1	Prediction of Rainfall Time Series Using Modular Artificial Neural Networks Coupled
2	with Data Preprocessing Techniques
3	C. L. Wu <sup>1,2</sup> , K. W. Chau <sup>1,*</sup> , and C. Fan <sup>3</sup>
4	<sup>1</sup> Dept. of Civil and Structural Engineering, Hong Kong Polytechnic University,
5	Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China
6	
7	<sup>2</sup> Changjiang Institute of Survey, Planning, Design and Research,
8	Changjiang Water Resources Commission,
9	430010, Wuhan, HuBei, People's Republic of China
10	
11	<sup>3</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Ryerson University,
12	350 Victoria Street, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5B 2K3
13	
14	*Email: <u>cekwchau@polyu.edu.hk</u>
15	

### 16 ABSTRACT

17 This study is an attempt to seek a relatively optimal data-driven model for rainfall forecasting from three aspects: 18 model inputs, modeling methods, and data preprocessing techniques. Four rain data records from different 19 regions, namely two monthly and two daily series, are examined. A comparison of seven input techniques, 20 either linear or nonlinear, indicates that linear correlation analysis (LCA) is capable of identifying model inputs 21 reasonably. A proposed model, modular artificial neural network (MANN), is compared with three benchmark 22 models, viz. artificial neural network (ANN), K-nearest-neighbors (K-NN), and linear regression (LR). 23 Prediction is performed in the context of two modes including normal mode (viz., without data preprocessing) 24 and data preprocessing mode. Results from the normal mode indicate that MANN performs the best among all 25 four models, but the advantage of MANN over ANN is not significant in monthly rainfall series forecasting. 26 Under the data preprocessing mode, each of LR, K-NN and ANN is respectively coupled with three data

preprocessing techniques including moving average (MA), principal component analysis (PCA), and singular spectrum analysis (SSA). Results indicate that the improvement of model performance generated by SSA is considerable whereas those of MA or PCA are slight. Moreover, when MANN is coupled with SSA, results show that advantages of MANN over other models are quite noticeable, particularly for daily rainfall forecasting. Therefore, the proposed optimal rainfall forecasting model can be derived from MANN coupled with SSA.

#### 33 KEYWORDS

Rainfall prediction, Modular artificial neural network, Moving Average, Principal component analysis, Singular
 spectral analysis, Fuzzy C-Means clustering, K-nearest-neighbors

36

# **1. Introduction**

Accurate and timely rainfall forecasting is crucial for reservoir operation and flooding prevention because it can provide an extension of lead-time of the flow forecasting, larger than the response time of the watershed, in particular for small and medium-sized mountainous basins.

Many studies have been conducted for the quantitative precipitation forecasting using 42 diverse techniques including numerical weather prediction models and remote sensing 43 observations (Yates et al., 2000; Ganguly and Bras, 2003; Sheng, et al., 2006; Doomede et al., 44 2008), statistical models (Chu and He, 1995; Chan and Shi, 1999; DelSole and Shukla, 2002; 45 Munot, 2007; Li and Zeng, 2008; Nayagam et al., 2008), chaos theory-based approach 46 (Javawardena and Lai, 1994), K-nearest-neighbor (K-NN) method (Toth et al. 2000), and soft 47 computing methods including artificial neural network (ANN), support vectors regression 48 (SVR) and fuzzy inference system (Venkatesan et al., 1997; Silverman and Dracup, 2000; 49

Toth et al., 2000; Pongracz et al., 2001; Sivapragasam et al., 2001; Brath et al., 2002; Lin and 50 Chen, 2005; Chattopadhyay and Chattopadhyay, 2007; Guhathakurta, 2008; Lin et al., 2009). 51 Venkatesan et al. (1997) employed ANN to predict all India summer monsoon rainfall with 52 different meteorological parameters as model inputs. Toth et al. (2000) applied three data-53 driven models, auto-regressive moving average, ANN and K-NN, to short-term rainfall 54 55 predictions. Results showed that ANN performed the best in terms of the accuracy of runoff forecasting when the predicted rainfalls by the three models were used as inputs of a rainfall-56 runoff model. Pongracz et al. (2001) applied fuzzy inference to monthly rainfall prediction. 57 Chattopadhyay and Chattopadhyay (2007) constructed an ANN model to predict monsoon 58 rainfall in India depending on the rainfall series alone. 59

Recently, the concept of coupling different models has attracted more attention in 60 61 hydrologic forecasting. They can be broadly categorized into ensemble models and modular (or hybrid) models. The basic idea behind ensemble models is to build several different or 62 similar models for the same process and to integrate them together (Shamseldin et al., 1997; 63 Shamseldin and O'Connor, 1999; Xiong et al., 2001; Abrahart and See, 2002; Kim et al, 64 2006). For example, Xiong et al. (2001) used a Takagi-Sugeno-Kang fuzzy technique to 65 couple several conceptual rainfall-runoff models. Coulibaly et al. (2005) employed an 66 67 improved weighted-average method to coalesce forecasted daily reservoir inflows from K-68 NN, conceptual model and ANN. Kim et al. (2006) investigated five ensemble methods for 69 improving stream flow prediction.

Physical processes in rainfall and/or runoff are generally composed of a number of
 sub-processes. Their accurate modeling by building of a single global model is sometimes not
 possible (Solomatine and Ostfeld, 2008). Modular models were therefore proposed where

sub-processes were first of all identified and then separate models (also called local or expert 73 model) were established for each of them (Solomatine and Ostfeld, 2008). Different modular 74 models were proposed depending on the soft or hard splitting of training data. Soft splitting 75 means the dataset can be overlapped and the overall forecasting output is the weighted-76 average of each local model (Zhang and Govindaraju, 2000; Shrestha and Solomatine, 2006; 77 78 Wu et al., 2008). Zhang and Govindaraju (2000) examined the performance of modular networks in predicting monthly discharges based on the Bayesian concept. Wu et al. (2008) 79 employed a distributed SVR for daily river stage prediction. On the contrary, there is no 80 overlap of data in the hard splitting and the final forecasting output is explicitly from only 81 one of local models (See and Openshaw, 2000; Hu et al., 2001; Solomatine and Xue, 2004; 82 Sivapragasam and Liong, 2005; Jain and Srinivasulu, 2006; Wang et al., 2006; Corzo and 83 84 Solomatine, 2007; Lin and Wu, 2009). Hu et al. (2001) developed a range-dependent network which employs a number of multilayer perceptron neural networks to model the river flow in 85 different flow bands of magnitude (e.g. high, medium and low). Their results indicated that 86 the range-dependent network performed better than the conventional global ANN. 87 Solomatine and Xue (2004) used M5 model trees and neural networks in a flood-forecasting 88 problem. Sivapragasam and Liong (2005) divided the flow range into three regions, and 89 90 employed different SVR models to predict daily flows in high, medium and low regions. 91 Wang et al. (2006) used a crisp modular ANN to make soft or crisp predictions for validation 92 data where each local network was trained using the subsets achieved by either a threshold discharge value or a clustering of input spaces. Lin and Wu (2009) proposed a hybrid ANN 93 model for event-based hourly rainfall prediction where self-organizing map networks are 94

used for data cluster analysis and multilayer conceptron networks are employed to serve eachcluster to construct mapping between input and output.

A hydrological time series can be actually regarded as an integration of stochastic (or 97 random) and deterministic components (Salas et al., 1985). Once the stochastic (noise) 98 component is appropriately eliminated, the deterministic component can then be easily 99 100 modeled. For the purpose of cleaning hydrological series, many data preprocessing techniques, including Principal component analysis (PCA), wavelet analysis (WA), and 101 singular spectrum analysis (SSA), have been employed in hydrology field by researchers 102 (Sivapragasam et al., 2001; Marques et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2007; Partal and Kisi, 2007; 103 Sivapragasam et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2009). Hu et al. (2007) employed PCA as an input data 104 preprocessing tool to improve the prediction accuracy of the rainfall-runoff neural network 105 106 models. The use of WA to improve rainfall forecasting was conducted by Partal and Kişi 107 (2007). Their results indicated that WA was promising. SSA has also been recognized as an efficient preprocessing algorithm to avoid the effect of discontinuous or intermittent signals, 108 coupled with neural networks (or similar approaches) for time series forecasting (Lisi et al., 109 1995; Sivapragasam et al., 2001; Baratta et al., 2003). For example, Lisi et al. (1995) applied 110 SSA to extract the significant components in their study on southern oscillation index time 111 112 series and used ANN for prediction. They reconstructed the original series by summing up 113 the first "p" significant components. Sivapragasam et al. (2001) proposed a hybrid model of support vector machine (SVM) and SSA for rainfall and runoff predictions. The hybrid 114 model resulted in a considerable improvement in the model performance in comparison with 115 the original SVM model. A comparison between WA and SSA in Wu et al. (2009) indicated 116 that SSA performed better than WA. In addition, moving average (MA) is used for data 117

preprocessing to improve the performance of ANN by de Vos and Rientjes (2005). They argued that one of reasons on lagged predictions of ANN was due to the use of previous observed data as ANN inputs and suggested that an effective solution was to obtain new model inputs by MA over the original data series.

In this paper, one of the main purposes is to develop a modular ANN (MANN) 122 123 coupled with appropriate data-preprocessing techniques to improve the accuracy of rainfall forecasting. MANN consists of three local models which are associated with three subsets 124 clustered by the fuzzy C-means (FCM) clustering method. To evaluate MANN, LR, K-NN 125 and ANN are employed for comparison. ANN is first used to choose the best model inputs by 126 seven candidate model inputs techniques. Once all forecasting models are established, three 127 data-preprocessing methods (i.e., MA, PCA, and SSA) can be examined. To ensure wider 128 129 application of the conclusions, four cases consisting of two monthly rainfall series and two 130 daily rainfall series from India and China, are investigated. The remaining part is structured as follows. Methodology is detailed in Section 2 where case studies are first described, and 131 then data-preprocessing techniques and forecasting models are introduced. Section 3 presents 132 modeling methods and their applications to four rainfall series. The optimal model input 133 method and the best data preprocessing can be identified. In Section 4, principal results are 134 135 shown along with relevant discussions. The last section presents main conclusions.

136 **2.** Methodology

# 137 2.1 Study Area and Data

Two daily mean rainfall series from Daning and Zhenshui river basins of China, andtwo monthly mean rainfall series from India and Zhongxian of China, are analyzed.

The Daning River, a first-order tributary of the Yangtze River, is located at the northeastern side of Chongqing city. The daily rainfall data from Jan. 1, 1988 to Dec. 31, 2007 were measured at six raingauges located at the upstream of the study basin (Figure 1). The upstream part is controlled by Wuxi hydrology station, with a drainage area of around 2 000 km<sup>2</sup>. The mean areal rainfall series is calculated by the Thiessen polygon method (hereafter the averaged rainfall series is referred to as Wuxi).

The Zhenshui basin is located at the northern side of Guangdong Province and adjoined by Hunan Province and Jianxi Province. The basin belongs to a second-order tributary of the Pearl River and has an area of 7,554 km<sup>2</sup>. The daily rainfall time series of Zhenwan raingauge was collected between January 1, 1989 and December 31, 1998 (hereafter the averaged rainfall series is referred to as Zhenwan).

The all Indian average monthly rainfall is estimated from area-weighted observations at 306 land stations uniformly distributed over India. The data, with period spanning from January 1871 to December 2007, are available at the website http://www.tropmet.res.in run by the Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology.

The other monthly rainfall series is from Zhongxian raingauge which is located at Chongqing city, China. The catchment containing this raingauge belongs to a first-order tributary of the Yangtze River. The monthly rainfall data were collected from January 1956 to December 2007.

Figure 2 shows hyetographs of four rainfall series. A linear fit to each hyetograph is denoted by the dashed line. All series appear stationary at least in a weak sense since these linear fits are close to horizontal.

