in Parkinson's disease

2

1 **Abstract**

2 **Objective:** To examine whether impairment in executive function could independently predict
3 recurrent falls in people with Parkinson’s disease (PD).

4 **Design:** Prospective cohort study

5 **Setting:** University motor control research laboratory

6 **Participants:** A convenience sample of 144 community-dwelling people with PD was recruited
7 from patients’ self-help group and movement disorders clinics.

8 **Intervention:** Not applicable

9 **Main outcome measures:** Executive function was assessed by Mattis Dementia Rating Scale
10 Initiation/Perseveration (MDRS-IP) subtest. Fear of falling was assessed by Activities-specific
11 Balance Confidence (ABC) scale. All participants were followed up for 12 months to record the
12 number of monthly fall events.

13 **Results:** Forty-two people with PD had at least two falls during follow-up and were classified as
14 recurrent fallers. Multiple logistic regression analysis, after accounting for demographic
15 variables and fall history (p=0.001), showed that ABC scores (p=0.004) and MDRS scores
16 (p=0.033) were significantly associated with future recurrent falls in people with PD. The overall
17 accuracy of the prediction was 83.1%. Using the same significant predictors identified in the
18 above multiple logistic regression analysis, a prediction model as determined by the logistic
19 function was generated. $Z = 1.814 + 1.352 (\text{fall history}) - 0.046 (\text{ABC}) - 0.018 (\text{MDRS-IP})$.

20 **Conclusions:** The results indicate that impaired executive function is a significant predictor of
21 future recurrent falls in people with PD. Those with executive dysfunction and higher level of
22 fear of falling upon baseline testing had a significantly higher risk of sustaining recurrent falls
23 within the next 12 months.

1 **Keyword:** Accidental falls, executive function, Parkinson’s disease, prediction

2 **List of abbreviations**

3 PD Parkinson’s disease

4 MDRS-IP Mattis Dementia Rating Scale Initiation/Perseveration

5 ABC Activities-specific Balance Confidence

6 HY Hoehn and Yahr

7 UPDRS-III Unified PD rating scale motor examination

8 GDS Geriatric Depression Scale

9 PASE Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly

10 FOGQ Freezing of Gait Questionnaire

11 FoF Fear of falling

1 Introduction

2 Falls are common among people with Parkinson’s disease (PD) with a high fall incidence
3 of 40-70%.¹ A long-term prospective study further reported an alarming fall rate of 87% during a
4 20-year period.² Among patients who experienced a fall, 35% of them sustained fractures.² In
5 addition to physical injuries, PD fallers suffer adverse psychological effects, which can lead to
6 functional restrictions, physical de-conditioning and increased risk of institutionalisation.²
7 Identification of factors leading to falls has received considerable attention in recent years.

8 Fall-related risk factors in people with PD have been identified in various prospective
9 studies. Significant physical fall risk factors include postural instability,³ PD-specific
10 impairment,⁴ gait freezing,⁵⁻⁷ and prolonged timed “up and go”.⁶ Self-perceived fear of falling
11 has been found to be strongly associated with falls and reduced quality of life in people with
12 PD.^{4,8} Cognitive impairment such as impaired selective attention has been associated with
13 increased postural instability and fall frequency.⁹ Dementia and impaired fronto-executive
14 function have been found to predict future falls in individuals with PD.⁵ Executive function is an
15 umbrella term that encompasses a host of higher cognitive abilities required to successfully
16 perform goal-directed activities such as walking. Impaired executive function is a common
17 cognitive feature of PD.¹⁰ Executive dysfunction may disrupt organisation abilities and the
18 performance of purposeful actions¹¹ and has been associated with increased gait variability and
19 reduced gait speed during dual-task walking.¹² Hence, impaired executive function may increase
20 the risk of falling. Indeed, impaired executive function has been found to predict future falls in
21 community-dwelling older adults.^{13,14} The association between executive dysfunction and falls,
22 however, has not been examined in people with PD. This study used a 1-year prospective design

1 to determine whether impaired executive function could predict recurrent falls in individuals
2 with PD.

