

LETTER • OPEN ACCESS

## Impacts of the East Asian monsoon on lower tropospheric ozone over coastal South China

To cite this article: Derong Zhou *et al* 2013 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **8** 044011

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

### Related content

- [Interannual variability of summertime aerosol optical depth over East Asia during 2000–2011: a potential influence from El Niño Southern Oscillation](#)  
Yikun Liu, Junfeng Liu and Shu Tao
- [Impact of equatorial and continental airflow on primary greenhouse gases in the northern South China Sea](#)  
Chang-Feng Ou-Yang, Ming-Cheng Yen, Tang-Huang Lin *et al.*
- [Interannual variability of nitrogen oxides emissions from boreal fires in Siberia and Alaska during 1996–2011 as observed from space](#)  
Hiroshi Tanimoto, Kohei Ikeda, K Folkert Boersma *et al.*

### Recent citations

- [Transport, mixing and feedback of dust, biomass burning and anthropogenic pollutants in eastern Asia: a case study](#)  
Derong Zhou *et al*
- [Multi-scale correlations between air quality and meteorology in the GuangdongHong KongMacau Greater Bay Area of China during 2015–2017](#)  
Xingqin Fang *et al*
- [Seasonal variation in surface ozone and its regional characteristics at global atmosphere watch stations in China](#)  
Ningwei Liu *et al*

# Impacts of the East Asian monsoon on lower tropospheric ozone over coastal South China

Derong Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Aijun Ding<sup>1</sup>, Huiting Mao<sup>1,2</sup>, Congbin Fu<sup>1</sup>, Tao Wang<sup>3</sup>, L Y Chan<sup>3,4</sup>, Ke Ding<sup>1</sup>, Yang Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Jane Liu<sup>1,5</sup>, An Lu<sup>6</sup> and Nan Hao<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute for Climate and Global Change Research and School of Atmospheric Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210093, People's Republic of China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse, NY, USA

<sup>3</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China

<sup>4</sup> State Key Laboratory of Guangzhou Organic Geochemistry, Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Science, Guangzhou, 510640, People's Republic of China

<sup>5</sup> Department of Geography and Program in Planning, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada

<sup>6</sup> Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, USA

<sup>7</sup> Atmospheric Processor, Remote Sensing Technology Institute, Earth Observation Center, Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR), Wessling, Germany

E-mail: [dingaj@nju.edu.cn](mailto:dingaj@nju.edu.cn)

Received 19 June 2013

Accepted for publication 1 October 2013

Published 23 October 2013

Online at [stacks.iop.org/ERL/8/044011](http://stacks.iop.org/ERL/8/044011)

## Abstract

The impact of the East Asian monsoon (EAM) on climatology and interannual variability of tropospheric ozone ( $O_3$ ) over the coastal South China was investigated by analyzing 11 years of ozonesonde data over Hong Kong with the aid of Lagrangian dispersion modeling of carbon monoxide and calculation of an EAM index. It was found that the seasonal cycle of  $O_3$  in the lower troposphere is highly related to the EAM over the study region. Ozone enhancements in the free troposphere are associated with the monsoon-induced transport of pollutants of continental anthropogenic and biomass burning origins. Lower tropospheric  $O_3$  levels showed high interannual variability, with an annual averaged amplitude up to 61% of averaged concentrations in the boundary layer (0–1 km altitudes) and 49% below 3 km altitude. In spring and autumn, the interannual variability in boundary layer  $O_3$  levels was predominately influenced by the EAM intensity, with high  $O_3$  mixing ratios associated with northeasterly circulation anomalies.