7

In this study, each of data series is partitioned into three parts as training set, cross-162 validation set and testing set. The training set serves the model training and the testing set is 163 used to evaluate the performances of models. The cross-validation set has dual functions: one 164 is to implement an early stopping approach in order to avoid overfitting of the training data 165 and another is to select some best predictions from a number of ANN's runs. In the present 166 167 study, 10 best predictions are selected from a total of 20 ANN's runs. The same data partition is adopted for each series: the first half of the entire data as training set and the first half of 168 the remaining data as cross-validation set and the other half as testing set. 169

Table 1 presents pertinent information about watersheds and some descriptive statistics of the original data and three data subsets, including mean ( $\mu$ ), standard deviation (S<sub>x</sub>), coefficient of variation (C<sub>v</sub>), skewness coefficient (C<sub>s</sub>), minimum (X<sub>min</sub>), and maximum (X<sub>max</sub>). As shown in Table 1, the training set cannot fully include the cross-validation or testing data. Owing to the weak extrapolation ability of ANN, all data are scaled to the interval [-0.9, 0.9] instead of [-1, 1] whilst hyperbolic tangent sigmoid functions are employed as transfer functions in hidden and output layers.

# 177 2.2 Data preprocessing techniques

#### 178 (1) MA

MA smoothes data by replacing each data point with the average of the kneighboring data points, where k may be termed the length of memory window. The method is based on the idea that any large irregular component at any point in time will exert a smaller effect if we average the point with its immediate neighbors (Newbold et al., 2003). The equally weighted MA is the most commonly-used, in which each value of the data carries the same weight in the smoothing process. There are three types of moving modes including centering, backward and forward. In a forecasting scenario, only the backward mode is used since the other two modes may necessitate future observed values. For a time series  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ , when the backward moving mode is adopted (Lee et al., 2000), the *k*-term unweighted moving average  $y_t^*$  is written as

189 
$$y_t^* = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} y_{t-i}\right) / k$$
 (1)

where  $t = k, \dots, N$ . The choice of the window length k is by a trial and error procedure with a minimization of the loss of the objective function.

192 (2) PCA

204

PCA was first introduced by Pearson (1901) and developed independently by 193 Hotelling (1933), and has now well entrenched as an important technique in data analysis. 194 The central idea is to reduce the dimensionality of a data set consisting of a large number of 195 interrelated variables, while retaining as much as possible of the variation present in the data 196 197 set. The PCA approach uses all of the original variables to obtain a smaller set of principal components (PCs) which can be used to approximate the original variables. PCs are 198 uncorrelated and are ordered so that the first few retain most of the variation present in the 199 200 original set.

201 Consider a data matrix **X** which has *n* rows (observations) and *p* column (variables). 202 Let the covariance matrix of **X** be  $\Sigma$ , where  $\Sigma = cov(\mathbf{X}) = E(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})$ . The linear transformed 203 orthogonal matrix **Z** is presented as

$$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{A} \tag{2}$$

9

where **Z** is the PCs with elements (i, j) of *i*th observation and *j*th principal component; **A** is a  $(p \times p)$  matrix with eigenvector elements of the covariance of **X**, and having  $A^{T}A = AA^{T} = I$ .

Because matrix  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$  is real and symmetric, it can be expressed as  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T$ where  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  is a diagonal matrix whose nonnegative entries are the eigenvalues  $(\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, p)$ of  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$ . The total variance of the data matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  is represented as

211 
$$\operatorname{trace}(\Sigma) = \operatorname{trace}(\mathbf{A}\Lambda\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}) = \operatorname{trace}(\Lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_{i}$$
(3)

212 On the other hand, the covariance matrix of principal components  $\mathbf{Z}$  is expressed as

213 
$$\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{Z}) = E(\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{Z}) = E(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{\Lambda}$$
 (4)

214 
$$\operatorname{trace}(\mathbf{Z}) = \operatorname{trace}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_{i}$$
(5)

Therefore, the total variance of the data matrix X is identical to the total variance after PCA
transformation Z.

The solution of PCA, using singular value decomposition (SVD) or determinants of the covariance matrix of **X**, can provide the eigenvectors **A** with their eigenvalues,  $\lambda_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p$ , representing the variance of each component after PCA transformation. If the eigenvalues are ordered by  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_3 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_p \ge 0$ , the first few PCs can capture most of the variance of the original data while the remaining PCs mainly represent the noise in the data. The percentage of total variance explained by the first *m*th PCs is

224 
$$V = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i / \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i \cdot 100\%$$
(6)

The higher is the selection of the total data variance, *V*, the better the properties of the data matrix are preserved. For the sake of the reduction of dimensionality, a small number of PCs are selected, though most of the data variance in selected components still remain. If the transformation is to prevent the collinearity of regression variables, the selected component number *m* in Eq. (6) can be set for a higher total variance, such as  $V = 95\% \square 99\%$  (Hsu et al., 2002).

The original data matrix **A** can be reconstructed by a reverse operation of Eq. (2) as

232

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A}^T \tag{7}$$

By choosing suitable  $m (\leq p)$  PCs from Z and accompanying m eigenvectors from A, the original data can be filtered.

235 (3) SSA

According to Golyandina et al. (2001), the basic SSA consists of two stages: decomposition and reconstruction. The decomposition stage involves two steps: embedding and SVD; the reconstruction stage also comprises two steps: grouping and diagonal averaging. Consider a real-valued time series  $F = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$  of length N(>2). Assume that the series is a nonzero series, viz. there exists at least one *i* such that  $x_i \neq 0$ . Four steps are briefly presented as follows.

242 1st step: embedding

The embedding procedure maps the original time series to a sequence of multidimensional lagged vectors. Let *L* be an integer (window length), 1 < L < N, and  $\tau$  be the delayed time at a multiple of the sampling period. The embedding procedure forms  $n = N - (L-1)\tau$  lagged vectors  $\mathbf{x}_i = \{x_i, x_{i+\tau}, x_{i+2\tau}, \dots, x_{i+(L-1)\tau}\}^T$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^L$ , and

247  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The 'trajectory matrix' of the time series is denoted by  $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{x}_n]$ 

having lagged vectors as its columns. In other words, the trajectory matrix is

249 
$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & \dots & x_n \\ x_{1+\tau} & x_{2+\tau} & x_{3+\tau} & \dots & x_{n+\tau} \\ x_{1+2\tau} & x_{2+2\tau} & x_{3+2\tau} & \dots & x_{n+2\tau} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{1+(L-1)\tau} & x_{2+(L-1)\tau} & x_{3+(L-1)\tau} & \dots & x_N \end{pmatrix}$$
(8)

If  $\tau = 1$ , the matrix **X** is called Hankel matrix since it has equal elements on the 'diagonals' where the sum of subscripts of row and column is equal to constant. If  $\tau > 1$ , the equal elements in **X** are not definitely in the 'diagonals'.

## 253 2nd step: SVD

Let  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^{T}$ ,  $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \dots, \lambda_{L}$  denote the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{S}$  taken in the decreasing order of magnitude ( $\lambda_{1} \ge \lambda_{2} \ge \lambda_{3} \ge \dots \ge \lambda_{L} \ge 0$ ) and  $\mathbf{U}_{1}, \mathbf{U}_{2}, \dots, \mathbf{U}_{L}$  denote the orthonormal system of the eigenvectors of the matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  corresponding to these eigenvalues. If we denote  $\mathbf{V}_{i} = \mathbf{X}_{i}^{T}\mathbf{U}_{i}/\sqrt{\lambda_{i}}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, L$ ) (equivalent to the *i*th eigenvector of  $\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X}$ ), then the SVD of the trajectory matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  can be written as

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{X}_L \tag{9}$$

where  $\mathbf{X}_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i} \mathbf{U}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T$ . The matrices  $\mathbf{X}_i$  have rank 1; therefore they are elementary matrices. The collection  $(\lambda_i, \mathbf{U}_i, \mathbf{v}_i)$  is called the *i*th eigentriple of the SVD. Note that  $\mathbf{U}_i$  and  $\mathbf{v}_i$  are also the *i*th left and right singular vectors of  $\mathbf{X}$ , respectively.

# 263 *3rd step: grouping*

The purpose of this step is to identify appropriately the trend component, oscillatory components with different periods, and structureless noises by grouping components. This step can be skipped if one does not want to precisely extract hidden information byregrouping and filtering of components.

The grouping procedure partitions the set of indices  $\{1, \dots, L\}$  into *m* disjoint subsets  $I_1, \dots, I_m$ , so that the elementary matrix in Eq. (9) is regrouped into *m* groups. Let  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$ . Then the resultant matrix  $\mathbf{X}_I$  corresponding to the group *I* is defined as  $\mathbf{X}_I = \mathbf{X}_{i_1} + \dots + \mathbf{X}_{i_p}$ . These matrices are computed for  $I_1, \dots, I_m$ . By substituting into the expansion (9), one obtains the new expansion

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_{I_1} + \dots + \mathbf{X}_{I_m} \tag{10}$$

The procedure of choosing the sets  $I_1, \dots, I_m$  is called the eigentriple grouping.

# 275 *4th step: Diagonal averaging*

276 The last step in the basic SSA is the transformation of each resultant matrix of the grouped decomposition (10) into a new series of length N. The diagonal averaging is to find 277 equal elements in the resultant matrix and then to generate a new element by averaging over 278 them. The new element has the same position (or index) as the corresponding elements in the 279 original series. As mentioned in the step 1, the concept of 'diagonal' is not true for  $\tau > 1$ . 280 281 Regardless of the value of  $\tau$  being larger than or equal to 1, the principle of reconstruction is the same. For  $\tau = 1$ , the diagonal averaging can be carried out by the formula recommended 282 by Golyandina et al. (2001). Let **Y** be a  $(L \times n)$  matrix with elements  $y_{ij}$ ,  $1 \le i \le L$ ,  $1 \le j \le n$ . 283 Let  $L^* = \min(L, n)$ ,  $n^* = \max(L, n)$  and  $N = n + (L-1)\tau$ . Let  $y_{ij}^* = y_{ij}$  if L < n and  $y_{ij}^* = y_{ji}$ 284 otherwise. Diagonal averaging transfers matrix Y to a series  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N\}$  by the following 285 equation 286

287 
$$y_{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=1}^{k} y_{m,k-m+1}^{*} & \text{for } 1 \le k < L^{*} \\ \frac{1}{L^{*}} \sum_{m=1}^{L^{*}} y_{m,k-m+1}^{*} & \text{for } L^{*} \le k \le K^{*} \\ \frac{1}{N-k+1} \sum_{m=k-K^{*}+1}^{N-K^{*}+1} y_{m,k-m+1}^{*} & \text{for } L^{*} < k \le N \end{cases}$$
(11)

Eq. (11) corresponds to the averaging of the matrix elements over the 'diagonals' i + j = k + 1. The diagonal averaging, applied to a resultant matrix  $\mathbf{X}_{I_k}$ , produces a *N*-length series  $F_k$ , and thus the original series *F* is decomposed into the sum of *m* series:

$$F = F_1 + \dots + F_m \tag{12}$$

As mentioned above, these reconstructed components (RCs) can be associated with the trend, oscillations or noise of the original time series with proper choices of *L* and the sets of  $I_1, \dots, I_m$ . Certainly, if the third step (namely, grouping) is skipped, *F* can be decomposed into *L*RCs.

### 296 2.3 Forecasting models

This section describes four candidate forecasting models. They are LR, K-NN, ANN and MANN. They are usually called data-driven models because they capture the mapping between input (e.g. antecedent rainfall) and output variables (forecasted rainfall) without directly considering the physical laws that underlie the mechanism of rainfall (or precipitation). These models are purely based on the information retrieved from the collected rainfall data.

# 303 (1) Construction of input/output pairs

Let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$  stand for a rainfall time series. It can be reconstructed into a series of delay vectors as  $\mathbf{X}_t = \{x_t, x_{t+\tau}, x_{t+2\tau}, \dots, x_{t+(m-1)\tau}\}$ , where  $\mathbf{X}_t \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\tau$  is the delay time as a multiple of the sampling period and m is the embedded dimension. Suppose that the rainfall  $x_{t+T+(m-1)\tau}$  at T-step lead is related to the vector  $\mathbf{X}_t$ , the available historical data may be summarized into a set of pairs as  $\{\mathbf{X}_t, x_{t+T+(m-1)\tau} : t = 1, \dots, n\}$ , where n stands for the number of pairs, and  $n = N - (m-1)\tau$ .