3 **Materials and methods**

4 **Subjects**

5 One hundred and seventy community-dwelling individuals with PD volunteered for the
6 study and 144 PD individuals completed the study (Fig. 1). These PD individuals were recruited
7 from the Hong Kong Parkinson's Disease Association, a patient self-help group, and the
8 movement disorders clinics of two local hospitals. All patients were diagnosed by neurologists to
9 have idiopathic PD.¹⁵ To be included in the study, patients were required to be above 40 years of
10 age, medically stable and able to walk 6 metres at least three times with or without an assistive
11 device. Patients were excluded if they had neurological conditions other than idiopathic PD, a
12 Mini Mental State Examination score < 24,¹⁶ postural hypotension, visual disturbance or
13 vestibular dysfunction affecting balance, or significant cardiovascular or musculoskeletal
14 disorder limiting locomotion or balance. Informed consent was obtained from each participant in
15 accordance with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki. The experimental procedure and the use of
16 human subjects were approved by the ethics committees and IRB of the involved university and
17 hospitals.

18 **Procedure**

19 All assessments were carried out at the University motor control research laboratory. All
20 participants were tested during the "on" phase of the anti-Parkinsonian medication cycle. Each
21 subject underwent evaluation of the following outcome measures at baseline.

22 *Baseline measurements*

1 The demographic data including age, gender, time since the diagnosis of PD and daily
2 dosage of levodopa were recorded. Disease severity and PD-specific motor impairment and
3 disability were assessed by Hoehn and Yahr (HY) staging scale¹⁷ and Unified PD rating scale
4 motor examination (UPDRS-III) respectively.¹⁸ Depressive symptoms were measured by the
5 Chinese version of the short-form Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS).¹⁹ The questionnaire
6 contains 15 items with a “YES” or “NO” response for each item. The GDS score ranges from 0
7 to 15, with a score >6 being suggestive of clinical depression. Information on the number of fall
8 events over the prior 12 months was obtained via patient interview. Subjects were classified as
9 having a fall history if they had suffered at least one fall in the past 12 months. A fall is defined
10 as an event during which a patient comes to rest on the ground or at some lower level, not as the
11 result of a major intrinsic event e.g. syncope, stroke or seizure, or an overwhelming hazard.²⁰

12 The recent physical activity level of each subject was assessed with the Physical Activity
13 Scale for the Elderly (PASE).²¹ This questionnaire consists of 10 items that assess the frequency
14 and duration of an individual’s leisure, household and work-related activities in the past 7 days.
15 The total PASE score ranges from 0 to 400, with higher scores indicating a higher physical
16 activity levels.

17 The Freezing of Gait Questionnaire (FOGQ) was used to detect and rate patients’
18 subjective perception of the severity and impact of freezing on their gait performance.²² It
19 consists of six items that assess FOG severity and walking difficulties in general. FOGQ is rated
20 from 0 to 4, with the total score ranging from 0 to 24. Higher scores indicate that walking
21 performance is more affected by freezing.

1 The balance performance of subjects was assessed with the Mini-BESTest.²³ The Mini-
2 BESTest includes 14 items representing four domains of dynamic balance: anticipatory postural
3 adjustments, postural responses, sensory orientation and balance during gait activities. The Mini-
4 BESTest items are rated from 0 to 2, with the total score ranging from 0 to 28. Higher scores
5 represent better balance performance.

6 The validated Chinese version of the Activities-specific Balance Confidence (ABC) scale
7 was used to estimate fear of falling (FoF).²⁴ The subjects were asked to rate their self-perceived
8 balance confidence from 0 (no confidence at all) to 100 (full confidence) in completing 16
9 activities of daily living. The mean score of the 16 activities was calculated for each subject, with
10 a minimum score of 0 and a maximum of 100.