**Keywords:** tropospheric ozone, Asian monsoon, climatology, interannual variability

## 1. Introduction

Tropospheric ozone ( $O_3$ ) plays an important role in air quality, tropospheric chemistry, and climate change (Logan 1989,

Chameides *et al* 1999). With a lifetime from several days to few weeks in the troposphere (Lelieveld and Crutzen 1994),  $O_3$  can be transported over a long distance via large-scale circulations such as that of a monsoon (Bey *et al* 2001, Liu *et al* 2003, Sudo and Akimoto 2007). Also, ozone precursors (e.g. carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides ( $NO_x$ ) and hydrocarbons) can be transported by multi-scale circulations and produce more  $O_3$  during the transport period (Wang *et al* 2003, Zhang *et al* 2009a, Ding *et al* 2009).



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

The subtropical East Asia is a region with an increasing trend in levels of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> and its precursors (Ding *et al* 2008, Xu *et al* 2008, Wang *et al* 2009) as well as a complex monsoon climate. The latter is manifested through strong seasonal and interannual variabilities of monsoon circulations (Ding 1994, Fu and Wen 1999, Wang 2006). Located in coastal South China, Hong Kong is influenced by distinct winter and summer monsoons. The relationship between O<sub>3</sub> and the EAM in this region has been studied using O<sub>3</sub> observations. For instance, Lam *et al* (2001) and Wang *et al* (2009) found that the seasonal variations in surface levels of O<sub>3</sub> and carbon monoxide (CO) in Hong Kong were controlled by the EAM. Ding *et al* (2013) showed that the distinct seasonal variation of O<sub>3</sub> was due to regional and subregional transport of anthropogenic pollutants under the influence of EAM. Using ozonesonde measurements, the seasonal cycle of O<sub>3</sub> in the entire troposphere was identified in several studies and the influences of the EAM on tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> were discussed (e.g. Chan *et al* 1998, Liu *et al* 2002, Liu *et al* 2003, Oltmans *et al* 2004, Zhang *et al* 2012).

Understanding the relationship between EAM and O<sub>3</sub> on seasonal, interannual and even decadal scales is crucially important for the policy maker of air quality measures in the subtropical East Asia, and is also important for improving the understanding of the interactions between climate change and air pollution. Previous studies showed a strong interannual variability in surface O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios in this region. By comparing data collected during PEM-West B and Trace-P, Wang *et al* (2003) found that the chemical characteristics of O<sub>3</sub> precursors in springtime continental outflow were influenced by year-to-year variation of weather. Using 12 years of ground based air quality data in Hong Kong, Zhang *et al* (2013) suggested that synoptic weather, which was influenced by the EAM, impacted significantly the interannual variations in mixing ratios of O<sub>3</sub> and its precursors. However, because these previous studies mainly used surface measurements, there are knowledge gaps in the relationship between O<sub>3</sub> levels and the EAM above the ground surface, especially the relationship between EAM and O<sub>3</sub> from the perspective of interannual scales.

In this study, we utilize 11 years of ozonesonde data (453 profiles in total) recorded at the Hong Kong Observatory during 2000–2010. The main purposes of this study are to quantify the vertical distribution of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> in this region from a climatological perspective and to assess the impact of the EAM on the climatology and interannual variability of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios over coastal South China.

## 2. Data and methodology

### 2.1. Data

The ozonesonde data were acquired from the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO) during the time period of 2000–2010. The site is located along the coastline of South China (figure A.1). The data collecting method is similar to that used and described in Chan *et al* (2003) and Zhang *et al*

(2012). Each sounding was launched on a weekly basis at local time 13:00–14:00 at the King's Park Meteorological Station (114.17°E, 22.31°N, 66 m above sea level) of the Hong Kong Observatory. There were more than 3 profiles in most months except a data gap during April–December 2001 and one profile per month during January 2001–March 2002.

We used MOPITT CO retrievals during the 11-year study period to help interpret the possible causes for observed variability in O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios. We used the Level 3 monthly averaged MOPITT CO data (Version 5 product) (Deeter *et al* 2013). This dataset has a spatial resolution of 1° × 1° in latitude and longitude and 10 layers from the surface to the 100-hPa level.