310 The functional relationship between the input vector  $\mathbf{X}_t$  at time *t* and the predicted 311 output  $x_{t+T+(m-1)\tau}^F$  at time t+T can be written as follows:

312 
$$x_{t+T+(m-1)\tau}^F = f(\mathbf{X}_t) + e_t$$
 (13)

313 where  $e_t$  is a typical noise term,  $x_{t+T+(m-1)\tau}^F$  is the prediction of  $x_{t+T+(m-1)\tau}$ , and  $f(\bullet)$  is the 314 mapping function. The difference of various data-driven forecasting models used in the 315 current study relies on the way of approximating  $f(\bullet)$  once model inputs are attained with 316 the appropriate selection of  $(\tau, m)$ .

317 (2) LR

The linear regression model herein is actually called stepwise linear regression (SLR) model because the forward stepwise regression is used to determine optimal input variables. The basic idea of SLR is to start with a function that contains the single best input variable and to subsequently add potential input variables to the function one at a time in an attempt to improve the model performance. The order of addition is determined by using the partial *F*- test values to select which variable should enter next. The high partial *F*- value is compared to a (selected or default) *F*- to-enter value. After a variable has been added, the

- function is examined to see if any variable should be deleted. Interested readers are referred
  to Draper and Smith (1998) and McCuen (2005) for more details.
- 327 (3) K-NN

The prediction of  $x_{t+T+(m-1)\tau}$  by the K-NN method is formulated as:

329 
$$x_{t+T+(m-1)\tau}^{F} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{t \in S(\mathbf{X},n)} x_{t+T+(m-1)\tau}$$
(14)

where  $S(\mathbf{X}, n)$  denotes the set of indices *t* of the *K* nearest neighbors to the feature vector **X**(n). The meaning of "nearest neighbors" is generally interpreted in a Euclidean sense. Therefore, if *i* belongs to  $S(\mathbf{X}, n)$  and *j* is not in  $S(\mathbf{X}, n)$ , then according to Euclidean distance  $\|\mathbf{X}_n - \mathbf{X}_i\| \le \|\mathbf{X}_n - \mathbf{X}_j\|$ . Intuitively speaking, the forecast  $x_{t+T+(m-1)\tau}^F$  in Eq. (14) is the sample average of output rainfall of the *K* nearest neighbors to  $\mathbf{X}(n)$ . Obviously, a key task is to determine the parameter *K* in the K-NN method.

336 (4) ANN

The multilayer perceptron network is by far the most popular ANN paradigm, which 337 usually uses the technique of error back propagation to train the network configuration. The 338 architecture of the ANN consists of a number of hidden layers and a number of neurons in 339 340 the input layer, hidden layers and output layer. ANNs with one hidden layer are commonly used in hydrologic modeling (Dawson and Wilby, 2001; de Vos and Rientjes, 2005) since 341 these networks are considered to provide enough complexity to accurately simulate the 342 nonlinear-properties of the hydrologic process. Based on Eq. (13), the ANN forecasting 343 model is formulated as 344

345 
$$x_{t+T+(m-1)\tau}^{F} = f(\mathbf{X}_{t}, w, \theta, m, h) = \theta_{0} + \sum_{j=1}^{h} w_{j}^{out} \varphi(\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_{ji} x_{t+(i-1)\tau} + \theta_{j})$$
(15)

346	where $\varphi$ denotes transfer functions; $w_{ji}$ are the weights defining the link between the <i>ith</i>
347	node of the input layer and the <i>jth</i> node of the hidden layer; $\theta_j$ are biases associated to the
348	<i>jth</i> node of the hidden layer; $w_j^{out}$ are the weights associated to the connection between the
349	<i>jth</i> node of the hidden layer and the node of the output layer; and $\theta_0$ is the bias at the output
350	node. To apply Eq. (15) to rainfall predictions, appropriate training algorithm is required to
351	optimize $w$ and $\theta$ .

352 (5) MANN

To construct MANN, the training data have to be divided into several clusters according to cluster analysis techniques, and then each single model is applied to each cluster. The FCM clustering technique is adopted in the present study (e.g., Bezdek, 1981, Wang et al., 2006). It is able to generate either soft or crisp clusters. ANN (or similar techniques) is unable to extrapolate beyond the range of the data used for training. Otherwise, poor forecasts or predictions can be expected when a new input data is outside the range of those used for training. Hard forecasting is, therefore, taken into consideration in this study.

Figure 3 displays the schematic diagram of MANN where the training data is partitioned into three clusters which are based on an assumption that three magnitudes of rainfall (i.e., low, medium, and high) may be derived from different mechanisms. According to this flow chart, once input-output pairs are obtained, they are first split into three subsets by the FCM technique, and then each subset is approximated by a single ANN. The final output of the modular model results directly from the output of one of three local models.

# 366 2.4 Implementation framework of rainfall forecasting

Figure 4 illustrates the implementation framework of rainfall forecasting where four 367 prediction models can be conducted in two modes: without/with three data preprocessing 368 methods (dashed box). These acronyms in the column of "methods for model inputs" 369 represent seven methods to determine model inputs: LCA (linear correlation analysis, 370 Sudheer et al., 2002), AMI (average mutual information, Fraser and Swinney, 1986), PMI 371 (partial mutual information, May et al., 2008), FNN (false nearest neighbors, Kennel et al., 372 1992), CI (correlation integral, Theiler, 1986), SLR, and MOGA (ANN based on multi-373 objective genetic algorithm, Giustolisi and Simeone, 2006). 374

375

# 2.5 Evaluation of model performances

The Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) or the coefficient of determination  $(R^2 = r^2)$ , 376 377 have been identified as inappropriate measures in hydrologic model evaluation by Legates 378 and McCabe (1999). The coefficient of efficiency (CE) (Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970) is a good alternative to r or R<sup>2</sup> as a "goodness-of-fit" or relative error measure in that it is sensitive to 379 differences in the observed and forecasted means and variances. Legates and McCabe (1999) 380 also suggested that a complete assessment of model performance should include at least one 381 absolute error measure (e.g., root mean square error (RMSE)) as necessary supplement to a 382 relative error measure. Besides, the Persistence Index (PI) (Kitanidis And Bras, 1980) was 383 adopted here for the purpose of checking the prediction lag effect. Three measures are 384 therefore used in this study. They are listed below. 385

386 
$$CE = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 / \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2$$
(16)

387 
$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}$$
(17)

388 
$$PI = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 / \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - y_{i-i})^2$$
(18)

In these equations, *n* is the number of observations,  $\hat{y}_i$  stands for the forecasted flow,  $y_i$  represents the observed flow,  $\overline{y}$  denotes the average observed flow, and  $y_{i-l}$  is the flow estimate from a so-call persistence model (or termed naïve model) that basically takes the last flow observation (at time *i* minus the lead time *l*) as a prediction. CE and PI values of 1 stands for perfect fits. A small value of PI may imply occurrence of lagged prediction.

**394 3. Applications of Models** 

## 395 **3.1 Determination of model inputs**

ANN, equipped with the Levernberg-Marquardt (L-M) training algorithm and 396 397 hyperbolic tangent sigmoid transfer functions, is used as the benchmark model to examine aforementioned seven model input methods in terms of RMSE. Depending on the simplified 398 algorithm from Yu et al. (2000) (downloaded at http://small.eie.polyu.edu.hk/), the four 399 400 rainfall series are identified as non-chaotic since the correlation dimension does not display the property of convergence, in particular, for daily rainfall series. Results from remaining six 401 402 methods are presented in Table 2. These results are based on one step lead prediction and let  $\boldsymbol{X}_{\scriptscriptstyle t+1}$  be the target value at one-step prediction horizon. It can be seen from RMSE that most 403 of these methods tend to be mutually alternative because their RMSE are close. Owing to the 404 convenience of operation, the LCA method is preferred in this study. Furthermore, Figure 5 405 shows identification of effective inputs in Table 2 for the LCA method. Taking Wuxi and 406 Zhenwan as examples, model inputs should take the previous 5-day and 7-day rainfalls for 407

them respectively because the partial auto-correlation function (PACF) value decays within 408 the confidence band around these time lags. 409

410

## 3.2 Identification of models

The model identification is to determine the structure of a candidate model by using 411 training data to optimize relevant parameters of model control once model inputs have been 412 obtained. The LR model is built by the SLR technique. In terms of one step prediction 413 (viz., T = 1), input variables can be found in Table 2. For example, the LR model for Wuxi 414 can be expressed as 415

$$x_{t+1}^{F} = 0.421x_{t} - 0.043x_{t-1} + 0.044x_{t-2} + 0.025x_{t-4} + 0.036x_{t-7} + 0.03x_{t-11}$$
(19)

With respect to K-NN, the model identification consists in finding the optimal K if the 417 m-dimensional input vector is determined. Sugihara and Mary (1990) suggested that the 418 value of K was taken as K = m+1. On the other hand, the choice of K should ensure the 419 reliability of the forecasting (Fraser and Swinney, 1986). The check of robustness of 420 K = m + 1 in terms of RMSE is presented in Figure 6, where K is in the interval of [2, 40]. 421 Adopting the value of K as m+1 seems reasonable for the current study because the 422 difference between its RMSE and the minimum RMSE is only 2.9% for Wuxi, 2.9% for 423 424 Zhenwan, 2.6% for India, and 2.0% for Zhongxian, respectively. Consequently, the value of K is 6 for Wuxi (m=5), 8 for Zhenwan (m=7), 13 for India (m=12), and 14 for 425 426 Zhongxian (m = 13), respectively.

Based on Eq. (14), the formula for one-step lead prediction in the context of K-NN 427 428 can be defined as

429 
$$x_{t+1}^{F} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} x_{t_{i}+1}$$
(20)

430 where  $X_{t_i+1}$  stands for an observed value associated with a neighbor of the current state. For a 431 T – step lead prediction, Eq. (20) becomes

432 
$$x_{t+T}^{F} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} x_{t_{i}+T}$$
(21)

The identification of ANN structure is to optimize the number of hidden nodes h in 433 the hidden layer with the known model inputs and output. The optimal size h of the hidden 434 layer is found by systematically increasing the number of hidden neurons from 1 to 10 until 435 436 the network performance on the cross-validation set no longer improves significantly. Based 437 on the L-M training algorithm and hyperbolic tangent transfer functions, the identified configurations of ANN are 5-5-1 for Wuxi, 7-4-1 for Zhenwan, 12-5-1 for India, and 13-3-1 438 for Zhongxian, respectively. The same method is used to identify the structure of MANN, and 439 the only difference is that the identification is repeated three times, with each time being for a 440 local ANN. Consequently, MANN is obtained as 5-5/7/9-1 for Wuxi, 7-4/8/4-1 for Zhenwan, 441 12-3/2/5-1 for India, and 13-1/1/1-1 for Zhongxian, respectively. 442

It is worthwhile to notice that the standardization/normalization of the training data is 443 very crucial in the improvement of the model performance. Two methods can be found in the 444 literature (Dawson and Wilby, 2001; Cannas et al., 2002; Rajurkar et al, 2002; Campolo et al., 445 2003; Wang et al., 2006). The standardization (also termed rescaling in some papers) method, 446 447 as adopted above for model input determination, is to rescale the training data to [-1, 1], [0, 1] or even more narrow interval depending on what kinds of transfer functions are employed in 448 ANN. The normalization method is to rescale the training data to a Gaussian function with a 449 450 mean of 0 and unit standard deviation, which is by subtracting the mean and dividing by the 451 standard deviation. When the normalization approach is adopted, ANN uses the linear function (e.g. purelin) instead of the hyperbolic tangent sigmoid transfer function in the 452

453	output layer. In addition, some studies have indicated that considerations of statistical
454	principles may improve ANN model performance (e.g. Cheng and Titterington, 1994). For
455	example, the training data was recommended to be normally distributed (Fortin et al., 1997).
456	Sudheer et al. (2002) suggested that the issue of stationarity should be considered in the ANN
457	development because the ANN cannot account for trends and heteroscedasticity in the data.
458	Their results showed that data transformation to reduce the skewness of data was capable of
459	significantly improving the model performance. For the purpose of obtaining better model
460	performance, four data-transformed schemes are examined:
461	• Standardizing the raw data (referred to as Std_raw);
462	• Normalizing the raw data (referred to as Norm_raw);
463	• Standardizing the n-th root transformed data (referred to as Std_nth_root);
464	• Normalizing the n-th root transformed data (referred to as Norm_nth_root).
465	Table 3 compares the ANN model performance of the four schemes in terms of
466	RMSE and CE. The Norm_raw scheme is, on the whole, slightly more effective than the
467	Std_raw method. It can also be seen that the effect of the n-th root scheme (3 is taken after
468	trial and error) on the improvement of the performance is basically negligible. Therefore, the
469	Norm_raw scheme is adopted for the later rainfall prediction in the present study.
470	3.3 Rainfall data preprocessing
471	(1) MA
472	The MA operation entails the window length $k$ in Eq. (1) to smooth the raw rainfall
473	data. An appropriate $k$ can be found by systematically increasing $k$ from 1 to 10. The
474	smoothed data is then used to feed into each forecasting model. The targeted value of $k$

475 corresponds to the optimal model performance in terms of RMSE.