11 Executive function was assessed by the Mattis Dementia Rating Scale (MDRS),²⁵ which is a
12 neuropsychological instrument designed for the assessment of cognitive functions. The MDRS
13 comprises five subsets that measure abilities in different cognitive domains: attention,
14 initiation/perseveration (IP), construction, conceptualisation and memory. Of these subsets, the
15 IP subset has been validated as an executive function measure in PD patients.²⁶ The MDRS-IP
16 comprises 11 items that survey different executive abilities including verbal fluency, verbal
17 programming, motor programming and perseverations in drawing, yielding a score between 0
18 and 37, with a higher scores indicating better executive function. Tests including FOGQ, Mini-
19 BEST test, ABC and MDRS scales were carried out in a randomised order. All tests were
20 completed in 1.5 hours and intermittent rests were given to subjects to prevent fatigue.

21 *Prospective assessment of falls*

1 After the baseline assessment, PD participants were instructed to complete a fall diary and
2 were also contacted by phone by the end of each month to record any fall events.²⁰ Each
3 participant was followed up for 12 months after the initial assessment. A person was classified as
4 a recurrent faller (RF) if he or she had more than one fall within the 12-month follow-up period.

5 **Statistical Analysis**

6 Descriptive statistics were used to examine the central tendency and variability of all
7 measured variables. The data normality was checked using the Shapiro-Wilk test. To avoid the
8 inflated risk of making a type I error associated with multiple comparisons, multivariate analysis
9 of variance was used to compare the differences in continuous variables such as age, duration of
10 PD, daily dosage of levodopa, UPDRS score, GDS score, Mini-BESTest score, ABC score and
11 MDRS-IP score between RFs and non-RFs. Mann-Whitney U test and Chi-square test were used
12 to analyse differences between these two groups in ordinal variables (i.e. HY stage), and nominal
13 variables (i.e. gender, fall history) respectively. Pearson product correlation coefficient was used
14 to establish the association between MDRS-IP and ABC score. Hierarchical logistic regression
15 analysis was first used to determine whether executive function (MDRS-IP) could significantly
16 predict recurrent falls after adjusting for the effects of other relevant factors. The selection of
17 independent variables for the regression analysis was based on physiological relevance and
18 findings from previous studies on fall prediction in patients with PD.³⁻⁷ Demographic data (i.e.
19 age, gender, duration of PD, UPDRS score, prior fall history, HY stage, GDS score, madopar
20 dosage, and PASE score) were entered first (step 1). Next, balance and mobility function, which
21 have been shown to influence fall rate in patients PD³⁻⁷ (i.e. FOG, Mini-BESTest score, ABC
22 score) was entered into the model (step 2). Finally, the variable of interest, MDRS-IP score, was
23 entered in the regression model (step 3). In each step, we employed the “Enter” method whereby

1 the variables selected were forced into the same regression model. For daily clinical practice, it
2 would be more useful to have an equation that enables the prediction of the probability of
3 recurrent falls in individuals with PD. Using the same significant predictors identified in the
4 above multiple logistic regression analysis, a logistic function was generated.

$$5 \quad Z = a + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + \dots$$

6 Where Z is the logit (natural logarithm of the odds), a is a regression constant, and b is the
7 regression coefficient.²⁷

8 The predicted probability of recurrent falls can then be estimated by the following formula²⁷

$$9 \quad \text{Probability of recurrent falls} = e^z / (1 + e^z)$$

10 Where e is the base of the natural logarithm.

11 The probability value could range from 0 to 1. A value closer to 1.0 (above 0.5) predicts
12 that recurrent falls are likely to occur, whereas a value closer to 0 (below 0.5) indicates that the
13 individual is not likely to have recurrent falls. All statistical tests were performed with SPSS 20.0
14 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). A significance level of 0.05 was set for all statistical tests.

15 **Results**

16 A total of 144 PD individuals completed the study (Table 1). At the end of the 12-month
17 follow-up period, 42 participants (29.2%) reported more than one fall and were thus classified as
18 RFs. RFs had significantly higher HY scores ($p=0.001$), higher FOGQ scores ($p=0.004$), and
19 lower Mini-BESTest ($p=0.017$), ABC ($p<0.001$), and MDRS-IP scores ($p=0.018$) than non-RFs
20 Thus, upon baseline testing, RFs had more advanced disease, more severe gait freezing, greater
21 postural instability, higher level of fear of falling, and more impaired executive function. There

1 was no association between MDRS-IP and ABC score for RFs ($p>0.05$) and a significant
2 correlation between MDRS-IP and ABC score for non-RFs ($r=0.403$, $p<0.001$).