### 2.2. Lagrangian dispersion modeling and calculation of EAM index

To gain insights into the origins of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub>, Lagrangian particle dispersion modeling (LPDM) simulations of CO were carried out for each ozonesonde profile based on a method developed and evaluated by Ding *et al* (2013) using the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPPLIT) model (Draxler and Hess 1998). For each profile, 3000 particles were released from layers with increments of 500 m from surface to 8 km altitude over the site and were traced backward for a 7-day period. The 'footprint' residence time (i.e. retroplumes) of each sample was calculated and multiplied by emission to estimate potential source contributions to CO levels (Guo *et al* 2009, Ding *et al* 2009, 2013). Since this study focuses on the lower troposphere, the domain of the LPDM simulation is 100° × 80° in longitude and latitude centered over Hong Kong. We used the 1.25° × 1.25° Japanese reanalysis Project reanalysis (JRA) data from the Japan Meteorological Agency to drive the model. Based on the calculated retroplumes and an emission inventory of anthropogenic CO in Asia prepared for INTEX-B (Zhang *et al* 2009b) and the GFEDv3 monthly CO emission inventory (Giglio *et al* 2010), the CO profiles attributed to anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions were calculated corresponding to each profile of O<sub>3</sub>. Retroplume at an altitude of 300 m was used to calculate CO mixing ratios to consider the plume rise effect of fires, while retroplume at an altitude of 100 m was used for anthropogenic emission.

The dynamical normalized seasonality monsoon index (DNSMI), defined by Li and Zeng (2002) and extensively used in many studies (e.g. He *et al* 2008, Zhu *et al* 2012), was applied here to investigate the variation of the EAM over South China. In this study, we used a revised DNSMI to investigate the relationship between O<sub>3</sub> and EAM. The DNSMI is defined as:

$$\delta = 2 - \frac{\|\bar{V}_1 - V_i\|}{\|\bar{V}\|},$$

where  $V_i$  is the monthly mean wind vector in  $i$  month,  $\bar{V}_1$  is the climatological mean wind vector in January, and  $\bar{V}$  is climatological mean wind vector of January and July. According to this definition, the index give the



**Figure 1.** Annual climatology of vertical distributions of (a) O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios (ppbv) from ozonesonde measurements, (b) interannual variability amplitude (unit: %) in tropospheric O<sub>3</sub>, (c) CO mixing ratios (ppbv) from MOPITT retrievals, (d) LPDM simulated CO mixing ratios from a sum of biomass burning and anthropogenic emissions, and (e) DNSMI for the period of 2000–2010. Note: the amplitude in (b) is defined as (maximum + 2nd maximum – minimum – 2nd minimum) × 0.5/monthly mean during 2001–2010. Arrows on the right-hand side of (e) shows the averaged wind vectors at different vertical layers in January during 2000–2010, used as a reference in the DNSMI calculation.

monthly intensity of monsoon, with a reference to the wind climatology in January and July, i.e. summer monsoon and winter monsoon. We calculated the DNSMI using monthly NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data. To make the index represent a relatively large region, we used the data at five grids next to Hong Kong in each of the four directions.

### 3. Climatology of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> and its relationship with the EAM

Figure 1(a) displays the seasonal variation of the averaged vertical distribution of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> from ground surface to the altitude of 8 km during 2000–2010 as a function of months in a year (figure A.2. gives the same distribution but from surface to 16 km). The overall pattern is similar to the climatology in Oltmans *et al* (2004) that was based on 253 O<sub>3</sub> profiles over Hong Kong during 1993–2001. A tongue-shaped O<sub>3</sub> enhancement (>65 ppbv) extended from the lower stratosphere down to 6–7 km altitudes over April–June. A similarly shaped low O<sub>3</sub> (<40 ppbv) band extended from the surface to the 6 km altitude from April to August. An isolated area of O<sub>3</sub> enhancement appeared around 3 km altitude in March. In addition, two moderate O<sub>3</sub> enhancements (50–65 ppbv) were observed in the mid- to upper-troposphere from June to October and in the upper planetary boundary layer (PBL) in September

and October, isolated by an area of low O<sub>3</sub> values at 2–3 km altitudes in October and November. In order to gain insight into the interannual variability of tropospheric ozone, we show the amplitude of interannual variability (defined as (maximum + 2nd maximum – minimum – 2nd minimum) × 0.5/monthly mean) of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> from surface to 8 km altitude over Hong Kong in figure 1(b) and give the statistics in table 1.