476 (2) PCA

477 PCA is employed in two ways: one for reduction of the dimensionality or preventing 478 collinearity (depending on Eq. (2)); second for noise reduction by choosing leading 479 components (contributing most of the variance of the original rainfall data) to reconstruct 480 rainfall series (depending on Eq. (7)). The percentage *V* of total variance (see Eq. (6)) is set 481 at three horizons, 85%, 90%, and 95% for principal component selection.

482 (3) SSA

This approach of filtering a time series to retain desired modes of variability is based 483 on the idea that the predictability of a system can be improved by forecasting the important 484 oscillations in time series taken from the system. The general procedure is to filter the 485 486 original record first and then to build the forecasting model based on the filtered series. To 487 filter the raw rainfall series, the series needs to be decomposed into components with the aid of SSA. The decomposition by SSA requires identifying the parameter pair ( $\tau$ , L). The value 488 489 of an appropriate L should be able to clearly resolve different oscillations hidden in the 490 original signal. However, the present study does not require accurately resolving the raw rainfall signal into trends, oscillations, and noises. A rough resolution can be adequate for the 491 separation of signals and noises where some leading eigenvalues should be identified. 492

To select L, a small interval of [3, 10] is examined in the present study. Figure 7 shows the relation between singular spectrum (namely, a set of singular values) and singular number L for Wuxi, Zhenwan, India, and Zhongxian. It can be observed that the curve of singular values in each case except for Wuxi tends to level off with the increase of L. Generally, extraction of high-frequency oscillations becomes more difficult with the increase of singular number L (or mode). L is selected empirically by following the criterion that the

singular spectrum can be distinguished markedly under that L. According to this criterion, 499 L is set the value of 7 for India and Zhenwan, 6 for Zhongxian. For Wuxi, since all values in 500 the interval satisfy the criterion, in order to reduce computational load in later filtering 501 operation, L is set a small value of 5. The singular spectrum associated with the selected L is 502 503 highlighted by the dotted solid line in Figure 7.

As regards  $\tau$ , Figure 8 presents the results of sensitivity analysis of singular spectrum 504 on the lag time  $\tau$  using SSA with the determined L. For daily rainfall series, the singular 505 506 spectrum can be distinguished only when  $\tau = 1$ . In contrast, the singular spectrum is insensitive to  $\tau$  in the case of monthly rainfall series. The final parameter pair ( $\tau$ , L) in SSA 507 508 are set as (1, 5) for Wuxi, (1, 7) for Zhenwan, (1, 7) for India, (1, 6) for Zhongxian, respectively. 509

#### 3.4 Filtering of RCs 510

The subsequent task is to reconstruct a new rainfall series as model inputs by finding 511 contributing RCs so as to improve the predictability of the rainfall series. There is no 512 practical guide on how to identify a contributing or noncontributing component to the 513 improvement of accuracy of prediction. Two proposed filtering methods, supervised and 514 unsupervised, are herein examined. 515

516

## (1) Supervised filtering (denoted by SSA1)

Figure 9 depicts cross-correlation function (CCF) between RCs and the original 517 Zhenwan rainfall series. The last plot in this figure presents the average of CCFs from all 7 518 RCs. The average indicates an overall correlation between input and output at various lags 519 (also termed prediction horizons). The plot of average CCF shows that the best correlation is 520 positive and occurs at lag 1. Among all 7 RCs, RC1 exhibits the best positive correlation with 521

the original rainfall series. The CCF values for other RCs change alternatively between positive and negative with the increase of the lag. From the perspective of linear correlation, the positive or negative CCF value may indicate that the RC makes a positive or negative contribution to the output of model when the RC is used as the input of model. With the assumption, deleting RCs, which have negative correlations with the model output if the average CCF is positive, may improve the performance of the forecast model. This is the basic idea behind the supervised method.

The procedure of the supervised method coupled with ANN is depicted in Figure 10. The aim is to find the optimal  $p (\leq L)$  RCs from all *L* RCs for each prediction horizon. The procedure can be summarized into three steps: SSA decomposition, correlation coefficients sorting, and reconstructed components filtering. Operation in each step is bounded by the dashed box. It is worth noting that the filtering method is based on assumption that combination of components with the same sign in CCF (+ or -) can strengthen the correlation with the model output.

### 536 (2) Unsupervised filtering (denoted by SSA2)

There are some drawbacks on the supervised method. The salient one is that this method relies on linear correlation analysis, which disregards the existence of nonlinearity in meteorological processes. Also, random combinations among all RCs are not taken into account. To overcome these drawbacks, an unsupervised filtering method (also termed enumeration) is recommended where all input combinations are examined. There are  $2^{L}$  combinations for *L* RCs. The unsupervised method may be computationally intensive if *L* is large.

#### 4. Results and Discussions 544

This section presents predictions using various models under two types of modes, 545 namely "normal" and "data preprocessing". The "data preprocessing" mode is separately 546 described by MA, PCA, and SSA. To extend one-step-ahead prediction to multi-step-ahead 547 prediction, a direct multi-step prediction method (by directly having the multi-step-ahead 548 prediction as output, also termed static prediction method) is adopted in this study to perform 549 550 two- and three-step-ahead predictions.

#### 551

# 4.1 Forecasting with normal mode

Table 4 shows results of three prediction horizons by applying five models including 552 naïve model to each case study. The naïve model is used as the benchmark in which the 553 forecasted value is directly equal to the last observed value (namely, no change). The naïve 554 model presents the poorest forecasting which can be explained by the fact that it is unlikely to 555 556 capture any dependence relation. From the perspective of rainfall series, the monthly rainfall can be better predicted than the daily rainfall. Generally, a daily rainfall series, in particular 557 in a semi-humid and semi-dry or dry region, tends to be intermittent and discontinuous due to 558 a large number of no rain periods (dry periods). Two global modeling methods, LR and ANN, 559 mainly capture the zero-zero (or similar extreme low-intensity) rainfall patterns in daily 560 rainfall series because the type of pattern is overwhelmingly dominant in the daily rainfall 561 series. As a consequence, poor performance indices in terms of RMSE, CE, and PI can be 562 observed (depicted in Table 4 for Wuxi and Zhenwan). Nevertheless, Table 4 also shows that 563 MANN performs the best in each case study. MANN adopts three local ANN models, one for 564 each cluster generated by FCM, which can better capture the mapping relation than using a 565 single global ANN. It can be noticed that MANN is more effective for daily rainfall series 566

than monthly rainfall data, which can be because daily rainfall data is more irregular (or nonperiodic) than monthly rainfall series. The use of K-NN for daily rainfall forecasting is even worse than LR although it employs a local prediction approach. Apart from the issue of the selection of K, the performance of K-NN is also influenced by the similarity of input-output patterns. The smooth monthly rainfall series easily construct similar patterns so that they are well predicted by K-NN. It is worth noting that negative values occasionally appear in the forecasts of ANN or MANN whereas this situation does not happen in the K-NN method.

Take Wuxi and India data as representative examples, Figure 11 shows the scatter 574 plots and hyperographs of the results at one-day-ahead prediction of ANN and MANN using 575 the rainfall data of Wuxi, where the hyetograph is plotted in a selected range for better visual 576 inspection. ANN seriously underestimates a number of moderate- and high-intensity rainfalls. 577 578 The low values of CE and PI demonstrate that time shift between the forecasted and observed 579 rainfall may occur, which is further verified by the hyetograph. MANN improves noticeably the accuracy of forecasting in terms of CE and PI. As shown by the scatter plots, the 580 medium-intensity rainfall can be simulated better by MANN although high-intensity rainfalls 581 (or peak values) are still underestimated. Figure 12 shows scatter plots and hyetographs of 582 results at one-day-ahead prediction of ANN and MANN using the rainfall data of India. It 583 can be seen from hyetograph graphs that both ANN and MANN reproduce well the 584 585 corresponding observed rainfall data, which is further revealed by the scatter plots with a low dispersion around the exact fit line. 586

Figure 13 shows the analysis of the lag effect between forecasted and observed rainfall series. The value of CCF at zero lag corresponds to the actual performance (i.e. correlation coefficient) of the model. A target lag is associated with the maximum value of

590 CCF, and is an expression for the mean lag for the forecast. It can be seen from Figure 16 591 that ANN makes fairly obvious lagged predictions for daily rainfall series, and the lag effect 592 can be overcome by MANN. There are 1, 2, and 3 days lag for Wuxi, which are respectively 593 associated with one-, two-, and three-day-ahead forecasting. In contrast, there is no lag effect 594 in monthly rainfall predictions of ANN or MANN.

### 595 4.2 Forecasting with MA

Table 5 presents forecasted results of ANN with the "backward" MA (hereafter referred to as ANN-MA) using the Wuxi rainfall data. The performance indices corresponding to k = 1 are associated with the normal ANN. Results at each prediction horizon seem to be insensitive to the window length k in view of slight differences among each performance index for k from 1 to 10. Considering the fact that ANNs tend to generate unstable outputs, the influence of MA on the performance of ANN is negligible. Small values of PI also imply that MA cannot eliminate the lagged forecast from ANN.

603 4.3 Forecasting with PCA

604 As mentioned previously, PCA is used in two ways: one (denoted by PCA1) for reduction of dimensionality (also termed principal component regression) and the other one 605 606 (denoted by PCA2) for noise reduction. Results from PCA1 are presented in Table 6. The scenario of V = 100% stands for forecasting using models with the normal mode. Results 607 show that PCA1 cannot improve the model performances in terms of RMSE, CE, and PI, 608 609 which means that the reduction of dimensionality is unnecessary for the present case studies. 610 Actually, the original inputs are characterized by a low dimension. Table 7 describes the 611 results from PCA2. According to results from LR and K-NN (because results from ANN tend to be unstable), a marginal improvement in the model performances can be observed for the 612

613 Wuxi watershed whereas the model performances deteriorate for the India watershed with the 614 decrease of the value of V.

615 **4.4 Forecasting with SSA** 

Following the procedure in Figure 10, the supervised filtering (SSA1) using ANN for 616 RCs of Wuxi and India is illustrated in Figure 14. The RMSE associated with the maximum 617 number of p (for instance p = 5 for Wuxi) represents the performance of ANN with the 618 619 normal mode. The optimal p corresponds to the minimum RMSE, which can be found by 620 systematically deleting RCs one at a time. Consequently, numbers of chosen optimal p RCs in three forecasting horizons are 3, 2, and 1 for Wuxi, and 1, 3, and 5 for India, respectively. 621 However, the unsupervised filtering method (SSA2) is based on enumeration of combinations 622 623 of all RCs. Selection of the optimal p RCs cannot be presented in a graphical form.