3 According to the multiple logistic regression analysis used to identify significant predictors
4 for recurrent falls among individuals with PD (Table 2), fall history ($p=0.001$), a low ABC score
5 ($p=0.014$) and a low MDRS-IP score ($p=0.006$) were significantly associated with recurrent falls.
6 The overall accuracy of the prediction was 85.9%. Using the same significant factors, the
7 prediction model as determined by the logistic function is expressed as
8 $Z = 1.544 + 0.378 (\text{fall history}) - 0.045 (\text{ABC}) - 0.145 (\text{MDRS-IP})$

9 This formula suggests that PD individuals with a fall history and low ABC and MDRS-IP
10 scores have a higher risk of falling.

11 **Discussion**

12 Our prediction formula highlights the importance of evaluating both self-perception of
13 balance confidence and executive function in predicting future falls in PD individuals. Our
14 results also suggest the potential role of treatment interventions that enhance balance confidence
15 and executive function in preventing recurrent falls in individuals with PD.

16 A number of prospective studies have already found that prior falls are strongly
17 predictive of future falls.^{1,4,6,7} Thus, it is somewhat expected that a positive fall history would be
18 the most significant predictor of future recurrent falls in our study. fall history cannot be altered,
19 therefore the identification of modifiable factors prior to patients' first fall (ie. In newly
20 diagnosed patients) could be more meaningful in formulating fall prevention programmes. Our
21 finding that more fear of falling was a significant fall predictor confirmed previous reports.^{4,28}

1 The association between fear of falling and lower postural control and mobility level could
2 contribute to increased fall risk in people with PD .^{29,30} However, our logistic regression model
3 showed that fear of falling has independent effect on recurrent fall risk after adjusting for other
4 relevant factors.

5 The most interesting finding is that impaired executive function independently increases
6 the fall risk in people with PD. There was also a lack of significant association between
7 executive function and fear of falling in RFs, further suggesting that that both of these entities
8 have to be addressed in fall prediction. Most falls occur during walking, and the common
9 perceived causes are tripping and loss of concentration.^{28,32} A previous study reported a low
10 score (<17/18) on the Frontal Assessment Battery i.e. fronto-executive impairment, led to a more
11 than threefold increase in fall risk.⁵ We are the first to report that impaired executive function,
12 expressed as relatively poor performance on the MDRS-IP, is a significant predictor of recurrent
13 falls in people with PD. Successful performance on the MDRS-IP requires intact cognitive
14 processes including initiation, perseverance, inhibition of inappropriate responses, set-shifting,
15 task monitoring and attention. These cognitive processes are essential for initiating and
16 monitoring gait and other upright functional activities that require balance control. Executive
17 dysfunction contributes to increased risk of falling possibly through delayed initiation, poor
18 attention and task monitoring, impaired task switching and failed inhibition of motor
19 responses.^{5,9} A delay in initiating postural responses to restore an upright position could lead to
20 falls upon tripping or slipping. Difficulties or delay in task switching such as turning or avoiding
21 obstacles while walking and inappropriate planning or programming of walking tasks in a
22 complex environment can increase the risk of falling. A subtle failure to inhibit inappropriate
23 responses and/or difficulties in prioritising postural tasks during dual-task walking have been

1 found in PD individuals,³³ especially those with executive dysfunction.¹⁹ These difficulties may
2 lead to increased risk of falling.