Figure 1(c) exhibits the vertical distribution of monthly averaged MOPITT CO retrievals. It was shown that 120–150 ppbv CO was observed from mid- to upper-troposphere throughout the whole year with the altitude changes from about 4 km in winter to over 8 km in spring, and to around ground surface in summer. A low CO center (with CO mixing ratio below 100 ppbv) appeared at altitudes between 1–6 km in July and August. The distinct seasonal variation of lower troposphere CO shows a linkage with the O<sub>3</sub> seasonal pattern. An area of high PBL CO levels in winter (up to 400 ppbv around the surface in December) was corresponded to a low O<sub>3</sub> layer in the PBL, where the O<sub>3</sub> generally showed a negative correlation with CO in cold seasons (Ding *et al* 2013). The summertime CO valley between altitudes of 1–6 km is corresponded with the low O<sub>3</sub> air in low troposphere in summer.

The CO vertical distribution was further simulated using LPDM. Figure 1(d) shows the simulated CO mixing ratios



**Table 1.** Mean amplitude of interannual variability of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> over Hong Kong. (Note: the amplitude of each month is defined as (maximum + 2nd maximum – minimum – 2nd minimum) × 0.5/monthly mean during 2000–2010.)

Altitudes (km)	Winter (%)	Spring (%)	Summer (%)	Autumn (%)	Annual (%)
0–1	39	71	78	55	61
0–3	31	53	65	47	49
3–8	28	27	35	32	30

using a sum of emission from anthropogenic and biomass burning sources. Figures A.3(a) and (b) further manifest the contribution from anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions, respectively. These results shed new insights into mechanisms controlling the distribution of CO in the lower- and mid-troposphere. In these simulations, only the emissions during a 7-day backward period were accounted for, and the model results in these figures are based on simulations for the 453 ozonesonde profiles. Higher values aside, simulated anthropogenic CO concentrations showed a pattern somewhat similar to that of the MOPITT retrievals. The lofted springtime CO plumes in March and the middle tropospheric CO enhancements during May–June and August–September were also well characterized by the model. With biomass burning emissions, the simulations did suggest a notable enhancement (up to 15 ppbv) at 2–4 km altitudes in March, although the total amount was much smaller than that from continental fossil fuel pollution (20–50 ppbv). These results further confirmed that the frontal lifting in spring, which is generally associated with a strong winter monsoon, is very important for lower and middle tropospheric CO and O<sub>3</sub> (Bey *et al* 2001, Liu *et al* 2003).

To identify the influence of the EAM on tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> in the region, the distribution of DNSMI was examined for the period of 2000–2010 (figure 1(e)). Because the DNSMI relies on the wind in reference month (i.e. January), we also give the climatological mean wind vectors around Hong Kong for different vertical layers in the right-hand side of figure 1(e). It shows a northeasterly wind in the PBL and westerlies over 2 km altitude. The winter and summer EAMs were clearly distinguished by negative and positive values, respectively. In the PBL, the high positive DNSMI value (>1) represents strong northeasterly winter wind. The summer monsoon extended to 3 km altitude with a negative DNSMI at 1.5 km altitude (~850 hPa), which matched with the summertime low O<sub>3</sub> and CO centers suggested in figures 1(a) and (c), respectively. Another low DNSMI center at 2–3 km altitudes existed in autumn (figure 1(e)), corresponded to a low O<sub>3</sub> center. These results further corroborated that the seasonal variation in lower tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios in this region were linked intimately to the winter and summer EAMs.