624 Table 8 shows selected p at various prediction horizons using LR, K-NN, and ANN in conjunction with SSA1 and SSA2. A large amount of information can be extracted from 625 this Table. First of all, a considerable improvement in the model performance is achieved by 626 each forecasting model in conjunction with SSA1 or SSA2, compared with results in Table 4. 627 628 From the perspective of rainfall series, the accuracy of daily rainfall prediction is improved significantly in comparison to that in the normal mode. Secondly, as expected, results from 629 SSA2 are superior to or at least equivalent to those from SSA1 since the former examines 630 each combination of RCs in search of the optimal p. SSA2 is therefore considered as an 631 efficient and effective method if the number L of RCs is small. For the present four cases, 632 SSA2 method is appropriate due to the small number of RCs. Once L is large, say 40 or 50, 633 SSA1 may be a good alternative where a relative optimal forecasting can be guaranteed. 634

Additionally, it should be noted that the optimal p are different at three forecast horizons. Finally, Table 8 also shows that, among the three models, ANN performs the best with SSA1 or SSA2, which is consistent with results in the normal mode.

In the normal mode, MANN has been proved to be superior to ANN, in particular, for 638 639 daily rainfall forecasting. As an attempt to improve the accuracy of rainfall forecasting, MANN is also coupled with SSA2. Table 9 demonstrates results in terms of RMSE, CE, and 640 PI using MANN compared with those of ANN. Good accuracies of forecasting are made by 641 642 both MANN and ANN. It can be seen from values of PI that the prediction lag effect is completely eliminated. The model performance does not deteriorate markedly with the 643 increase of the forecasting lead. Results also show that MANN still maintains a salient 644 superiority over ANN in the SSA2 mode for both daily and month rainfall series. 645

One-step lead estimates of MANN and ANN with the help of SSA2 are shown in 646 Figure 15 (Wuxi) and Figure 16 (India) in the form of hyetographs and scatter plots (the 647 former is plotted in a selected range for better visual inspection). Compared with Figure 11, 648 each scatter plot in Figure 15 is closer to the exact line, which means that the daily rainfall 649 process is fitted appropriately. Nevertheless, some peak values still remain mismatched 650 651 although MANN shows a better ability to capture the peak value than ANN. Regarding the monthly rainfall series, the scatter plots with perfect match of the diagonal indicates that the 652 rainfall process is perfectly reproduced. The representative hyetograph shows that the peak 653 times and peak values are also accurately predicted. 654

Figure 17 presents the correlation analysis between observed and forecasted rainfall
from ANN and MANN using the Wuxi and India series, respectively. Compared with Figure

13, the lagged prediction of ANN is completely eliminated by SSA2 since the maximum
CCF occurs at zero lag. The larger the CCF at zero lag is, the better the model performance is.

659 4.5 Discussions

660 Some discussions regarding forecasting models and the effects of the SSA technique 661 are made in the following.

### 662 (1) About the investigation of effects of SSA

Figure 18 shows that a large number of zeros and near zeros occur in the original 663 Wuxi rainfall which makes the series discontinuous. Using the intermittent series is difficult 664 to reconstruct similar input patterns for a forecasting model. Thus, depending on those 665 reconstructed input patterns, data-driven models based on pattern training, for example, 666 ANNs, tend to be unfeasible. In contrast, rainfall series preprocessed by SSA becomes 667 smoother where most of zeros are replaced by nonzero values. New input vectors from the 668 reconstructed rainfall series are characterized by better repetition of patterns so that they are 669 easier reproduced. 670

671 To investigate the influence of SSA on the ANN's performance, correlation analyses between inputs and output of ANN and ANN-SSA2 are compared using the Wuxi data and 672 are depicted in Figure 19. As the input and output series in ANN are both the raw rainfall 673 series, the cross-correlation analysis is equivalent to the autocorrelation analysis of the raw 674 rainfall series. At all three prediction horizons, cross-correlation coefficients (CCs) between 675 676 reconstructed inputs by SSA2 and the raw rainfall data are improved significantly at most 677 lags except for the lag of 3 at one-step lead. It should be recalled that model inputs are the previous five rainfall data for Wuxi. The "starting point" in Figure 19 represents the first 678 previous rainfall of the five inputs, and the remaining inputs consist of four points after the 679

starting point. It can be observed that the CCF value between each new model input and
output are far larger than that between the raw model input and output (seen at the same lag).
Therefore, the improvement of a model's performance by the SSA technique may be owing
to the enhancement of the mapping relation of model input and output by deleting noises
hidden in the raw signals.

685

# (2) About parameter *L* in SSA

The parameter L in SSA has a significant impact on the performance of a forecasting 686 model since the optimal p RCs may be different with the change of L when using the same 687 forecasting model at the same prediction horizon. The selection of L in this study is based on 688 the interval of [3, 10] in conjunction with an empirical criterion (namely, a particular L is 689 selected only if the singular spectrum can be distinguished markedly under that L). To check 690 the robustness of the empirical method, each L in [3, 10] is examined by the LR model with 691 SSA2 using the Wuxi and Zhenwan data and presented in Table 10. As mentioned previously, 692 the target L for Wuxi and Zhenwan are 5 and 7, respectively. The RMSE associated with 693 694 them at each prediction horizon is highlighted in **bold** (shown in Table 10). In terms of Wuxi, 695 the difference between the target RMSE and the minimum RMSE at the same prediction horizon is only 9.2% for one-step prediction, 1.4% for two-step prediction, 0.0% for three-696 step prediction, respectively. Regarding Zhenwan, the three values are respectively 5.2%, 697 7.5%, and 0.0%. These changes are slight and cannot influence the conclusions drawn 698 699 previously. Therefore, the empirical method for the present rainfall data should be 700 appropriate.

32

# 701 **5.** Conclusion

This study suggests the use of modular artificial neural network (MANN) coupled with data preprocessing techniques for improving four rainfall predictions from India and China consisting of two monthly and two daily series. To reasonably evaluate MANN's performance, three models, LR, K-NN and ANN, are used for the purpose of comparison. In the process of model development, model inputs and data preprocessing techniques are carefully analyzed and discussed. The following conclusions are reached based on this study:

1. LCA is regarded as an effective and efficient method among all seven inputtechniques due to its simplicity of computation and comparable capability of forecasting.

2. In the normal mode (without data preprocessing), MANN distinguishes from the
other three models for both monthly and daily rainfall series forecasting. Whilst all four
models reasonably forecast two monthly rainfall series, only MANN is able to simulate each
daily rainfall series without obvious lag effect.

3. In the data preprocessing mode, the effect of MA is negligible for the improvementof each forecasting model.

4. PCA as a data preprocessing technique is discussed in two forms, i.e. PCA1 for the
purpose of dimension reduction, and PCA2 for the purpose of noise reduction. Results show
that PCA1 cannot improve model's performance and PCA2 marginally improve model's
performance.

5. Two filtering method, i.e. supervised (SSA1) and unsupervised (SSA2), are examined for SSA when coupled with forecasting models. It can be found that each model achieves considerable improvement in performance with the aid of SSA1 or SSA2. In terms of forecasting models, MANN still outperforms all other models.

- 6. As far as two filtering methods are concerned, SSA2 tends to be better if the number of raw RCs is small. Otherwise, SSA1 is a good alternative.
- 726 7. A further discussion reveals that the essence of SSA in improving model 727 performance is to strengthen the mapping relation of model input and output by deleting 728 noises in the raw signal.
- 8. There is still considerable room for improving forecasting of peak values although
  MANN coupled with SSA has made perfect overall predictions for daily rainfall series.

731

# 732 Nomenclature

733	ACF	Auto Correlation Function
734	AMI	Average Mutual Information
735	ANN	Artificial Neural Networks
736	CC	Cross-correlation Coefficient
737	CCF	Cross Correlation Function
738	CE	Coefficient of Efficiency
739	CI	Correlation Integral
740	FCM	Fuzzy C-means
741	FNN	False Nearest Neighbor
742	K-NN	K-Nearest-Neighbors
743	LCA	Linear Correlation Analysis
744	L-M	Levenberg-Marquart
745	LR	Linear Regression
746	MA	Moving Average

747	MANN	Modular Artificial Neural Networks
748	MOGA	ANN based on Multi-objective Genetic Algorithm
749	PACF	Partial Auto Correlation Function
750	PC	Principal Component
751	PCA	Principal Component Analysis
752	PMI	Partial Mutual Information
753	PI	Persistence Index
754	RC	Reconstructed Component
755	RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
756	SLR	Stepwise Linear Regression
757	SSA	Singular Spectrum Analysis
758	SVD	Singular Value Decomposition
759	SVM	Support Vector Machine
760	SVR	Support Vectors Regression
761	WA	Wavelet Analysis
762		

# 763 **References:**

Abrahart, R.J. and See, L.M. (2002), Multi-model data fusion for river flow forecasting: an

- revaluation of six alternative methods based on two contrasting catchments. Hydrology andEarth System Sciences, 6(4), 655-670.
- 767 Baratta et al., Baratta, D., Cicioni, G., Masulli, F. and Studer, L. (2003), Application of an
- resemble technique based on singular spectrum analysis to daily rainfall forecasting. Neural
- 769 Networks, 16, 375-387.

- Bezdek, J.C. (1981), Pattern Recognition with Fuzzy Objective Function Algorithms. Plenum
  Press, New York.
- Brath, A., Montanari, A., and Toth, E. (2002), Neural networks and non-parametric methods
- for improving realtime flood forecasting through conceptual hydrological models. Hydrology
- and Earth System Sciences, 6(4), 627-640.
- 775 Campolo, M., Andreussi, P. and Soldati, A. (2003), Artificial neural network approach to
- flood forecasting in the river Arno. Hydrological Sciences Journal, 48(3), 381-398.
- 777 Cannas, B., Fanni, A., Pintus, M., and Sechi, G. M. (2002), Neural Network Models to
- Forecast Hydrological Risk. IEEE IJCNN, 2002.
- Chan, J.C.L., and Shi, J.E. (1999), Prediction of the summer monsoon rainfall over South
  China. International Journal of Climatology, 19 (11), 1255-1265.
- 781 Chattopadhyay, S., and Chattopadhyay, G. (2007), Identification of the best hidden layer size
- for three-layered neural net in predicting monsoon rainfall in India. Journal ofHydroinformatics, 10(2), 181-188.
- Cheng, B., and Titterington, D. M. (1994), Titterington Neural networks: A review from a
  statistical perspective. Statistical Science, 9(1), 2-54.
- Chu, P.S., and He, Y.X. (1994), Long-Range Prediction of Hawaiian Winter Rainfall Using
  Canonical Correlation-Analysis). International Journal of Climatology, 14(6), 659-669.
- 788 Corzo, G., and Solomatine, D. (2007), Baseflow separation techniques for modular artificial
- neural network modelling in flow forecasting. Hydrological Sciences–Journal–des Sciences
- 790 Hydrologiques, 52(3), 491-507.
- 791 Coulibaly, P., Haché, M., Fortin, V., and Bobée, B. (2005), Improving daily reservoir inflow
- forecasts with model combination. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 10(2), 91-99.
- 793 Dawson, C.W., and Wilby, R.L. (2001), Hydrological Modeling Using Artificial Neural
- Networks. Progress in Physical Geography, 25(1), 80-108.
- DelSole, T., and Shukla, J. (2002), Linear prediction of Indian monsoon rainfall. Journal of
  Climate, 15 (24), 3645-3658.
- de Vos, N.J. and Rientjes, T.H.M. (2005), Constraints of artificial neural networks for rainfall
- -runoff modeling: trade-offs in hydrological state representation and model evaluation.
- Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 9, 111-126.