3 Our results showed that RFs had significantly lower FoG questionnaire and Mini-
4 BESTest scores but that these two factors do not have independent predictive power in the
5 presence of demographic data, fall history, fear of falling and executive function. These findings
6 are in contrast with reported findings of association between increased gait freezing and
7 increased risk of falling (OR=3.5-4.2).⁵⁻⁷ These studies predicted fallers whilst our study
8 predicted RF. The mean FoG score of the non-faller group in Kerr et al.⁶ was 3.1 whilst that of
9 our non-RF was 8.5, implying that some non-RF had gait freezing and therefore this measure
10 might not be able to discriminate RF and non-RF. A low Mini-BESTest score has been found to
11 predict future falls in PD individuals.³ However, the reported studies did not include ABC or
12 executive function in the models. Our results suggest that perceived and/or cognitive fall risk
13 factors could be stronger than the physiological factors for prediction of falls.

14 Clinical implications

15 Our study suggests that physiological, psychological and cognitive risk factors should be
16 included in fall risk assessments for people with PD. The prediction model developed in this
17 study may be useful in estimating the probability of recurrent falls. For example, if an individual
18 has experienced 3 falls in the past 12 months, with a low balance confidence (ABC score = 30)
19 and impaired executive function (MDRS-IP score = 6), the Z value (logit) would be 0.458. The
20 resulting estimated probability of recurrent falls would be 0.61, indicating that the individual will
21 likely have recurrent falls. Further study is required to validate this prediction model using a
22 different sample of PD patients. Our findings also suggest that interventions that manage PD
23 individuals' executive functioning and enhance their self-perceived balance confidence could be

1 useful in reducing future falls. Behavioural interventions and computer games have been found
2 to enhance attention and executive function in individuals with PD,³⁴ Exercises that improve the
3 feedforward and feedback mechanisms of postural control have been reported to enhance balance
4 confidence in people with PD³⁵ (add Shen 2014). Cognitive behavioural therapy has been shown to
5 reduce fear of falling in older people.³⁶ Further study is needed to examine the short- and long-
6 term effects of these treatment interventions in fall prevention for people with PD.

7 Study limitations

8 We included subjects who were cognitively intact ($MMSE \geq 24$) and results of the study
9 could not be applied to those who have cognitive deficits. One major limitation of the study is
10 that executive function is an umbrella term that encompasses a host of abilities. In this study, we
11 used the MDRS-IP as the measure of executive function. Although the MDRS-IP contains test
12 items for a number of important executive abilities such as set shifting and motor programming,
13 it is by no means a comprehensive measure of executive function. A number of
14 neuropsychological tests have been used to investigate executive function in PD. For instance,
15 the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, the Trail Making Test and the Tower of London test have
16 shown sensitivity for detecting executive impairments in the PD population.^{37,38} However, these
17 tests have not been used to predict falls in people with PD. The present study showed that the
18 MDRS-IP, which requires a short period of training for administration and scoring and can be
19 completed in 10 minutes, is adequately sensitive for predicting risk of recurrent falls. The use of
20 a simple executive function assessment increases the applicability of the results to a wide variety
21 of clinical and research settings.

22 In conclusion, impaired executive function can independently predict recurrent falls in
23 people with PD. Prediction model indicates that a positive fall history, more fear of falling and

1 impaired executive function increases fall risk in people with PD. These findings suggest that
2 psychological and cognitive risk factors should be considered in fall risk assessment. Fall
3 prevention programmes for people with PD should be multi-dimensional, addressing the
4 physical, psychological and cognitive domains of function.

5 **References**

- 6 1. Pickering RM, Grimbergen YM, Rigney U, et al. A meta-analysis of six prospective studies
7 of falling in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2007; 22: 1892-1900.
- 8 2. Hely MA, Reid WG, Adena MA, Halliday GM, Morris JG. The Sydney multicenter study of
9 Parkinson's disease: the inevitability of dementia at 20 years. *Mov Disord* 2008; 3: 837-844.
- 10 3. Duncan RP, Leddy AL, Cavanaugh JT, et al. Accuracy of fall prediction in Parkinson
11 disease: six-month and 12-month prospective analyses. *Parkinsons Dis.* 2012; 2012: 237673.
- 12 4. Mak MK, Pang MY. Fear of falling is independently associated with recurrent falls in
13 patients with Parkinson's disease: a 1-year prospective study. *J Neurol* 2009; 256: 1689-1695.
- 14 5. Latt MD, Lord SR, Morris JG, Fung VS. Clinical and physiological assessments for
15 elucidating falls risk in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2009; 24: 1280-1289.
- 16 6. Kerr GK, Worringham CJ, Cole MH, Lacherez PF, Wood JM, Silburn PA. Predictors of
17 future falls in Parkinson's disease. *Neurology* 2010; 75:116-124.
- 18 7. Paul SS, Canning CG, Sherrington C, Lord SR, Close JCT, Fung VSC. Three simple clinical
19 tests to accurately predict falls in people with Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2013;28:655-
20 662.