#### 4. Control of interannual variability in the EAM on lower tropospheric O<sub>3</sub>


The times series of averaged PBL O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios from ozonesonde data were compared with that from surface measurements at Hok Tsui, a regional station about 15 km

southeast to the King's Park station (Wang *et al* 2009), to examine whether weekly ozonesonde data could capture interannual variability of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub>. We found a very good agreement between the two datasets (see figure A.4). Although studies (e.g. Thouret *et al* 2006) showed that the upper tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> might also have strong interannual variability, this study we mainly focus on lower troposphere to understand the impact of monsoon.

The amplitude of interannual variability of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> shown in table 1 and figure 1(b) suggested that O<sub>3</sub> had a strong interannual variability in the lower troposphere, with an annual amplitude of ~49% below 3 km altitude and 30% at 3–8 km altitudes. This pattern is consistent with the DNSMI distribution shown in figure 1(e). In the PBL (<1 km altitude), the interannual variability of O<sub>3</sub> levels was particularly high, with an annual rate about 61% and over 70% in spring and summer. The high amplitude in summer might be related to relatively low mean O<sub>3</sub> levels under the influence of summer monsoon but a strong year-to-year variability in frequency of occurrence of the Northwest Pacific Typhoon, which has been found to be the main synoptic pattern causing photochemical pollution in South China (Ding *et al* 2004, 2013, Zhang *et al* 2013). Because PBL O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios peaked in the spring and autumn (figure 1(a)), i.e. transition periods of the summer and winter EAMs (Ding 1994, Wang 2006), we focus on the O<sub>3</sub>-EAM relationships in the two seasons.

Ozone concentrations in figures 2(a)–(c) suggest a strong interannual variation with varying degree of correlation with the DNSMI. Good correlation was observed in the PBL, with  $r = 0.69$  and  $-0.82$  for spring and autumn, respectively. A weak correlation ( $r = -0.35$ ) existed at 2–4 km altitudes in March during the entire period because the correlation changes from negative to positive after 2005. According to the definition of DNSMI introduced in section 2, the DNSMI depends on the difference in mean wind vector with that in the reference month, i.e. January. In winter, a higher DNSMI means a stronger winter monsoon, while in summer a lower DNSMI represents a stronger summer monsoon. Here in South China, the mean wind autumn is same as that in January but is different in spring (southeasterly). This could be the main causes for the different PBL DNSMI-O<sub>3</sub> correlation in the two seasons.

LPDM simulations showed clearly that O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios correlated positively with CO mixing ratios that were simulated using anthropogenic emissions. In the PBL, the contribution from biomass burning emissions was 1–2 orders of magnitude smaller than that from anthropogenic emissions (figures 2(a) and (b)). Because we used a constant anthropogenic emission rate for the entire 11-year



**Figure 2.** Time series of averaged PBL O<sub>3</sub>, 925-hPa DNSMI, and LPDM simulated CO from anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions (a) for March–April and (b) for September–November, and (c) same as (a) but for 2–4 km altitudes in March during 2000–2010.

period and monthly emission rates for biomass burning, a simulated strong interannual variability in the anthropogenic contribution suggested that the interannual variability in the EAM was a dominant factor controlling levels of O<sub>3</sub> and its precursors in the lower troposphere over South China. In March, at 2–4 km altitudes, a positive correlation between O<sub>3</sub> and DNSMI was found over 2005–2010 but not over 2000–2004, possibly due to more influence from the interannual variation in biomass burning and anthropogenic emissions (for instance, during 2001–2005, the monthly O<sub>3</sub> showed similar variations with anthropogenic and biomass burning CO). To understand what may have shaped the PBL O<sub>3</sub>–DNSMI relationship in spring and autumn, we selected representative years of O<sub>3</sub> peaks and valleys to examine the difference in circulation patterns on the 925-hPa level. In March–April, the Pacific High influenced Southeast China. In high O<sub>3</sub> years, stronger northeasterly continental outflow occurred in the coastal region of South China, facilitating transport of more anthropogenic emissions from East China (figure 3(a)). In autumn, during high O<sub>3</sub> years a counter-clockwise circulation anomaly was observed over coastal Southeast China, causing transport of more air masses from northern and northeastern mainland to Hong Kong (figure 3(b)).