801

BOD Diomede, T., Davolio, S., Marsigli, C., Miglietta, M. M., Moscatello, A., Papetti, P.,

Paccagnella, T., Buzzi, A., and Malguzzi, P. (2008), Discharge prediction based on multi-

- model precipitation forecasts. Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics, 101 (3-4), 245-265.
- 803 Draper, N. R. and Smith, H. (1998), Applied regression analysis, 3rd ed. New York: Wiley.
- Fortin, V., Ouarda, T.B.M.J., and Bobe'e, B., (1997), Comment on 'The use of artificial
- neural networks for the prediction of water quality parameters' by H.R. Maier and G.C.
- 806 Dandy. Water Resources Research, 33 (10), 2423-2242.
- Fraser, A.M. and Swinney, H.L. (1986), Independent coordinates for strange attractors from
  mutual information, Physical Review A, 33(2), 1134-1140.
- 809 Ganguly, A.R., and Bras, R.L. (2003), Distributed quantitative precipitation forecasting
- 810 (DQPF) using information from radar and numerical weather prediction models. Journal of
- 811 Hydrometeorology, 4 (6), 1168-1180.

- Giustolisi, O., and Savic, D. A. (2006), A symbolic data-driven technique based on
  evolutionary polynomial regression, Journal of Hydroinformatics, 8(3), 207-222.
- Golyandina, N. Nekrutkin, V., and Zhigljavsky, A. (2001), Analysis of Time Series Structure:
- 815 SSA and Related Techniques, Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- 816 Guhathakurta, P. (2008), Long lead monsoon rainfall prediction for meteorological sub-
- divisions of India using deterministic artificial neural network model. Meteorology and
  Atmospheric Physics, 101 (1-2), 93-108.
- Guhathakurta, P., Rajeevan, M., and Thapliyal, V. (1999), Long range forecasting Indian
- summer monsoon rainfall by a hybrid Principal Component neural network model.
  Meteorology and atmospheric physics, 71, (3-4), 255-266.
- Hsu, K.L., Gupta, H.V., Gao, X.G., Sorooshian, S., and Imam, B. (2002), Self-organizing
- 823 linear output map (SOLO): An artificial neural network suitable for hydrologic modeling and
- analysis. Water Resources Research, 38(12), 1302, doi:10.1029/2001WR000795.
- Hu, T.S., Lam, K.C., Ng, S.T., (2001), River flow time series prediction with a rangedependent neural network. Hydrological Science Journal, 46 (5), 729-745.
- Hotelling, H., (1933), Analysis of a complex of statistical variables into principal components.
- Journal of Educational Psychology, 24, 417-441.
- Hu, T.S., Wu, F.Y., and Zhang, X. (2007), Rainfall-runoff modeling using principal
  component analysis and neural network. Nordic Hydrology, 38(3), 235-248.
- Jain, A., and Srinivasulu, S. (2006), Integrated approach to model decomposed flow
  hydrograph using artificial neural network and conceptual techniques. Journal of Hydrology,
  317, 291-306.

- Jayawardena, A.W., and Lai, F. (1994), Analysis and prediction of chaos in rainfall and
  stream flow time series. Journal of hydrology, 153, 23-52.
- 836 Kennel, M. B., Brown, R., and Abarbanel, H. D. I. (1992), Determining embedding
- dimension for phase space reconstruction using geometrical construction. Physical Review A.,
  45(6), 3403-3411.
- 839 Kim, T., Heo, J. H. and Jeong, C. S. (2006), Multireservoir system optimization in the Han
- River basin using multi-objective genetic algorithms. Hydrological Processes, 20 (9), 20572075.
- 842 Kitanidis, P. K. and Bras, R. L. (1980), Real-time forecasting with a conceptual hydrologic
- model, 2, applications and results, Water Resources Research, 16 (6), 1034–1044.
- Lee, C.F., Lee, J.C. and Lee, A.C., (2000), Statistics for business and financial economics
  (2nd version), World Scientific, Singapore.
- Legates, D. R., and McCabe, Jr, G. J. (1999), Evaluating the use of goodness-of-fit measures
  in hydrologic and hydroclimatic model validation, Water Resources. Research, 35(1), 233241.
- Li, F., and Zeng, Q.C. (2008), Statistical prediction of East Asian summer monsoon rainfall based on SST and sea ice concentration. Journal of the meteorological society of Japan, 86 (1), 237-243.
- Lin, G.F., and Chen, L.H. (2005), Application of artificial neural network to typhoon rainfall
  forecasting. Hydrological Processes, 19 (9), 1825-1837.
- Lin, G.F., Chen, G.R., Wu, M.C., and Chou, Y.C. (2009), Effective forecasting of hourly
- typhoon rainfall using support vector machines. Water Resources Research, 45, W08440.

## doi:10.1029/2009WR007911.

- Lin, G.F., and Wu, M.C. (2009), A hybrid neural network model for typhoon-rainfall forecasting. Journal of Hydrology, 375 (3-4), 450-458.
- Lisi, F., Nicolis, and Sandri, M. (1995), Combining singular-spectrum analysis and neural
- networks for time series forecasting. Neural Processing Letters, 2(4), 6-10.
- Marques, C.A.F., Ferreira, J., Rocha, A., Castanheira, J., Gonçalves, P., Vaz. N., and Dias,
- J.M. (2006), Singular spectral analysis and forecasting of hydrological time series. Physics
- and Chemistry of the Earth, 31, 1172-1179.
- May, R.J., Maier, H.R., Dandy, G.C., and Fernando, T.M.K. (2008), Non-linear variable
  selection for artificial neural networks using partial mutual information. Environmental
  Modeling & Software, 23, 1312-1328.
- McCuen, R. H. (2005), Hydrologic analysis and design (3rd ed.), Upper Saddle River, NJ:
  Pearson/Prentice Hall.
- Munot, A. A., and Kumar, K.K. (2007), Long range prediction of Indian summer monsoon
  rainfall. Journal of Earth System Science, 116 (1), 73-79.
- Nash, J. E. and Sutcliffe, J. V. (1970), River flow forecasting through conceptual models part
  I A discussion of principles. Journal of Hydrology, 10 (3), 282-290.
- Nayagam, L.R., Janardanan, R., and Mohan, H.S.R. (2008), An empirical model for the
- seasonal prediction of southwest monsoon rainfall over Kerala, a meteorological subdivision
- of India. International Journal of Climatology, 28 (6), 823-831.
- 876 Newbold, P., Carlson, W. L., and Thorne, B.M. (2003), Statistics for business and economics

- 877 (fifth version), Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, N.J.
- Partal, T. and Kişi, Ö. (2007), Wavelet and Neuro-fuzzy conjunction model for precipitation
- forecasting. Journal of Hydrology, 342 (2), 199-212.
- 880 Pearson, K., (1901), On lines and planes of closest fit to systems of points in space.
- Philosophical Magazine, 2, 559-572.
- 882 Pongracz, R., Bartholy, J., and Bogardi, I. (2001), Fuzzy rule-based prediction of monthly
- precipitation. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth Part B-Hydrology Oceans and Atmosphere,
- 884 26 (9), 663-667.
- Rajurkar, M.P., Kothyari, U.C., and Chaube, U.C. (2002), Artificial neural networks for daily
  rainfall-runoff modeling. Hydrological Sciences-Journal, 47(6), 865-876.
- Salas, J.D., Delleur, J.W., Yevjevich, V., and Lane, W.L. (eds) (1985), Applied Modeling of
  Hydrologic Time Series. Water Resources Publications: Littleton, Colorado.
- 889 See, L., and Openshaw, S. (2000), A hybrid multi-model approach to river level forecasting.
- 890 Hydrology Science Journal, 45(4), 523-536.
- 891 Shamseldin, A.Y. and O'Connor, K.M. (1999), A real-time combination method for the
- outputs of different rainfall-runoff models. Hydrological Sciences Journal, 44(6), 895-912.
- 893 Shamseldin, A.Y., O'Connor, K.M. and Liang, G.C., (1997), Methods for combining the
- outputs of different rainfall runoff models, Journal of Hydrology, 197, 203–229.
- 895 Sheng, C., Gao, S., and Xue, M. (2006), Short-range prediction of a heavy precipitation event
- by assimilating Chinese CINRAD-SA radar reflectivity data using complex cloud analysis.
- 897 Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics, 94 (1-4), 167-183.

- 898 Shrestha, D.L., and Solomatine, D.P. (2006), Machine learning approaches for estimation of 899 prediction interval for the model output. Neural Networks, 19(2), 225-235.
- 900 Silverman, D., and Dracup, J.A. (2000), Artificial neural networks and long-range
- precipitation in California. Journal of Applied Meteorology 31 (1), 57-66.
- 902 Sivapragasam, C., and Liong, S. Y. (2005), Flow categorization model for improving
  903 forecasting. Nordic Hydrology 36 (1), 37-48.
- 904 Sivapragasam, C., Liong, S.Y. and Pasha, M.F.K. (2001), Rainfall and runoff forecasting with
- 905 SSA-SVM approach. Journal of Hydroinformatics, 3(7), 141-152.
- 906 Sivapragasam, C., Vincent and, P., and Vasudevan, G. (2007), Genetic programming model
- 907 for forecast of short and noisy Data. Hydrological Processes, 21, 266-272.
- Solomatine, D. P., and Ostfeld, A. (2008), Data-driven modelling: Some past experiences and
  new approaches. Journal of Hydroinformatics, 10(1), 3-22.
- Solomatine, D. P. and Xue, Y. I. (2004), M5 model trees and neural networks: application to
- flood forecasting in the upper reach of the Huai River in China. Journal of HydrologicalEngineering, 9(6), 491-501.
- Sudheer, K. P., Gosain, A. K., and Ramasastri, K. S. (2002), A data-driven algorithm for
  constructing artificial neural network rainfall-runoff models. Hydrological Processes, 16,
  1325-1330.
- 916 Sugihara, G and May, R.M. (1990), Nonlinear forecasting as a way of distinguishing chaos
- from measurement error in time series. Nature, 344, 734-741.
- 918 Sudheer, K. P., Gosain, A. K., and Ramasastri, K. S. (2002), A data-driven algorithm for

- 919 constructing artificial neural network rainfall-runoff models. Hydrological Processes, 16,
  920 1325-1330.
- 921 Theiler, J., (1986), Spurious dimension from correlation algorithms applied to limited time-
- 922 series data. Physical Review A, 34 (3), 2427-2432.
- Toth, E, Brath, A., and Montanari, A. (2000), Comparison of short-term rainfall prediction
  models for real-time flood forecasting. Journal of Hydrology, 239, 132-147.
- 925 Venkatesan, C., Raskar, S.D., Tambe, S.S., Kulkarni, B.D., and Keshavamurty, R.N. (1997),
- 926 Prediction of all India summer monsoon rainfall using error-back-propagation neural
- networks. Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics, 62 (3-4), 225-240.
- 928 Wang, W., Van Gelder, P.H.A.J.M., Vrijling, J.K. and Ma, J. (2006), Forecasting Daily
- Streamflow Using Hybrid ANN Models. Journal of Hydrology, 324, 383-399.
- 930 Wu, C.L., Chau, K.W., and Li, Y.S., (2009), Methods to improve neural network performance
- in daily flows prediction. Journal of Hydrology, 372(1-4), 80-93.
- Wu, C.L., Chau, K.W. and Li, Y.S. (2008), River stage prediction based on a distributed
  support vector regression. Journal of Hydrology, 358, 96-111.
- Xiong, L.H., Shamseldin, A.Y., and O'Connor, K.M. (2001), A non-linear combination of
- forecasts of rainfall-runoff models by the first-order TS fussy system for forecast of rainfallrunoff model. Journal of Hydrology, 245, 196-217.
- 937 Yates, D.N., Warner, T.T., and Leavesley, G.H. (2000), Prediction of a flash flood in complex
- 938 terrain. Part II: A comparison of flood discharge simulations using rainfall input from radar, a
- dynamic model, and an automated algorithmic system. Journal of Applied Meteorology, 39
- 940 (6), 815-825.

- 941 Yu, D.L., Gomm, J.B., and Williams, D. (2000), Neural model input selection for a MIMO
- 942 chemical process. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 13(1), 15-23.
- 943 Zhang, B., and Govindaraju, R. S. (2000), Prediction of watershed runoff using Bayesian
- oncepts and modular neural networks. Water Resources Research, 36(3), 753-762.