- 1 8. Brozova H, Stochl J, Roth J, Ruzicka E. Fear of falling has greater influence than other
2 aspects of gait disorders on quality of life in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Neuro*
3 *Endocrinol ogy Letters* 2009; 30: 453-457.
- 4 9. Allcock LM, Rowan EN, Steen IN, Wesnes K, Kenny RA, Burn DJ. Impaired attention
5 predicts falling in Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2009; 15: 110-115.
- 6 10. Goldman JG, Weis H, Stebbins G, Bernard B, Goetz CG. Clinical differences among mild
7 cognitive impairment subtypes in Parkinson's disease *Mov Disord* 2012;27:1129-1136
- 8 11. Fasano A, Plotnik M. Neurologic aspects and falls. *Clinical Cases in Mineral and Bone*
9 *Metabolism* 2012; 9: 17-20.
- 10 12. Yogev G, Giladi N, Peretz C, Springer S, Simon ES, Hausdorff JM. Dual tasking, gait
11 rhythmicity and Parkinson's disease: which aspects of gait are attention demanding? *Eur J*
12 *Neurosci* 2005; 22: 1248-1256.
- 13 13. Mirelman A, Herman T, Brozgol M et al, et al. Executive Function and Falls in Older Adults:
14 New Findings from a Five-Year Prospective Study Link Fall Risk to Cognition. *PLoS ONE*
15 2012; 7: e40297. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040297
- 16 14. Buracchi, TJ, Mattek NC, Dodge HH, et al. Executive function predicts risk of falls in older
17 adults without balance impairment, *BMC Geriatrics* 2011; 11:74.
- 18 15. Hughes AJ, Daniel SE, Kilford L, Lees AJ. Accuracy of clinical diagnosis of idiopathic
19 Parkinson's disease: a clinico-pathological study of 100 cases. *J Neurol Neurosurg*
20 *Psychiatry* 1992; 55: 181-184.
- 21 16. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, MeHugh PR. Mini-mental state: a practical method for grading the
22 state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975; 12: 189-198.

- 1 17. Hoehn MM, Yahr MD. Parkinsonism: onset, progression and mortality. *Neurology* 1967; 17:
2 427-442.
- 3 18. Fahn S, Elton RL, members of the UPDRS Development Committee. Unified Parkinson's
4 Disease Rating Scale. In: Fahn D, Marsden CD, Calne D, Goldstein M, editors. *Recent*
5 *Development in Parkinson's disease, vol. 2.* Florham Park, NJ: Maacmillan Healthcare
6 Information. 1987; p153-163,293-304.
- 7 19. Lee HCB, Chiu HFF, Kwong PPK. Cross-validation of the Geriatric Depression Scale short
8 form in the Hong Kong elderly. *Bull Hong Kong Psychol Soc* 1994; 32: 72-77.
- 9 20. Tinetti ME, Speechley M, Ginter SF. Risk factors for falls among elderly persons living in
10 the community. *N Engl J Med* 1988; 319:1701-1707.
- 11 21. Ngai SP, Cheung RT, Lam PL, Chiu JK, Fung EY. Validation and reliability of the Physical
12 Activity Scale for the Elderly in Chinese population. *J Rehabil Med* 2012; 44: 462-465.
- 13 22. Giladi N, Tal J, Azulay T, et al. Validation of the freezing of gait questionnaire in patients
14 with Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2009; 24: 655-661.
- 15 23. Franchignoni F, Horak F, Godi M, Nardone A, Giordano A. Using psychometric techniques
16 to improve the Balance Evaluation Systems Test: The Mini-BESTest. *J Rehabil Med* 2010;
17 42: 323-331.
- 18 24. Mak MK, Lau AL, Law FS, Cheung CC, Wong IS. Validation of the Chinese translated
19 activities-specific balance confidence scale. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2007; 88: 496-503.
- 20 25. Mattis S. Mental Status Examination for organic mental syndrome in the elderly patients. In
21 L. T. Bellack L (Ed.), *Geriatric Psychiatry: A Handbook for Psychiatrists and Primary Care*
22 *Physicians*, New York, Grune & Stratton, 1976, pp77-121.