These results indicate that the DNSMI can potentially be a useful index for prediction of interannual variations in lower tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> level in South China. In spring and autumn, the transition seasons when annual peaks of O<sub>3</sub> occurred, changes of intensity, time of occurrence, and/or duration of large-scale monsoon circulation could significantly influence the interannual variation in mean O<sub>3</sub> levels and frequency of O<sub>3</sub> nonattainment in this region.

## 5. Implications

In this study, we examined the relationship between the EAM and tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> over coastal South China using 11 years of ozonesonde data from Hong Kong during 2000–2010 and a monsoon index, DNSMI. A close link was found between lower tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios and the EAM on seasonal to interannual scales, especially in spring and autumn, the transition seasons of the winter and summer EAMs. Because O<sub>3</sub> is a key precursor of OH radical, one of the key atmospheric oxidants, significantly large interannual variability of the EAM and O<sub>3</sub> levels suggests an important linkage between atmospheric chemical composition and the climate system. Meanwhile, since O<sub>3</sub> is an air pollutant in the Pearl River Delta region in South China often exceeding the national air quality standard, especially in autumn, this study highlighted an important impact of monsoon climate on air quality.


## Acknowledgments

This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation Project (D0512/41075101) in China and National Key Basic Research Program ('973 project 2010CB950700), the ESA-MOST Dragon III Project (No. 10455) and the Jiangsu Provincial 2011 Program (Collaborative Innovation Center of Climate Change). The authors would like to thank the Hong Kong Observatory for making the long-term measurement data available at World Ozone and Ultraviolet Radiation Data Centre (WOUDC), and appreciate Dr Roland Draxler for releasing the HYSPLIT model and Professor Qiang Zhang at Tsinghua University for providing the CO emission inventory.




**Figure 3.** (a) 925-hPa wind vector difference between years of PBL O<sub>3</sub> peaks (2005, 2007, and 2009) and valleys (2003, 2006 and 2010) in spring (March–April), plotted on averaged geopotential height (in color) at 925-hPa level during 2000–2010, (b) same as (a) but for difference between years of PBL O<sub>3</sub> peaks (2004, 2007, and 2010) and O<sub>3</sub> valleys (2002, 2005, and 2009) in autumn (September–November).


**Appendix. Map and data comparison**



**Figure A.1.** The climatology of the surface MOPITT CO during 2000–2010 in East Asia and the location of Hong Kong (marked as HK).




**Figure A.2.** Same as figure 1(a) but for the altitude from the surface to 16 km.



**Figure A.3.** Same as figure 1(d) but for simulation results from (a) anthropogenic and (b) biomass burning emissions, respectively.





**Figure A.4.** Time series of monthly averaged surface O<sub>3</sub> at Hok Tsui and averaged PBL (below 1 km) O<sub>3</sub> concentration from the ozonesonde data.

Figures A.1–A.3 show additional information to support this study. Figure A.1 exhibits the climatology of surface CO concentration obtained from MOPITT retrievals during the period of 2000–2010 and shows the location of Hong Kong. Figure A.2 shows same result with figure 1(a) but with the altitude from ground surface to upper troposphere (16 km). Figure A.3 gives the same figure with figure 1(d) but with the anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions separated. Figure A.4 exhibits the time series of monthly averaged PBL (0–1 km) O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios calculated from the ozonesonde and the monthly average of surface hourly O<sub>3</sub> levels observed at Hok Tsui, a regional station about 15 km southeast to the King’s Park station.