946 Figure Captions

- 947 Figure 1. Location of Daning river basin (Map of Chongqing in the left panel, and Daning
- 948 watershed in the dashed box)
- 949 Figure 2. Rainfall series of (a) Wuxi, (b) India, (c) Zhenwan, and (d) Zhongxian
- 950 Figure 3. Flow chart of hard forecasting using a modular model
- 951 Figure 4. Implementation framework of forecast models with/without data preprocessing
- Figure 5. Plots of ACF and PACF of the rainfall series with the 95% confidence bounds (the
- dashed lines), (a) and (c) for Wuxi, and (b) and (d) for Zhenwan
- Figure 6. Check of robustness of K in KNN method for (a) Wuxi, (b) India, (c) Zhenwan,
- 955 and (d) Zhongxian.
- Figure 7. Singular Spectrum as a function of lag using various window lengths L for (a) Wuxi,
- 957 (b) India, (c) Zhenwan, and (d) Zhongxian
- 958 Figure 8. Sensitivity analysis of singular Spectrum on varied  $\tau$  for (a) Wuxi, (b) India, (c)
- 959 Zhenwan, and (d) Zhongxian
- 960 Figure 9. Plots of CCF between each RC and the raw rainfall data for Zhenwan
- 961 Figure 10. Supervised procedure for a forecast model with SSA
- 962 Figure 3. Scatter plots and hyetographs of one-step-ahead forecast using ANN and MANN
- 963 for Wuxi ((a) and (c) from ANN, and (b) and (d) from MANN)
- 964 Figure 4. Scatter plots and hyetographs of one-step-ahead forecast using ANN and MANN
- for India ((a) and (c) from ANN, and (b) and (d) from MANN)
- Figure 5. CCFs at three forecast horizons for various lags in time of observed and forecasted
- rainfall of ANN and MANN: Wuxi (left column) and India (right column)
- Figure 6. Performance of ANN with SSA1 in terms of RMSE as a function of  $p (\leq L)$

- 969 selected RCs at various prediction horizons: (a) Wuxi and (b) India
- 970 Figure 7. Scatter plots and hyetographs of one-step-ahead forecast using ANN and MANN
- 971 with SSA2 for Wuxi ((a) and (c) from ANN, and (b) and (d) from MANN)
- 972 Figure 8. Scatter plots and hyetographs of one-step-ahead forecast using ANN and MANN
- with SSA2 for India ((a) and (c) from ANN, and (b) and (d) from MANN)
- 974 Figure 17. CCFs at three forecast horizons using ANN and MANN with Wuxi and India ((a)
- 975 and (c) for Wuxi and (b) and (d) for India)
- 976 Figure 18. Hyetographs (detail; from 900<sup>th</sup> to 950<sup>th</sup>) of Wuxi: (1) the raw rainfall series and (2)
- 977 reconstructed rainfall series for one-step prediction of ANN.
- Figure 19. CCF between inputs and output for ANN and ANN-SSA2 at three prediction
- 979 horizons using the Wuxi data

- Table 1. Pertinent information for four watersheds and the rainfall data
- Table 2. Comparison of methods to determine mode inputs using ANN model
- Table 3. Performance comparison of ANN with different data-transformed methods
- Table 4. Model performances at three forecasting horizons under normal mode
- Table 5. Model performances of ANN-MA using the Wuxi data
- Table 6. Multiple-step predictions for Wuxi and India series using LR, K-NN, and ANN with
- 988 PCA1
- Table 7. Multiple-step predictions for Wuxi and India series using LR, K-NN, and ANN
- with PCA2
- Table 8. Optimal *p* RCs for model inputs at various forecasting horizons
- Table 9. Model performances at three forecasting horizons using MANN and ANN with the
- 993 SSA2
- Table 10. RMSE of the LR model coupled with SSA2 using various L
- 995

















Journal of Hydrology, Vol. 389, No. 1-2, 2010, pp. 146-167



Journal of Hydrology, Vol. 389, No. 1-2, 2010, pp. 146-167









Journal of Hydrology, Vol. 389, No. 1-2, 2010, pp. 146-167





Journal of Hydrology, Vol. 389, No. 1-2, 2010, pp. 146-167









Journal of Hydrology, Vol. 389, No. 1-2, 2010, pp. 146-167











Journal of Hydrology, Vol. 389, No. 1-2, 2010, pp. 146-167

1	0	7	9
_	-	•	-

Table 11. Pertinent information for four watersheds and the rainfall data

Watarshad and		Sta	atistical	param	eters		Watanghad area and
watersneu anu dotosots	μ	S <sub>x</sub>	Cv	Cs	X <sub>min</sub>	X <sub>max</sub>	- watersneu area anu dete poriod
ualasels	(mm)	(mm)			(mm)	(mm)	uata periou
Wuxi							
Original data	3.67	10.15	0.36	5.68	0.00	154	Area:
Training	3.81	10.94	0.35	6.27	0.00	147	$2\ 000\ {\rm km^2}$
Cross-validation	3.42	8.87	0.39	4.96	0.00	102	Data period:
Testing	4.03	11.60	0.35	5.46	0.00	154	Jan., 1988- Dec., 2007
Zhenwan							
Original data	4.3	11.0	0.39	4.94	0.0	159	Area:
Training	4.3	11.2	0.38	5.60	0.0	159	7554 km <sup>2</sup>
Cross-validation	4.7	11.2	0.42	4.22	0.0	125	Data period:
Testing	4.0	10.9	0.37	4.97	0.0	133	Jan., 1989- Dec., 1998
India							
Original data	906.7	951.6	1.0	0.9	3.0	3460	Area:
Training	904.8	955.7	0.9	0.9	3.0	3393	all India
Cross-validation	918.2	969.5	0.9	1.0	8.0	3460	Data period:
Testing	898.9	927.4	1.0	0.9	16.0	3232	Jan., 1871- Dec., 2007
Zhongxian							
Original data	96.2	79.2	1.2	1.2	0.0	599	Area:
Training	97.2	77.5	1.3	0.9	0.0	429	
Cross-validation	98.6	86.8	1.1	1.9	0.0	599	Data period:
Testing	91.8	74.9	1.2	0.8	0.0	306	Jan., 1956- Dec., 2007

1080

 Table 12. Comparison of methods to determine mode inputs using ANN model

*** / * *	-				Identifie		
Watershed	Methods	τ	т	Effective inputs <sup>a</sup>	d ANN	RMSE	
Wuxi							
	LCA	1	20	The last 5	(5-5-1)	10.74	
	AMI	1	12	Except for Xt-10,t-9	(10-3-1)	10.91	
	PMI <sup>b</sup>	1	12	Xt,t-1,t-3,t-5,t-7,t-10,t-4	(7-8-1)	10.85	
	FNN	1	20	The last 14	(14-3-1)	11.02	
	CI	4	20	Nil			
	SLR	1	12	Xt-11,t-7,t-4,t-2,t-1,t	(6-3-1)	10.94	
	MOGA	1	12	Xt, t-1	(2-6-1)	10.55	
Zhenwan							
	LCA	1	20	The last 7	(7-4-1)	11.03	
	AMI	1	12	Except for Xt-11,t-10,t-9,t-8,t-2	(7-5-1)	10.95	
	PMI	1	12	Xt-4,t,t-1,t-3,t-11,t-5,t-10,t-6,t-9	(10-4-1)	10.98	
	FNN	1	20	Last 14	(14-3-1)	11.08	
	CI	3	20	Nil			
	SLR	1	12	Xt-11,t-7,t-6,t-3,t-1,t	(6-3-1)	11.01	
	MOGA	1	12	Xt,t-4,t-7,t-9,t-11	(5-8-1)	10.43	
India							
	LCA	1	20	the last 12	(12-5-1)	256.22	
	AMI	1	12	the last 12	(12-5-1)	256.22	
	PMI	1	12	Xt-11,t-10,t-5,t	(4-5-1)	275.06	
	FNN	1	20	the last 5	(5-9-1)	286.04	
	CI	4	20	nil	. ,		
	SLR	1	12	except for Xt-4	(11-9-1)	258.13	
	MOGA	1	12	Xt-11,t-9,t-7,t-5,t-4,t-1,t	(7-1-1)	277.57	
Zhongxian							
	LCA	1	20	the last 13	(13-3-1)	51.70	
	AMI	1	12	Xt-11,t-10,t-6,t-5,t-4,t	(6-5-1)	54.67	
	PMI	1	12	Xt-11,t,t-9,t-7,t-7	(5-9-1)	55.39	
	FNN	1	20	the last 4	(4-7-1)	59.78	
	CI	3	20	nil			
	SLR	1	12	Xt-11,t-7,t-6,t-5,t-3,t	(6-6-1)	55.47	
	MOGA	1	12	Xt-11,t-10,t-6,t-3,t	(5-2-1)	53.93	

1083 Note:<sup>a</sup> for the convenience of writing down effective inputs, "Xt, t-1"stands for Xt, Xt-1; <sup>b</sup> effective inputs

1084 from PMI are in descending order of priority.

Journal of Hydrology,	Vol. 389, No.	1-2, 2010, pp.	146-167
	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Table 13. Performance comparison of ANN with different data-transformed methods

Watawahad	Data		RMSE		СЕ				
watersneu	Transformation	1	2	3 <sup>a</sup>	1	2	3		
Wuxi									
	Std_raw	10.77	11.54	11.62 <sup>b</sup>	0.14	0.01	0.00		
	Norm_raw	10.57	11.49	11.59	0.17	0.02	0.00		
	Std_nth_root	11.00	12.02	12.10	0.10	-0.07	-0.09		
	Norm_nth_root	11.15	12.01	12.09	0.08	-0.07	-0.09		
Zhenwan									
	Std_raw	11.03	11.11	11.16	0.03	0.02	0.01		
	Norm_raw	10.72	11.06	11.14	0.09	0.03	0.02		
	Std_nth_root	11.25	11.68	11.75	-0.01	-0.09	-0.10		
	Norm_nth_root	11.34	11.70	11.74	-0.02	-0.09	-0.09		
Wuxi									
	Std_raw	256.22	250.51	249.46	0.92	0.93	0.93		
	Norm_raw	251.74	246.48	250.99	0.93	0.93	0.93		
	Std_nth_root	259.81	253.42	256.43	0.92	0.93	0.92		
	Norm nth root	252.75	251.95	259.00	0.93	0.93	0.92		
Zhongxian									
	Std raw	54.26	54.23	53.91	0.48	0.48	0.48		
	Norm raw	52.91	53.10	52.78	0.50	0.50	0.51		
	Std nth root	52.15	53.44	53.17	0.52	0.49	0.50		
	Norm nth root	52.27	53.37	54.30	0.51	0.49	0.48		

1088

<sup>a</sup> Numbers of "1, 2, and 3" denote one-, two-, and three-day-ahead forecasting; <sup>b</sup> Result is average over 10 best runs from total 20 runs;

1090

 Table 14. Model performances at three forecasting horizons under the normal mode

		1				U					
	J.M. J.J	RMSE CE				PI					
watersnee	a wiodei	1*	2*	3*	1	2	3	1	2	3	
WuXi											
	Naïve	12.2	16.0	16.5	0.05	-0.61	-0.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	LR	10.9	11.9	12.0	0.12	-0.05	-0.07	0.28	0.41	0.43	
	K-NN	11.8	12.4	12.6	-0.03	-0.14	-0.18	0.16	0.36	0.38	
	ANN	10.6	11.5	11.6	0.17	0.02	0.00	0.32	0.45	0.47	
	MANN	8.2	9.2	9.0	0.50	0.38	0.40	0.60	0.65	0.68	
Zhenwan											
	Naïve	12.4	12.2	13.6	-0.53	-0.49	-0.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	LR	11.1	11.3	11.4	0.02	-0.02	-0.03	0.39	0.42	0.45	
	K-NN	12.7	12.7	12.8	-0.27	-0.28	-0.30	0.21	0.28	0.31	
	ANN	10.7	11.1	11.1	0.09	0.03	0.02	0.43	0.45	0.48	
	MANN	7.9	9.6	9.9	0.50	0.27	0.23	0.69	0.59	0.59	
India											
	Naïve	643.1	1084.5	1399.2	0.52	-0.37	-1.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	LR	286.8	301.6	302.7	0.90	0.89	0.89	0.80	0.92	0.95	
	K-NN	246.6	257.3	251.2	0.93	0.92	0.93	0.85	0.94	0.97	
	ANN	245.2	245.9	247.2	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.86	0.95	0.97	
	MANN	243.3	241.8	244.4	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.86	0.95	0.97	
Zhongxia	1										
	Naïve	75.7	91.9	109.2	-0.03	-0.51	-1.13	0.00	0.00	-0.02	
	LR	56.1	57.7	58.4	0.44	0.41	0.39	0.46	0.60	0.71	
	K-NN	55.0	56.0	57.2	0.46	0.44	0.42	0.48	0.63	0.72	
	ANN	52.5	54.4	54.3	0.51	0.48	0.48	0.52	0.65	0.75	
	MANN	50.3	50.2	53.2	0.55	0.55	0.50	0.56	0.70	0.76	