- 1 26. Villeneuve S, Rodrigues-Brazete J, Joncas S, Postuma RB, Latreille V, Gagnon JF. Validity
2 of the Mattis Dementia Rating Scale to detect mild cognitive impairment in Parkinson's
3 disease and REM sleep behavior disorder. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2011; 31: 210-217.
- 4 27. Portney LG, Watkins MP. *Foundations of clinical research. Applications to practice.* 3rd ed.
5 Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, Inc. 2009
- 6 28. Bloem BR, Grimbergen YA, Cramer M, Willemsen M, Zwinderman AH. Prospective
7 assessment of falls in Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol* 2001; 248: 950-958.
- 8 29. Franchignoni F, Velozo CA. Use of the Berg Balance Scale in rehabilitation evaluation of
9 patients with Parkinson's disease. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2005; 86: 2225-2226.
- 10 30. Mak MK, Pang MY. Balance self-efficacy determines walking capacity in people with
11 Parkinson's disease *Mov Disord* 2008; 23: 1936-1939.
- 12 31. Mak MK, Pang MY, Mok V. Gait difficulty, postural instability, and muscle weakness are
13 associated with fear of falling in people with Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsons Dis* 2012; 2012:
14 901721.
- 15 32. Mak MK, Pang MY. Parkinsonian single fallers versus recurrent fallers: different fall
16 characteristics and clinical features. *J Neurol* 2010; 257: 1543-1551.
- 17 33. Bloem B, Grimbergen Y, Gert van Dijk J, Munneke M. The 'posture second' strategy: a
18 review of wrong priorities in Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Sci* 2006; 248: 196-204.
- 19 34. Witt K, Daniels C, Daniel V, Schmitt-Eliassen J, Volkmann J, Deuschl G. Patients with
20 Parkinson's disease learn to control complex systems-an indication for intact implicit
21 cognitive skill learning. *Neuropsychologia* 2006; 44: 2445-2451.
- 22 35. Smania N, Corato E, Tinazzi M, et al. Effect of balance training on postural instability in
23 patients with idiopathic Parkinson's disease. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2010; 24: 826-834.

- 1 36. Zijlstra GAR, Van Haastregt JCM, Ambergen T, Van Rossum E, Van Eijk JTM, Tennstedt
2 SL, et al. Effects of a multicomponent cognitive behavioral group intervention on fear of
3 falling and activity avoidance in community-dwelling older adults: results of a randomized
4 controlled trial. *J AmGeriatr Soc* 2009; 57: 2020-2028.
- 5 37. Vingerhoets G, Verleden S, Santens P, Miatton M, De Reuck J. Predictors of cognitive
6 impairment in advanced Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2003; 74: 793-
7 796.
- 8 38. Lewis SJ, Cools R, Robbins TW, Dove A, Barker RA, Owen AM. Using executive
9 heterogeneity to explore the nature of working memory deficits in Parkinson's disease.
10 *Neuropsychologia* 2003; 41: 645-654.
- 11 39. Shen X, Mak MKY. Balance and gait training with augmented feedback improves balance confidence
12 in people with Parkinson's disease: A randomised controlled trial. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*
13 2014;DOI: 10.1177/1545968313517752
- 14

1 40. **Figure Legend:**

2

3 Fig. 1 Flow chart showing the selection procedure of PD subjects.

4