**References**

Bey I, Jacob D J, Logan J A and Yantosca R M 2001 *J. Geophys. Res.* **106** 23097–113  
 Chameides W L et al 1999 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **26** 867–70  
 Chan C Y, Chan L Y, Chang W L, Zheng Y G, Cui H, Zheng X D, Qin Y and Li Y S 2003 *J. Geophys. Res.* **108** 8800  
 Chan L Y, Liu H Y, Lam K S and Wang T 1998 *Atmos. Environ.* **32** 159–68  
 Deeter M N et al 2013 *J. Geophys. Res.* **118** 6710–25

Ding A J, Wang T and Fu C B 2013 *J. Geophys. Res.* **118** 9475–88  
 Ding A J, Wang T, Thouret V, Cammas J P and Nedelec P 2008 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **8** 1–13  
 Ding A J, Wang T, Zhao M, Wang T J and Li Z K 2004 *Atmos. Environ.* **38** 6737–50  
 Ding A J et al 2009 *J. Geophys. Res.* **114** D08304  
 Ding Y 1994 *Monsoons Over China* (Norwell, MA: Kluwer Academic)  
 Draxler R R and Hess G D 1998 *Aust. Meteorol. Mag.* **4** 295–308  
 Fu C B and Wen G 1999 *Clim. Change* **43** 477–94  
 Giglio L, Randerson J T, van der Werf G R, Kasibhatla P S, Collatz G J, Morton D C and DeFries R S 2010 *Biogeosciences* **7** 1171–86  
 Guo H et al 2009 *J. Geophys. Res.* **114** D11302  
 He Y J, Uno I, Wang Z F, Pochanart P, Li J and Akimoto H 2008 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **8** 7543–55  
 Lam K S, Wang T J, Chan L Y, Wang T and Harris J 2001 *Atmos. Environ.* **35** 3121–35  
 Lelieveld J and Crutzen P J 1994 *Science* **264** 1759–61  
 Li J P and Zeng Q C 2002 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **29** 1274  
 Liu H Y, Jacob D J, Bey I, Yantosca R M, Duncan B N and Sachse G W 2003 *J. Geophys. Res.* **108** 8786  
 Liu H Y, Jacob D J, Chan L Y, Oltmans S J, Bey I, Yantosca R M, Harris J M, Duncan B N and Martin R 2002 *J. Geophys. Res.* **107** 4573  
 Logan J A 1989 *J. Geophys. Res.* **94** 8511–32  
 Oltmans S J et al 2004 *J. Geophys. Res.* **109** D15S01  
 Sudo K and Akimoto H 2007 *J. Geophys. Res.* **112** D12302  
 Thouret V et al 2006 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **6** 1033–51  
 Wang B 2006 *The Asian Monsoon* (Chichester: Springer) pp 89–194  
 Wang T, Ding A J, Blake D R, Zahorowski W, Poon C N and Li Y S 2003 *J. Geophys. Res.* **108** 8787  
 Wang T, Wei X L, Ding A J, Poon C N, Lam K S, Li Y S, Chan L Y and Anson M 2009 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **9** 6216–27  
 Xu X, Lin W, Wang T, Yan P, Tang J, Meng Z and Wang Y 2008 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **8** 2595–607  
 Zhang J M et al 2009a *Atmos. Environ.* **43** 228–37  
 Zhang Q et al 2009b *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **9** 5131–53  
 Zhang Y Q, Liu H Y, Crawford J H, Considine D B, Chan C Y, Oltmans S J and Thouret V 2012 *J. Geophys. Res.* **117** D12304  
 Zhang Y, Mao H T, Ding A J, Zhou D R and Fu C B 2013 *Atmos. Environ.* **73** 41–50  
 Zhu J, Liao H and Li J P 2012 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39** L09809