1091

\* The number of "1, 2, and 3" denote one-, two-, and three-step-ahead forecasts

## 

 Table 15. Model performances of ANN-MA using the Wuxi data

Prediction	Performance				Windo	w leng	th (k) f	for MA			
horizons	index	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
One-step											
	RMSE	10.60	10.70	10.63	10.72	10.77	10.70	10.83	10.83	10.72	10.68
	CE	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.15
	PI	0.32	0.31	0.32	0.31	0.30	0.31	0.29	0.29	0.31	0.31
Two-step											
	RMSE	11.50	11.51	11.51	11.50	11.53	11.56	11.55	11.47	11.45	11.45
	CE	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03
	PI	0.45	0.44	0.44	0.45	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.45	0.45	0.45
Three-step											
	RMSE	11.60	11.58	11.58	11.55	11.61	11.58	11.56	11.51	11.52	11.52
	CE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01
_	PI	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.48	0.47	0.47	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48

Journal of Hydrology,	Vol. 389, No.	1-2, 2010, pp.	. 146-167
		2 2	

1096 ′	Table 6.	Multiple-step	predictions for	Wuxi and Indi	a series usin	g LR	, K-NN,	and ANN
--------	----------	---------------	-----------------	---------------	---------------	------	---------	---------

			1	With P	CAI						
Watershed	Performan	$e_{V(\%)^1}$		LR			K-NN		ANN		
vv ater sneu	index	• (70)	1*	2*	3*	1	2	3	1	2	3
Wuxi											
	RMSE	85	11.0	11.9	12.0	12.1	12.5	12.7	10.6	11.5	11.6
		90	11.0	11.9	12.0	12.1	12.5	12.7	10.7	11.5	11.6
		95	10.9	11.9	12.0	11.8	12.4	12.6	10.6	11.5	11.6
		100	10.9	11.9	12.0	11.8	12.4	12.6	10.6	11.5	11.6
	CE	85	0.12	-0.05	-0.07	-0.04	-0.15	-0.19	0.16	0.01	0.00
		90	0.12	-0.05	-0.07	-0.04	-0.15	-0.19	0.16	0.02	0.00
		95	0.12	-0.05	-0.07	-0.03	-0.14	-0.18	0.16	0.02	0.00
		100	0.12	-0.05	-0.07	-0.03	-0.14	-0.18	0.17	0.02	0.00
	Ы	85	0 27	0 4 1	0.43	0.12	0 34	0 36	0 32	0 44	0.47
		90	0.27	0.41	0.43	0.12	0.34	0.36	0.31	0.45	0.47
		95	0.28	0.41	0.43	0.16	0.36	0.38	0.32	0.45	0.47
		100	0.28	0.41	0.43	0.16	0.36	0.38	0.32	0.45	0.47
India											
	RMSE	85	410.9	320.6	457.7	275.9	262.7	281.1	250.4	256.1	247
		90	294.9	307.8	311.1	260.3	256.2	276.8	248.1	252.3	249
		95	291.6	305.0	304.6	255.3	256.5	265.0	247.7	254.2	251
		100	286.8	301.6	302.7	246.6	257.3	251.2	245.2	245.9	247
	CE	85	0.81	0.89	0.78	0.91	0.91	0.90	0.93	0.92	0.93
		90	0.90	0.89	0.89	0.92	0.91	0.91	0.93	0.93	0.93
		95	0.90	0.89	0.89	0.92	0.91	0.91	0.93	0.92	0.93
		100	0.90	0.89	0.89	0.93	0.92	0.93	0.92	0.92	0.93
	PI	85	0.61	0.92	0.90	0.81	0.93	0.96	0.85	0.94	0.97
	-	90	0.79	0.92	0.95	0.83	0.94	0.96	0.85	0.95	0.97
		95	0.80	0.92	0.95	0.84	0.94	0.96	0.85	0.95	0.97
		100	0.80	0.02	0.95	0.85	0.94	0.90	0.84	0.92	0.07

1098 Note: \* "1, 2, and 3" denote one-, two-, and three-step-ahead forecasts; <sup>1</sup> "V" stands for the percentage of total variance.
Watershed	Performance	$e_{\mathbf{V}(0/1)}$	LR			K-NN			ANN		
watersned	index	V (%)	1*	2*	3*	1	2	3	1	2	3
Wuxi											
	RMSE	85	10.8	11.6	11.6	11.5	12.2	12.7	10.6	11.5	11.6
		90	10.8	11.6	11.6	11.5	12.2	12.7	10.6	11.5	11.6
		95	10.9	11.9	12.0	11.8	12.4	12.6	10.6	11.5	11.6
		100	10.9	11.9	12.0	11.8	12.4	12.6	10.6	11.5	11.6
	CE	85	0.14	0.01	0.00	0.02	-0.11	-0.19	0.16	0.02	0.00
		90	0.14	0.01	0.00	0.02	-0.11	-0.19	0.16	0.02	0.00
		95	0.12	-0.05	-0.07	-0.03	-0.14	-0.18	0.17	0.02	0.00
		100	0.12	-0.05	-0.07	-0.03	-0.14	-0.18	0.16	0.02	0.00
	PI	85	0.30	0.44	0.47	0.20	0.37	0.37	0.32	0.44	0.47
		90	0.30	0.44	0.47	0.20	0.37	0.37	0.32	0.45	0.47
		95	0.28	0.41	0.43	0.16	0.36	0.38	0.32	0.45	0.47
		100	0.28	0.41	0.43	0.16	0.36	0.38	0.32	0.45	0.47
India											
	RMSE	85	482.9	413.0	800.6	254.7	248.9	253.1	241.7	247.2	249.8
		90	352.8	357.8	600.6	253.1	249.4	250.4	245.0	247.9	247.8
		95	326.5	331.9	376.1	247.8	246.1	246.1	243.5	249.0	244.8
		100	286.8	301.6	302.7	246.6	257.3	251.2	247.6	252.3	247.1
	CE	85	0.73	0.80	-2.74	0.92	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
		90	0.86	0.85	0.58	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
		95	0.88	0.87	0.84	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
		100	0.90	0.89	0.89	0.93	0.92	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
	PÍ	85	0.44	0.86	-1.75	0.84	0.95	0.97	0.86	0.95	0.97
		90	0.70	0.89	0.81	0.85	0.95	0.97	0.86	0.95	0.97
		95	0.74	0.91	0.93	0.85	0.95	0.97	0.86	0.95	0.97
		100	0.80	0.92	0.95	0.85	0.94	0.97	0.85	0.95	0.97

**Table 16.** Multiple-step predictions for Wuxi and India series using LR, K-NN, and
 ANN with PCA2

1103

Journal of Hydrology, Vol. 389, No. 1-2, 2010, pp. 146-167

1105

Table 17. Optimal p RCs for model inputs at various forecasting horizons

Watershill	Madal	Prediction	Supervised filte	r (SSA1)	Unsupervised filter (SSA2)			
w atershed	wodel	horizons	<b>Optimal</b> <i>p</i> <b>RCs</b>	RMSE	<b>Optimal</b> <i>p</i> <b>RCs</b>	RMSE		
Wuxi								
	LR	1	1* 2*	6.01	1 2	6.01		
		2	1 5	7.73	1 5	7.73		
		3	1	8.40	1	8.40		
	K-NN	1	1	8.02	2 3	7.17		
		2	1	8.41	2 4	8.03		
		3	1	9.99	2	9.69		
	ANN	1	1 2 3	4.43	1 2 3	4.43		
		2	1 5	5.82	1 5	5.57		
		3	1	6.42	1	6.25		
Zhenwan								
	LR	1	1 2 3	7.19	1 2 3	7.19		
		2	172	7.99	1 2 7	7.99		
		3	1 5	8.81	1 5	8.81		
	K-NN	1	1 2 3 4 5	9.84	3 6	7.64		
		2	1	9.72	3 6	8.95		
		3	1	10.33	2 5	10.24		
	ANN	1	1 2 3 4	5.55	567	5.02		
		2	172	5.84	3 7	5.51		
		3	1 5	6.58	3 7	5.56		
India								
	LR	1	2 1 3 4	185.95	1 2 3 4	185.95		
		2	1 2	237.85	1 2	237.85		
		3	3 4 2 7 1	299.14	1 2 6	287.16		
	K-NN	1	2 1 3 4 5	236.90	1 2 5 6	236.39		
		2	1 2	247.44	1 2 5	242.65		
		3	3 4 2 7	249.43	1 2 5 6	243.86		
	ANN	1	2	166.58	1 7	164.70		
		2	1 2 7	173.57	1 2 7	166.30		
		3	3 4 2 7 1	235.59	1 5 7	172.56		
Zhongxian								
	LR	1	1 2	40.06	1 2	40.06		
		2	1 2 6	41.87	1 6	39.44		
		3	3 6 2 4 1	58.29	1 5	41.53		
	K-NN	1	1 2	51.78	1 2	51.78		
		2	1 2	53.86	1 2 3	53.32		
		3	3 6 2	54.15	2 3	53.16		
	ANN	1	1 2 3	38.39	156	34.09		
		2	1	44.49	156	39.45		
		3	3 6	46.14	15	34.94		

1106 Note:\* the numbers of "1, 2" stand for RC1 and RC2, and these numbers in the SSA1 column is in a

descending order of CCFs shown in Figure 6.12.

Journal of Hydrology, Vol. 389, No. 1-2, 2010, pp. 146-167

**Table 18.** Model performances at three forecasting horizons using MANN and ANN with the

				SS	SA2					
<b>XX</b> 7 4 <b>1</b> 1	Model	RMSE				CE		PI		
Watershed		1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
WuXi										
	ANN	4.43	5.57	6.25	0.84	0.78	0.70	0.87	0.88	0.84
	MANN	3.63	4.32	3.93	0.90	0.86	0.89	0.92	0.92	0.94
Zhenwan										
	ANN	5.02	5.51	5.56	0.81	0.75	0.71	0.88	0.85	0.84
	MANN	3.18	3.20	3.31	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.95	0.95	0.95
India										
	ANN	164.7	166.3	172.6	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.95	0.98	0.99
	MANN	144.2	145.1	157.4	0.98	0.98	0.97	0.95	0.98	0.99
Zhongxian										
	ANN	34.09	39.45	34.94	0.84	0.71	0.83	0.84	0.80	0.92
	MANN	28.58	32.24	32.69	0.86	0.82	0.82	0.86	0.88	0.91

## 

## 

 Table 19. RMSE of the LR model coupled with SSA2 using various L

Watershed	Prediction	L in SSA								
	horizons	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Wuxi										
	1	6.13	5.94	6.01	6.41	5.83	5.81	5.61	5.51	
	2	7.79	7.62	7.73	8.14	7.71	7.75	7.62	7.66	
	3	11.76	8.61	8.40	9.04	9.23	8.72	8.56	8.62	
Zhenwan										
	1	7.74	7.49	7.31	7.29	7.19	6.99	7.08	6.84	
	2	10.27	8.42	9.04	8.19	7.99	7.67	7.43	7.61	
	3	11.28	10.66	10.06	9.33	8.81	8.91	9.42	9.28	

##